

Positive solutions for singular boundary value problem with fractional q -differences

Sihua Liang^{a*†}

^aCollege of Mathematics, Changchun Normal University, Changchun 130032, Jilin, P.R. China

Abstract

In this paper, we deal with the following nonlinear singular m -point boundary value problem with fractional q -differences

$$\begin{aligned} (D_q^\alpha u)(t) + f(t, u(t)) &= 0, \quad 0 < t < 1, \quad 2 < \alpha < 3, \\ u(0) = (D_q u)(0) &= 0, \quad (D_q u)(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i (D_q u)(\xi_i), \end{aligned}$$

where $0 < \xi_1 < \xi_2 < \cdots < \xi_{m-2} < 1$ and $0 < \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i \xi_i^{\alpha-2} < 1$, $0 < q < 1$. $f : (0, 1] \times [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ with $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} f(t, \cdot) = \infty$, i.e., f is singular at $t = 0$. By using the fixed point theorem in partially ordered sets, some new existence and uniqueness of positive solutions to the above boundary value problem are established. As application, an example is presented to illustrate the main results.

Keywords: Fractional q -difference equations; Partially ordered sets; Fixed-point theorem; Positive solution

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000) : 39A13; 34B18; 34A08

1. Introduction

Recently, an increasing interest in studying the existence of solutions for boundary value problems of fractional order functional differential equations has been observed [2–6, 9–12, 15–17]. Fractional differential equations describe many phenomena in various fields of science and engineering such as physics, mechanics, chemistry, control, engineering, etc. For an extensive collection of such results, we refer the readers to the monographs by Samko et al [18], Podlubny [19] and Kilbas et al [20].

On the other hand, the q -difference calculus or quantum calculus is an old subject that was first developed by Jackson [21, 22]. It is rich in history and in applications as the reader

* *E-mail address:* liangsihua@163.com(S.Liang).

† Corresponding author at: College of Mathematics, Changchun Normal University, Changchun 130032, Jilin, PR China. The telephone number: 08615804305216.

can confirm in the paper [23].

The origin of the fractional q -difference calculus can be traced back to the works by Al-Salam [24] and Agarwal [25]. More recently, maybe due to the explosion in research within the fractional differential calculus setting, new developments in this theory of fractional q -difference calculus were made, e.g., q -analogues of the integral and differential fractional operators properties such as the q -Laplace transform, q -Taylor's formula [26, 27], just to mention some.

Recently, there are few works consider the existence of positive solutions for nonlinear q -fractional boundary value problem (see [8, 28, 29, 13]). As is well-known, the aim of finding positive solutions to boundary value problems is of main importance in various fields of applied mathematics (see the book [30] and references therein). In addition, since q -calculus has a tremendous potential for applications [23], we find it pertinent to investigate such a demand.

El-Shahed and Hassan [7] studied the existence of positive solutions of the q -difference boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned} D_q^2 u(t) + a(t)f(u(t)) &= 0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1, \\ \alpha u(0) - \beta D_q u(0) &= 0, \quad \gamma u(1) + \delta D_q u(1) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

where $f : [0, 1] \times [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is continuous and D_q^2 is the standard Riemann-Liouville q -derivative.

Liang and Zhang [14] considered the following nonlinear m -point fractional boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned} D_{0+}^\alpha u(t) + f(t, u(t)) &= 0, \quad 0 < t < 1, \quad 2 < \alpha \leq 3, \\ u(0) = u'(0) &= 0, \quad u'(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i u'(\xi_i), \end{aligned}$$

where $f : [0, 1] \times [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is continuous and D_{0+}^α is the standard Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative. The uniqueness of positive solutions is established by using the fixed-point theorem in partially ordered sets.

Qiu and Bai [38] have proved the existence of a positive solution to boundary value problems of the nonlinear fractional differential equations

$$\begin{aligned} D_{0+}^\alpha u(t) + f(t, u(t)) &= 0, \quad 0 < t < 1, \quad 2 < \alpha \leq 3, \\ u(0) = u'(1) = u''(0) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where D_{0+}^α denotes Caputo derivative, and $f : (0, 1] \times [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ with $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} f(t, \cdot) = \infty$ (i.e., f is singular at $t = 0$). Their analysis relies on Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorem and nonlinear alternative of Leray-Schauder type in a cone.

More recently, Caballero Mena et al [32] have proved the existence and uniqueness of positive solutions for the singular fractional boundary value problem by using the fixed point theorem in partially ordered sets.

This work is motivated by the above references. In this paper, we deal with the following nonlinear singular m -point boundary value problem with fractional q -differences

$$(D_q^\alpha u)(t) + f(t, u(t)) = 0, \quad 0 < t < 1, \quad 2 < \alpha < 3, \quad (1.1)$$

$$u(0) = (D_q u)(0) = 0, \quad (D_q u)(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i (D_q u)(\xi_i), \quad (1.2)$$

where $f : (0, 1] \times [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ with $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} f(t, \cdot) = \infty$ (i.e., f is singular at $t = 0$), $0 < \xi_1 < \xi_2 < \dots < \xi_{m-2} < 1$ and $0 < \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i \xi_i^{\alpha-2} < 1$, $0 < q < 1$.

From the above works, we can see a fact, although the fractional boundary value problem have been investigated by some authors, the results dealing with the existence of positive solutions of multi-point boundary value problem with q -differences are relatively scarce, especially for the existence and uniqueness of a positive solution to singular fractional boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.2).

Motivated by the reasons above, in this paper we discuss singular fractional boundary value problem (1.1) and (1.2). Using a new fixed point theorem in partially ordered sets due to [1], we give some new existence and uniqueness criteria for singular boundary value problem (1.1) and (1.2). Finally, we present an example to demonstrate our results. Existence of fixed point in partially ordered sets has been considered recently in [31, 33–36].

