

FILTER REGULAR SEQUENCES AND GENERALIZED LOCAL COHOMOLOGY MODULES

ALI FATHI, ABOLFAZL TEHRANIAN, AND HOSSEIN ZAKERI

ABSTRACT. Let $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}$ be ideals of a commutative Noetherian ring R and let M, N be finite R -modules. The concept of an \mathfrak{a} -filter grade of \mathfrak{b} on M is introduced and several characterizations and properties of this notion are given. Then, using the above characterizations, we obtain some results on generalized local cohomology modules $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N)$. In particular, first we determine the least integer i for which $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N)$ is not Artinian. Then we prove that $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N)$ is Artinian for all $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ if and only if $\dim R/(\mathfrak{a} + \text{Ann } M + \text{Ann } N) = 0$. Also, we establish the Nagel-Schenzel formula for generalized local cohomology modules. Finally, in a certain case, the set of attached primes of $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N)$ is determined and a comparison between this set and the set of attached primes of $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(N)$ is given.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, R is a commutative Noetherian ring with nonzero identity, $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}$ are ideals of R and M, N, L are finite R -modules. We will use \mathbb{N} (respectively \mathbb{N}_0) to denote the set of positive (respectively non-negative) integers.

The theory of local cohomology, which was introduced by Grothendieck, is a useful tool for attacking problems in commutative algebra and algebraic geometry. The reader is referred to [6] for basic facts concerning local cohomology modules. It is an interesting problem [12, third problem] to determine if a given local cohomology module is Artinian. In recent years there have appeared many papers in this area (see [2, 23, 28], for example). In this paper, among other things, we provide some results on Artinianness of local cohomology modules and, furthermore, we identify the least integer i such that the i -th local cohomology module is not Artinian.

Indeed, in this paper, we consider the concept of generalized local cohomology functor which was first introduced, in the local case, by Herzog [11] and, in the general case, by Bijan-Zadeh [4]. The i -th generalized local cohomology functor $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(\cdot, \cdot)$ is defined by

$$H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X, Y) = \varinjlim_n \text{Ext}_R^i(X/\mathfrak{a}^n X, Y)$$

for all R -modules X, Y and $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Clearly, this notion is a natural generalization of the ordinary local cohomology functor.

There is a lot of current interest in the theory of filter regular sequences in commutative algebra; and, in recent years, there have appeared many papers concerned with the role of these sequences in the theory of local cohomology. In particular case, when one works on a local ring, the concept of a filter regular sequence has

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13D45, 13E10.

Key words and phrases. generalized local cohomology module, filter regular sequence, Nagel-Schenzel formula, Artinianness, Attached prime.

been studied in [26, 29] and has led to some interesting results. We will denote the supremum of all numbers $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ for which there exists an \mathfrak{a} -filter regular M -sequence of length n in \mathfrak{b} by $\text{f-grad}(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, M)$. In a local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}) , $\text{f-grad}(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{a}, M)$ is known as $\text{f-depth}(\mathfrak{a}, M)$. Lü and Tang [15] proved that

$$\text{f-depth}(\mathfrak{a}, M) = \inf\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid \dim \text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{a}, M) > 0\}$$

and that $\text{f-depth}(\mathfrak{a}, M)$ is the least integer i such that $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M)$ is not Artinian. As a theorem, we generalize their results and characterize $\text{f-grad}(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, M)$ to non local cases as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{f-grad}(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, M) &= \inf\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid \text{Supp Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{b}, M) \not\subseteq V(\mathfrak{a})\} \\ &= \inf\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid \text{Supp } H_{\mathfrak{b}}^i(M) \not\subseteq V(\mathfrak{a})\}, \\ \text{f-grad}(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b} + \text{Ann } N, M) &= \inf\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid \text{Supp } H_{\mathfrak{b}}^i(N, M) \not\subseteq V(\mathfrak{a})\}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{A \in \mathcal{M}} \text{f-grad}\left(\bigcap_{\mathfrak{m} \in A} \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{a} + \text{Ann } M, N\right) &= \inf\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N) \text{ is not Artinian}\} \\ &= \inf\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid \text{Supp } H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N) \not\subseteq \max(R)\} \\ &= \inf\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid \text{Supp } H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N) \not\subseteq A \text{ for all } A \in \mathcal{M}\} \\ &= \inf\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid \dim \text{Ext}_R^i(M/\mathfrak{a}M, N) > 0\}, \end{aligned}$$

where \mathcal{M} is the set of all finite subsets of $\max(R)$.

As an application of this theorem, we show that, if $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N)$ is Artinian for all $i < n$ if and only if $H_{\mathfrak{a}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^i(M_{\mathfrak{p}}, N_{\mathfrak{p}})$ is Artinian for all $i < n$ and all prime ideals \mathfrak{p} . Also, we prove that $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N)$ is an Artinian R -module for all $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ if and only if $\dim R/(\mathfrak{a} + \text{Ann } M + \text{Ann } N) = 0$. In particular, $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N)$ has finite length for all $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ if and only if $\dim R/(\text{Ann } M + \text{Ann } N) = 0$.

Let x_1, \dots, x_n be an \mathfrak{a} -filter regular N -sequence in \mathfrak{a} . Then the formula

$$H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(N) = \begin{cases} H_{(x_1, \dots, x_n)}^i(N) & \text{if } i < n, \\ H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{i-n}(H_{(x_1, \dots, x_n)}^n(N)) & \text{if } i \geq n, \end{cases}$$

is known as Nagel-Schenzel formula (see [22] and [13]). We generalize the above formula for the generalized local cohomology modules. Indeed, we prove that:

(i) $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N) \cong H_{(x_1, \dots, x_n)}^i(M, N)$ for all $i < n$;

(ii) if $\text{proj dim } M = d$ and L is projective, then

$$H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{i+n}(M \otimes_R L, N) \cong H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, H_{(x_1, \dots, x_n)}^n(L, N))$$

for all $i \geq d$.

