

# Normality criteria of meromorphic functions sharing a holomorphic function\*

Da-Wei Meng<sup>†</sup> and Pei-Chu Hu<sup>‡</sup>

<sup>†</sup> Department of Mathematics, Xidian University, Xi'an 710071, Shaanxi, P. R. China  
E-mail: Goths511@163.com

<sup>‡</sup> Department of Mathematics, Shandong University, Jinan 250100, Shandong, P. R. China  
E-mail: pchu@sdu.edu.cn

## Abstract

Take three integers  $m \geq 0$ ,  $k \geq 1$  and  $n \geq 2$ . Let  $a (\neq 0)$  be a holomorphic function in a domain  $D$  of  $\mathbb{C}$  such that multiplicities of zeros of  $a$  are at most  $m$  and divisible by  $n + 1$ . In this paper, we mainly obtain the following normality criterion: Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the family of meromorphic functions on  $D$  such that multiplicities of zeros of each  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  are at least  $k + m$  and such that multiplicities of poles of  $f$  are at least  $m + 1$ . If each pair  $(f, g)$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  satisfies that  $f^n f^{(k)}$  and  $g^n g^{(k)}$  share  $a$  (ignoring multiplicity), then  $\mathcal{F}$  is normal.

## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we use the standard notations of the Nevanlinna theory as presented in [11, 17, 50, 52]. By definition, two meromorphic functions  $F$  and  $G$  are said to share  $a$  IM if  $F - a$  and  $G - a$  assume the same zeros ignoring multiplicity. When  $a = \infty$  the zeros of  $F - a$  mean the poles of  $F$ .

---

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 30D35, 30D45.

\*This work is supported by Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No.11271227 and No.11201360), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities of China (Grant No.K5051270006), and Natural Science Basic Research Plan in Shaanxi Province of China (Program No.2012JQ1007).

Key words: meromorphic function, holomorphic function, normal family, sharing holomorphic functions.

Let  $D$  be a domain in  $\mathbb{C}$  and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be meromorphic functions defined in the domain  $D$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}$  is said to be normal in  $D$ , in the sense of Montel, if for any sequence  $\{f_n\} \subset \mathcal{F}$  there exists a subsequence  $\{f_{n_j}\}$  such that  $f_{n_j}$  converges spherically locally uniformly in  $D$ , to a meromorphic function or  $\infty$  (cf. [15, 38]). For simplicity, we take  $\rightarrow$  to stand for convergence and  $\rightrightarrows$  for convergence spherically locally uniformly.

Let  $\mathcal{M}(D)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{A}(D)$ ) be the set of meromorphic (resp. holomorphic) functions on  $D$ . Let  $n$  be an integer and take a positive integer  $k$ . We will study normality of the subset  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $\mathcal{M}(D)$  such that  $f^n f^{(k)}$  satisfies some conditions for each  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ .

First of all, we look at some background for the case  $n = 0$ . Hayman [17] proved that if  $F \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{C})$  is transcendental, then either  $F^{(k)}$  assumes every finite non-zero complex number infinitely often for any positive integer  $k$ , or  $F$  assumes every finite complex number infinitely often. A normality criterion corresponding to Hayman's theorem is obtained by Gu [14] which is stated as follows: If  $\mathcal{F}$  is the family in  $\mathcal{M}(D)$  such that each  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  satisfies  $f^{(k)} \neq a$  and  $f \neq b$ , where  $a, b$  are two complex numbers with  $a \neq 0$ , then  $\mathcal{F}$  is normal in the sense of Montel. In particular, if  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{A}(D)$ , the normality criterion was conjectured by Montel (see [38], p.125) for  $k = 1$ , and proved by Miranda [30]. Further, Yang [51] and Schwick [40] confirmed that the normality criterion due to Gu is true if  $a$  is replaced by a non-zero holomorphic function on  $D$ . In 2001, Jiang and Gao [22] proved that if  $\mathcal{F}$  is the family in  $\mathcal{A}(D)$  such that the multiplicities of zeros of each  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  are least  $k + m + 2$  for another non-negative integer  $m$  and such that each pair  $(f, g)$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  satisfies that  $f^{(k)}$  and  $g^{(k)}$  share  $a$  IM (ignoring multiplicity), where  $a \in \mathcal{A}(D)$  and multiplicities of zeros of  $a$  are at most  $m$ , then  $\mathcal{F}$  is normal in  $D$ , and obtained a similar result when  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{M}(D)$ . For other generations, see [3], [4], [5], [10], [23], [27], [28], [43], [44] and [46].

