Higher class numbers in extensions of number fields Haiyan ZHOU

School of Mathematical Sciences, Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing 210023, P. R. China E-mail: haiyanxiaodong@gmail.com

Abstract Let F/\mathbb{Q} be a complex Galois extension with Galois group V_4 or S_3 . This paper proves that certain quotients of higher class numbers corresponding to the intermediate fields take on a determined finite set of values, assuming the motivic formulation of the Lichtenbaum conjecture. **2010 Mathematics Subject Classification**: 19E15, 19F27, 11R20

1 Introduction

Let F be a number field, \mathcal{O}_F the ring of integers of F and $\zeta_F(s)$ the Dedekind zeta function of F. It is known that one has the analytic class number formula

$$\zeta_F^*(0) = -\frac{R_1(F)h_F}{w_1(F)},\tag{1}$$

where $w_1(F)$ is the number of roots of unity in F, h_F is the class number of F, $R_1(F)$ is the first regulator of F and $\zeta_F^*(0)$ is the first non-vanishing coefficient in the Taylor-expansion of the zeta-function $\zeta_F(s)$ around s = 0.

Let E/F be a Galois extension of number fields with Galois group G. When G is a dihedral group of order 2p, the Brauer-Kuroda formula for the class number can be interpreted in terms of a unit index(See [1, 2, 9]).

There are conjectural analogues of the formula (1) when 0 is replaced by negative integers. One of them says

Motivic formulation of the Lichtenbaum Conjecture. For any number field F and for any integer $n \ge 2$,

$$\zeta_F^*(1-n) = \pm \frac{R_n^M(F)h_n(F)}{w_n(F)},$$
(2)

where $h_n(F)$ is the order of the motivic cohomology group $H^2_M(\mathcal{O}_F,\mathbb{Z}(n))$, $w_n(F)$ is the order of the torsion subgroup of the motivic cohomology group $H^1_M(\mathcal{O}_F,\mathbb{Z}(n))$ and $R^M_n(F)$ is the motivic regulator of $H^1_M(\mathcal{O}_F,\mathbb{Z}(n))$. In this paper we use the definition of motivic cohomology groups for a field F in terms of Bloch's higher Chow groups:

$$H^{\mathcal{I}}_{M}(F,\mathbb{Z}(n)) := CH^{n}(Spec(F), 2n-j).$$

Similarly, for a Dedekind domain \mathcal{O}_F we will use the notation $H^j_M(\mathcal{O}_F, \mathbb{Z}(n))$ for the motivic cohomology groups of $Spec(\mathcal{O}_F)$.

The relationship between motivic cohomology, \acute{e} tale cohomology and K-theory is described via Chern characters (cf. [7], Chapter 2 for overview). Here, we want to describe briefly the profound consequences which the Bloch-Kato Conjecture has for the interplay between the 3 functors. The Bloch-Kato Conjecture states that for any field F and any $n \geq 1$ the Galois symbol

$$K_n^M(F)/p^m \to H^n(F,\mu_{p^m}^{\otimes n})$$

from Milnor K-theory to Galois cohomology is an isomorphism for any p-power p^m with $p \neq char(F)$. It has been proved by Voevodsky [12]. The special case p = 2, i.e., The Milnor Conjecture, has been proved by Voevodsky [11]. The first consequence of the Bloch-Kato Conjecture is that the Quillen-Lichtenbaum Conjecture holds, that is, for any odd prime p and any number field F, the étale Chern characters

$$K_{2n-i}(F) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \to H^i_{\acute{e}t}(F, \mathbb{Z}_p(n))$$

are isomorphisms for $n \ge 2$ and i = 1, 2. Here $H^i_{\acute{e}t}(F, \bullet)$ denotes the *i*-th \acute{e} tale cohomology group of Spec(F) with values in a sheaf \bullet . The second consequence is that the same result is true for the motivic cohomology groups for all primes p:

$$H^i_M(F,\mathbb{Z}(n))\otimes\mathbb{Z}_p\cong H^i_{\acute{e}t}(F,\mathbb{Z}_p(n)).$$

For the ring of integers \mathcal{O}_F , one uses the localization sequences in K-theory, in *é*tale cohomology and in motivic cohomology to obtain the following analogous result:

Lemma 1 ([7]) Let \mathcal{O}_F be the ring of integers in a number field F with r_1 real embeddings, and let $n \geq 2$. Then for i = 1, 2,

(i) The Chern character

$$K_{2n-i}(\mathcal{O}_F) \to H^i_M(\mathcal{O}_F, \mathbb{Z}(n))$$

is an isomorphism if $2n-i \equiv 0, 1, 2, 7 \pmod{8}$, injective with cohernel $\cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{r_1}$ if $2n-i \equiv 6 \pmod{8}$, surjective with kernel $\cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{r_1}$ if $2n-i \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$. In the remaining cases $(n \equiv 3 \pmod{4})$ there is an exact sequence

$$0 \to K_{2n-2}(\mathcal{O}_F) \to H^2(\mathcal{O}_F, \mathbb{Z}(n)) \to (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{r_1} \to K_{2n-1}(\mathcal{O}_F) \to H^1(\mathcal{O}_F, \mathbb{Z}(n)) \to 0.$$

(ii)
$$H^i_M(\mathcal{O}_F,\mathbb{Z}(n))\otimes\mathbb{Z}_p\cong H^i_{\acute{e}t}(\mathcal{O}_F[\frac{1}{p}],\mathbb{Z}_p(n)),$$
 for all primes p.

We also note that for all $n \geq 2$, the motivic groups $H^2_M(\mathcal{O}_F, \mathbb{Z}(n))$ are finite, $H^1_M(\mathcal{O}_F, \mathbb{Z}(n)) \cong H^1(F, \mathbb{Z}(n))$ are finitely generated \mathbb{Z} -modules, $(H^1_M(\mathcal{O}_F, \mathbb{Z}(n)))_{tors} \cong H^0(F, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(n))$ and

$$d_n = \operatorname{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}}(H^1_M(\mathcal{O}_F, \mathbb{Z}(n))) = \begin{cases} r_1 + r_2, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ r_2, & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

where r_1 and r_2 are respectively the numbers of real and complex places of F.

Lemma 2 ([7]) Let E/F be a Galois extension of number fields with Galois group G. Then for each $n \ge 2$ there is an isomorphism

$$H^1_M(F,\mathbb{Z}(n)) \cong H^1_M(E,\mathbb{Z}(n))^G.$$

Let F be a finite Galois extension of a number field k with the Galois group G. R. Brauer [3] and S. Kuroda [8] proved independently some multiplicative relations between the Dedekind zeta functions of some subfields of F. For every cyclic subgroup H of G,

$$c_G(H) := \frac{1}{(G:H)} \sum_{H^* \text{ cyclic } H \subseteq H^* \subseteq G} \mu((H^*:H)),$$

where μ is the Möbius function. Then

$$\zeta_k(s) = \prod_{H \text{ cyclic } H \subseteq G} \zeta_{F^H}(s)^{c_G(H)}, \qquad (3)$$

where F^H is the subfield of F fixed by H. In what follows we usually assume $k = \mathbb{Q}$, then $\zeta_k = \zeta$ is the Riemann zeta function.

Let l be a prime number and D the dihedral group of order 2l. Let F/\mathbb{Q} be a complex Galois extension with Galois group G, where $G = V_4$ or D. In section 2, when n is even, we will give the Brauer-Kuroda formulae for higher class numbers by an index of the first Motivic cohomology groups using the Brauer-Kuroda relations (3) about zeta-functions and the formula (2). For $G = V_4$, we obtain

$$\frac{h_n(F)h_n(\mathbb{Q})^2}{h_n(F_0)h_n(F_1)h_n(F_2)} \in \{1/2, 1, 2\}$$

where F_0 , F_1 and F_2 are all quadratic subfields of F. For $D = D_{2l}$ with l = 3, we obtain

$$\frac{h_n(F)h_n(\mathbb{Q})^2}{h_n(k)h_n(K)^2} \in \{1/3, 1, 3, 9\}$$

where k is the quadratic subfield of F and K is the real subfield of F.

