Total coloring of planar graphs without some chordal 6-cycles *

Renyu Xu, Jianliang Wu[†], Huijuan Wang[‡] School of Mathematics, Shandong University, Jinan, 250100, China

Abstract

A *k*-total-coloring of a graph G is a coloring of vertex set and edge set using k colors such that no two adjacent or incident elements receive the same color. In this paper, we prove that if G is a planar graph with maximum $\Delta \geq 8$ and every 6-cycle of G contains at most one chord or any chordal 6-cycles are not adjacent, then G has a $(\Delta + 1)$ -total-coloring.

Key words: planar graph, total coloring, cycle2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C15

1 Introduction

All graphs considered in this paper are simple, finite and undirected, and we follow [2] for terminologies and notations not defined here. Let G be a graph. We use V(G), E(G), $\Delta(G)$ and $\delta(G)$ (or simply V, E, Δ and δ) to denote the vertex set, the edge set, the maximum degree and the minimum degree of G, respectively. For a vertex $v \in V$, let N(v) denote the set of vertices adjacent to v and let d(v) = |N(v)| denote the degree of v. A k-vertex, a k^- -vertex or a k^+ -vertex is a vertex of degree k, at most k or at least k, respectively. A k-cycle is a cycle of length k. We use (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_d) to denote a cycle (or a face) whose boundary vertices are v_1, v_2, \dots, v_d in the clockwise order. Note that all the subscripts in the paper are taken modulo d. We say that two cycles are adjacent (or intersecting) if they share at least one edge (or one vertex, respectively). Let $C = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k)(k \ge 4)$ be a cycle. If there is an edge $v_i v_j$ with $j \not\equiv i \pm 1 \pmod{k}$, then the edge $v_i v_j$ is called a chord of C.

A k-total-coloring of a graph G = (V, E) is a coloring of $V \cup E$ using k colors such that no two adjacent or incident elements receive the same color. A graph G is total-kcolorable if it admits a k-total-coloring. The total chromatic number $\chi''(G)$ of G is the

^{*}This work is supported by a research grant NSFC (11271006) of China.

[†]Corresponding author. *E-mail address:* jlwu@sdu.edu.cn.

[‡]This work is supported by a research grant NSFC (11201440) of China.

smallest integer k such that G has a k-total-coloring. Clearly, $\chi''(G) \geq \Delta + 1$. Behzad [1] and Vizing [12] conjectured independently that $\chi''(G) \leq \Delta + 2$ for each graph G. This conjecture was confirmed for graphs with $\Delta \leq 5$. For planar graphs the only open case is that of $\Delta = 6$ (see [7, 10]). In recent years, the study of total colorings planar graphs has attracted considerable attention. For planar graphs with large maximum degree, it is possible to determine $\chi''(G) = \Delta + 1$. This first result was given in [3] for $\Delta \geq 14$, which was finally extended to $\Delta \geq 9$ in [8]. Zhu [9] proved that if G is a planar graph with maximum degree 8, and for each vertex x, there is an integer $k_x \in \{3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8\}$ such that there is no k_x -cycle which contains x, then $\chi''(G) = 9$. Wang et al. [14] extended this result to that there is at most one k_x -cycle which contains x. Chang [4] proved that for planar graph G with $\Delta \geq 7$, if there is an integer $k_x \in \{3, 4, 5, 6\}$ such that there is no k_x -cycle which contains x for each $x \in V(G)$, then $\chi''(G) = \Delta + 1$. Wang et al. [13] proved $\chi''(G) = \Delta + 1$ for some planar graphs with small maximum degree. Hou et al. [6] proved that every planar graphs with $\Delta \geq 8$ and without 6-cycles are total-9-colorable. Shen and Wang [11] extended this result to planar graphs without chordal 6-cycles. In this paper, we extend this result and get the following theorem.

Theorem 1. Let G be a planar graph with maximum degree $\Delta \ge 8$. If every 6-cycle of G contains at most one chord or chordal 6-cycles are not adjacent in G, then $\chi''(G) = \Delta + 1$.

2 Proof of Theorem 1

First, we introduce additional notations and definitions here for convenience. Let G be a planar graph having a plane drawing and let F be the face set of G. For a face f of G, the *degree* d(f) is the number of edges incident with it, where each cut-edge is counted twice. A *k*-face, a k^- -face or a k^+ -face is a face of degree k, at most k or at least k, respectively. Denote by $n_d(v)$ the number of d-vertices adjacent to the vertex v, $f_d(v)$ the number of d-faces incident with v.

Now, we begin to prove Theorem 1. According to [8], the theorem is true for $\Delta \geq 9$. So we assume in the following that $\Delta = 8$. Let G = (V, E) be a minimal counterexample to the planar graph G with maximum degree $\Delta = 8$, such that |V| + |E| is minimal and G has been embedded in the plane. Then every proper subgraph of G is total-9-colorable. First we give some lemmas for G.