2. Preliminaries

Let $q \in (0, 1)$ and define

$$[a]_q = \frac{1 - q^a}{1 - q}, \quad a \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The q -analogue of the power function $(a - b)^n$ with \mathbb{N}_0 is

$$(a - b)^0 = 1, \quad (a - b)^n = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (a - bq^k), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad a, b \in \mathbb{R}.$$

More generally, if $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, then

$$(a - b)^{(\alpha)} = a^\alpha \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a - bq^n}{a - bq^{\alpha+n}}.$$

Note that, if $b = 0$ then $a^{(\alpha)} = a^\alpha$. The q -gamma function is defined by

$$\Gamma_q(x) = \frac{(1 - q)^{(x-1)}}{(1 - q)^{x-1}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0, -1, -2, \dots\},$$

and satisfies $\Gamma_q(x + 1) = [x]_q \Gamma_q(x)$.

The q -derivative of a function f is here defined by

$$(D_q f)(x) = \frac{f(x) - f(qx)}{(1 - q)x}, \quad (D_q f)(0) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} (D_q f)(x),$$

and q -derivatives of higher order by

$$(D_q^0 f)(x) = f(x) \quad \text{and} \quad (D_q^n f)(x) = D_q(D_q^{n-1} f)(x), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The q -integral of a function f defined in the interval $[0, b]$ is given by

$$(I_q f)(x) = \int_0^x f(t) d_q t = x(1-q) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f(xq^n) q^n, \quad x \in [0, b].$$

If $a \in [0, b]$ and f is defined in the interval $[0, b]$, its integral from a to b is defined by

$$\int_a^b f(t) d_q t = \int_0^b f(t) d_q t - \int_0^a f(t) d_q t.$$

Similarly as done for derivatives, an operator I_q^n can be defined, namely,

$$(I_q^0 f)(x) = f(x) \quad \text{and} \quad (I_q^n f)(x) = I_q(I_q^{n-1} f)(x), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The fundamental theorem of calculus applies to these operators I_q and D_q , i.e.,

$$(D_q I_q f)(x) = f(x),$$

and if f is continuous at $x = 0$, then

$$(I_q D_q f)(x) = f(x) - f(0).$$

Basic properties of the two operators can be found in the book [37]. We now point out three formulas that will be used later (${}_i D_q$ denotes the derivative with respect to variable i)

$$[a(t-s)]^{(\alpha)} = a^\alpha (t-s)^{(\alpha)}, \quad (2.1)$$

$${}_t D_q (t-s)^{(\alpha)} = [\alpha]_q (t-s)^{(\alpha-1)}, \quad (2.2)$$

$$\left({}_x D_q \int_0^x f(x, t) d_q t \right) (x) = \int_0^x {}_x D_q f(x, t) d_q t + f(qx, x). \quad (2.3)$$

Remark 2.1. [28] We note that if $\alpha > 0$ and $a \leq b \leq t$, then $(t-a)^{(\alpha)} \geq (t-b)^{(\alpha)}$.

The following definition was considered first in [25].

Definition 2.1. Let $\alpha \geq 0$ and f be a function defined on $[0, 1]$. The fractional q -integral of the Riemann-Liouville type is $(I_q^\alpha f)(x) = f(x)$ and

$$(I_q^\alpha f)(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \int_0^x (x-qt)^{(\alpha-1)} f(t) d_q t, \quad \alpha > 0, \quad x \in [0, 1].$$

Definition 2.2 ([26]). The fractional q -derivative of the Riemann-Liouville type of order $\alpha \geq 0$ is defined by $(D_q^0 f)(x) = f(x)$ and

$$(D_q^\alpha f)(x) = (D_q^m I_q^{m-\alpha} f)(x), \quad \alpha > 0,$$

where m is the smallest integer greater than or equal to α .

Next, we list some properties that are already known in the literature. Its proof can be found in [25, 26]

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ and f be a function defined on $[0, 1]$. Then the next formulas hold:*

- (1) $(I_q^\beta I_q^\alpha f)(x) = (I_q^{\alpha+\beta} f)(x),$
- (2) $(D_q^\alpha I_q^\alpha f)(x) = f(x).$

Lemma 2.2 ([28]). *Let $\alpha > 0$ and p be a positive integer. Then the following equality holds:*

$$(I_q^\alpha D_q^p f)(x) = (D_q^p I_q^\alpha f)(x) - \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{x^{\alpha-p+k}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha+k-p+1)} (D_q^k f)(0).$$

In the sequel, we present the fixed point theorem which we will use later. This result appears in [1].

By Γ we denote the class of those functions $\chi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$ satisfying the following condition

$$\chi(t_n) \rightarrow 1 \Rightarrow t_n \rightarrow 0.$$

Theorem 2.1 ([1]). *Let (E, \leq) be a partially ordered set and suppose that there exists a metric d in E such that (E, d) is a complete metric space. Let $T : E \rightarrow E$ be nondecreasing mapping such that there exists an element $x_0 \in E$ with $x_0 \leq Tx_0$. Suppose that there exists $\chi \in \Gamma$ such that*

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \chi(d(x, y)) \cdot d(x, y), \quad \text{for } x, y \in E, \text{ with } x \geq y,$$

Assume that either T is continuous or X is such that

$$\text{if } \{x_n\} \text{ is a nondecreasing sequence in } E \text{ such that } x_n \rightarrow x, \text{ then } x_n \leq x, \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \quad (2.4)$$

Besides, if

$$\text{for each } x, y \in E \text{ there exists } z \in E \text{ which is comparable to } x \text{ and } y, \quad (2.5)$$

then T has a unique fixed point.

3. Related lemmas

The basic space used in this paper is $E = C[0, 1]$. Then E is a real Banach space with the norm $\|u\| = \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |u(t)|$. Note that this space can be equipped with a partial order given by

$$x, y \in C[0, 1], \quad x \leq y \Leftrightarrow x(t) \leq y(t), \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

In [34] it is proved that $(C[0, 1], \leq)$ with the classic metric given by

$$d(x, y) = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \{|x(t) - y(t)|\}$$

satisfied condition (2.4) of Theorem 2.1. Moreover, for $x, y \in C[0, 1]$ as the function $\max\{x, y\} \in C[0, 1]$, $(C[0, 1], \leq)$ satisfies condition (2.5).