Acknowledgements. This research was done while author visited the Department of Mathematics and Statistics at McMaster University in 2011. I would like to thank Manfred Kolster for his invitation and many enlightening discussions on this subject, and the anonymous referees for their very careful reading of the paper and for their useful comments. This research is supported by Jiangsu Province Foreign Fund, NSFC 10971098 and Post-Doctor Funds of Jiangsu (1201065C).

2 Main results

Let F be a number field and $X(F) = Hom(F, \mathbb{C})$ the set of complex embeddings of F. Denote $\mathbb{R}(n-1) = (2\pi i)^{n-1}\mathbb{R}$. The Beilinson regulator map ρ_n is obtained by composing the various embeddings of F into \mathbb{C} with a Chern character

$$ch_n: K_{2n-1}(\mathbb{C}) \to H^1_D(Spec(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{R}(n)) \cong \mathbb{R}(n-1)$$

into Deligne-cohomology. We obtain

$$\rho_n: K_{2n-1}(\mathcal{O}_F) \to K_{2n-1}(\mathcal{O}_F) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \to (\mathbb{R}(n-1)^{X(F)})^+,$$

where complex conjugation acts on the set of embeddings and on the coefficients $\mathbb{R}(n-1)$ (See [10, Neukirch's article] for details). If τ is a complex conjugation of the embedding of F into \mathbb{C} and n is even, then for every $a \in K_{2n-1}(\mathcal{O}_F)$, we have $ch_n(\tau(a)) = -ch_n(a)$. By Lemma 1, we can define the *n*-th motivic regulator map we shall consider is a homomorphism

$$\rho_n^M: H^1_M(F,\mathbb{Z}(n)) \to H^1_M(F,\mathbb{Z}(n)) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong K_{2n-1}(\mathcal{O}_F) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \to (\mathbb{R}(n-1)^{X(F)})^+.$$

By Borel's results and the fact that the Beilinson regulator map ρ_n is twice the Borel regulator map, the kernel of ρ_n^M is torsion. The image of ρ_n^M therefore is a full lattice in the real vectorspace $(\mathbb{R}(n-1)^{X(F)})^+$ of dimension d_n . We denote by $\Lambda(F)$ this lattice and denote by $R_n^M(F)$ the covolume of $\Lambda(F)$.

In other words, if $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{d_n} \in H^1_M(F, \mathbb{Z}(n))$ is a basis of $H^1_M(F, \mathbb{Z}(n))$, then $\rho_n^M(a_1), \rho_n^M(a_2), \dots, \rho_n^M(a_{d_n}) \in (\mathbb{R}(n-1)^{X(F)})^+$ generate this lattice, so

$$R_n^M(F) = |\det(ch_n(\sigma_j(a_i))_{1 \le i,j \le d_n})|,$$

where σ_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, d_n$ are all infinite places of F when n is odd, all complex places of F when n is even. In the rest of this section, we always assume that n is even.

2.1 Biquadratic fields

Let F/\mathbb{Q} be a biquadratic extension with Galois group $G = \langle \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \rangle$. Then $H_0 = \langle \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \rangle$, $H_1 = \langle \sigma_1 \rangle$ and $H_2 = \langle \sigma_2 \rangle$ are all cyclic non-trivial subgroups of G. For i = 0, 1, 2 denote $F_i := F^{H_i}$. Hence we have the following Brauer-Kuroda relation:

$$\zeta_F(s)\zeta_{\mathbb{Q}}(s)^2 = \prod_{i=0}^2 \zeta_{F_i}(s).$$
(4)

Assume that F/\mathbb{Q} is a complex biquadratic and F_0 is the real subfield. So $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ is the complex conjugation. Consequently the two complex places of F are represented by 1 and σ_1 . The lattices $\Lambda(F_1)$ and $\Lambda(F_2)$ are 1-dimensional. For i = 1, 2 let a_i be a generator of $H^1_M(F_i,\mathbb{Z}(n))/\text{tors}$. Hence $R^M_n(F_i) = |ch_n(a_i)|$. Obviously, the lattice Λ' generated by $\rho^M_n(a_1)$ and $\rho^M_n(a_2)$ is a sublattice in $\Lambda(F)$, and has the covolume equal to the absolute value of the determinant of the matrix