Lemma 1. [3] (a) G is 2-connected. (b) If uv is an edge of G with $d(u) \le 4$, then $d(u) + d(v) \ge \Delta + 2 = 10$.

By Lemma 1(b), any two neighbors of a 2-vertex are 8-vertices.

Note that in all figures of the paper, vertices marked \bullet have no edges of G incident with them other than those shown and vertices marked \circ are 3⁺-vertices.

Lemma 2. *G* has no configurations depicted in Fig.1, where v denotes the vertex of degree of 7.



Proof. The proof of (1), (2), (4) and (6) can be found in [15], (3) can be found in [11], (5) can be found in [8]. \Box

Lemma 3. Suppose v is a d-vertex of G with $d \ge 5$. Let v_1, \dots, v_d be the neighbor of v and f_1, f_2, \dots, f_d be faces incident with v, such that f_i is incident with v_i and v_{i+1} , for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, d\}$. Let $d(v_1) = 2$ and $\{v, u_1\} = N(v_1)$. Then G does not satisfy one of the following conditions (see Fig.2).

(1) there exists an integer k $(2 \le k \le d - 1)$ such that $d(v_{k+1}) = 2$, $d(v_i) = 3$ $(2 \le i \le k)$ and $d(f_j) = 4$ $(1 \le j \le k)$.

(2) there exist two integers k and t $(2 \le k < t \le d - 1)$ such that $d(v_k) = 2$, $d(v_i) = 3$ $(k+1 \le i \le t)$, $d(f_t) = 3$ and $d(f_j) = 4$ $(k \le j \le t - 1)$.

(3) there exist two integers k and t $(3 \le k \le t \le d - 1)$ such that $d(v_i) = 3$ $(k \le i \le t)$, $d(f_{k-1}) = d(f_t) = 3$ and $d(f_j) = 4$ $(k \le j \le t - 1)$.

Proof. Suppose G satisfies all of the conditions (1)-(3). If $d(f_i) = 4$, then let u_i be adjacent to v_i and v_{i+1} . By the minimality of G, $G' = G - vv_1$ has a $(\Delta + 1)$ -total-coloring ϕ . Let $C(x) = \{\phi(xy) : y \in N(x)\} \cup \{\phi(x)\}$. First we erase the colors on all 3⁻-vertices adjacent to



v. We have $\phi(v_1u_1) \notin C(v)$, for otherwise, the number of the forbidden colors for vv_1 is at most Δ , so vv_1 can be properly colored and by properly recoloring the erased vertices, we get a $(\Delta + 1)$ -total-coloring of G, a contradiction. Without loss of generality, assume that $C(v) = \{1, 2, \dots, d\}$ with $\phi(vv_i) = i$ $(i \in \{2, 3, \dots, d\})$, $\phi(v_1u_1) = d + 1$ and $\phi(v) = 1$. Thus we have $d+1 \in C(v_i)$ for all $i \in \{2, 3, \dots, d\}$, for otherwise, we can recolor vv_i with d+1 and color vv_1 with i, and by properly recoloring the erased vertices, we get a $(\Delta+1)$ -total-coloring of G, a contradiction, too. In the following we consider (1)-(3) one by one.

(1) Since $d+1 \in C(v_i)$ for all $i \in \{2, 3, \dots, d\}$, there is a vertex u_s $(1 \le s \le k)$ such that d+1 appears at least twice on u_s , a contradiction to ϕ .

(2) Since $d + 1 \in C(v_i)$ for all $i \in \{2, 3, \dots, d\}$, $\phi(v_k u_k) = \phi(v_{k+1} u_{k+1}) = \dots = \phi(v_{t-1} u_{t-1}) = \phi(v_t v_{t+1}) = d + 1$. We also have $\phi(v_t u_{t-1}) = t + 1$. For otherwise, we can recolor $v_t v_{t+1}$ with t + 1, vv_{t+1} with d + 1 and color vv_1 with t + 1. By properly recoloring the erased vertices, we get a $(\Delta + 1)$ -total-coloring of G, a contradiction. Similarly, $\phi(v_{t-1} u_{t-2}) = \phi(v_{t-2} u_{t-3}) = \dots = \phi(v_{k+1} u_k) = t + 1$. So we can recolor vv_{t+1} with d + 1, $v_t v_{t+1}$ with t + 1, $v_t u_{t-1}$ with d + 1, $v_{t-1} u_{t-1}$ with t + 1, \cdots , $v_{k+1} u_{k+1}$ with t + 1, $v_{k+1} u_k$ with d + 1, $v_t u_k$ with t + 1 and color vv_1 with t + 1. By properly recoloring the erased vertices, we get a $(\Delta + 1)$ -total-coloring the erased vertices, we get a $(\Delta + 1)$ -total-coloring the erased vertices, we get a $(\Delta + 1)$ -total-coloring the erased vertices, we get a $(\Delta + 1)$ -total-coloring the erased vertices, we get a $(\Delta + 1)$ -total-coloring the erased vertices, we get a $(\Delta + 1)$ -total-coloring the erased vertices, we get a $(\Delta + 1)$ -total-coloring of G, also a contradiction.