Next we introduce some developments for the case  $n \geq 1$  and  $k = 1$ . In 1959, Hayman [16] proposed a conjecture: If  $F \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{C})$  is transcendental, then  $F^n F'$  assumes every finite non-zero complex number infinitely often for any positive integer  $n$ . Hayman himself [16, 18] showed it is true for  $n \geq 3$ , and for  $n = 2$ ,  $F \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{C})$ . Mues [31] confirmed the conjecture for  $n = 2$  in 1979. Furthermore, the case of  $n = 1$  was considered by Clunie [9] when  $F \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{C})$ ; finally settled by Bergweiler and Eremenko [2], Chen and Fang [6]. Related to these results on value distribution, Hayman [18] conjectured that if  $\mathcal{F}$  is the family of  $\mathcal{M}(D)$  such that each  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  satisfies  $f^n f' \neq a$  for a positive integer  $n$  and a non-zero complex number  $a$ , then  $\mathcal{F}$  is normal. This conjecture has been confirmed by Yang and Zhang [54] (for  $n \geq 5$ , and for  $n \geq 2$  with  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{A}(D)$ ), Gu [13] (for  $n = 3, 4$ ), Pang [34] (for  $n \geq 2$ ; cf. [12]), and Oshkin [32] (for  $n = 1$  with  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{A}(D)$ ; cf. [24]). Finally, Pang [34] (or see [6, 55, 56]) indicated that the conjecture for  $n = 1$  is a consequence of his theorem and Chen-Fang's theorem [6]. Recently, based on the ideas of sharing values, Zhang [58] proved that if  $\mathcal{F}$  is the family of  $\mathcal{M}(D)$  such that each pair  $(f, g)$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  satisfies that  $f^n f'$  and  $g^n g'$  share

a finite non-zero complex number  $a$  IM for  $n \geq 2$ , then  $\mathcal{F}$  is normal. There are examples showing that this result is not true for the case  $n = 1$ . Further, Jiang [22] concluded that if  $\mathcal{F}$  is the family of  $\mathcal{M}(D)$  such that each pair  $(f, g)$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  satisfies that  $f^n f'$  and  $g^n g'$  share  $a$  IM for  $n \geq 2m + 2$ , where  $a \in \mathcal{A}(D)$  and multiplicities of zeros of  $a$  are at most  $m$ , then  $\mathcal{F}$  is normal.

Similarly, we also have analogues related to some conditions of  $f (f^{(k)})^l$  for a positive integer  $l$ . For example, Zhang and Song [60] announced that if  $F \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{C})$  is transcendental;  $a (\neq 0)$  a small function of  $F$ ;  $l \geq 2$ , then  $F (F^{(k)})^l - a$  has infinitely many zeros. A simple proof was given by Alotaibi [1]. The normality criterion corresponding to this result was obtained by Jiang and Gao [21] which is stated as follows: Let  $l, k \geq 2, m \geq 0$  be three integers such that  $m$  is divisible by  $l + 1$  and suppose that  $a (\neq 0)$  is a holomorphic function in  $D$  with zeros of multiplicity  $m$ . If  $\mathcal{F}$  is the family of  $\mathcal{A}(D)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{M}(D)$ ) such that multiplicities of zeros of each  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  is at least  $k + m$  (resp.  $\max\{k + m, 2m + 2\}$ ) and such that each pair  $(f, g)$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  satisfies that  $f (f^{(k)})^l$  and  $g (g^{(k)})^l$  share  $a$  IM, then  $\mathcal{F}$  is normal. For more results related to this topic, see Hennekemper [19], Hu and Meng [20], Li [25, 26], Schwick [39], Wang and Fang [42], C. Yang, L. Yang and Y. Wang [49].

Finally, we consider general cases of  $n \geq 1$  and  $k \geq 1$ . In 1994, Zhang and Li [61] proved that if  $F \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{C})$  is transcendental, then  $F^n L[F] - a$  has infinitely many zeros for  $n \geq 2$  and  $a \neq 0, \infty$ , where

$$L[F] = a_k F^{(k)} + a_{k-1} F^{(k-1)} + \dots + a_0 F$$

in which  $a_i$  ( $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k$ ) are small functions of  $F$ . In 1999, Pang and Zalcman [36] obtained a corresponding normality criterion as follows: If  $\mathcal{F}$  is the family of  $\mathcal{A}(D)$  such that zeros of each  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  have multiplicities at least  $k$  and such that each  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  satisfies  $f^n f^{(k)} \neq a$  for a non-zero complex number  $a$ , then  $\mathcal{F}$  is normal. In 2005, Zhang [59] showed that when  $n \geq 2$ , this result is also true if  $a$  is replaced by a non-vanishing holomorphic functions in  $D$ . For other related results, see Meng and Hu [29], Qi [37], Wang [41], Xu [45], Yang and Hu [48], L. Yang and C. Yang [53].