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} ch_n(a_1) & ch_n(\sigma_1(a_1)) \\ ch_n(a_2) & ch_n(\sigma_1(a_2)) \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} ch_n(a_1) & ch_n(a_1) \\ ch_n(a_2) & -ch_n(a_2) \end{array}\right).$$

Thus

$$\operatorname{covol}(\Lambda') = 2R_n^M(F_1)R_n^M(F_2).$$
(5)

Denote by u(F, n) the torsion part of $H^1_M(F, \mathbb{Z}(n))$. We write $U(F, n) = H^1_M(F, \mathbb{Z}(n))$, $V(F, n) = \prod_{i=1}^2 H^1_M(F_i, \mathbb{Z}(n))$ and $v(F, n) = u(F, n) \cap V(F, n)$.

Proposition 1

$$\frac{R_n^M(F)}{R_n^M(F_1)R_n^M(F_2)} = \frac{2(u(F,n):v(F,n))}{(U(F,n):V(F,n))}.$$

Proof It is easy to see that we have the following commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{cccc} 1 \rightarrow & v(F,n) & \rightarrow V(F,n) \rightarrow & \rho_n^M(V(F,n)) \rightarrow 0 \\ & \downarrow & \downarrow f & \downarrow & , \\ 1 \rightarrow & u(F,n) & \rightarrow U(F,n) \rightarrow & \rho_n^M(U(F,n)) \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

where the map f is induced by inclusions $H^1_M(F_i, \mathbb{Z}(n)) \subseteq H^1_M(F, \mathbb{Z}(n))$, for i = 1, 2. So, by the snake Lemma, we see that

$$(U(F,n):V(F,n)) = (u(F,n):v(F,n))(\rho_n^M(U(F,n)):\rho_n^M(V(F,n)))$$

since V(F,n) has finite index in U(F,n). Since $\rho_n^M(V(F,n)) = \Lambda'$ is a sublattice of $\rho_n^M(U(F,n)) = \Lambda(F)$, we have $\operatorname{covol}(\Lambda') = R_n^M(F)(\rho_n^M(U(F,n)) : \rho_n^M(V(F,n)))$. Thus by (5),

$$\frac{R_n^M(F)}{R_n^M(F_1)R_n^M(F_2)} = \frac{2(u(F,n):v(F,n))}{(U(F,n):V(F,n))}.$$

Proposition 2

$$\frac{R_n^M(F)}{R_n^M(F_1)R_n^M(F_2)} = 1 \text{ or } 2 \text{ or } 1/2.$$

Proof Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{cccc} 1 \rightarrow & v(F,n) & \rightarrow V(F,n) \rightarrow & V(F,n)/v(F,n) \rightarrow 0 \\ & \downarrow & \downarrow f & \downarrow \overline{f} \\ 1 \rightarrow & u(F,n) \rightarrow & U(F,n) \rightarrow & U(F,n)/u(F,n) \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Since \overline{f} is injective, the snake lemma, applied to the above diagram, implies that

$$\frac{(U(F,n):V(F,n))}{(u(F,n):v(F,n))} = |\mathrm{coker}\overline{f}|$$

For every $x \in U(F, n)$, we have $x^{1+\sigma_i} \in H^1_M(F_i, \mathbb{Z}(n))$ (i = 1, 2), $x^{\sigma_1+\sigma_2} \in H^1_M(F_0, \mathbb{Z}(n))$ and $x^{1+\sigma_1+\sigma_2+\sigma_2\sigma_2} \in H^1_M(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Z}(n))$ by Lemma 2. We know that $H^1_M(F_0, \mathbb{Z}(n)) \subseteq u(F, n)$ and $H^1_M(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Z}(n)) \subseteq u(F, n)$ since \mathbb{Q} and F_0 are two totally real number fields. From the following identity

$$x^{1+\sigma_1}x^{1+\sigma_2}x^{1+\sigma_1+\sigma_2+\sigma_2\sigma_2} = x^2x^{\sigma_1+\sigma_2}x^{1+\sigma_1+\sigma_2+\sigma_2\sigma_2}.$$

we have $\overline{x^2} = \overline{x^{1+\sigma_1}x^{1+\sigma_2}}$. That is, for every $\overline{x} \in U(F,n)/u(F,n)$ we have $\overline{x}^2 \in \operatorname{im}(\overline{f})$. Since $\operatorname{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}}(H^1_M(F,\mathbb{Z}(n))) = 2$, we have $|\operatorname{coker}(\overline{f})||4$. By Proposition 1,