(3) If $d + 1 \notin \{\phi(v_{k-1}v_k) \cup \phi(v_tv_{t+1})\}$, then there is a vertex u_s $(k \leq s \leq t-1)$ such that d + 1 appears at least twice on u_s , a contradiction to ϕ . So without loss of generality, assume $\phi(v_{k-1}v_k) = d + 1$. If $\phi(v_{k+1}u_k) = d + 1$, then $\phi(v_{k+2}u_{k+1}) = \phi(v_{k+3}u_{k+2}) = \cdots = \phi(v_tu_{t-1}) = d + 1$. By the discussion of (2), we also have $\phi(v_ku_k) = \phi(v_{k+1}u_{k+1}) = \cdots = \phi(v_{t-1}u_{t-1}) = \phi(v_tv_{t+1}) = k - 1$. Then we can recolor vv_{k-1} with d + 1, $v_{k-1}v_k$ with k - 1, v_tv_{t+1} with d + 1, $v_{k+1}u_k$ with k - 1, \cdots , $v_{t-1}u_{t-1}$ with d + 1, $v_{k-1}v_k$ with k - 1, v_tv_{t+1} with t + 1, vv_{t+1} with k - 1 and color vv_1 with t + 1. By properly recoloring the erased vertices, we get a $(\Delta + 1)$ -total-coloring of G, a contradiction. If $\phi(v_{k+1}u_{k+1}) = d + 1$, then $\phi(v_{k+2}u_{k+2}) = \phi(v_{k+3}u_{k+3}) = \cdots = \phi(v_{t-1}u_{t-1}) = \phi(v_tv_{t+1}) = d + 1$. Similarly, we have $\phi(v_tu_{t-1}) = \phi(v_{t-1}u_{t-2}) = \cdots = \phi(v_{k+1}u_k) = t + 1$. Let $\phi(v_ku_k) = s$. Then we can recolor vv_{t+1} with d + 1, v_tv_{t+1} with t + 1, v_tu_{t-1} with d + 1, $v_{t-1}u_{t-1}$ with t + 1. By properly recoloring the erased vertices, we get a $(\Delta + 1)$ -total-coloring of G, a contradiction. If $\phi(v_ku_k) = s$. Then we can recolor vv_{t+1} with d + 1, v_tv_{t+1} with t + 1, v_tu_{t-1} with d + 1, $v_{t-1}u_{t-1}$ with t + 1. By properly recoloring the erased vertices, we get a $(\Delta + 1)$ -total-coloring of G, a contradiction, too.

By the Euler's formula |V| - |E| + |F| = 2, we have

$$\sum_{v \in V} (2d(v) - 6) + \sum_{f \in F} (d(f) - 6) = -6(|V| - |E| + |F|) = -12 < 0$$

We define ch the initial charge that ch(x) = 2d(x) - 6 for each $x \in V$ and ch(x) = d(x) - 6 for each $x \in F$. So $\sum_{x \in V \cup F} ch(x) = -12 < 0$. In the following, we will reassign a new charge denoted by ch'(x) to each $x \in V \cup F$ according to the discharging rules. If we

can show that $ch'(x) \ge 0$ for each $x \in V \cup F$, then we get an obvious contradiction to $0 \le \sum_{x \in V \cup F} ch'(x) = \sum_{x \in V \cup F} ch(x) = -12$, which completes our proof. Now we define the discharging rules as follows.

R1. Each 2-vertex receives 1 from each of its neighbors.

R2. Let f be a 3-face. If f is incident with a 3⁻-vertex, then it receives $\frac{3}{2}$ from each of its two incident 7⁺-vertices. If f is incident with a 4-vertex, then it receives $\frac{5}{4}$ from each of its two incident 6⁺-vertices. If f is not incident with any 4⁻-vertex, then it receives 1 from each of its two incident 5⁺-vertices.

R3. Let f be a 4-face. If f is incident with two 3⁻-vertices, then it receives 1 from each of its two incident 7⁺-vertices. If f is incident with only one 3⁻-vertex, then it receives $\frac{3}{4}$ from each of its two incident 7⁺-vertices; and $\frac{1}{2}$ from the left incident 4⁺-vertex. If f is not incident with any 3⁻-vertex, then it receives $\frac{1}{2}$ from each of its incident 4⁺-vertices.

R4. Each 5-face receives $\frac{1}{3}$ from each of its incident 4⁺-vertices.

Next, we show that $ch'(x) \ge 0$ for all $x \in V \cup F$. It is easy to check that $ch'(f) \ge 0$ for all $f \in F$ and $ch'(v) \ge 0$ for all 2-vertices $v \in V$ by the above discharging rules. If d(v) = 3, then ch'(v) = ch(v) = 0. If d(v) = 4, then $ch'(v) \ge ch(v) - \frac{1}{2} \times 4 = 0$ by R2 and R3. For $d(v) \ge 5$, we need the following structural lemma.