Lemma 3.1. Let $0 < \xi_1 < \xi_2 < \dots < \xi_{m-2} < 1$ and $0 < \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i \xi_i^{\alpha-2} < 1$. If $h \in C[0, 1]$, then the boundary value problem

$$(D_q^\alpha u)(t) + h(t) = 0, \quad 0 < t < 1, \quad 2 < \alpha < 3, \quad (3.1)$$

$$u(0) = (D_q u)(0) = 0, \quad (D_q u)(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i (D_q u)(\xi_i) \quad (3.2)$$

has a unique solution

$$u(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, qs)h(s)d_qs + \frac{t^{\alpha-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i \xi_i^{\alpha-2}}{[\alpha - 1]_q (1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i \xi_i^{\alpha-2})} \int_0^1 H(\xi_i, qs)h(s)d_qs, \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$G(t, s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \begin{cases} (1-s)^{(\alpha-2)}t^{\alpha-1} - (t-s)^{(\alpha-1)}, & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1, \\ (1-s)^{(\alpha-2)}t^{\alpha-1}, & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1, \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

$$H(t, s) = {}_t D_q G(s, t) = \frac{[\alpha - 1]_q}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \begin{cases} (1-s)^{(\alpha-2)}t^{\alpha-2} - (t-s)^{(\alpha-2)}, & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1, \\ (1-s)^{(\alpha-2)}t^{\alpha-2}, & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1. \end{cases} \quad (3.5)$$

Proof. In this case $p = 3$. In view of Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, from (3.1) we see that

$$(I_q^\alpha D_q^3 I_q^{3-\alpha} u)(x) = -I_q^\alpha f(t, u(t))$$

and

$$u(t) = c_1 t^{\alpha-1} + c_2 t^{\alpha-2} + c_3 t^{\alpha-3} - \int_0^t \frac{(t-qs)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} h(s)d_qs. \quad (3.6)$$

From (3.2), we know that $c_3 = 0$. Let differentiating both sides of (3.7) one obtain, with the help of (2.1) and (2.2)

$$(D_q u)(t) = [\alpha - 1]_q c_1 t^{\alpha-2} + [\alpha - 2]_q c_2 t^{\alpha-3} - \frac{[\alpha - 1]_q}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-qs)^{(\alpha-2)} h(s)d_qs.$$

Using the boundary condition (3.2), we have $c_2 = 0$ and

$$c_1 = \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i \xi_i^{\alpha-2})} \left[\int_0^1 (1-qs)^{(\alpha-2)} h(s)d_qs - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i \int_0^{\xi_i} (\xi_i - qs)^{(\alpha-2)} h(s)d_qs \right].$$

Therefore, the unique solution of boundary value problem (3.1)-(3.2) is

$$\begin{aligned}
u(t) &= - \int_0^t \frac{(t-qs)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} h(s) d_qs \\
&\quad + \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i \xi_i^{\alpha-2})} \left[\int_0^1 (1-qs)^{(\alpha-2)} h(s) d_qs - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i \int_0^{\xi_i} (\xi_i - qs)^{(\alpha-2)} h(s) d_qs \right] \\
&= - \int_0^t \frac{(t-qs)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} h(s) d_qs - \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i \xi_i^{\alpha-2})} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i \int_0^{\xi_i} (\xi_i - qs)^{(\alpha-2)} h(s) d_qs \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i \xi_i^{\alpha-2} t^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i \xi_i^{\alpha-2})} \right) \int_0^1 (1-qs)^{(\alpha-2)} h(s) d_qs \\
&= \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \int_0^t ((1-qs)^{(\alpha-2)} t^{\alpha-1} - (t-qs)^{(\alpha-1)}) h(s) d_qs \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \int_t^1 (1-qs)^{(\alpha-2)} t^{\alpha-1} h(s) d_qs + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i \xi_i^{\alpha-2} t^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i \xi_i^{\alpha-2})} \int_0^1 (1-qs)^{(\alpha-2)} h(s) d_qs \\
&\quad - \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i \xi_i^{\alpha-2})} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i \int_0^{\xi_i} (\xi_i - qs)^{(\alpha-2)} h(s) d_qs \\
&= \int_0^1 G(t, qs) h(s) d_qs + \frac{t^{\alpha-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i \xi_i^{\alpha-2}}{[\alpha-1]_q (1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i \xi_i^{\alpha-2})} \int_0^1 H(\xi_i, qs) h(s) d_qs.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. \square

Lemma 3.2 ([13]). (i) $G(t, qs)$ is a continuous function on $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ and it satisfies $G(t, qs) > 0$ for $(t, s) \in (0, 1) \times (0, 1)$;

(ii) $G(t, qs)$ is strictly increasing in the first variable;

(iii) $H(t, qs) > 0$ for $(t, s) \in (0, 1) \times (0, 1)$.

Lemma 3.3. Let $0 < \sigma < 1$, $2 < \alpha \leq 3$ and $F : (0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function with $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} F(t) = \infty$. Suppose that $t^\sigma F(t)$ is a continuous function on $[0, 1]$. Then the function defined by

$$Z(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, qs) F(s) d_qs$$

is continuous on $[0, 1]$, where $G(t, qs)$ is the Green function defined by (3.4).

Proof. We split the proof in three steps.

Step I. $t_0 = 0$.