Take three integers  $m \geq 0, k \geq 1$  and  $n \geq 2$ . Let  $a (\neq 0)$  be a holomorphic function in  $D$  such that multiplicities of zeros of  $a$  are at most  $m$  and divisible by  $n + 1$ . In this paper, we obtain the following normality criteria:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the family of  $\mathcal{M}(D)$  such that multiplicities of zeros of each  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  are at least  $k + m$  and such that multiplicities of poles of  $f$  are at least  $m + 1$  whenever  $f$  have zeros and poles. If each pair  $(f, g)$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  satisfies that  $f^n f^{(k)}$  and  $g^n g^{(k)}$  share  $a$  IM, then  $\mathcal{F}$  is normal in  $D$ .*

In special, if  $a$  has no zeros, which means  $m = 0$ , then Theorem 1.1 has the following form:

**Corollary 1.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the family of  $\mathcal{M}(D)$  such that multiplicities of zeros of each  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  are at least  $k$ . If each pair  $(f, g)$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  satisfies that  $f^n f^{(k)}$  and  $g^n g^{(k)}$  share a IM, then  $\mathcal{F}$  is normal in  $D$ .*

It is easy to see that this result extends above normality criteria due to Pang and Zalcman [36], and Zhang [59]. Furthermore, we can improve partially the normality criterion due to Jiang [22] as follows:

**Theorem 1.2.** *If  $\mathcal{F}$  is the family of  $\mathcal{M}(D)$  such that each  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  satisfies that  $f^n f' \neq a$ , then  $\mathcal{F}$  is normal in  $D$ .*

The condition  $a(z) \not\equiv 0$  in Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 is necessary. This fact can be illustrated by the following example:

**Example 1.1.** *Let  $D = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| < 1\}$ . Let  $a(z) \equiv 0$  and*

$$\mathcal{F} = \left\{ f_j(z) = e^{j(z-\frac{1}{2})} \mid j = 1, 2, \dots \right\}$$

*Obviously,  $f_i^n f_i^{(k)}$  and  $f_j^n f_j^{(k)}$  share a IM for distinct positive integers  $i$  and  $j$  (resp.  $f_j^n f_j' \neq a$ ), but the family  $\mathcal{F}$  is not normal at  $z = 1/2$ .*

In Corollary 1.1, the condition that multiplicities of zeros of each  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  are at least  $k$  is sharp. For example, we consider the following family:

**Example 1.2.** *Denote  $D$  as in Example 1.1. Let  $a(z) = e^z$  and*

$$\mathcal{F} = \left\{ f_j(z) = j \left( z - \frac{1}{2j} \right)^{k-1} \mid j = 1, 2, \dots \right\}.$$

*Any  $f_j \in \mathcal{F}$  has only a zero of multiplicity  $k - 1$  in  $D$  and for distinct positive integers  $i$  and  $j$ ,  $f_i^n f_i^{(k)}$  and  $f_j^n f_j^{(k)}$  share a IM. However, the family  $\mathcal{F}$  is not normal at  $z = 0$ .*

## 2 Preliminary lemmas

In order to prove our results, we require the following *Zalcman's lemma* (cf. [56]):

**Lemma 2.1.** *Take a positive integer  $k$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of meromorphic functions in the unit disc  $\Delta$  with the property that zeros of each  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  are of multiplicity at least  $k$ . If  $\mathcal{F}$  is not normal at a point  $z_0 \in \Delta$ , then for  $0 \leq \alpha < k$ , there exist a sequence  $\{z_n\} \subset \Delta$  of complex numbers with  $z_n \rightarrow z_0$ ; a sequence  $\{f_n\}$  of  $\mathcal{F}$ ; and a sequence  $\{\rho_n\}$  of positive numbers with  $\rho_n \rightarrow 0$  such that  $g_n(\xi) = \rho_n^{-\alpha} f_n(z_n + \rho_n \xi)$  locally uniformly (with respect to the spherical metric) to a nonconstant meromorphic function  $g(\xi)$  on  $\mathbb{C}$ . Moreover, the zeros of  $g(\xi)$  are of multiplicity at least  $k$ , and the function  $g(\xi)$  may be taken to satisfy the normalization  $g^\sharp(\xi) \leq g^\sharp(0) = 1$  for any  $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$ . In particular,  $g(\xi)$  has at most order 2.*

This result is Pang's generalization (cf. [33, 35, 47]) to the Main Lemma in [55] (where  $\alpha$  is taken to be 0), with improvements due to Schwick [39], Chen and Gu [7]. In Lemma 2.1, the *order* of  $g$  is defined by using the Nevanlinna's characteristic function  $T(r, g)$ :

$$\text{ord}(g) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r, g)}{\log r}.$$

Here, as usual,  $g^\sharp$  denotes the *spherical derivative*

$$g^\sharp(\xi) = \frac{|g'(\xi)|}{1 + |g(\xi)|^2}.$$

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $p \geq 0$ ,  $k \geq 1$  and  $n \geq 2$  be three integers, and let  $a$  be a non-zero polynomial of degree  $p$ . If  $f$  is a non-constant rational function which has only zeros of multiplicity at least  $k + p$  and has only poles of multiplicity at least  $p + 1$ , then  $f^n f^{(k)} - a$  has at least one zero.*