$$\frac{R_n^M(F)}{R_n^M(F_1)R_n^M(F_2)} = 1 \text{ or } 2 \text{ or } 1/2.$$

Theorem 1 Let F be a complex biquadratic extension of \mathbb{Q} with quadratic subfields F_0 , F_1 and F_2 , where F_0 is real. Then for n > 1 we have

$$\frac{h_n(F)h_n(\mathbb{Q})^2}{\prod_{i=0}^2 h_n(F_i)} = 1 \text{ or } 2 \text{ or } 1/2.$$

Proof Since F_0 and \mathbb{Q} are totally real number fields, we know that $R_n^M(F_0)$ and $R_n^M(\mathbb{Q})$ are trivial. By Proposition 2, the formulae (2) and (4), we have

$$\frac{h_n(F)h_n(\mathbb{Q})^2}{\prod_{i=0}^2 h_n(F_i)} = \frac{w_n(F)w_n(\mathbb{Q})^2}{\prod_{i=0}^2 w_n(F_i)} \text{ or } \frac{w_n(F)w_n(\mathbb{Q})^2}{2\prod_{i=0}^2 w_n(F_i)} \text{ or } \frac{2w_n(F)w_n(\mathbb{Q})^2}{\prod_{i=0}^2 w_n(F_i)}.$$

Now, it is necessary to prove

$$\frac{w_n(F)w_n(\mathbb{Q})^2}{\prod_{i=0}^2 w_n(F_i)} = 1.$$

Let E be a number field. For every prime number p,

$$w_n^{(p)}(E) := \max\{p^v | \operatorname{Gal}(E(\zeta_{p^v})/E) \text{ has exponent dividing } n\}.$$

So it is easy to obtain $w_n(E) = \prod_p w_n^{(p)}(E)$ and the following statements:

(1) Let b be the maximal power of 2 dividing n. Then we have $w_n^{(2)}(F_1) = w_n^{(2)}(F_2) = w_n^{(2)}(\mathbb{Q}) = 2^{2+b}$ and

$$w_n^{(2)}(F) = w_n^{(2)}(F_0) = \begin{cases} 2^{3+b}, & \sqrt{2} \in F, \\ 2^{2+b}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(2) For every odd prime number p, we have

$$w_n^{(p)}(F) = w_n^{(p)}(F_0) = w_n^{(p)}(F_1) = w_n^{(p)}(F_2) = w_n^{(p)}(\mathbb{Q})$$

if $F \cap \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p) = \mathbb{Q}$. If $F \cap \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p) \neq \mathbb{Q}$, then $F \cap \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$ is a quadratic subfield of F. Assuming $F \cap \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p) = F_1$, we have $w_n^{(p)}(F) = w_n^{(p)}(F_1)$ and

$$w_n^{(p)}(F_0) = w_n^{(p)}(F_2) = w_n^{(p)}(\mathbb{Q}).$$

Therefore, we obtain $w_n(F)w_n(\mathbb{Q})^2/\prod_{i=0}^2 w_n(F_i) = 1$.

Colloary 1 $|K_2(\mathcal{O}_F)| = \prod_{i=0}^2 |K_2(\mathcal{O}_{F_i})|/2$ or $\prod_{i=0}^2 |K_2(\mathcal{O}_{F_i})|/4$ or $\prod_{i=0}^2 |K_2(\mathcal{O}_{F_i})|/8$.

Proof By Lemma 1 (i), we have $|K_2(\mathcal{O}_E)| = h_2(E)$ for every number field E. This result follows from $K_2(\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and Theorem 1.

2.2 The case of the dihedral Galois group

Now let l be an odd prime number. Let D denote the dihedral group of order 2l:

$$D = \{ <\tau, \sigma > |\tau^{l} = \sigma^{2} = 1, \sigma \tau \sigma = \tau^{-1} \}.$$

Let F be a Galois extension of \mathbb{Q} with the Galois group D. It has a unique quadratic subfield k fixed by τ . Let K (resp. K') be the subfield of F fixed by $<\sigma >$ (resp. by $<\tau^2\sigma >$).