It is easily checked that $Z(0) = 0$. Since $t^\sigma F(t)$ is continuous on $[0, 1]$, we can find a constant $M > 0$ such that $t^\sigma F(t) \leq M$ for any $t \in [0, 1]$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
|Z(t) - Z(0)| &= |Z(t)| = \left| \int_0^1 G(t, qs) F(s) d_qs \right| \\
&= \left| \int_0^1 G(t, qs) s^{-\sigma} s^\sigma F(s) d_qs \right| \\
&= \left| \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t^{\alpha-1} (1-qs)^{(\alpha-2)} - (t-qs)^{(\alpha-1)}) s^{-\sigma} s^\sigma F(s) d_qs \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \int_t^1 t^{\alpha-1} (1-qs)^{(\alpha-2)} s^{-\sigma} s^\sigma F(s) d_qs \right| \\
&= \left| \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \int_0^1 t^{\alpha-1} (1-qs)^{(\alpha-2)} s^{-\sigma} s^\sigma F(s) d_qs - \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-qs)^{(\alpha-1)} s^{-\sigma} s^\sigma F(s) d_qs \right| \\
&\leq \left| \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \int_0^1 t^{\alpha-1} (1-qs)^{(\alpha-2)} s^{-\sigma} s^\sigma F(s) d_qs \right| + \left| \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-qs)^{(\alpha-1)} s^{-\sigma} s^\sigma F(s) d_qs \right| \\
&\leq \frac{M}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \int_0^1 t^{\alpha-1} (1-qs)^{(\alpha-2)} s^{-\sigma} d_qs + \frac{M}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-qs)^{(\alpha-1)} s^{-\sigma} d_qs \\
&\leq \frac{Mt^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \int_0^1 (1-qs)^{(\alpha-2)} s^{-\sigma} d_qs + \frac{Mt^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \int_0^t (1 - \frac{qs}{t})^{(\alpha-1)} s^{-\sigma} d_qs. \tag{3.7}
\end{aligned}$$

In the integral $\int_0^t (1 - \frac{qs}{t})^{(\alpha-1)} s^{-\sigma} d_qs$ we make the change of variables $v = \frac{s}{t}$, then we obtain

$$\int_0^t (1 - \frac{qs}{t})^{(\alpha-1)} s^{-\sigma} d_qs = t^{1-\sigma} \int_0^1 (1 - qv)^{(\alpha-1)} v^{-\sigma} d_qv.$$

Taking into account (3.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|Z(t)| &\leq \frac{Mt^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \int_0^1 (1-qs)^{(\alpha-2)} s^{-\sigma} d_qs + \frac{Mt^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} t^{1-\sigma} \int_0^1 (1-qv)^{(\alpha-1)} v^{-\sigma} d_qv \\
&= \frac{Mt^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} B_q(1-\sigma, \alpha-1) + \frac{Mt^{\alpha-\sigma}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} B_q(1-\sigma, \alpha), \tag{3.8}
\end{aligned}$$

where B_q denotes the Beta function defined by $B_q(t, s) = \int_0^1 x^{t-1} (1-qx)^{(s-1)} d_qx$. Therefore, by (3.8), we see that $Z(t) \rightarrow 0$ when $t \rightarrow 0$, this proves the continuity of Z at $t_0 = 0$.

Step II. $t_0 \in (0, 1)$.

We take $t_n \rightarrow t_0$ and we have to prove that $Z(t_n) \rightarrow Z(t_0)$. Without loss of generality we

consider $t_n > t_0$ (the same argument works for $t_n < t_0$). In fact, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|Z(t_n) - Z(t_0)| &= \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \left| \int_0^{t_n} (t_n^{\alpha-1}(1-qs)^{(\alpha-2)} - (t_n-qs)^{(\alpha-1)})s^{-\sigma}s^\sigma F(s)d_qs \right. \\
&\quad + \int_{t_n}^1 t_n^{\alpha-1}(1-qs)^{(\alpha-2)}s^{-\sigma}s^\sigma F(s)d_qs - \int_{t_0}^1 t_0^{\alpha-1}(1-qs)^{(\alpha-2)}s^{-\sigma}s^\sigma F(s)d_qs \\
&\quad \left. - \int_0^{t_0} (t_0^{\alpha-1}(1-qs)^{(\alpha-2)} - (t_0-qs)^{(\alpha-1)})s^{-\sigma}s^\sigma F(s)d_qs \right| \\
&= \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \left| \int_0^1 t_n^{\alpha-1}(1-qs)^{(\alpha-2)}s^{-\sigma}s^\sigma F(s)d_qs - \int_0^{t_n} (t_n-qs)^{(\alpha-1)}s^{-\sigma}s^\sigma F(s)d_qs \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \int_0^1 t_0^{\alpha-1}(1-qs)^{(\alpha-2)}s^{-\sigma}s^\sigma F(s)d_qs + \int_0^{t_0} (t_0-qs)^{(\alpha-1)}s^{-\sigma}s^\sigma F(s)d_qs \right| \\
&= \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \left| \int_0^1 (t_n^{\alpha-1} - t_0^{\alpha-1})(1-qs)^{(\alpha-2)}s^{-\sigma}s^\sigma F(s)d_qs \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \int_0^{t_0} ((t_n-qs)^{(\alpha-1)} - (t_0-qs)^{(\alpha-1)})s^{-\sigma}s^\sigma F(s)d_qs \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \int_{t_0}^{t_n} (t_n-qs)^{(\alpha-1)}s^{-\sigma}s^\sigma F(s)d_qs \right| \\
&\leq \frac{M(t_n^{\alpha-1} - t_0^{\alpha-1})}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \int_0^1 (1-qs)^{(\alpha-2)}s^{-\sigma}d_qs \\
&\quad + \frac{M}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \int_0^{t_0} ((t_n-qs)^{(\alpha-1)} - (t_0-qs)^{(\alpha-1)})s^{-\sigma}d_qs \\
&\quad + \frac{M}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} + \int_{t_0}^{t_n} (t_n-qs)^{(\alpha-1)}s^{-\sigma}d_qs \\
&= \frac{M(t_n^{\alpha-1} - t_0^{\alpha-1})}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} B_q(1-\sigma, \alpha-1) + \frac{M}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} J_n^1 + \frac{M}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} J_n^2, \tag{3.9}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
J_n^1 &= \int_0^{t_0} ((t_n-qs)^{(\alpha-1)} - (t_0-qs)^{(\alpha-1)})s^{-\sigma}d_qs, \\
J_n^2 &= \int_{t_0}^{t_n} (t_n-s)^{(\alpha-1)}s^{-\sigma}d_qs.
\end{aligned}$$

We claim that $J_n^1 \rightarrow 0$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$.