*Proof.* If  $f$  is a polynomial, then  $f^{(k)} \not\equiv 0$  since  $f$  is non-constant and has only zeros of multiplicity at least  $k + p$  which further means  $\deg(f) \geq k + p$ . Noting that  $n \geq 2$ , we immediately obtain that

$$\deg(f^n f^{(k)}) \geq n \deg(f) \geq n(k + p) > p = \deg(a).$$

Therefore, it follows that  $f^n f^{(k)} - a$  is also a non-constant polynomial, and hence  $f^n f^{(k)} - a$  has at least one zero. Next we assume that  $f$  has poles. Set

$$f(z) = \frac{A(z - \alpha_1)^{m_1}(z - \alpha_2)^{m_2} \cdots (z - \alpha_s)^{m_s}}{(z - \beta_1)^{n_1}(z - \beta_2)^{n_2} \cdots (z - \beta_t)^{n_t}}, \quad (2.1)$$

where  $A$  is a non-zero constant,  $\alpha_i$  distinct zeroes of  $f$  with  $s \geq 0$ , and  $\beta_j$  distinct poles of  $f$  with  $t \geq 1$ . For simplicity, we put

$$m_1 + m_2 + \cdots + m_s = M \geq (k + p)s, \quad (2.2)$$

$$n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_t = N \geq (p + 1)t. \quad (2.3)$$

From (2.1), we obtain

$$f^{(k)}(z) = \frac{(z - \alpha_1)^{m_1 - k}(z - \alpha_2)^{m_2 - k} \cdots (z - \alpha_s)^{m_s - k} g(z)}{(z - \beta_1)^{n_1 + k}(z - \beta_2)^{n_2 + k} \cdots (z - \beta_t)^{n_t + k}}, \quad (2.4)$$

where  $g$  is a polynomial of degree  $\leq k(s + t - 1)$ . From (2.1) and (2.4), we get

$$f^n(z) f^{(k)}(z) = \frac{A^n (z - \alpha_1)^{M_1} (z - \alpha_2)^{M_2} \cdots (z - \alpha_s)^{M_s} g(z)}{(z - \beta_1)^{N_1} (z - \beta_2)^{N_2} \cdots (z - \beta_t)^{N_t}}, \quad (2.5)$$

in which

$$M_i = (n+1)m_i - k, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, s,$$

$$N_j = (n+1)n_j + k, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, t.$$

Differentiating (2.5) yields

$$\left\{ f^n f^{(k)} \right\}^{(p+1)}(z) = \frac{(z - \alpha_1)^{M_1 - p - 1} (z - \alpha_2)^{M_2 - p - 1} \dots (z - \alpha_s)^{M_s - p - 1} g_0(z)}{(z - \beta_1)^{N_1 + p + 1} \dots (z - \beta_t)^{N_t + p + 1}}, \quad (2.6)$$

where  $g_0(z)$  is a polynomial of degree  $\leq (p+k+1)(s+t-1)$ . We assume, to the contrary, that  $f^n f^{(k)} - a$  has no zero, then

$$f^n(z) f^{(k)}(z) = a(z) + \frac{C}{(z - \beta_1)^{N_1} (z - \beta_2)^{N_2} \dots (z - \beta_t)^{N_t}}, \quad (2.7)$$

where  $C$  is a non-zero constant. Subsequently, (2.7) yields

$$\left\{ f^n f^{(k)} \right\}^{(p+1)}(z) = \frac{g_1(z)}{(z - \beta_1)^{N_1 + p + 1} \dots (z - \beta_t)^{N_t + p + 1}}, \quad (2.8)$$

where  $g_1(z)$  is a polynomial of degree  $\leq (p+1)(t-1)$ .

Comparing (2.6) with (2.8), we get

$$(p+1)(t-1) \geq \deg(g_1) \geq (n+1)M - ks - (p+1)s,$$

and hence

$$M < \frac{p+k+1}{n+1}s + \frac{p+1}{n+1}t. \quad (2.9)$$

From (2.5) and (2.7) we have

$$(n+1)N + kt + p = (n+1)M - ks + \deg(g).$$

Since  $\deg(g) \leq k(s+t-1)$ , we find

$$(n+1)N \leq (n+1)M - ks + k(s+t-1) - kt - p,$$

and thus

$$N < M. \quad (2.10)$$

By (2.9), (2.10) and noting that  $M \geq (k+p)s$ ,  $N \geq (p+1)t$ , we deduce that

$$M < \frac{p+k+1}{n+1}s + \frac{p+1}{n+1}t \leq \left\{ \frac{p+k+1}{(n+1)(k+p)} + \frac{1}{n+1} \right\} M. \quad (2.11)$$

Note that  $n \geq 2$  implies

$$\frac{p+k+1}{(n+1)(k+p)} + \frac{1}{n+1} = \frac{2(k+p)+1}{(n+1)(k+p)} \leq 1.$$

Hence it follows from (2.11) that  $M < M$ , which is a contradiction. Lemma 2.2 is proved.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $p \geq 0$ ,  $k \geq 1$  and  $n \geq 2$  be three integers, and let  $a$  be a non-zero polynomial of degree  $p$ . If  $f$  is a non-constant rational function which has only zeros of multiplicity at least  $k + p$  and has only poles of multiplicity at least  $p + 1$ , then  $f^n f^{(k)} - a$  has at least two distinct zeros.*