Assume that the field F is complex and σ is the complex conjugation. Then K is the unique maximal real subfield of F. We have $r_2(F) = l$, $r_2(k) = 1$ and $r_2(K) = r_2(K') = (l-1)/2$. Obviously, 1 is the complex place of k, and τ^j , $j = 0, 1, \dots, l-1$ are complex places of F. Since $\sigma\tau^j = \tau^{-j}\sigma$, we get that complex places of K are $\tau, \tau^2, \dots, \tau^t$ and complex places of K' are $1, \tau, \tau^2, \dots, \tau^{t-1}$, where t = (l-1)/2.

Now we describe lattices of the fields k, K and K'.

Let $H^1_M(k,\mathbb{Z}(n))$ be generated by b_0 and $H^1_M(K,\mathbb{Z}(n))$ (resp. $H^1_M(K',\mathbb{Z}(n))$) be generated by b_1, b_2, \cdots, b_t (resp. by $b_{t+1}, b_{t+2}, \cdots, b_{2t}$). Then $R^M_n(k) = |ch_n(b_0)|$,

$$R_n^M(K) = |\det(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots, \alpha_t)|, \text{ where } \alpha_j = \begin{pmatrix} ch_n(\tau^j(b_1)) \\ \cdots \\ ch_n(\tau^j(b_t) \end{pmatrix}, \ j = 1, 2, \cdots, t,$$
$$R_n^M(K') = |\det(\beta_1, \beta_2, \cdots, \beta_t)|, \text{ where } \beta_j = \begin{pmatrix} ch_n(\tau^{t+j}(b_{t+1})) \\ \cdots \\ ch_n(\tau^{t+j}(b_{2t})) \end{pmatrix}, \ j = 1, 2, \cdots, t.$$

Since the motivic cohomology group $H^1_M(k,\mathbb{Z}(n))$, $H^1_M(K,\mathbb{Z}(n))$, $H^1_M(K',\mathbb{Z}(n))$ can be mapped canonically into $H^1_M(F,\mathbb{Z}(n))$, the elements b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{2t} defined above can be considered as elements of $H^1_M(F,\mathbb{Z}(n))$. Therefore the lattice Λ' generated $\rho_n^M(b_j)$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, 2t$ is a sublattice of the lattice $\Lambda(F)$. Consequently,

$$\operatorname{covol}(\Lambda') = |\det \begin{pmatrix} ch_n(b_0) & ch_n(\tau(b_0)) & \cdots & ch_n(\tau^{2t}(b_0)) \\ ch_n(b_1) & ch_n(\tau(b_1)) & \cdots & ch_n(\tau^{2t}(b_1)) \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ ch_n(b_{2t}) & ch_n(\tau(b_{2t})) & \cdots & ch_n^{2t}(\tau(b_{2t})) \end{pmatrix} |.$$

The first row of this matrix is simply

$$(ch_n(b_0), ch_n(\tau(b_0)), \cdots, ch_n(\tau^{2t}(b_0))) = ch_n(b_0)(1, 1, \cdots, 1).$$

The (j+1)st row, where $1 \le j \le t$, is

$$(ch_n(b_j), ch_n(\tau(b_j)), \cdots, ch_n(\tau^{2t}(b_j)))$$

$$= (0, ch_n(\tau(b_j)), \cdots, ch_n(\tau^t(b_j)), \cdots, -ch_n(\tau^t(b_j)), \cdots, -ch_n(\tau(b_j))),$$

since $\tau^i(b_j)$ and $\tau^{l-i}(b_j)$ are complex conjugate, and b_j is real.

The (j+1)st row, where $t + 1 \le j \le 2t$, is

$$(ch_n(b_j), ch_n(\tau(b_j)), \cdots, ch_n(\tau^{2t}(b_j)))$$

$$= (ch_n(b_j), ch_n(\tau(b_j)), \cdots, ch_n(\tau^{t-1}(b_j)), \cdots, -ch_n(\tau^{t-1}(b_j)), \cdots, -ch_n(\tau(b_j)), 0)$$

since $\tau^i(b_j)$ and $\tau^{2t-i-1}(b_j)$ are complex conjugate, and $\tau^{l-1}(b_j)$ is real.