In fact, as $t_n \rightarrow t_0$, then

$$((t_n-qs)^{(\alpha-1)} - (t_0-qs)^{(\alpha-1)})s^{-\sigma} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{when } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Moreover,

$$((t_n-qs)^{(\alpha-1)} - (t_0-qs)^{(\alpha-1)})s^{-\sigma} \leq (|t_n-qs|^{(\alpha-1)} + |t_0-qs|^{(\alpha-1)})s^{-\sigma} \leq 2s^{-\sigma}$$

and as

$$\int_0^1 2s^{-\sigma}d_qs = \frac{2(1-q)}{1-q^{1-\sigma}} < \infty,$$

we have that the sequence $((t_n-qs)^{(\alpha-1)} - (t_0-qs)^{(\alpha-1)})s^{-\sigma}$ converges pointwise to the zero function and $|(t_n-qs)^{(\alpha-1)} - (t_0-qs)^{(\alpha-1)}|s^{-\sigma}$ is bounded by a function belonging to

$L^1[0, 1]$, then by the Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, we have

$$J_n^1 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{when } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.10)$$

This proves the claim.

Now, we prove that $J_n^2 \rightarrow 0$, when $n \rightarrow \infty$.

In fact, as

$$\begin{aligned} J_n^2 &= \int_{t_0}^{t_n} (t_n - qs)^{(\alpha-1)} s^{-\sigma} d_qs \\ &\leq \int_{t_0}^{t_n} s^{-\sigma} d_qs = \frac{1-q}{1-q^{1-\sigma}} (t_n^{1-\sigma} - t_0^{1-\sigma}) \end{aligned}$$

and taking into account that $t_n \rightarrow t_0$, from the last expression we get

$$J_n^2 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{when } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.11)$$

Finally, from (3.9), (3.10) and (3.11) we obtain

$$|Z(t_n) - Z(t_0)| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{when } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Step III. $t_0 = 1$.

It is easily checked that $H(1) = 0$. Following the same lines that in the proof of Step I, we can prove the continuity of Z at $t_0 = 1$. \square

Lemma 3.4. *Suppose that $0 < \sigma < 1$. Then*

$$\sup_{t \in [0,1]} \int_0^1 G(t, qs) s^{-\sigma} d_qs = \frac{\rho^{\alpha-1} B_q(1-\sigma, \alpha-1) - \rho^{\alpha-\sigma} B_q(1-\sigma, \alpha)}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)},$$

where $G(t, s)$ is the Green's function appearing in of Lemma 3.1 and

$$\rho = \left(\frac{[\alpha-1]_q B_q(1-\sigma, \alpha-1)}{[\alpha-\sigma]_q B_q(1-\sigma, \alpha)} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}}.$$

Proof. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 G(t, qs) s^{-\sigma} d_qs &= \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \int_0^1 t^{\alpha-1} (1-qs)^{(\alpha-2)} s^{-\sigma} d_qs - \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-qs)^{(\alpha-1)} s^{-\sigma} d_qs \\ &= \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \int_0^1 (1-qs)^{(\alpha-2)} s^{-\sigma} d_qs - \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-qs)^{(\alpha-1)} s^{-\sigma} d_qs \\ &= \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} B_q(1-\sigma, \alpha-1) - \frac{t^{\alpha-\sigma}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} B_q(1-\sigma, \alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Now, using elemental calculus we can prove that the function

$$g(t) = t^{\alpha-1} B_q(1-\sigma, \alpha-1) - t^{\alpha-\sigma} B_q(1-\sigma, \alpha)$$

has a maximum at the point $t_0 = \rho = \left(\frac{[\alpha-1]_q B_q(1-\sigma, \alpha-1)}{[\alpha-\sigma]_q B_q(1-\sigma, \alpha)} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}}$. So we have

$$\sup_{t \in [0,1]} \int_0^1 G(t, qs) s^{-\sigma} d_qs = \frac{\rho^{\alpha-1} B_q(1-\sigma, \alpha-1) - \rho^{\alpha-\sigma} B_q(1-\sigma, \alpha)}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)}.$$

The proof is complete. \square

Remark 3.1. Similar to the proof of Lemma 3.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^1 H(\xi_i, qs) s^{-\sigma} d_q s &= \frac{[\alpha - 1]_q}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \left(\int_0^1 \xi_i^{\alpha-2} (1-s)^{(\alpha-2)} s^{-\sigma} d_q s - \int_0^{\xi_i} (\xi_i - s)^{(\alpha-2)} s^{-\sigma} d_q s \right) \\
&= \frac{[\alpha - 1]_q}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \left(\xi_i^{\alpha-2} \int_0^1 (1-qs)^{(\alpha-2)} s^{-\sigma} d_q s - \xi_i^{\alpha-\sigma-1} \int_0^1 (1-qv)^{(\alpha-2)} v^{-\sigma} d_q v \right) \\
&= \frac{[\alpha - 1]_q}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} B_q(1 - \sigma, \alpha - 1) (\xi_i^{\alpha-2} - \xi_i^{\alpha-\sigma-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we introduce the following class of functions. By \mathcal{T} we denote the class of functions $\varphi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ satisfying:

- (i) φ is nondecreasing;
- (ii) $\varphi(x) < x$, for any $x > 0$;
- (iii) $\chi(x) = \frac{\varphi(x)}{x} \in \Gamma$, where Γ is the class of functions appearing in Theorem 2.1.

Remark 3.2. It is easily checked that examples of functions belonging to \mathcal{T} are $\varphi(x) = \frac{x}{1+x}$ and $\varphi(x) = \ln(1+x)$ with $x \in [0, +\infty)$.