Assume that $\bar{R} = R/(\mathfrak{a} + \text{Ann } M + \text{Ann } N)$ and that the ideal \mathfrak{r} is the inverse image of the Jacobson radical of \bar{R} in R . If \bar{R} is semi local, then, by using the isomorphisms described in (i) and Theorem 4.2, we prove that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{f-grad}(\mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{a} + \text{Ann } M, N) &= \inf\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N) \text{ is not Artinian}\} \\ &= \inf\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N) \not\cong H_{\mathfrak{r}}^i(M, N)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a local ring and $\dim N = n$. Macdonald and Sharp [17, Theorem 2.2] show that

$$\text{Att } H_{\mathfrak{m}}^n(N) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } N \mid \dim R/\mathfrak{p} = n\}.$$

As an extension of this result, Dibaei and Yassemi [9, Theorem A] proved

$$\text{Att } H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(N) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } N \mid \text{cd}_{\mathfrak{a}}(R/\mathfrak{p}) = n\},$$

where $\text{cd}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M)$ is the greatest integer i such that $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M) \neq 0$. Finally, if $d = \text{projdim } M < \infty$, then Gu and Chu [10, Theorem 2.3] proved that $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{n+d}(M, N)$ is Artinian and

$$\text{Att } H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{n+d}(M, N) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } N \mid \text{cd}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, R/\mathfrak{p}) = n + d\},$$

where, for an R -module Y , $\text{cd}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, Y)$ is the greatest integer i such that $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, Y) \neq 0$. Notice that $\text{cd}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) \leq d + n$ [4, Lemma 5.1]. We prove the above result in general case where R is not necessarily local. As a corollary we deduce that $\text{Att } H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{n+d}(M, N) \subseteq \text{Att } H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(N)$. Also, we give an example to show that this inclusion may be strict. Indeed, our example not only show that the Theorem 2.1 of [19] is not true, but it also rejects all of the following conclusions in [19].

Finally, Let $\text{projdim } M = d < \infty$ and $\dim N = n < \infty$ and $\mathfrak{b} = \text{Ann } H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(N)$. We prove that, if R/\mathfrak{b} is a complete semilocal ring, then

$$\text{Att } H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{n+d}(M, N) = \text{Supp Ext}_R^d(M, R) \cap \text{Att } H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(N).$$

In particular, if in addition, $\text{projdim}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}} = \text{projdim } M$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp } M$, then

$$\text{Att } H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{n+d}(M, N) = \text{Supp } M \cap \text{Att } H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(N).$$

2. FILTER REGULAR SEQUENCES

We say that a sequence x_1, \dots, x_n of elements of R is an \mathfrak{a} -filter regular M -sequence, if $x_i \notin \mathfrak{p}$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } M/(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1})M \setminus V(\mathfrak{a})$ and for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. In addition, if x_1, \dots, x_n belong to \mathfrak{b} , then we say that x_1, \dots, x_n is an \mathfrak{a} -filter regular M -sequence in \mathfrak{b} . Note that x_1, \dots, x_n is an R -filter regular M -sequence if and only if it is a weak M -sequence in the sense of [7, Definition 1.1.1].

Some parts of the next elementary proposition are included in [22, Proposition 2.2] in the case where (R, \mathfrak{m}) is local and $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{m}$.

Proposition 2.1. *Let x_1, \dots, x_n be a sequence of elements of R and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) x_1, \dots, x_n is an \mathfrak{a} -filter regular M -sequence.
- (ii) $\text{Supp}((x_1, \dots, x_{i-1})M :_M x_i)/(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1})M \subseteq V(\mathfrak{a})$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$.
- (iii) $x_1/1, \dots, x_n/1$ is a weak $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -sequence for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp } M \setminus V(\mathfrak{a})$.
- (iv) $x_1^{\alpha_1}, \dots, x_n^{\alpha_n}$ is an \mathfrak{a} -filter regular M -sequence for all positive integers $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$.
- (v) x_i is a weak $(M/(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1})M)/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(M/(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1})M)$ -sequence for all $i = 1, \dots, n$.
- (vi) $(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1})M :_M x_i \subseteq (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1})M :_M \langle \mathfrak{a} \rangle$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, where $N :_M \langle \mathfrak{a} \rangle = \{x \in M \mid \mathfrak{a}^m x \subseteq N \text{ for some } m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ for any submodule N of M .

It is clear from definition, that, for a given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, one can find an \mathfrak{a} -filter regular M -sequence of length n . The following theorem characterizes the existence of an \mathfrak{a} -filter regular M -sequence of length n in \mathfrak{b} .

Theorem 2.2. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) \mathfrak{b} contains an \mathfrak{a} -filter regular M -sequence of length n .
- (ii) Any \mathfrak{a} -filter regular M -sequence in \mathfrak{b} of length less than n can be extended to an \mathfrak{a} -filter regular M -sequence of length n in \mathfrak{b} .
- (iii) $\text{Supp Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{b}, M) \subseteq V(\mathfrak{a})$ for all $i < n$.
- (iv) If $\text{Supp } N = V(\mathfrak{b})$, then $\text{Supp Ext}_R^i(N, M) \subseteq V(\mathfrak{a})$ for all $i < n$.
- (v) $\text{Supp H}_{\mathfrak{b}}^i(M) \subseteq V(\mathfrak{a})$ for all $i < n$.
- (vi) If $\text{Ann } N \subseteq \mathfrak{b}$, then $\text{Supp H}_{\mathfrak{b}}^i(N, M) \subseteq V(\mathfrak{a})$ for all $i < n$.

Proof. The implications (ii) \Rightarrow (i), (iv) \Rightarrow (iii) and (vi) \Rightarrow (v) are clear.

(i) \Rightarrow (ii). Assume the contrary that x_1, \dots, x_t is an \mathfrak{a} -filter regular M -sequence in \mathfrak{b} such that $t < n$ and that it can not be extended to an \mathfrak{a} -filter regular M -sequence of length n in \mathfrak{b} . Then $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ for some $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } M/(x_1, \dots, x_t)M \setminus V(\mathfrak{a})$. So that $\mathfrak{b}R_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \text{Ass}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}}/(x_1/1, \dots, x_t/1)M_{\mathfrak{p}}$. It follows that $x_1/1, \dots, x_t/1$ is a maximal $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -sequence in $\mathfrak{b}R_{\mathfrak{p}}$, which is a contradiction in view of the hypothesis, Proposition 2.1 and [7, Theorem 1.2.5].

(i) \Rightarrow (iv) Suppose that x_1, \dots, x_n is an \mathfrak{a} -filter regular M -sequence in \mathfrak{b} . Let $t \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $x_i^t \in \text{Ann } N$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. By Proposition 2.1, for any $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp } M \setminus V(\mathfrak{a})$, $x_1^t/1, \dots, x_n^t/1$ is a weak $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -sequence in $\text{Ann}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} N_{\mathfrak{p}}$. So that, for all $i < n$, we have $\text{Ext}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^i(N_{\mathfrak{p}}, M_{\mathfrak{p}}) = 0$. Therefore (iv) holds.

(i) \Rightarrow (vi) Suppose that x_1, \dots, x_n is an \mathfrak{a} -filter regular M -sequence in \mathfrak{b} . For any $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp } M \setminus V(\mathfrak{a})$, $x_1/1, \dots, x_n/1$ is a weak $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -sequence in $\mathfrak{b}R_{\mathfrak{p}}$. So that, by [4, Proposition 5.5], $\text{H}_{\mathfrak{b}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^i(N_{\mathfrak{p}}, M_{\mathfrak{p}}) = 0$ for all $i < n$. This proves the implication (i) \Rightarrow (vi).