Lemma 4. (1) Suppose that every 6-cycle of G contains at most one chord. Then we have the following results.

- (a) G has no configurations depicted in Fig.3(1), Fig.3(2) and Fig.3(3);
- (b) Suppose G has a subgraph isomorphic to Fig.3(4). Then $d(f_1) \ge 4$ and $d(f_2) \ne 4$. More over if $d(f_1) = 4$, then $d(f_2) \ge 5$;
- (c) If G has a subgraph isomorphic to Fig.3(5), then $d(f_1) \ge 5$ and $d(f_2) \ge 5$.

(2) Suppose that all chordal 6-cycles are not adjacent. Then we have the following results.

- (d) If G has a subgraph isomorphic to Fig.3(5), then $\max\{d(f_1), d(f_2)\} \ge 4$;
- (e) G has no configurations depicted in Fig.3(6) and Fig.3(7).



Suppose d(v) = 5. Then $f_3(v) \le 4$ by Lemma 4. If $f_3(v) = 4$, then $f_{6^+}(v) \ge 1$, so $ch'(v) \ge ch(v) - 1 \times 4 = 0$. If $f_3(v) \le 3$, then $ch'(v) \ge ch(v) - 1 \times f_3(v) - \frac{1}{2} \times (5 - f_3(v)) = \frac{3-f_3(v)}{2} \ge 0$. Suppose d(v) = 6. Then $f_3(v) \le 4$ and $ch'(v) \ge ch(v) - \frac{5}{4} \times f_3(v) - \frac{1}{2} \times (6 - f_3(v)) = \frac{3(4-f_3(v))}{4} \ge 0$. Suppose d(v) = 7. Then $f_3(v) \le 5$. By Lemma 2(1), v

is incident with at most two 3-faces are incident with a 3⁻-vertex, that is, v sends $\frac{3}{2}$ to each of the two 3-faces and at most $\frac{5}{4}$ to each other 3-face. If $f_3(v) = 5$, then $f_{5^+}(v) \ge 1$, and $ch'(v) \ge ch(v) - \frac{3}{2} \times 2 - \frac{5}{4} \times 3 - \frac{3}{4} \times 1 - \frac{1}{3} \times 1 = \frac{1}{6} > 0$. If $2 \le f_3(v) \le 4$, then $ch'(v) \ge ch(v) - \frac{3}{2} \times 2 - \frac{5}{4} \times (f_3(v) - 2) - 1 \times (5 - f_3(v)) - \frac{3}{4} \times 2 = \frac{4 - f_3(v)}{4} \ge 0$. If $f_3(v) \le 2$, then $ch'(v) \ge ch(v) - \frac{3}{2} \times f_3(v) - 1 \times (7 - f_3(v)) = \frac{2 - f_3(v)}{2} > 0$.

Suppose d(v) = 8. Then ch(v) = 10. Let v_1, \dots, v_8 be neighbors of v in the clockwise order and f_1, f_2, \dots, f_8 be faces incident with v, such that f_i is incident with v_i and v_{i+1} , for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, 8\}$, and $f_9 = f_1$.

Suppose $n_2(v) = 0$. Then $f_3(v) \le 6$. If $f_3(v) = 6$, then $f_{5^+}(v) \ge 2$, so $ch'(v) \ge 10 - \frac{3}{2} \times 6 - \frac{1}{3} \times 2 = \frac{1}{3} > 0$. If $f_3(v) = 5$, then $f_{5^+}(v) \ge 1$, so $ch'(v) \ge 10 - \frac{3}{2} \times 5 - 1 \times 2 - \frac{1}{3} \times 1 = \frac{1}{6} > 0$. If $f_3(v) \le 4$, then $ch'(v) \ge 10 - \frac{3}{2} \times f_3(v) - 1 \times (8 - f_3(v)) \ge 0$.

Suppose $n_2(v) = 1$. Without loss of generality, assume $d(v_1) = 2$.

Suppose v_1 is incident with a 3-cycle f_1 .

By Lemma 4, $f_3(v) \le 6$ and all 3-faces incident with no 3⁻-vertex except f_1 by Lemma 2(6). If $f_3(v) = 6$, then $f_{5^+}(v) \ge 2$, so $ch'(v) \ge 10 - 1 - \frac{3}{2} \times 1 - \frac{5}{4} \times 5 - \frac{1}{3} \times 2 = \frac{7}{12} > 0$. If $4 \le f_3(v) \le 5$, then $ch'(v) \ge 10 - 1 - \frac{3}{2} \times 1 - \frac{5}{4} \times (f_3(v) - 1) - 1 \times (6 - f_3(v)) - \frac{3}{4} \times 2 = \frac{5 - f_3(v)}{4} \ge 0$. If $1 \le f_3(v) \le 3$, then $ch'(v) \ge 10 - 1 - \frac{3}{2} \times 1 - \frac{5}{4} \times (f_3(v) - 1) - 1 \times (8 - f_3(v)) = \frac{3 - f_3(v)}{4} \ge 0$. Suppose v_1 is not incident with a 3-cycle.