4. Main Result

The main result of this paper is the following.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $0 < \sigma < 1$, $2 < \alpha \leq 3$. The boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.2) has a unique positive and strictly increasing solution $u(t)$ (this means that $u(t) > 0$) if the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (i) $f : (0, 1] \times [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is continuous and $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} f(t, \cdot) = \infty$, $t^\sigma f(t, y)$ is a continuous on $[0, 1] \times [0, +\infty)$;
- (ii) There exists $0 < \lambda < L^{-1}$ such that for $u, v \in [0, +\infty)$ with $u \geq v$ and $t \in [0, 1]$,

$$0 \leq t^\sigma (f(t, u) - f(t, v)) \leq \lambda \cdot \varphi(u - v),$$

where $\varphi \in \mathcal{T}$ and

$$L = \frac{\rho^{\alpha-1} B_q(1 - \sigma, \alpha - 1) - \rho^{\alpha-\sigma} B_q(1 - \sigma, \alpha)}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i (\xi_i^{\alpha-2} - \xi_i^{\alpha-\sigma-1})}{\Gamma_q(\alpha) (1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i \xi_i^{\alpha-2})} B_q(1 - \sigma, \alpha - 1),$$

ρ is the constant appearing in Lemma 3.3.

Proof. Consider the cone

$$K = \{u \in C[0, 1] : u(t) \geq 0\}.$$

As K is a closed set of $C[0, 1]$, K is a complete metric space with the distance given by $d(u, v) = \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} |u(t) - v(t)|$. It is easily checked that K satisfies condition (2.1) and (2.2) of

Theorem 2.1.

Now, we consider the operator T defined by

$$(Tu)(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, qs) f(s, u(s)) d_qs + \frac{t^{\alpha-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i}{[\alpha-1]_q (1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i \xi_i^{\alpha-2})} \int_0^1 H(\xi_i, qs) f(s, u(s)) d_qs,$$

By Lemma 3.3, we have that $Tu \in C[0, 1]$. Moreover, in view of Lemma 3.2 and $t^\sigma f(t, y)$, for $u \in K$, we have $Tu \in K$. Hence, $T(K) \subset K$.

We now show that all the conditions of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied.

Firstly, by condition (ii), for $u, v \in K$ and $u \geq v$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (Tu)(t) &= \int_0^1 G(t, qs) s^{-\sigma} s^\sigma f(s, u(s)) d_qs \\ &\quad + \frac{t^{\alpha-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i}{[\alpha-1]_q (1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i \xi_i^{\alpha-2})} \int_0^1 H(\xi_i, qs) s^{-\sigma} s^\sigma f(s, u(s)) d_qs \\ &\geq \int_0^1 G(t, qs) s^{-\sigma} s^\sigma f(s, v(s)) d_qs \\ &\quad + \frac{t^{\alpha-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i}{[\alpha-1]_q (1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i \xi_i^{\alpha-2})} \int_0^1 H(\xi_i, qs) s^{-\sigma} s^\sigma f(s, v(s)) d_qs \\ &= Tv(t). \end{aligned}$$

This proves that T is a nondecreasing operator.

On the other hand, for $u \geq v$ and by condition (ii) we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(Tu, Tv) &= \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |(Tu)(t) - (Tv)(t)| = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} ((Tu)(t) - (Tv)(t)) \\ &\leq \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \int_0^1 G(t, qs) s^{-\sigma} s^\sigma (f(s, u(s)) - f(s, v(s))) d_qs \\ &\quad + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i}{[\alpha-1]_q (1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i \xi_i^{\alpha-2})} \int_0^1 H(\xi_i, qs) s^{-\sigma} s^\sigma (f(s, u(s)) - f(s, v(s))) d_qs \\ &\leq \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \int_0^1 G(t, qs) s^{-\sigma} \lambda \cdot \varphi(u(s) - v(s)) d_qs \\ &\quad + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i}{[\alpha-1]_q (1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i \xi_i^{\alpha-2})} \int_0^1 H(\xi_i, qs) s^{-\sigma} \lambda \cdot \varphi(u(s) - v(s)) d_qs. \end{aligned}$$

Since the function $\varphi(x)$ is nondecreasing, by Lemma 3.4 and Remark 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(Tu, Tv) &\leq \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \int_0^1 G(t, s) s^{-\sigma} \lambda \cdot \varphi(d(u-v)) ds \\ &\quad + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i}{(\alpha-1)(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i \xi_i^{\alpha-2})} \int_0^1 H(\xi_i, s) s^{-\sigma} \lambda \cdot \varphi(d(u-v)) ds \\ &= \lambda \cdot \varphi(d(u-v)) L. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the fact that $0 < \lambda < L^{-1}$ give us

$$d(Tu, Tv) \leq \varphi(d(u-v)) = \frac{\varphi(d(u-v))}{d(u-v)} \cdot d(u-v) = \chi(d(u-v)) \cdot d(u-v).$$

Obviously, the last inequality is satisfied for $u = v$.

Now, taking into account that the zero function satisfies $0 \leq T0$, Theorem 2.1 says us that the operator T has a unique fixed point in K , or, equivalently, problem (1.1)-(1.2) has a unique nonnegative solution $u(t) \in C[0, 1]$.

In the sequel, we will prove that $u(t)$ is a positive solution.

In contrary case, there exists $0 < t_* < 1$ such that $u(t_*) = 0$. As the nonnegative solution $u(t)$ of problem (1.1)-(1.2) is fixed point of the operator T , this says us that

$$u(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, qs) f(s, u(s)) d_qs + \frac{t^{\alpha-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i}{[\alpha-1]_q (1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i \xi_i^{\alpha-2})} \int_0^1 H(\xi_i, qs) f(s, u(s)) d_qs,$$

for $t \in (0, 1)$, and particularly,

$$u(t_*) = \int_0^1 G(t_*, qs) f(s, u(s)) d_qs + \frac{t_*^{\alpha-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i}{[\alpha-1]_q (1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i \xi_i^{\alpha-2})} \int_0^1 H(\xi_i, qs) f(s, u(s)) d_qs = 0.$$

The nonnegative character of $G(t, qs)$ and $f(s, u)$ and the last relation give

$$G(t_*, qs) f(s, u(s)) = 0, \quad a.e.(s). \quad (4.1)$$

Taking into account that $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} f(t, 0) = \infty$, this means that for $M > 0$ we can find δ such that for $s \in [0, 1] \cap (0, \delta)$ we have $f(s, 0) > M$. Observe that $[0, 1] \cap (0, \delta) \subset \{s \in [0, 1] : f(s, u(s)) > M\}$ and $\mu([0, 1] \cap (0, \delta)) > 0$, where μ is the Lebesgue measure on $[0, 1]$. This and (4.1) give us that

$$G(t_*, qs) = 0, \quad a.e.(s)$$

and this is a contradiction because $G(t_*, qs)$ is a rational function in the variable s . Therefore $u(t) > 0$ for $t \in (0, 1)$.