Next we prove the implications (iii) \Rightarrow (i) and (v) \Rightarrow (i) by induction on n . Let $n = 1$. In either cases $\text{Supp Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{b}, M) \subseteq V(\mathfrak{a})$. Therefore (i) holds. Suppose that, for all $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $T^i(\cdot)$ is either $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{b}, \cdot)$ or $\text{H}_{\mathfrak{b}}^i(\cdot)$. Assume that $n > 1$ and $\text{Supp } T^i(M) \subseteq V(\mathfrak{a})$ for all $i < n$. Then \mathfrak{b} contains an \mathfrak{a} -filter regular M -sequence, say x_1 . The exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow 0 :_M x_1 \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{x_1} x_1 M \longrightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \longrightarrow x_1 M \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow M/x_1 M \longrightarrow 0$$

induce the long exact sequences

$$\cdots \longrightarrow T^i(0 :_M x_1) \longrightarrow T^i(M) \longrightarrow T^i(x_1 M) \longrightarrow T^{i+1}(0 :_M x_1) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

and

$$\cdots \longrightarrow T^i(x_1 M) \longrightarrow T^i(M) \longrightarrow T^i(M/x_1 M) \longrightarrow T^{i+1}(x_1 M) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

Since $\text{Supp } 0 :_M x_1 \subseteq V(\mathfrak{a})$, by Proposition 2.1, it follows that $\text{Supp } T^i(0 :_M x_1) \subseteq V(\mathfrak{a})$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Therefore, using the above long exact sequences, we have $\text{Supp } T^i(M/x_1 M) \subseteq V(\mathfrak{a})$ for all $i < n - 1$. Hence, by inductive hypothesis, \mathfrak{b} contains an \mathfrak{a} -filter regular $M/x_1 M$ -sequence of length $n - 1$ such as x_2, \dots, x_n . This completes the inductive step, since x_1, \dots, x_n is an \mathfrak{a} -filter regular M -sequence in \mathfrak{b} . \square

Remark 2.3. One may use Theorem 2.2 (iii) \Rightarrow (ii) and Proposition 2.1 to see that $\text{Supp } M/\mathfrak{b}M \subseteq V(\mathfrak{a})$ if and only if, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists an \mathfrak{a} -filter regular M -sequence of length n in \mathfrak{b} . Moreover, if $\text{Supp } M/\mathfrak{b}M \not\subseteq V(\mathfrak{a})$, then it follows from

Theorem 2.2 that any two maximal \mathfrak{a} -filter regular M -sequences in \mathfrak{b} have the same length. Therefore, we may give the following.

Definition 1. Let $\text{Supp } M/\mathfrak{b}M \not\subseteq V(\mathfrak{a})$. Then the common length of all maximal \mathfrak{a} -filter regular M -sequences in \mathfrak{b} is denoted by $\text{f-grad}(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, M)$ and is called the \mathfrak{a} -filter grade of \mathfrak{b} on M . We set $\text{f-grad}(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, M) = \infty$ whenever $\text{Supp } M/\mathfrak{b}M \subseteq V(\mathfrak{a})$.

Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a local ring. Then the \mathfrak{m} -filter grade of \mathfrak{b} on M is called the filter depth of \mathfrak{b} on M and is denoted by $\text{f-depth}(\mathfrak{b}, M)$. Notice that, by Remark 2.3, $\text{f-depth}(\mathfrak{b}, M) < \infty$ if and only if $M/\mathfrak{b}M$ has finite length.

Remark 2.4. The following equalities follows immediately from Theorem 2.2.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{f-grad}(\mathfrak{a}, \text{Ann } N, M) &= \inf\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid \text{Supp Ext}_R^i(N, M) \not\subseteq V(\mathfrak{a})\}, \\ \text{f-grad}(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b} + \text{Ann } N, M) &= \inf\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid \text{Supp H}_\mathfrak{b}^i(N, M) \not\subseteq V(\mathfrak{a})\}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{f-grad}(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, M) &= \inf\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid \text{Supp Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{b}, M) \not\subseteq V(\mathfrak{a})\} \\ &= \inf\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid \text{Supp H}_\mathfrak{b}^i(M) \not\subseteq V(\mathfrak{a})\}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose in addition that (R, \mathfrak{m}) is local. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{f-depth}(\mathfrak{b}, M) &= \inf\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid \dim \text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{b}, M) > 0\} \\ &= \inf\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid \text{Supp H}_\mathfrak{b}^i(M) \not\subseteq \{\mathfrak{m}\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

3. A GENERALIZATION OF NAGEL-SCHENZEL FORMULA

Let x_1, \dots, x_n be an \mathfrak{a} -filter regular M -sequence in \mathfrak{a} . Then, by [13, Proposition 1.2],

$$H_\mathfrak{a}^i(M) = \begin{cases} H_{(x_1, \dots, x_n)}^i(M) & \text{if } i < n, \\ H_\mathfrak{a}^{i-n}(H_{(x_1, \dots, x_n)}^n(M)) & \text{if } i \geq n. \end{cases}$$

This formula was first obtained by Nagel and Schenzel, in [22, Lemma 3.4], in the case where (R, \mathfrak{m}) is a local ring and $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{m}$. Afterwards Khashyarmanesh, Yassi and Abbasi [14, Theorem 3.2] and Mafi [18, Lemma 2.8] generalized the second part of this formula for the generalized local cohomology modules as follows.

Suppose that M has finite projective dimension d and that x_1, \dots, x_n is an \mathfrak{a} -filter regular N -sequence in \mathfrak{a} . Then

$$H_\mathfrak{a}^{i+n}(M, N) \cong H_\mathfrak{a}^i(M, H_{(x_1, \dots, x_n)}^n(N))$$

for all $i \geq d$.

The following theorem establishes the Nagel-Schenzel formula for the generalized local cohomology modules. The first part of the following theorem is needed in the proof of the Corollary 4.5.

Theorem 3.1. *Let x_1, \dots, x_n be an \mathfrak{a} -filter regular N -sequence in \mathfrak{a} . Then the following statements hold.*

(i) $H_\mathfrak{a}^i(M, N) \cong H_{(x_1, \dots, x_n)}^i(M, N)$ for all $i < n$.

(ii) If $\text{proj dim } M = d < \infty$ and L is projective, then

$$H_\mathfrak{a}^{i+n}(M \otimes_R L, N) \cong H_\mathfrak{a}^i(M, H_{(x_1, \dots, x_n)}^n(L, N))$$

for all $i \geq d$.