*Proof.* Lemma 2.2 implies that  $f^n f^{(k)} - a$  has at least one zero. Assume, to the contrary, that  $f^n f^{(k)} - a$  has only one zero  $z_0$ . If  $f$  is a polynomial, then we can write

$$f^n(z)f^{(k)}(z) - a(z) = A'(z - z_0)^d,$$

where  $A'$  is a non-zero constant and  $d$  is a positive integer. Since  $f$  is a non-constant polynomial which has only zeros of multiplicity at least  $k + p$ , we find  $f^{(k)} \neq 0$ , and hence

$$d = \deg(f^n f^{(k)} - a) \geq \deg(f^n) \geq n(k + p) \geq 2p + 2.$$

By computing we find

$$\left\{ f^n f^{(k)} \right\}^{(p+1)}(z) = A'd(d-1)\dots(d-p)(z - z_0)^{d-p-1},$$

hence  $\left\{ f^n f^{(k)} \right\}^{(p+1)}$  has a unique zero  $z_0$ . Take a zero  $\xi_0$  of  $f$ , then it is a zero of  $f^n$  with multiplicity at least  $2p + 2$ . It follows that  $\xi_0$  is a common zero of  $\left\{ f^n f^{(k)} \right\}^{(p)}$  and  $\left\{ f^n f^{(k)} \right\}^{(p+1)}$ , which further implies that  $\xi_0 = z_0$ . Therefore, we obtain  $\left\{ f^n f^{(k)} \right\}^{(p)}(z_0) = 0$ .

On the other hand, we get

$$\left\{ f^n f^{(k)} \right\}^{(p)}(z) = a^{(p)}(z) + A'd(d-1)\dots(d-p+1)(z - z_0)^{d-p},$$

which means

$$\left\{ f^n f^{(k)} \right\}^{(p)}(z_0) = a^{(p)}(z_0) \neq 0$$

since  $\deg(a) = p$ . This is contradictory to  $\left\{ f^n f^{(k)} \right\}^{(p)}(z_0) = 0$ .

If  $f$  has poles, we can express  $f$  by (2.1) again, and then find

$$f^n(z)f^{(k)}(z) = a(z) + \frac{C'(z - z_0)^l}{(z - \beta_1)^{N_1}(z - \beta_2)^{N_2} \dots (z - \beta_t)^{N_t}}, \quad (2.12)$$

where  $C'$  is a non-zero constant and  $l$  is a positive integer. We distinguish two cases to deduce contradictions.

**Case 1.**  $p \geq l$ . Since  $p \geq l$ , the expression (2.5) together with (2.12) implies that

$$(n + 1)N + kt + p = (n + 1)M - ks + \deg(g).$$

Therefore, we can also conclude (2.10), that is,  $N < M$ . Differentiating (2.12), we obtain

$$\left\{ f^n f^{(k)} \right\}^{(p+1)}(z) = \frac{g_2(z)}{(z - \beta_1)^{N_1+p+1} \dots (z - \beta_t)^{N_t+p+1}},$$

where  $g_2(z)$  is a polynomial of degree at most  $(p+1)t - (p-l+1)$ , and hence

$$(p+1)t - (p-l+1) \geq \deg(g_2) \geq (n+1)M - ks - (p+1)s.$$

where the last estimate follows from (2.6). Then we have

$$\frac{p-l}{n+1} < \frac{p+k+1}{n+1}s + \frac{p+1}{n+1}t - M \leq \left\{ \frac{p+k+1}{(n+1)(k+p)} + \frac{1}{n+1} - 1 \right\} M \quad (2.13)$$

since  $M \geq (k+p)s$ ,  $N \geq (p+1)t$ ,  $M > N$ . It follows that

$$\frac{p+k+1}{(n+1)(k+p)} + \frac{1}{n+1} \leq 1$$

since  $n \geq 2$ . Therefore, from (2.13) we conclude that  $p-l < 0$ , a contradiction with the assumption  $p \geq l$ .

**Case 2.**  $l > p$ . The expression (2.12) yields

$$\left\{ f^n f^{(k)} \right\}^{(p+1)}(z) = \frac{(z - z_0)^{l-p-1} g_3(z)}{(z - \beta_1)^{N_1+p+1} \dots (z - \beta_t)^{N_t+p+1}}, \quad (2.14)$$

where  $g_3(z)$  is a polynomial with  $\deg(g_3) \leq (p+1)t$ . We claim that  $z_0 \neq \alpha_i$  for each  $i$ . Otherwise, if  $z_0 = \alpha_i$  for some  $i$ , then (2.12) yields

$$a^{(p)}(z_0) = \left\{ f^n f^{(k)} \right\}^{(p)}(\alpha_i) = 0$$

because each  $\alpha_i$  is a zero of  $f^n f^{(k)}$  of multiplicity  $\geq n(k+p) \geq 2p+2$ . This is impossible since  $\deg(a) = p$ . Hence  $(z - z_0)^{l-p-1}$  is a factor of the polynomial  $g_0$  in (2.6). By (2.6) and (2.14), we conclude that