Finally, we will prove that this solution $u(t)$ is strictly increasing function.

As $u(0) = \int_0^1 G(0, qs) f(s, u(s)) ds$ and $G(0, qs) = 0$ we have $u(0) = 0$. Moreover, if we take $t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$ with $t_1 < t_2$, we can consider the following cases.

Case 1: $t_1 = 0$, in this case, $u(t_1) = 0$. On the other hand, by using the same reasoning as

above, we have $u(t_2) > 0 = u(t_1)$, for $0 = t_1 < t_2$.

Case 2: $0 < t_1$. In this case, let us take $t_2 \in [0, 1]$ with $t_1 < t_2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} u(t_2) - u(t_1) &= (Tu)(t_2) - (Tu)(t_1) \\ &= \int_0^1 (G(t_2, qs) - G(t_1, qs))f(s, u(s))d_qs \\ &\quad + \frac{(t_2^{\alpha-1} - t_1^{\alpha-1}) \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i}{[\alpha - 1]_q (1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i \xi_i^{\alpha-2})} \int_0^1 H(\xi_i, qs) f(s, u(s)) d_qs. \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account Lemma 3.2 and the fact that $f \geq 0$, we get $u(t_2) - u(t_1) \geq 0$.

Suppose that $u(t_2) = u(t_1)$ then

$$\int_0^1 (G(t_2, qs) - G(t_1, qs))f(s, u(s))d_qs = 0$$

and this implies

$$(G(t_2, qs) - G(t_1, qs))f(s, u(s)) = 0 \quad a.e.(s).$$

Again, the same reasoning as above gives us

$$f(s, u(s)) = 0 \quad a.e.(s)$$

this contradicts condition Lemma 3.2. Thus $u(t_1) < u(t_2)$. The proof is complete. \square

5. Example

Example 5.1. *The fractional boundary value problem*

$$\begin{cases} D_q^{\frac{3}{2}} u(t) + \frac{(\frac{1}{10}t^2+1) \ln(2+u(t))}{\sqrt{t}} = 0, & 0 < t < 1, \\ u(0) = (D_q u)(0) = 0, & (D_q u)(1) = \frac{1}{4}(D_q u)(\frac{1}{4}) \end{cases} \quad (5.1)$$

has a unique and strictly increasing solution.

Proof. In this case, $\alpha = \frac{3}{2}$, $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$. $f(t, u) = \frac{(\frac{1}{10}t^2+1) \ln(2+u(t))}{\sqrt{t}}$ for $(t, u) \in (0, 1] \times [0, \infty)$. Now, we prove that $f(t, u)$ satisfies assumptions of Theorem 4.1. Note that $f : (0, 1] \times [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is continuous and $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} f(t, \cdot) = \infty$, $t^{\frac{1}{2}} f(t, u) = (\frac{1}{10}t^2 + 1) \ln(2 + u(t))$ is a continuous on $[0, 1] \times [0, +\infty)$.

On the other hand, for $u \geq v$ and $t \in [0, 1]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} t^{\frac{1}{2}}(f(t, u) - f(t, v)) &= \left(\frac{1}{10}t^2 + 1\right) \ln(2 + u) - (t^2 + 1) \ln(2 + v) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{10}t^2 + 1\right) \ln\left(\frac{2 + u}{2 + v}\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{10}t^2 + 1\right) \ln\left(\frac{2 + v + u - v}{2 + v}\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{10}t^2 + 1\right) \ln\left(1 + \frac{u - v}{2 + v}\right) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{10}t^2 + 1\right) \ln(1 + (u - v)) \leq \frac{11}{10} \ln(1 + u - v). \end{aligned}$$

In this case, $\lambda = \frac{11}{10}$, $\xi_1 = \frac{1}{4}$, $\beta_1 = \frac{1}{4}$, $\alpha = \frac{3}{2}$. Then by direct calculation we can obtain that

$$\rho = \left(\frac{[\alpha - 1]_q B_q(1 - \sigma, \alpha - 1)}{[\alpha - \sigma]_q B_q(1 - \sigma, \alpha)} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}} = \left(\frac{[\frac{1}{2}]_q B_q(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})}{[1]_q B_q(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2})} \right)^2 = \frac{9(11 - 6\sqrt{2})}{49}$$

and

$$L = \frac{9\sqrt{2} - 12}{9 - 4\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\Gamma_q(\frac{1}{2})}{4 - \sqrt{2}} < \frac{10}{11} = \frac{1}{\lambda}.$$

Here we use the relations

$$B_q(s, t) = \frac{\Gamma_q(s)\Gamma_q(t)}{\Gamma_q(s+t)}, \quad [1]_q = 2 - \sqrt{2}, \quad [\frac{3}{2}]_q = 2 - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}, \quad [2]_q = \frac{3}{2}.$$

Thus Theorem 4.1 implies that boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.2) has a unique and strictly increasing solution. \square

6. Acknowledgment

The author is supported by Research Foundation for the 12st Five-Year Plan Period of Department of Education of Jilin Province, China (Ji Jiao Ke He Zi, Grant [2013] No.252), Foundation for China Postdoctoral Science Foundation, (Grant no. 2012M520665), Youth Foundation for Science and Technology Department of Jilin Province, and Natural Science Foundation of Changchun Normal University.