Suppose every 6-cycle of G contains at most one chord. Then $f_3(v) \leq 5$ by Lemma 2(2)-(4). If $4 \leq f_3(v) \leq 5$, then $f_{5^+}(v) \geq 2$, so $ch'(v) \geq 10 - 1 - \frac{3}{2} \times (f_3(v) - 1) - 1 \times 1 - 1 \times (6 - f_3(v)) - \frac{1}{3} \times 2 = \frac{17 - 3f_3(v)}{6} > 0$. If $f_3(v) = 3$, then $f_{5^+}(v) \geq 1$, so $ch'(v) \geq 10 - 1 - \frac{3}{2} \times 3 - 1 \times 4 - \frac{1}{3} \times 1 = \frac{1}{6} > 0$. If $f_3(v) = 2$, then $ch'(v) \geq 10 - 1 - \frac{3}{2} \times 2 - 1 \times 6 = 0$. If $f_3(v) = 1$, then without loss of generality, $d(f_2) = 3$, i.e. $d(v_3) = 3$ and $d(v_2) \geq 7$, so $ch'(v) \geq 10 - 1 - \frac{3}{2} \times 1 - 1 \times 6 - \frac{3}{4} \times 1 = \frac{3}{4} > 0$. If $f_3(v) = 0$, then $ch'(v) \geq 10 - 1 - 1 \times 8 = 1 > 0$.

Suppose any two chordal 6-cycles are not adjacent. Then $f_3(v) \leq 5$ by Lemma 2(2)-(4). If $f_3(v) \geq 4$, then $ch'(v) \geq 10 - 1 - \frac{3}{2} \times 2 - \frac{5}{4} \times (f_3(v)) - \frac{3}{4} \times (8 - f_3(v)) = \frac{5 - f_3(v)}{2} \geq 0$. If $f_3(v) = 3$, then $ch'(v) \geq 10 - 1 - \frac{3}{2} \times 3 - \frac{3}{4} \times 5 = \frac{3}{4} > 0$. If $1 \leq f_3(v) \leq 2$, then $ch'(v) \geq 10 - 1 - \frac{3}{2} \times f_3(v) - 1 \times (6 - 2f_3(v)) - \frac{3}{4} \times (2 + f_3(v)) = \frac{6 - f_3(v)}{4} > 0$. If $f_3(v) = 0$, then $ch'(v) \geq 10 - 1 - 1 \times 8 = 1 > 0$.

Note that next Lemma 5 is also true for general planar graphs if we just use the above discharging rules.

Lemma 5. Suppose d(v) = 8 and $2 \le n_2(v) \le 8$. Then $ch'(v) \ge 0$.

Proof. Since d(v) = 8, then ch(v) = 10. First we give a Claim for convenience.

Claim Suppose that $d(v_i) = d(v_{i+k+1}) = 2$ and $d(v_j) \ge 3$ for $i+1 \le j \le i+k$. Then v sends at most ϕ (in total) to f_i and f_{i+1} , f_{i+2} , \cdots , f_{i+k} , where $\phi = \frac{5k+1}{4}$ (k = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5), see Fig.4.



By Lemma 2, $d(f_i) \ge 4$ and $d(f_{i+k}) \ge 4$.

Case 1. k = 1 By Lemma 3(1), we have $d(v_{i+1}) \ge 4$ or $\max\{d(f_i), d(f_{i+1})\} \ge 5$, so $\phi \le \max\{\frac{3}{4} \times 2, 1 + \frac{1}{3}\} = \frac{3}{2}$ by R3.

Case 2. k = 2 If $d(f_{i+1}) = 3$, then $\min\{d(v_{i+1}), d(v_{i+2})\} \ge 4$ or $\max\{d(f_i), d(f_{i+2})\} \ge 5$ by Lemma 3(2), and it follows that $\phi \le \max\{\frac{3}{4} + \frac{5}{4} + \frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{3} + \frac{3}{2} + \frac{3}{4}\} = \frac{11}{4}$. Otherwise, $d(f_{i+1}) \ge 4$, then $\min\{d(v_{i+1}), d(v_{i+2})\} \ge 4$ or $\max\{d(f_i), d(f_{i+1}), d(f_{i+2})\} \ge 5$ by Lemma 3(1), and it follows that $\phi \le \max\{1 + \frac{3}{4} \times 2, 1 \times 2 + \frac{1}{3}\} = \frac{5}{2} < \frac{11}{4}$.