Proof. (i) Set $\mathbf{x} = x_1, \dots, x_n$. Since $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N) \subseteq \Gamma_{(\mathbf{x})}(N)$ we have a natural monomorphism $\varphi_{M,N} : H_{\mathfrak{a}}^0(M, N) \rightarrow H_{(\mathbf{x})}^0(M, N)$. Now, let $\mu_i(\mathfrak{p}, N)$ be the i -th Bass number of N with respect to a prime ideal \mathfrak{p} and let $0 \rightarrow E^0 \xrightarrow{d^0} E^1 \xrightarrow{d^1} E^2 \rightarrow \dots$ be the minimal injective resolution of N . Then, by Proposition 2.1, $\mu_i(\mathfrak{p}, N) = 0$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp } N \cap V(\mathbf{x}) \setminus V(\mathfrak{a})$ and all $i < n$. So

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(E^i) &= \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp } N \cap V(\mathfrak{a})} E(R/\mathfrak{p})^{\mu_i(\mathfrak{p}, N)} \\ &= \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp } N \cap V(\mathbf{x})} E(R/\mathfrak{p})^{\mu_i(\mathfrak{p}, N)} = \Gamma_{(\mathbf{x})}(E^i) \end{aligned}$$

for all $i < n$. Therefore φ_{M, E^i} is an isomorphism for all $i < n$. Now let $i < n$. Since $\varphi_{M, E^{i-1}}$ and φ_{M, E^i} are isomorphisms and $\varphi_{M, E^{i+1}}$ is a monomorphism, one can use the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H_{\mathfrak{a}}^0(M, E^{i-1}) & \longrightarrow & H_{\mathfrak{a}}^0(M, E^i) & \longrightarrow & H_{\mathfrak{a}}^0(M, E^{i+1}) \\ \downarrow \varphi_{M, E^{i-1}} & & \downarrow \varphi_{M, E^i} & & \downarrow \varphi_{M, E^{i+1}} \\ H_{(\mathbf{x})}^0(M, E^{i-1}) & \longrightarrow & H_{(\mathbf{x})}^0(M, E^i) & \longrightarrow & H_{(\mathbf{x})}^0(M, E^{i+1}) \end{array}$$

to see that the induced homomorphism

$$\bar{\varphi}_{M, E^i} : H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N) = \frac{\ker H_{\mathfrak{a}}^0(M, d^i)}{\text{im } H_{\mathfrak{a}}^0(M, d^{i-1})} \rightarrow \frac{\ker H_{(\mathbf{x})}^0(M, d^i)}{\text{im } H_{(\mathbf{x})}^0(M, d^{i-1})} = H_{(\mathbf{x})}^i(M, N),$$

is an isomorphism.

(ii) Set $F(\cdot) = H_{\mathfrak{a}}^0(M, \cdot)$ and $G(\cdot) = H_{(\mathbf{x})}^0(L, \cdot)$. Then F and G are left exact functors and $FG(\cdot) \cong H_{\mathfrak{a}}^0(M \otimes_R L, \cdot)$. Furthermore if E is an injective R -module and $R^p F$ ($p \in \mathbb{N}_0$) is the p -th right derived functor of F , then it follows from [30, Lemma 1.1] and (i) that

$$\begin{aligned} R^p F(G(E)) &= H_{\mathfrak{a}}^p(M, H_{(\mathbf{x})}^0(L, E)) \cong H_{\mathfrak{a}}^p(M, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^0(L, E)) \\ &\cong \text{Ext}_R^p(M, \text{Hom}_R(L, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(E))) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $p \geq 1$. This yields the following spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p,q} = H_{\mathfrak{a}}^p(M, H_{(\mathbf{x})}^q(L, N)) \xRightarrow{p} H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{p+q}(M \otimes_R L, N)$$

(see for example [24, Theorem 11.38]). Let $t = p + q \geq d + n$. If $q > n$, then $H_{(\mathbf{x})}^q(N) = 0$ by [6, Corollary 3.3.3]. Since L is projective, it therefore follows that $H_{(\mathbf{x})}^q(L, N) = 0$. On the other hand if $q < n$, then $p > d = \text{proj dim } M$. Hence

$$E_2^{p,q} = H_{\mathfrak{a}}^p(M, H_{(\mathbf{x})}^q(L, N)) \cong H_{\mathfrak{a}}^p(M, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^q(L, N)) \cong \text{Ext}_R^p(M, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^q(L, N)) = 0.$$

Therefore, for $t \geq n + d$, there is a collapsing on the line $q = n$. Thus, there are isomorphisms

$$H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{t-n}(M, H_{(\mathbf{x})}^n(L, N)) \cong H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M \otimes_R L, N)$$

for all $t \geq n + d$. □

4. ARTINIANNES OF GENERALIZED LOCAL COHOMOLOGY MODULES

Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a Noetherian local ring. In view of [21, Theorem 3.1] and [15, Theorem 3.10], one can see that $\text{f-depth}(\mathfrak{a}, M)$ is the least integer i for which $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M)$ is not Artinian. Also, as a main result, it was proved in [8, Theorem 2.2] that $\text{f-depth}(\mathfrak{a} + \text{Ann } M, N)$ is the least integer i such that $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N)$ is not Artinian. We use rather a short argument to generalize this to the case in which R is not necessarily a local ring. The following lemma is elementary.

Lemma 4.1 ([25] Exercise 8.49). *Let X be an Artinian R -module, then $\text{Ass } X = \text{Supp } X$ is a finite subset of $\text{max}(R)$.*

Theorem 4.2. *Let \mathcal{M} be the set of all finite subsets of $\text{max}(R)$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{A \in \mathcal{M}} \text{f-grad}(\cap_{\mathfrak{m} \in A} \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{a} + \text{Ann } M, N) \\ &= \inf\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N) \text{ is not Artinian}\} \\ &= \inf\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid \text{Supp } H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N) \not\subseteq \text{max}(R)\} \\ &= \inf\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid \text{Supp } H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N) \not\subseteq A \text{ for all } A \in \mathcal{M}\} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N) \cong H_{\mathfrak{a} + \text{Ann } M}^i(M, N)$, we can assume that $\text{Ann } M \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$. It is clear that

$$\sup_{A \in \mathcal{M}} \text{f-grad}(\cap_{\mathfrak{m} \in A} \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{a}, N) = \inf\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid \text{Supp } H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N) \not\subseteq A \text{ for all } A \in \mathcal{M}\}.$$

Let \mathcal{S} be either $\{X \in \mathcal{C}_R \mid \text{Supp } X \subseteq \text{max}(R)\}$ or $\{X \in \mathcal{C}_R \mid \text{Supp } X \subseteq A \text{ for some } A \in \mathcal{M}\}$, where \mathcal{C}_R is the category of R -modules. Set $r = \inf\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N) \text{ is not Artinian}\}$ and $s = \inf\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N) \notin \mathcal{S}\}$. By Lemma 4.1, $r \leq s$. If $r = \infty$, there is nothing to prove. Assume that $r < \infty$. We show by induction on r , that $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^r(M, N) \notin \mathcal{S}$.