$$(p+1)t \geq \deg(g_3) \geq (n+1)M - ks - (p+1)s,$$

which is equivalent to

$$M \leq \frac{p+k+1}{n+1}s + \frac{p+1}{n+1}t. \quad (2.15)$$

If  $l \neq (n+1)N + kt + p$ , then (2.5) together with (2.12) implies

$$(n+1)N + kt + p \leq (n+1)M - ks + \deg(g),$$



so we get  $N < M$  from  $\deg(g) \leq k(s+t-1)$ . Therefore, by using the facts  $M \geq (k+p)s$ ,  $N \geq (p+1)t$ , (2.15) implies a contradiction

$$M < \left\{ \frac{p+k+1}{(n+1)(k+p)} + \frac{1}{n+1} \right\} M \leq M.$$

Hence  $l = (n+1)N + kt + p$ .

Now we must have  $N \geq M$ , otherwise, when  $N < M$ , we can deduce the contradiction  $M < M$  from (2.15). Comparing (2.6) with (2.14), we find

$$(p+k+1)(s+t-1) \geq \deg(g_0) \geq l - p - 1$$

since  $(z - z_0)^{l-p-1} | g_0$ , and hence

$$(n+1)N + kt + p = l \leq (p+k+1)s + (p+k+1)t - k,$$

which further yields

$$N < \frac{p+k+1}{n+1}s + \frac{p+1}{n+1}t.$$

Since  $M \geq (k+p)s$  and  $N \geq (p+1)t$ , it follows from (2.15) that

$$N < \frac{p+k+1}{(n+1)(k+p)}M + \frac{1}{n+1}N.$$

Hence  $N \geq M$  yields

$$N < \left\{ \frac{p+k+1}{(n+1)(k+p)} + \frac{1}{n+1} \right\} N. \quad (2.16)$$

Since  $n \geq 2$ , we obtain consequently

$$\frac{p+k+1}{(n+1)(k+p)} + \frac{1}{n+1} \leq 1.$$

Hence (2.16) yields  $N < N$ . This is a contradiction. Proof of Lemma 2.3 is completed.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $p \geq 0$  and  $n \geq 2$  be two integers such that  $p$  is divisible by  $n+1$ , and let  $a$  be a non-zero polynomial of degree  $p$ . If  $f$  is a non-constant rational function, then  $f^n f' - a$  has at least one zero.*

*Proof.* If  $f$  is a non-constant polynomial, then  $f' \neq 0$ . We consequently conclude that

$$\deg(f^n f') = (n+1)\deg(f) - 1 \neq p$$

since  $p$  is divisible by  $n+1$ . It follows that  $f^n f' - a$  is also a non-constant polynomial, so that  $f^n f' - a$  has at least one zero.

If  $f$  has poles, we can express  $f$  by (2.1) again, and then, by differentiating (2.1), we deduce that

$$f'(z) = \frac{(z - \alpha_1)^{m_1-1}(z - \alpha_2)^{m_2-1} \dots (z - \alpha_s)^{m_s-1} h(z)}{(z - \beta_1)^{n_1+1}(z - \beta_2)^{n_2+1} \dots (z - \beta_t)^{n_t+1}}, \quad (2.17)$$

where  $h(z)$  is a polynomial of form

$$h(z) = (M - N)z^{s+t-1} + \dots$$

From (2.1) and (2.17), we obtain

$$f^n f' = \frac{P}{Q},$$

in which

$$P(z) = A^n (z - \alpha_1)^{(n+1)m_1-1} (z - \alpha_2)^{(n+1)m_2-1} \dots (z - \alpha_s)^{(n+1)m_s-1} h(z),$$

$$Q(z) = (z - \beta_1)^{(n+1)n_1+1} (z - \beta_2)^{(n+1)n_2+1} \dots (z - \beta_t)^{(n+1)n_t+1}.$$

We suppose, to the contrary, that  $f^n f' - a$  has no zero. When  $M \neq N$ , we have

$$f^n f' = a + \frac{B}{Q} = \frac{P}{Q},$$

where  $B$  is a non-zero constant. Therefore, we obtain

$$\deg(P) = \deg(Qa + B) = \deg(Q) + p.$$

This implies that

$$(n+1)M - s + (s+t-1) = (n+1)N + t + p,$$

or equivalently

$$M - N = \frac{p+1}{n+1},$$

in which  $p$  is divisible by  $n+1$ . This is impossible since  $M - N$  is an integer.