Case 3. k = 3 Suppose $d(f_{i+1}) = d(f_{i+2}) = 3$. Then $d(v_{i+2}) \ge 4$. If $d(v_{i+1}) = d(v_{i+3}) = 3$, then $d(f_i) \ge 5$ and $d(f_{i+3}) \ge 5$, so $\phi \le \frac{3}{2} \times 2 + \frac{1}{3} \times 2 = \frac{11}{3}$. If $\min\{d(v_{i+1}), d(v_{i+3})\} \ge 4$, then $\phi \le \frac{5}{4} \times 2 + \frac{3}{4} \times 2 = 4$. Suppose $d(f_{i+1}) = 3$ and $d(f_{i+2}) \ge 4$. If $d(v_{i+1}) = 3$, then $d(v_{i+2}) \ge 7$ and $d(f_i) \ge 5$, so $\phi \le \frac{1}{3} + \frac{3}{2} + \frac{3}{4} + 1 = \frac{43}{12}$. If $d(v_{i+2}) = 3$, then $d(v_{i+1}) \ge 7$ and $d(v_{i+3}) \ge 4$, so $\phi \le \frac{3}{4} + \frac{3}{2} + \frac{3}{4} + \frac{3}{4} = \frac{15}{4}$. If $\min\{d(v_{i+1}), d(v_{i+2})\} \ge 4$, $\phi \le \frac{3}{4} + \frac{5}{4} + \frac{3}{4} + 1 = \frac{15}{4}$. It is similar with $d(f_{i+2}) = 3$ and $d(f_{i+1}) \ge 4$. Suppose $\min\{d(f_{i+1}), d(f_{i+2})\} \ge 4$. Then $\max\{d(v_{i+1}), d(v_{i+2}), d(v_{i+3})\} \ge 4$ or $\max\{d(f_i), d(f_{i+1}), d(f_{i+2}), d(f_{i+3})\} \ge 5$, so $\phi \le \max\{1 \times 2 + \frac{3}{4} \times 2, 1 \times 3 + \frac{1}{3}\} = \frac{7}{2}$. So $\phi \le \max\{\frac{11}{3}, 4, \frac{43}{12}, \frac{15}{4}, \frac{7}{2}\} = 4$.

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{Case 4. } k=4 \text{ Suppose } d(f_{i+1})=d(f_{i+2})=d(f_{i+3})=3. \text{ Then } \min\{d(v_{i+2}), \ d(v_{i+3})\} \geq 4. \text{ If } d(v_{i+1})=d(v_{i+4})=3, \text{ then } d(f_i)\geq 5 \text{ and } d(f_{i+4})\geq 5, \text{ so } \phi\leq \frac{3}{2}\times 2+1\times 1+\frac{1}{3}\times 2=\frac{14}{3}. \text{ If } \min\{d(v_{i+1}), \ d(v_{i+4})\}\geq 4, \text{ then } \phi\leq \frac{5}{4}\times 3+\frac{3}{4}\times 2=\frac{21}{4}. \text{ Suppose } d(f_{i+1})=d(f_{i+2})=3, \\ d(f_{i+3})\geq 4. \text{ Then } d(v_{i+2})\geq 4. \text{ If } d(v_{i+1})=d(v_{i+3})=3, \text{ then } d(v_{i+4})\geq 4 \text{ and } d(f_i)\geq 5, \text{ so } \phi\leq \frac{3}{2}\times 2+\frac{3}{4}\times 2+\frac{1}{3}\times 1=\frac{29}{6}. \text{ If } \min\{d(v_{i+1}), \ d(v_{i+3})\}\geq 4, \text{ then } \phi\leq \frac{5}{4}\times 2+1\times 1+\frac{3}{4}\times 2=5. \\ \text{Similar with } d(f_{i+2})=d(f_{i+3})=3, \ d(f_{i+1})\geq 4. \text{ Suppose } d(f_{i+1})=d(f_{i+3})=3, \ d(f_{i+2})\geq 4. \\ \text{Then } \max\{d(v_{i+2}), \ d(v_{i+3})\}\geq 4 \text{ by Lemma } 3(3), \text{ so } \phi\leq \frac{3}{2}\times 1+\frac{5}{4}\times 1+\frac{3}{4}\times 3=5. \\ \text{Suppose } d(f_{i+1})=3, \ d(f_{i+2})\geq 4 \text{ and } d(f_{i+3})\geq 4. \text{ If } d(v_{i+1})=3, \text{ then } d(v_{i+2})\geq 7 \text{ and } d(f_i)\geq 5, \text{ so } \phi\leq \frac{3}{2}+1\times 2+\frac{3}{4}\times 1+\frac{1}{3}\times 1=\frac{55}{12}. \\ \text{If } d(v_{i+2})=3, \ d(v_{i+4})\}\geq 4, \text{ so } \phi\leq \frac{3}{2}\times 1+1\times 1+\frac{3}{4}\times 3=\frac{19}{4}. \\ \text{Otherwise, } \phi\leq \frac{5}{4}\times 1+1\times 2+\frac{3}{4}\times 2=\frac{19}{4}. \\ \text{Suppose } d(f_{i+1})\geq 3, \ d(f_{i+1})\geq 4 \text{ and } d(f_{i+3})\geq 4. \\ \text{If } d(v_{i+2})=3 \text{ or } d(v_{i+3})=3, \text{ then } \phi\leq \frac{5}{4}\times 1+1\times 2+\frac{3}{4}\times 2=\frac{19}{4}. \\ \text{Suppose } d(f_{i+1}), \ d(f_{i+2}), \ d(f_{i+3})\}\geq 4. \\ \text{Then } \max\{d(v_{i+1}), \ d(v_{i+3})\}=\frac{19}{4}. \\ \text{Otherwise, } \phi\leq \frac{5}{4}\times 1+1\times 2+\frac{3}{4}\times 2=\frac{19}{4}. \\ \text{Suppose } d(f_{i+1}), \ d(f_{i+2}), \ d(f_{i+3})\}\geq 4. \\ \text{Then } \max\{d(v_{i+1}), \ d(v_{i+3})\}=\frac{19}{4}. \\ \text{Suppose } d(f_{i+1}), \ d(v_{i+3})=\frac{19}{4}. \\ \text{Otherwise, } \phi\leq \frac{5}{4}\times 1+1\times 2+\frac{3}{4}\times 2=\frac{19}{4}. \\ \text{Suppose } d(f_{i+1}), \ d(f_{i+3})\}\geq 4. \\ \text{Then } \max\{d(v_{i+1}), \ d(v_{i+3}), \ d(v_{i+3})\}\geq 4. \\ \text{Then } \max\{d(v_{i+1}), \ d(v_{i+3}), \ d(v_{i+3})\}\geq 4. \\ \text{Then } \max\{d(v_{i+1}), \ d(v_{i+3}), \ d(v_{i+3})\}\geq 4. \\ \text{Then } \max\{d(v_{i+1}), \ d(v_{i+3}), \ d(v_{i+3})\}\geq 4. \\ \text{Then } \max\{d(v_{i+1}), \ d(v_{i+3}), \ d(v_{i+3})\}$