If $r = 0$, then $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^0(M, N) \notin \mathcal{S}$. Now suppose, inductively, that $r > 0$ and that the result has been proved for smaller values of r . In view of [30, Lemma 1.1] the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N) \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow N/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N) \longrightarrow 0$$

induces the following long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(M, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N)) \longrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N) \longrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N)) \\ \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{i+1}(M, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N)) \longrightarrow \cdots \end{aligned}$$

Since $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^0(M, N)$ has finite length, we have

$$\text{Supp } H_{\mathfrak{a}}^0(M, N) = \text{Ass } \text{Hom}_R(M, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N)) = \text{Ass } \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N);$$

so that $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N) \in \mathcal{S}$. Thus $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N)) \in \mathcal{S}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$. It follows that for each $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N) \in \mathcal{S}$ if and only if $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N)) \in \mathcal{S}$. Also we have $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N)$ is Artinian if and only if $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N))$ is Artinian. Hence we can replace N by $N/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N)$ and assume that N is an \mathfrak{a} -torsion free R -module. Thus there exists an element $x \in \mathfrak{a}$ which is a non-zero divisor on N . The exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow N \xrightarrow{x} N \longrightarrow N/xN \longrightarrow 0$$

induces the long exact sequence

$$\cdots \longrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N) \xrightarrow{x} H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N) \xrightarrow{f_i} H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N/xN) \longrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{i+1}(M, N) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

Since $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N)$ is Artinian for all $i < r$, we may use the above sequence to see that $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N/xN)$ is Artinian for all $i < r - 1$. On the other hand, $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^r(M, N)$ is not Artinian. Hence, using the above exact sequence and [6, Theorem 7.1.2], we see that $0 \rightarrow_{H_{\mathfrak{a}}^r(M, N)} x \cong H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{r-1}(M, N/xN)/\text{im } f_{r-1}$ is not Artinian. Thus $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{r-1}(M, N/xN)$ is not Artinian; and hence, by inductive hypothesis, $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{r-1}(M, N/xN) \notin \mathcal{S}$. So, again by using the above sequence, we get $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^r(M, N) \notin \mathcal{S}$. This completes the inductive step. \square

Corollary 4.3. *Suppose that $\text{Supp } L = \text{Supp } M/\mathfrak{a}M$. Then*

$$\inf\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N) \text{ is not Artinian}\} = \inf\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid \dim \text{Ext}_R^i(L, N) > 0\}.$$

Proof. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then, by the Theorem 4.2, $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N)$ is an Artinian R -module for all $i \leq n$ if and only if $n < \text{f-grad}(\mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{m}_t, \mathfrak{a} + \text{Ann } M, N)$ for some maximal ideals $\mathfrak{m}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{m}_t$ of R . By the Remark 2.4, it is equivalent to $\text{Supp } \text{Ext}_R^i(L, N) \subseteq \{\mathfrak{m}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{m}_t\}$ for some maximal ideals $\mathfrak{m}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{m}_t$ of R and for all $i \leq n$. This proves the assertion. \square

The following corollary extend the main result of [28] to the generalized local cohomology modules.

Corollary 4.4. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N)$ is Artinian for all $i < n$ if and only if $H_{\mathfrak{a}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^i(M_{\mathfrak{p}}, N_{\mathfrak{p}})$ is Artinian for all $i < n$ and all prime ideal \mathfrak{p} .*

Proof. This is immediate by the Corollary 4.3. \square

Corollary 4.5. *Let $\bar{R} = R/(\mathfrak{a} + \text{Ann } M + \text{Ann } N)$ be a semi local ring and let \mathfrak{r} be the inverse image of the Jacobson radical of \bar{R} in R . Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{f-grad}(\mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{a} + \text{Ann } M, N) &= \inf\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N) \text{ is not Artinian}\} \\ &= \inf\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N) \not\cong H_{\mathfrak{r}}^i(M, N)\} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The first equality is immediate by Theorem 4.2. To prove the second equality, let $n \leq \text{f-grad}(\mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{a} + \text{Ann } M, N)$ and let x_1, \dots, x_n be an \mathfrak{r} -filter regular N -sequence in $\mathfrak{a} + \text{Ann } M$. Then x_1, \dots, x_n is an $\mathfrak{a} + \text{Ann } M$ -filter regular N -sequence. So by Theorem 3.1(i),

$$H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N) \cong H_{\mathfrak{a} + \text{Ann } M}^i(M, N) \cong H_{(x_1, \dots, x_n)}^i(M, N) \cong H_{\mathfrak{r}}^i(M, N)$$

for all $i < n$. If $\text{f-grad}(\mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{a} + \text{Ann } M, N) = \infty$, then the above argument shows that, $\inf\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N) \not\cong H_{\mathfrak{r}}^i(M, N)\} = \infty$ and therefore the required equality holds. Therefore, we may assume that $\text{f-grad}(\mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{a} + \text{Ann } M, N) = n < \infty$. By the first equality, $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(M, N)$ is not Artinian while $H_{\mathfrak{r}}^n(M, N)$ is Artinian. Hence the second equality holds. \square

It was shown in [31, Theorem 2.2] that if $\dim R/\mathfrak{a} = 0$, then $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N)$ is Artinian for all $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$. The following corollary is a generalization of this.

Corollary 4.6. *Let $\bar{R} = R/(\mathfrak{a} + \text{Ann } M + \text{Ann } N)$. Then $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N)$ is an Artinian R -module for all $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ if and only if $\dim \bar{R} = 0$. In particular, $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N)$ has finite length for all $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ if and only if $\dim R/(\text{Ann } M + \text{Ann } N) = 0$.*

Proof. Assume that \mathfrak{p} is a prime ideal of R . By the Corollary 4.5, $H_{\mathfrak{a}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^i(M_{\mathfrak{p}}, N_{\mathfrak{p}})$ is Artinian for all i if and only if $\text{f-depth}((\mathfrak{a} + \text{Ann } M)R_{\mathfrak{p}}, N_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \infty$ or equivalently $\dim_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} N_{\mathfrak{p}}/(\mathfrak{a}R_{\mathfrak{p}} + (\text{Ann } M)R_{\mathfrak{p}})N_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$ (Remark 2.3). Now, the result follows by Corollary 4.4. \square

5. ATTACHED PRIMES OF THE TOP GENERALIZED LOCAL COHOMOLOGY
 MODULES

Let $X \neq 0$ be an R -module. If, for every $x \in R$, the endomorphism on X given by multiplication by x is either nilpotent or surjective, then $\mathfrak{p} = \sqrt{\text{Ann } X}$ is prime and X is called a \mathfrak{p} -secondary R -module. If for some secondary submodules X_1, \dots, X_n of X we have $X = X_1 + \dots + X_n$, then we say that X has a secondary representation. One may assume that the prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}_i = \sqrt{\text{Ann } X_i}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, are distinct and, by omitting redundant summands, that the representation is minimal. Then the set $\text{Att } X = \{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n\}$ does not depend on the choice of a minimal secondary representation of X . Every element of $\text{Att } X$ is called an attached prime ideal of X . It is well known that an Artinian R -module has a secondary representation. The reader is referred to [16] for more information about the theory of secondary representation.

Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a local ring and $n = \dim N < \infty$ and $d = \text{proj dim } M < \infty$. It was proved in [10, Theorem 2.3] that $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{n+d}(M, N)$ is Artinian and that

$$\text{Att } H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{n+d}(M, N) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } N \mid \text{cd}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, R/\mathfrak{p}) = n + d\},$$

where, for an R -module Y , $\text{cd}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, Y)$ is the greatest integer i such that $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, Y) \neq 0$. Notice that $\text{cd}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) \leq d + n$ [4, Lemma 5.1]. Next, we prove the above result without the local assumption on R . The following lemmas are needed.

Lemma 5.1 ([1] Theorem A and B). *Let $\text{proj dim } M < \infty$. Then*

- (i) $\text{cd}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) \leq \text{cd}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, L)$ whenever $\text{Supp } N \subseteq \text{Supp } L$.
- (ii) $\text{cd}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, L) = \max\{\text{cd}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N), \text{cd}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, K)\}$ whenever $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow L \rightarrow K \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $\text{proj dim } M < \infty$, $\dim N < \infty$, $t = \text{cd}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) \geq 0$ and*

$$\Sigma = \{L \subsetneq N \mid \text{cd}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, L) < t\}.$$

Then Σ has the largest element with respect to inclusion, L say, and the following statements hold.

- (i) *If K is a non-zero submodule of N/L , then $\text{cd}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, K) = t$.*
- (ii) $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N) \cong H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N/L)$.
- (iii) *If $t = \text{proj dim } M + \dim N$, then*

$$\text{Ass } N/L = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } N \mid \text{cd}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, R/\mathfrak{p}) = t\}.$$

Proof. Since N is Noetherian, Σ has a maximal element, say L . Now assume that L_1, L_2 are elements of Σ . Using the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow L_1 \cap L_2 \rightarrow L_1 \oplus L_2 \rightarrow L_1 + L_2 \rightarrow 0$$

and Lemma 5.1 we see that $t > \text{cd}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, L_1 + L_2)$. Hence the sum of any two elements of Σ is again in Σ . It follows that L contains every element of Σ ; and so it is the largest one.

(i) Let $K = K'/L$ be a non-zero submodule of N/L . Since L is the largest element of Σ , by applying Lemma 5.1 to the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow K' \rightarrow K \rightarrow 0$$

we see that $t = \text{cd}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, K)$.

(ii) The exact sequence $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow N \rightarrow N/L \rightarrow 0$ induces the exact sequence

$$0 = H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, L) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N/L) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{t+1}(M, L) = 0.$$

Thus $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N) \cong H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N/L)$.

(iii) Assume that $\text{cd}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) = \text{proj dim } M + \dim N$. For each \mathfrak{p} in $\text{Ass } L$, we have $\text{cd}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, R/\mathfrak{p}) < t$; so that

$$\{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } N \mid \text{cd}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, R/\mathfrak{p}) = t\} \subseteq \text{Ass } N/L.$$

To establish the reverse inclusion, let $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } N/L$. Then by (i) and [4, Lemma 5.1] $t = \text{proj dim } M + \dim R/\mathfrak{p}$. Therefore $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } N$ and equality holds. \square

Theorem 5.3. *Let $d = \text{proj dim } M < \infty$ and $n = \dim N < \infty$. Then the R -module $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{n+d}(M, N)$ is Artinian and*

$$\text{Att } H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{n+d}(M, N) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } N \mid \text{cd}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, R/\mathfrak{p}) = n + d\}.$$

Proof. Let $\mathbf{x} = x_1, \dots, x_n$ be an \mathfrak{a} -filter regular N -sequence in \mathfrak{a} and let E^\bullet be the minimal injective resolution of $H_{(\mathbf{x})}^n(N)$. Since, by [6, Exercise 7.1.7], $H_{(\mathbf{x})}^n(N)$ is Artinian, every component of E^\bullet is Artinian. On the other hand by 3.1

$$H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{n+d}(M, N) \cong H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M, H_{(\mathbf{x})}^n(N)) \cong H^d(\text{Hom}_R(M, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(E^\bullet))).$$

It follows that $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{n+d}(M, N)$ is Artinian.

Now we prove that $\text{Att } H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{n+d}(M, N) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } N \mid \text{cd}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, R/\mathfrak{p}) = n + d\}$. If $\text{cd}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) < n + d$, then $\text{Att } H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{n+d}(M, N) = \emptyset = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } N \mid \text{cd}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, R/\mathfrak{p}) = n + d\}$. So one can assume that $t = \text{cd}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) = n + d$. Let L be the largest submodule of N such that $\text{cd}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, L) < t$. By Lemma 5.2, there is no non-zero submodule K of N/L such that $\text{cd}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, K) < t$. Also we have $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N) \cong H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N/L)$ and $\text{Ass } N/L = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } N \mid \text{cd}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, R/\mathfrak{p}) = t\}$. Moreover $t = \text{cd}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N/L) = \text{proj dim } M + \dim N/L$. Thus we may replace N by N/L and prove that $\text{Att } H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N) = \text{Ass } N$. Now, for any non-zero submodule K of N , $\text{cd}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, K) = t$ and $\dim K = n$.