If  $M = N$ , we can rewrite (2.1) as follows

$$f(z) = A + \frac{B'(z - \gamma_1)^{l_1}(z - \gamma_2)^{l_2} \dots (z - \gamma_r)^{l_r}}{(z - \beta_1)^{n_1}(z - \beta_2)^{n_2} \dots (z - \beta_t)^{n_t}},$$

where  $B'$  is a non-zero constant,  $\gamma_i$  are distinct with  $l_i \geq 1$ ,  $r \geq 0$  and

$$M' = l_1 + \dots + l_r < N.$$

Thus we find

$$f'(z) = \frac{(z - \gamma_1)^{l_1-1}(z - \gamma_2)^{l_2-1} \dots (z - \gamma_r)^{l_r-1} \tilde{h}(z)}{(z - \beta_1)^{n_1+1}(z - \beta_2)^{n_2+1} \dots (z - \beta_t)^{n_t+1}},$$

where  $\tilde{h}(z)$  is a polynomial of form

$$\tilde{h}(z) = (M' - N)z^{r+t-1} + \dots.$$

Similarly, since  $\deg(P) = \deg(Q) + p$  we have

$$nM + M' - r + (r + t - 1) = (n + 1)N + t + p = (n + 1)M + t + p,$$

that is,

$$M' = M + p + 1.$$

This is impossible since  $M' < N = M$ . Therefore,  $f^n f' - a$  has at least one zero.  $\square$

The following lemma is a direct consequence of a result from [61]:

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $n, k$  be two positive integers with  $n \geq 2$  and let  $a (\neq 0)$  be a polynomial. If  $f$  is a transcendental meromorphic function in  $\mathbb{C}$ , then  $f^n f^{(k)} - a$  has infinitely zeros.*

### 3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $D = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| < 1\}$ . For any point  $z_0$  in  $D$ , either  $a(z_0) = 0$  or  $a(z_0) \neq 0$  holds. For simplicity, we assume  $z_0 = 0$  and distinguish two cases.

**Case 1.**  $a(0) \neq 0$ . To the contrary, we suppose that  $\mathcal{F}$  is not normal at  $z_0 = 0$ . Then, by Lemma 2.1, there exist a sequence  $\{z_j\}$  of complex numbers with  $z_j \rightarrow 0$  ( $j \rightarrow \infty$ ); a sequence  $\{f_j\}$  of  $\mathcal{F}$ ; and a sequence  $\{\rho_j\}$  of positive numbers with  $\rho_j \rightarrow 0$  ( $j \rightarrow \infty$ ) such that

$$g_j(\xi) = \rho_j^{-\frac{k}{n+1}} f_j(z_j + \rho_j \xi)$$

converges uniformly to a non-constant meromorphic function  $g(\xi)$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  with respect to the spherical metric. Moreover,  $g(\xi)$  is of order at most 2. By Hurwitz's theorem, the zeros of  $g(\xi)$  have at least multiplicity  $k + m$ .

On every compact subset of  $\mathbb{C}$  which contains no poles of  $g$ , we have uniformly

$$\begin{aligned} & f_j^n(z_j + \rho_j \xi) f_j^{(k)}(z_j + \rho_j \xi) - a(z_j + \rho_j \xi) \\ &= g_j^n(\xi) g_j^{(k)}(\xi) - a(z_j + \rho_j \xi) \rightrightarrows g^n(\xi) g^{(k)}(\xi) - a(0). \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

If  $g^n g^{(k)} \equiv a(0)$ , then  $g$  has no zeros and poles. Then there exist constants  $c_i$  such that  $(c_1, c_2) \neq (0, 0)$ , and

$$g(\xi) = e^{c_0 + c_1 \xi + c_2 \xi^2}$$

since  $g$  is a non-constant meromorphic function of order at most 2. Obviously, this is contrary to the case  $g^n g^{(k)} \equiv a(0)$ . Hence we have  $g^n g^{(k)} \not\equiv a(0)$ .

By Lemma 2.3 and 2.5, the function  $g^n g^{(k)} - a(0)$  has two distinct zeros  $\xi_0$  and  $\xi_0^*$ . We choose a positive number  $\delta$  small enough such that  $D_1 \cap D_2 = \emptyset$  and such that  $g^n g^{(k)} - a(0)$  has no other zeros in  $D_1 \cup D_2$  except for  $\xi_0$  and  $\xi_0^*$ , where

$$D_1 = \{\xi \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\xi - \xi_0| < \delta\}, \quad D_2 = \{\xi \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\xi - \xi_0^*| < \delta\}.$$

By (3.1) and Hurwitz's theorem, there exist points  $\xi_j \in D_1$ ,  $\xi_j^* \in D_2$  such that

$$f_j^n(z_j + \rho_j \xi_j) f_j^{(k)}(z_j + \rho_j \xi_j) - a(z_j + \rho_j \xi_j) = 0,$$

and

$$f_j^n(z_j + \rho_j \xi_j^*) f_j^{(k)}(z_j + \rho_j \xi_j^*) - a(z_j + \rho_j \xi_j^*) = 0$$

for sufficiently large  $j$ .