So $\phi \le \max\{\frac{14}{3}, \frac{21}{4}, \frac{29}{6}, 5, \frac{55}{12}, \frac{19}{4}, \frac{9}{2}\} = \frac{21}{4}$.

Case 5. k = 5 If k = 5, then $\phi \leq \frac{13}{2}$. It is similar to prove (e), we omit it here. Next, we prove the Lemma.

If $n_2(v) = 8$, then all faces incident with v are 6⁺-faces by Lemma 2(2)-(4), that is, $f_{6^+}(v) = 8$, so $ch'(v) = 10 - 1 \times 8 = 2 > 0$. If $n_2(v) = 7$, then $f_{6^+}(v) \ge 6$ and $f_3(v) = 0$, so $ch'(v) \ge 10 - 1 \times 7 - \frac{3}{2} = \frac{3}{2} > 0$ by Claim (a).

Suppose $n_2(v) \leq 6$. The possible distributions of 2-vertices adjacent to v are illustrated in Fig.5. For Fig.5(1), we have $f_{6^+}(v) \geq 5$ and $ch'(v) \geq 10 - 1 \times 6 - \frac{11}{4} = \frac{5}{4} > 0$ by Claim (b).



For Fig.5(2)-(4), we have $f_{6^+}(v) \ge 4$ and $ch'(v) \ge 10 - 1 \times 6 - \frac{3}{2} \times 2 = 1 > 0$. For Fig.5(5), we have $f_{6^+}(v) \ge 4$ and $ch'(v) \ge 10 - 1 \times 5 - 4 = 1 > 0$ by Claim (c). For Fig.5(6)-(7), we have $f_{6^+}(v) \ge 3$ and $ch'(v) \ge 10 - 1 \times 5 - \frac{3}{2} - \frac{11}{4} = \frac{3}{4} > 0$. For Fig.5(8)-(9), we have $f_{6^+}(v) \ge 2$ and $ch'(v) \ge 10 - 1 \times 5 - \frac{3}{2} \times 3 = \frac{1}{2} > 0$. For Fig.5(10), we have $f_{6^+}(v) \ge 3$ and $ch'(v) \ge 10 - 1 \times 5 - \frac{3}{2} \times 3 = \frac{1}{2} > 0$. For Fig.5(10), we have $f_{6^+}(v) \ge 3$ and $ch'(v) \ge 10 - 1 \times 4 - \frac{21}{4} = \frac{3}{4} > 0$ by Claim (d). For Fig.5(11) and 5(13), we have $f_{6^+}(v) \ge 2$ and $ch'(v) \ge 10 - 1 \times 4 - \frac{3}{2} - 4 = \frac{1}{2} > 0$. For Fig.5(12) and 5(16), we have $f_{6^+}(v) \ge 2$ and $ch'(v) \ge 10 - 1 \times 4 - \frac{11}{4} \times 2 = \frac{1}{2} > 0$. For Fig.5(14) and 5(15), we have $f_{6^+}(v) \ge 1$ and $ch'(v) \ge 10 - 1 \times 4 - \frac{11}{4} \times 2 = \frac{1}{2} > 0$.