References

- [1] A. Amini-Harandi, H. Emani, A fixed point theorem for contraction type maps in partially ordered metric spaces and application to ordinary differential equations, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 72(2010) 2238-2242.
- [2] M. Benchohra, J. Henderson, S. K. Ntouyas, and A. Ouahab, Existence results for fractional order functional differential equations with infinite delay, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 338 (2008) 1340-1350.
- [3] A. M. A. El-Sayed, A. E. M. El-Mesiry and H. A. A. El-Saka, On the fractional-order logistic equation, *Appl. Math. Letters*, 20 (2007) 817-823.
- [4] M. El-Shahed, Positive solutions for boundary value problem of nonlinear fractional differential equation, *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, Vol. 2007, Article ID 10368, 8 pages, 2007, doi: 10.1155/2007/10368.
- [5] Feng Jiao and Yong Zhou, Existence results for fractional boundary value problem via critical point theory, *International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos*, 22(4) (2012), 1250086 (17 pages).
- [6] Feng Jiao and Yong Zhou, Existence of solutions for a class of fractional boundary value problem via critical point theory, *Computers Math. Appl.*, 62(2011), 1181-1199.

- [7] M. El-Shahed, H.A. Hassan, Positive solutions of q -difference equation, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 138 (2010) 1733-1738.
- [8] M. El-Shahed, F.M. Al-Askar, Positive solutions for boundary value problem of nonlinear fractional q -difference equation, ISRN Mathematical Analysis Volume 2011 (2011), Article ID 385459, 12 pages doi:10.5402/2011/385459
- [9] V. Lakshmikantham, A. S. Vatsala, Basic theory of fractional differential equations, Nonlinear Anal., 69 (2008) 2677-2682.
- [10] V. Lakshmikantham, A. S. Vatsala, General uniqueness and monotone iterative technique for fractional differential equations, Appl. Math. Letters, 21 (2008) 828-834.
- [11] V. Lakshmikantham, Theory of fractional functional differential equations, Nonlinear Anal., 69 (2008) 3337-3343.
- [12] S. Liang, J.H. Zhang, Positive solutions for boundary value problems of nonlinear fractional differential equation, Nonlinear Anal., 71 (2009) 5545-5550.
- [13] S. Liang, J.H. Zhang, Existence and uniqueness of positive solutions for three-point boundary value problem with fractional q -differences, Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computing, Online First.
- [14] S. Liang, J.H. Zhang, Existence and uniqueness of positive solutions to m -point boundary value problem for nonlinear fractional differential equation, J Appl Math Comput 38 (2012) 225-241.
- [15] C.F. Li, X.N. Luo, Y. Zhou, Existence of positive solutions of the boundary value problem for nonlinear fractional differential equations, Comput. Math. Appl., 59 (2010) 1363-1375.
- [16] S. Zhang, Existence of solution for a boundary value problem of fractional order, Acta Mathematica Scientia, 26 (2006) 220-228.
- [17] Y. Zhou, Existence and uniqueness of fractional functional differential equations with unbounded delay, Int. J. Dyn. Syst. Differ. Equ., 1 (2008) 239-244.
- [18] S. G. Samko, A. A. Kilbas and O. I. Marichev, Fractional Integrals and Derivatives. Theory and Applications, Gordon and Breach, Yverdon, 1993.
- [19] I. Podlubny, Fractional Differential Equations, Mathematics in Sciences and Engineering, 198, Academic Press, San Diego, 1999.
- [20] A. A. Kilbas, H. M. Srivastava and J. J. Trujillo, Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations. North-Holland Mathematics Studies, 204. Elsevier Science B. V., Amsterdam, 2006.
- [21] F.H. Jackson, On q -functions and a certain difference operator. Trans. Roy Soc. Edin., 46 (1908) 253-281.

- [22] F.H. Jackson, On q -definite integrals, *Quart. J. Pure and Appl. Math.*, 41 (1910) 193-203.
- [23] T. Ernst, The History of q -Calculus and a New Method, U. U. D. M. Report 2000:16, ISSN 1101-3591, Department of Mathematics, Uppsala University, 2000.
- [24] W. A. Al-Salam, Some fractional q -integrals and q -derivatives, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.*, (2) 15 (1966/1967) 135-140.
- [25] R.P. Agarwal, Certain fractional q -integrals and q -derivatives, *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 66 (1969) 365-370.
- [26] P.M. Rajković, S.D. Marinković, M.S. Stanković, Fractional integrals and derivatives in q -calculus, *Appl. Anal. Discrete Math.*, 1 (1) (2007) 311-323.
- [27] F.M. Atici, P.W. Eloe, Fractional q -calculus on a time scale, *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.*, 14 (3) (2007) 333-344.
- [28] R.A.C. Ferreira, Nontrivial solutions for fractional q -difference boundary value problems, *Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ.*, (70) (2010) 10 pp.
- [29] R.A.C. Ferreira, Positive solutions for a class of boundary value problems with fractional q -differences, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*. (In press)
- [30] R.P. Agarwal, D. O'Regan, P.J.Y. Wong, *Positive Solutions of Differential, Difference and Integral Equations*, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1999.
- [31] J. Caballero Mena, J. Harjani, K. Sadarangani, Existence and uniqueness of positive and nondecreasing solutions for a class of singular fractional boundary value problems, *Boundary Value Problems*, Vol. 2009 (2009), Article ID 421310, 10 pages, doi:10.1155/2009/421310.
- [32] J. Caballero Mena, J. Harjani, K. Sadarangani, Positive solutions for a class of singular fractional boundary value problems, *Computers Mathematics with Applications*, 62 (2011) 1325-1332.
- [33] J. Harjani, K. Sadarangani, Fixed point theorems for weakly contractive mappings in partially ordered sets, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 71 (2009) 3403-3410.
- [34] J.J. Nieto, R. Rodríguez-López, Contractive mapping theorems in partially ordered sets and applications to ordinary differential equations, *Order*, 22 (2005) 223-239.
- [35] J.J. Nieto, R. Rodríguez-López, Fixed point theorems in ordered abstract spaces, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 135, no. 8 (2007) 2505-2517.
- [36] D. O'Regan, A. Petrusel, Fixed point theorems for generalized contractions in ordered metric spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 341 (2008) 1241-1252.
- [37] V. Kac, P. Cheung, *Quantum Calculus*, Springer, New York, 2002.

- [38] T. Qiu, Z. Bai, Existence of positive solutions for singular fractional differential equations, *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, 149 (2008) 1-9.