Hence, by [5, Lemma 1], we have

$$\operatorname{covol}(\Lambda') = |ch_n(b_0)| \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & \alpha_1 & \cdots & \alpha_{t-1} & \alpha_t & -\alpha_t & \cdots & -\alpha_2 & -\alpha_1 \\ \beta_1 & \beta_2 & \cdots & \beta_t & -\beta_t & -\beta_{t-1} & \cdots & -\beta_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} |$$
$$= lR_n^M(k)R_n^M(K)R_n^M(K').$$

Since K and K' are isomorphic, they have the same regulators. So we have

$$\operatorname{covol}(\Lambda') = lR_n^M(k)R_n^M(K)^2.$$
(6)

Proposition 3 Let F be a complex Galois extension of \mathbb{Q} with the dihedral Galois group D. Let k be the unique quadratic subfield of F and K (resp. K') the subfield of F fixed by $\langle \sigma \rangle$ (resp. by $\langle \tau^2 \sigma \rangle$). Then we have

$$\frac{R_n^M(F)}{R_n^M(k)R_n^M(K)^2} = \frac{l(u(F,n):v(F,n))}{(H_M^1(F,\mathbb{Z}(n)):V(F,n))}$$

where $V(F,n) = H^1_M(k,\mathbb{Z}(n))H^1_M(K,\mathbb{Z}(n))H^1_M(K',\mathbb{Z}(n))$, u(F,n) is the torsion part of $H^1_M(F,\mathbb{Z}(n))$ and $v(F,n) = u(F,n) \cap V(F,n)$.

Proof The proof is the same as that of Proposition 1.

Theorem 2 With notations as in Proposition 3, we have

$$\frac{h_n(F)h_n(\mathbb{Q})^2}{h_n(k)h_n(K)^2} = \frac{(H_M^1(F, \mathbb{Z}(n)) : V(F, n))}{l(u(F, n) : v(F, n))}.$$

Proof By [6, Lemma 1.1], we have $w_n(F) = w_n(k)$ and $w_n(K) = w_n(\mathbb{Q})$. This result follows from the Brauer-Kuroda relation $\zeta_F(s)\zeta_{\mathbb{Q}}(s)^2 = \zeta_k(s)\zeta_K(s)^2$, the formula (2) and Proposition 3.

Proposition 4 With notations as in Proposition 3, if l = 3, we have

$$\frac{h_n(F)h_n(\mathbb{Q})^2}{h_n(k)h_n(K)^2} = 1/3 \text{ or } 1 \text{ or } 3 \text{ or } 9.$$

Proof Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 1 \rightarrow & v(F,n) & \rightarrow V(F,n) \rightarrow & V(F,n)/v(F,n) \rightarrow 0 \\ & \downarrow & \downarrow f & \downarrow \overline{f} \\ 1 \rightarrow & u(F,n) \rightarrow & H^1_M(F,\mathbb{Z}(n)) \rightarrow & H^1_M(F,\mathbb{Z}(n))/u(F,n) \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Since \overline{f} is injective, the snake lemma, applied to the above diagram, implies that

$$\frac{(H_M^1(F,\mathbb{Z}(n)):V(F,n))}{(u(F,n):v(F,n))} = |\mathrm{coker}\overline{f}|$$

For every $x \in H^1_M(F, \mathbb{Z}(n))$, we have $x^{1+\sigma} \in H^1_M(K, \mathbb{Z}(n)), x^{1+\sigma\tau} \in H^1_M(K', \mathbb{Z}(n)), x^{1+\tau+\tau^2} \in H^1_M(k, \mathbb{Z}(n))$ and $x^{1+\sigma+\tau+\tau^2+\sigma\tau+\tau\sigma} \in H^1_M(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Z}(n))$ by Lemma 2. Since \mathbb{Q} is a totally real number field, we know that $H^1_M(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Z}(n)) \subseteq u(F, n)$. It is easy to verify the following identities

$$1 + \tau \sigma = (1 + \sigma)(1 + \tau \sigma) - \sigma - \tau^{2},$$
$$(\sigma \tau)(\sigma + \tau^{2}) = \sigma + \tau^{2}.$$