 $\begin{array}{l} ch'(v) \geq 10 - 1 \times 4 - \frac{3}{2} \times 2 - \frac{11}{4} = \frac{1}{4} > 0. \text{ For Fig.5(17), we have } ch'(v) \geq 10 - 1 \times 4 - \frac{3}{2} \times 4 = 0. \\ \text{For Fig.5(18), we have } f_{6^+}(v) \geq 2 \text{ and } ch'(v) \geq 10 - 1 \times 3 - \frac{13}{2} = \frac{1}{2} > 0 \text{ by Claim (e). For Fig.5(19), we have } f_{6^+}(v) \geq 1 \text{ and } ch'(v) \geq 10 - 1 \times 3 - \frac{3}{2} - \frac{21}{4} = \frac{1}{4} > 0. \\ \text{For Fig.5(19), we have } f_{6^+}(v) \geq 1 \text{ and } ch'(v) \geq 10 - 1 \times 3 - \frac{3}{2} - \frac{21}{4} = \frac{1}{4} > 0. \\ \text{For Fig.5(21), we have } f_{6^+}(v) \geq 1 \text{ and } ch'(v) \geq 10 - 1 \times 3 - \frac{11}{4} - 4 = \frac{1}{4} > 0. \\ \text{For Fig.5(21), we have } ch'(v) \geq 10 - 1 \times 3 - \frac{3}{2} \times 2 - 4 = 0. \\ \text{For Fig.5(23), we have } f_{6^+}(v) \geq 1. \\ \text{Suppose } d(f_2) = d(f_3) = d(f_4) = d(f_5) = d(f_6) = 3. \\ \text{Then } \min\{d(v_3), d(v_4), d(v_5), d(v_6)\} \geq 4. \\ \text{If } d(v_2) = d(v_6) = 3, \\ \text{then } d(f_1) \geq 5 \text{ and } d(f_7) \geq 5 \text{ by } \\ \text{Lemma 3, so } ch'(v) \geq 10 - 1 \times 2 - \frac{3}{2} \times 2 - \frac{5}{4} \times 2 - 1 \times 1 - \frac{1}{3} \times 2 = \frac{5}{6} > 0. \\ \text{If } f_2, f_3, f_4, f_5 \text{ and } f_6 \text{ are incident with no } 3^- \text{vertex, then } ch'(v) \geq 10 - 1 \times 2 - \frac{5}{4} \times 5 - \frac{3}{4} \times 2 = \frac{1}{4} > 0. \\ \text{For Fig.5(26), we have } ch'(v) \geq 10 - 1 \times 2 - 4 \times 2 = 0. \\ \end{array}$

Hence we complete the proof of the theorem.

References

- M. Behzad, Graphs and their chromatic numbers, Ph.D. Thesis, Michigan State University, 1965.
- [2] J.A. Bondy, U.S.R. Murty, Graph Theory with Applications, MacMillan, London, 1976.
- [3] O.V. Borodin, On the total coloring of planar graphs, J. Reine Angew. Math. 394 (1989), 180–185.
- [4] G.J. Chang, J.F. Hou, N.Roussel, Local condition for planar graphs of maximum degree 7 to be 8-totally colorable, Discrete Appl. Math. 159 (2011), 760–768.
- [5] D.Z. Du, L. Shen, Y.Q. Wang, Planar graphs with maximum degree 8 and without adjacent triangles are 9-totally-colorable, Discrete Appl. Math. 157 (2009) 2778–2784.
- [6] J.F. Hou, Y. Zhu, G.Z. Liu, J.L. Wu and M. Lan, Total colorings of planar graphs without small cycles, Graphs Combin. 24(2008), 91–100.
- [7] A.V. Kostochka, The total chromatic number of any multigraph with maximum degree five is at most seven, Discrete Math. 162 (1996), 199–214.
- [8] L. Kowalik, J.-S. Sereni, R. Škrekovski, Total-Coloring of plane graphs with maximum degree nine, SIAM J. Discrete Math. 22 (2008), 1462–1479.
- [9] N. Roussel, X. Zhu, Total coloring of planar graphs of maximum degree eight, Inform. Process. Lett. 110 (2010), 321–324.

- [10] D.P. Sanders and Y. Zhao, On total 9-coloring planar graphs of maximum degree seven, J. Graph Theory 31 (1999), 67–73.
- [11] L. Shen and Y.Q. Wang, Total colorings of planar graphs with maximum degree at least 8, Sci. China Ser. A 52(8) (2009), 1733–1742.
- [12] V.G. Vizing, Some unsolved problems in graph theory, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 23 (1968), 117–134. (in Russian)
- [13] B. Wang, J.L. Wu, S.F. Tian, Total colorings of planar graphs with small maximum degree, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. (2), accepted.
- [14] H.J. Wang, B. Liu, and J.L. Wu, Total coloring of planar graphs without intersecting short cycles, submitted.
- [15] W.F. Wang, Total chromatic number of planar graphs with maximum degree ten, J. Graph Theory 54(2)(2007), 91–102.