Assume that $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Att } H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N)$. We have $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq \text{Ann } H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N) \supseteq \text{Ann } N$. Hence $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp } N$. Now Let $x \in R \setminus \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } N} \mathfrak{p}$. The exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow N \xrightarrow{x} N \longrightarrow N/xN \longrightarrow 0$$

induces the exact sequence

$$H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N) \xrightarrow{x} H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N/xN) = 0.$$

Therefore $x \notin \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Att } H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N)} \mathfrak{p}$. So $\bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Att } H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N)} \mathfrak{p} \subseteq \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } N} \mathfrak{p}$. Thus $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$ for some $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Ass } N$. Hence $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{q}$ and $\text{Att } H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N) \subseteq \text{Ass } N$. Next we prove the reverse inclusion. Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } N$ and let T be a \mathfrak{p} -primary submodule of N . We have $t = \text{cd}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, R/\mathfrak{p}) = \text{cd}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N/T)$. Moreover N/T has no non-zero submodule K such that $\text{cd}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, K) < t$. Hence, using the above argument, one can show that $\text{Att } H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N/T) \subseteq \text{Ass } N/T = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$. It follows that

$$\{\mathfrak{p}\} = \text{Att } H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N/T) \subseteq \text{Att } H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N).$$

This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 5.4. *Let $d = \text{proj dim } M < \infty$ and $n = \dim N < \infty$. Then*

$$\text{Att } H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{n+d}(M, N) \subseteq \text{Supp } M \cap \text{Att } H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(N).$$

Proof. If $\text{Att} H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{n+d}(M, N) = \emptyset$, there is nothing to prove. Assume that $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Att} H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{n+d}(M, N)$. Then, by 5.3, $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass} N$ and $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{n+d}(M, R/\mathfrak{p}) \neq 0$. Next one can use the spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p,q} = \text{Ext}_R^p(M, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^q(R/\mathfrak{p})) \implies H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{p+q}(M, R/\mathfrak{p})$$

to see that $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{n+d}(M, R/\mathfrak{p}) \cong \text{Ext}_R^d(M, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(R/\mathfrak{p}))$. Therefore $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(R/\mathfrak{p}) \neq 0$; and hence $\text{cd}_{\mathfrak{a}}(R/\mathfrak{p}) = n$. Thus, again by 5.3, $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Att} H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(N)$. Also, we have $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq \text{Ann} \text{Ext}_R^d(M, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(N)) \supseteq \text{Ann} M$, which completes the proof. \square

Let X be an R -module. Set $E = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{m} \in \max R} E(R/\mathfrak{m})$ (minimal injective cogenerator of R) and $D = \text{Hom}_R(\cdot, E)$. We note that the canonical map $X \rightarrow DD X$ is an injection. If this map is an isomorphism we say that X is (Matlis) reflexive. The following lemma yields a classification of modules which are reflexive with respect to E .

Lemma 5.5 ([3] Theorem 12). *An R -module X is reflexive if and only if it has a finite submodule S such that X/S is artinian and that $R/\text{Ann} X$ is a complete semilocal ring.*

Assume that $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{b}$ and R/\mathfrak{a} is a complete semilocal ring. By above lemma R/\mathfrak{a} is reflexive as an R -module. On the other hand, the category of reflexive R -modules is a Serre subcategory of the category of R -modules. Therefore R/\mathfrak{b} is reflexive as an R -module and hence, by the above lemma, R/\mathfrak{b} is a complete semilocal ring. We shall use the conclusion of this discussion in the proof of the next theorem.

Theorem 5.6. *Let M, N be two finite R -modules with $\text{proj dim } M = d < \infty$ and $\text{dim } N = n < \infty$. Let $\mathfrak{b} = \text{Ann} H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(N)$. If R/\mathfrak{b} is a complete semilocal ring, then*

$$\text{Att} H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{n+d}(M, N) = \text{Supp} \text{Ext}_R^d(M, R) \cap \text{Att} H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(N).$$

In particular, if in addition, $\text{proj dim}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}} = \text{proj dim } M$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp } M$, then

$$\text{Att} H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{n+d}(M, N) = \text{Supp } M \cap \text{Att} H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(N).$$

Proof. Since $\text{Ext}_R^d(M, \cdot)$ is a right exact R -linear covariant functor, we have

$$H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{n+d}(M, N) \cong \text{Ext}_R^d(M, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(N)) \cong \text{Ext}_R^d(M, R) \otimes_R H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(N).$$

Set $\mathfrak{c} = \text{Ann} H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{n+d}(M, N)$. It is clear that $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{c}$. Therefore R/\mathfrak{c} is a complete semilocal ring. Now, by Lemma 5.5, [6, Exercise 7.2.10] and [5, VI.1.4 Proposition 10] we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Att} H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{n+d}(M, N) &= \text{Att} DD H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{n+d}(M, N) \\ &= \text{Ass} D H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{n+d}(M, N) \\ &= \text{Ass} D(\text{Ext}_R^d(M, R) \otimes_R H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(N)) \\ &= \text{Ass} \text{Hom}_R(\text{Ext}_R^d(M, R), D H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(N)) \\ &= \text{Supp} \text{Ext}_R^d(M, R) \cap \text{Ass} D H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(N) \\ &= \text{Supp} \text{Ext}_R^d(M, R) \cap \text{Att} DD H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(N) \\ &= \text{Supp} \text{Ext}_R^d(M, R) \cap \text{Att} H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(N) \end{aligned}$$

The final assertion follows immediately from the first equality, [20, Lemma 19.1(iii)] and the fact that $\text{Supp} \text{Ext}_R^d(M, R) \subseteq \text{Supp } M$. \square

By Corollary 5.4 $\text{Att } H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{n+d}(M, N) \subseteq \text{Att } H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(N)$. Next, we give an example to show that this inclusion may be strict even if (R, \mathfrak{m}) is a complete regular local ring and $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{m}$. Also, this example shows that the following theorem of Mafi is not true.

[19, Theorem 2.1]: Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a commutative Noetherian local ring and $n = \dim N$, $d = \text{proj dim } M < \infty$. If $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{n+d}(M, N) \neq 0$, then

$$\text{Att } H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{n+d}(M, N) = \text{Att } H_{\mathfrak{m}}^n(N).$$

Example 5.7. Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a complete regular local ring of a dimension $n \geq 2$ and assume that R has two distinct prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}$ such that $\dim R/\mathfrak{p} = \dim R/\mathfrak{q} = 1$. Set $M = R/\mathfrak{p}$ and $N = R/\mathfrak{p} \oplus R/\mathfrak{q}$. Then, by Theorem 5.3,

$$\text{Att } H_{\mathfrak{m}}^1(N) = \{\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}\}.$$

On the other hand, $\text{proj dim } M = \dim R - \text{depth } M = n - 1$ and $\dim N = 1$. Now, by Theorem 5.6,

$$\text{Att } H_{\mathfrak{m}}^n(M, N) = \text{Supp } M \cap \text{Att } H_{\mathfrak{m}}^1(N) = \{\mathfrak{p}\}.$$

Therefore [19, Theorem 2.1] is not true. Also, by [6, Proposition 7.2.11],

$$\sqrt{(\text{Ann } H_{\mathfrak{m}}^n(M, N))} = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Att } H_{\mathfrak{m}}^n(M, N)} \mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}$$

and

$$\sqrt{(\text{Ann } H_{\mathfrak{m}}^1(N))} = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Att } H_{\mathfrak{m}}^1(N)} \mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{q}.$$

Hence, again, Corollary 2.2 and Corollary 2.3 of [19] are not true. We note that, the other results of [19] are concluded from [19, Theorem 2.1, Corollary 2.2 and Corollary 2.3].