So $x^{\sigma+\tau^2} \in H^1_M(K^{'},\mathbb{Z}(n)).$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} x^3 x^{1+\sigma+\tau+\tau^2+\sigma\tau+\tau\sigma} &= x^{1+\sigma} x^{1+\tau+\tau^2} x^{1+\sigma\tau} x^{1+\tau\sigma} \\ &= x^{(1+\sigma)(2+\tau\sigma)} x^{1+\tau+\tau^2} x^{1+\sigma\tau-\sigma-\tau^2} \end{aligned}$$

we have $\overline{x^3} = \overline{x^{(1+\sigma)(2+\tau\sigma)}x^{1+\tau+\tau^2}x^{1+\sigma\tau-\sigma-\tau^2}}$. That is, for every $\overline{x} \in H^1_M(F,\mathbb{Z}(n))/u(F,n)$ we have $\overline{x}^3 \in \operatorname{im}(\overline{f})$. Since $\operatorname{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}}(H^1_M(F,\mathbb{Z}(n))) = 3$, we have $|\operatorname{coker}(\overline{f})||27$. By Theorem 2,

$$\frac{h_n(F)h_n(\mathbb{Q})^2}{h_n(k)h_n(K)^2} = 1/3 \text{ or } 1 \text{ or } 3 \text{ or } 9.$$

Colloary 2 Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{m})$ and $F = K(\zeta_3)$, where *m* is a cubefree integers not equal 1 and -1, ζ_3 is a primitive cube root of unity. Then

$$|K_2(\mathcal{O}_F)| = |K_2(\mathcal{O}_K)|^2 / 12 \text{ or } |K_2(\mathcal{O}_K)|^2 / 4 \text{ or } 3|K_2(\mathcal{O}_K)|^2 / 4 \text{ or } 9|K_2(\mathcal{O}_K)|^2 / 4$$

Proof By Lemma 1 (i), we have $|K_2(\mathcal{O}_E)| = h_2(E)$ for every number field E. Since $k = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_3)$, we know that $K_2(\mathcal{O}_k)$ is trivial by results of Browkin and Gangl in [4]. This result follows from $K_2(\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and Proposition 4.

References

[1] A. Bartel, On Brauer-Kuroda type relations of S-class numbers in dihedral extensions, J. reine angew. Math. (to appear), arXiv:0904.2416v4[math.NT], 2011.

[2] A. Bartel and B. de Smit, Index formulae for integral Galois modules, arXiv: 1105.3876v1 [math.NT], 2011.

[3] R. Brauer, , **Beziehungen zwischen Klassenzahlen von Teilkörpern eines ga-**loisschen Körpers, Math. Nachr., 4, 1951, 158-174.

[4] J. Browkin, H. Gangl Tame and wild kernels of quadratic imaginary number fields, Math. Comp., 68(225), 1999, 291-305.

[5] J. Browkin and H. Gangl, **Tame kernels and second regulators of number fields** and their subfields, submitted to the volume dedicated to Professor Aderemi O. Kuku. [6] Luca Caputo, The Brauer-Kuroda fromula for higher *S*-class numbers in dihedral extensions of number fields, Acta Arith., 151(3), 2012, 217-239.

M. Kolster, K-theory and arithmetic, Contemporary developments in algebraic K-theory (Dedicated to H. Bass on the occasion of his 70th birthday), M. Karoubi, A.O. Kuku, C. Pedrini, ICTP Lecture Notes, 15, 2003, 191-258.

[8] S. Kuroda, Über die Klassenzahlen algebraischer Zahlkörper, Nagoya Math. J., 1, 1950, 1-10.

[9] F. Lemmermeyer, Kuroda's class number formula, Acta Arith. 66, 1994, 245-260.

[10] M. Rapoport, N. Schappacher and P. Schneider (eds.), Beilinson's conjectures on special values of L-functions, Perspectives in Mathematics vol. 4, Academic Press, Boston, 1988.

[11] V. Voevodsky, Motivic cohomology with Z/2-coefficients, Publ. Math. IHES, 98, 2003, 59-104.

[12] V. Voevodsky, Motivic cohomology with \mathbb{Z}/l -coefficients, Annals of Mathematics, 174(1), 2011, 401-438.