It is known that if (R, \mathfrak{m}) is a local ring and $\dim M = n > 0$, then $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^n(M)$ is not finite [6, Corollary 7.3.3]. It was proved in [10, Proposition 2.6] that if $d = \text{proj dim } M < \infty$ and $0 < n = \dim N$, then $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{n+d}(M, N)$ is not finite whenever it is non-zero. Next, we provide a generalization of this result. The following lemma, which is needed in the proof of the next proposition, is elementary.

Lemma 5.8. Let X be an R -module. Then X has finite length if and only if X is Artinian and $\text{Att } X \subseteq \max R$. Moreover if X has finite length, then $\text{Att } X = \text{Supp } X = \text{Ass } X$.

Proposition 5.9. Let $d = \text{proj dim } M < \infty$, $0 < n = \dim N < \infty$. If $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{n+d}(M, N) \neq 0$, then it is not finite.

Proof. Assume that $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Att } H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{n+d}(M, N)$. By 5.3, $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{n+d}(M, N)$ is an Artinian R -module and $n + d = \text{cd}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, R/\mathfrak{p}) = \text{proj dim } M + \dim R/\mathfrak{p}$. Therefore $\dim R/\mathfrak{p} = n > 0$; So that $\text{Att } H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{n+d}(M, N) \not\subseteq \max R$. It follows that, in view of 5.8, $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{n+d}(M, N)$ is not finite. \square

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank the referees for careful reading of the manuscript and for helpful suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Amjadi and R. Naghipour, Cohomological dimension of generalized local cohomology modules, *Algebra Colloq.* **15** (2008), no. 2, 303–308.
- [2] A. Bagheri, A non-vanishing Theorem for local cohomology modules, *Bull. Malay. Math. Sci. Soc.*(2), accepted.
- [3] R. G. Belshoff, E. E. Enochs and J. R. García Rozas, Generalized Matlis duality, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **128** (2000), no. 5, 1307–1312.
- [4] M. H. Bijan-Zadeh, A common generalization of local cohomology theories, *Glasgow Math. J.* **21** (1980), no. 2, 173–181.
- [5] N. Bourbaki, *Commutative Algebra*, Chapter 1-7, Elements of Mathematics, Springer-Verlage, Berlin, 1998.
- [6] M. P. Brodmann and R. Y. Sharp, *Local Cohomology: an Algebraic introduction with geometric applications*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 60. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [7] W. Bruns and J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay rings*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 39. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [8] L. Chu and Z. Tang, On the Artinianness of generalized local cohomology, *Comm. Algebra* **35** (2007), no. 12, 3821–3827.
- [9] M. T. Dibaei and S. Yassemi, Attached primes of the top local cohomology modules with respect to an ideal, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **84** (2005), no. 4, 292–297.
- [10] Y. Gu and L. Chu, Attached primes of the top generalized local cohomology modules, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **79** (2009), no. 1, 59–67.
- [11] J. Herzog, *Komplexe, Auflösungen und Dualität in der lokalen Algebra*, Habilitationsschrift, Universität Regensburg, 1970.
- [12] C. Huneke, Problems on local cohomology, *Free resolutions in commutative algebra and algebraic geometry*, Sundance 90 (ed. D. Eisenbud and C. Huneke, Jones and Bartlett, Boston, 1992) 93–108.
- [13] K. Khashyarmanesh and Sh. Salarian, Filter regular sequences and the finiteness of local cohomology modules, *Comm. Algebra* **26** (1998), no. 8, 2483–2490.
- [14] K. Khashyarmanesh, M. Yassi and A. Abbasi, Filter regular sequences and generalized local cohomology modules, *Comm. Algebra* **32** (2004), no. 1, 253–259.
- [15] R. Lü and Z. Tang, The f -depth of an ideal on a module, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **130** (2002), no. 7, 1905–1912 (electronic).
- [16] I. G. MacDonald, Secondary representation of modules over a commutative ring, *Symposia Mathematica*, Vol. XI (Convegno di Algebra Commutativa, INDAM, Rome, 1971), pp. 23–43. Academic Press, London, 1973.
- [17] I. G. Macdonald and R. Y. Sharp, An elementary proof of the non-vanishing of certain local cohomology modules, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)* **23** (1972), 197–204.
- [18] A. Mafi, On the associated primes of generalized local cohomology modules, *Comm. Algebra* **34** (2006), no. 7, 2489–2494.
- [19] A. Mafi, Top generalized local cohomology modules, *Turkish J. Math.* **35** (2011), no. 4, 611–615.
- [20] H. Matsumura, *Commutative ring theory*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 8. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1986.
- [21] L. Melkersson, Some applications of a criterion for Artinianness of a module, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **101** (1995), no. 3, 291–303.
- [22] U. Nagel and P. Schenzel, Cohomological annihilators and Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity, *Commutative algebra: syzygies, multiplicities, and birational algebra (South Hadley, MA, 1992)*, 307–328, Contemp. Math., 159, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994.
- [23] Sh. Payrovi and M. Lotfi Parsa, Artinianness of local cohomology modules defined by a pair of ideals, *Bull. Malay. Math. Sci. Soc. (2)* **35** (2012), no. 4, 877–883.
- [24] J. J. Rotman, *An introduction to homological algebra*, Pure and Applied Mathematics 85. Academic Press, Inc., New York, 1979.
- [25] R. Y. Sharp, *Steps in commutative algebra*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts, 19. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [26] J. Stückrad and W. Vogel, *Buchsbaum rings and applications. An interaction between algebra, geometry and topology*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986.

- [27] N. Suzuki, On the generalized local cohomology and its duality, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **18** (1978), no. 1, 71–85.
- [28] Z. Tang, Local-global principle for the Artinianness of local cohomology modules, *Comm. Algebra* **40** (2012), no. 1, 58–63.
- [29] N. V. Trung, Absolutely superficial sequences, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **93** (1983), no. 1, 35–47.
- [30] S. Yassemi, L. Khatami and T. Sharif, Associated primes of generalized local cohomology modules, *Comm. Algebra* **30** (2002), no. 1, 327–330.
- [31] N. Zamani, On graded generalized local cohomology, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **86** (2006), no. 4, 321–330.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SCIENCE AND RESEARCH BRANCH, ISLAMIC AZAD UNIVERSITY,
TEHRAN, IRAN.

E-mail address: `alif1387@gmail.com`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SCIENCE AND RESEARCH BRANCH, ISLAMIC AZAD UNIVERSITY,
TEHRAN, IRAN.

E-mail address: `tehranian@srbiau.ac.ir`

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES AND COMPUTER, TARBIAT MOALLEM UNIVERSITY, TEHRAN,
IRAN.

E-mail address: `zakeri@tmu.ac.ir`