By the assumption in Theorem 1.1,  $f_1^n f_1^{(k)}$  and  $f_j^n f_j^{(k)}$  share a IM for each  $j$ . It follows

$$f_1^n(z_j + \rho_j \xi_j) f_1^{(k)}(z_j + \rho_j \xi_j) - a(z_j + \rho_j \xi_j) = 0,$$

and

$$f_1^n(z_j + \rho_j \xi_j^*) f_1^{(k)}(z_j + \rho_j \xi_j^*) - a(z_j + \rho_j \xi_j^*) = 0.$$

By letting  $j \rightarrow \infty$ , and noting  $z_j + \rho_j \xi_j \rightarrow 0$ ,  $z_j + \rho_j \xi_j^* \rightarrow 0$ , we obtain

$$f_1^n(0) f_1^{(k)}(0) - a(0) = 0.$$

Since the zeros of  $f_1^n(\xi) f_1^{(k)}(\xi) - a(\xi)$  has no accumulation points, in fact we have

$$z_j + \rho_j \xi_j = 0, \quad z_j + \rho_j \xi_j^* = 0,$$

or equivalently

$$\xi_j = -\frac{z_j}{\rho_j}, \quad \xi_j^* = -\frac{z_j}{\rho_j}.$$

This contradicts with the facts that  $\xi_j \in D_1$ ,  $\xi_j^* \in D_2$ ,  $D_1 \cap D_2 = \emptyset$ . Thus  $\mathcal{F}$  is normal at  $z_0 = 0$ .

**Case 2.**  $a(0) = 0$ . We assume that  $z_0 = 0$  is a zero of  $a$  of multiplicity  $p$ . Then we have  $p \leq m$  by the assumption. Write  $a(z) = z^p b(z)$ , in which  $b(0) = b_p \neq 0$ . Since multiplicities of all zeros of  $a$  are divisible by  $n + 1$ , then  $d = p/(n + 1)$  is just a positive integer. Thus we obtain a new family of  $\mathcal{M}(D)$  as follows

$$\mathcal{H} = \left\{ \frac{f(z)}{z^d} \mid f \in \mathcal{F} \right\}.$$

We claim that  $\mathcal{H}$  is normal at 0.

Otherwise, if  $\mathcal{H}$  is not normal at 0, then by lemma 2.1 there exist a sequence  $\{z_j\}$  of complex numbers with  $z_j \rightarrow 0$  ( $j \rightarrow \infty$ ); a sequence  $\{h_j\}$  of  $\mathcal{H}$ ; and a sequence  $\{\rho_j\}$  of positive numbers with  $\rho_j \rightarrow 0$  ( $j \rightarrow \infty$ ) such that

$$g_j(\xi) = \rho_j^{-\frac{k}{n+1}} h_j(z_j + \rho_j \xi) \quad (3.2)$$

converges uniformly to a non-constant meromorphic function  $g(\xi)$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  with respect to the spherical metric, where  $g^\sharp(\xi) \leq 1$ ,  $\text{ord}(g) \leq 2$ , and  $h_j$  has the following form

$$h_j(z) = \frac{f_j(z)}{z^d}.$$

We will deduce contradiction by distinguishing two cases.

**Subcase 2.1.** There exists a subsequence of  $z_j/\rho_j$ , for simplicity we still denote it as  $z_j/\rho_j$ , such that  $z_j/\rho_j \rightarrow c$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $c$  is a finite number. Thus we have

$$F_j(\xi) = \frac{f_j(\rho_j \xi)}{\rho_j^{\frac{k}{n+1}+d}} = \frac{(\rho_j \xi)^d h_j(z_j + \rho_j(\xi - \frac{z_j}{\rho_j}))}{(\rho_j)^d (\rho_j)^{\frac{k}{n+1}}} \Rightarrow \xi^d g(\xi - c) = h(\xi),$$

and

$$F_j^n(\xi) F_j^{(k)}(\xi) - \frac{a(\rho_j \xi)}{\rho_j^p} = \frac{f_j^n(\rho_j \xi) f_j^{(k)}(\rho_j \xi) - a(\rho_j \xi)}{\rho_j^p} \Rightarrow h^n(\xi) h^{(k)}(\xi) - b_p \xi^p. \quad (3.3)$$

Noting  $p \leq m$ , it follows from Lemma 2.3 and 2.5 that  $h^n(\xi) h^{(k)}(\xi) - b_p \xi^p$  has two distinct zeros at least. Additionally, with similar discussion to the proof of Case 1, we can conclude that  $h^n(\xi) h^{(k)}(\xi) - b_p \xi^p \not\equiv 0$ . Let  $\xi_1$  and  $\xi_1^*$  be two distinct zeros of  $h^n(\xi) h^{(k)}(\xi) - b_p \xi^p$ . We choose a positive number  $\gamma$  properly, such that  $D_3 \cap D_4 = \emptyset$  and such that  $h^n(\xi) h^{(k)}(\xi) - b_p \xi^p$  has no other zeros in  $D_3 \cup D_4$  except for  $\xi_1$  and  $\xi_1^*$ , where

$$D_3 = \{\xi \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\xi - \xi_1| < \gamma\}, \quad D_4 = \{\xi \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\xi - \xi_1^*| < \gamma\}.$$

By (3.3) and Hurwitz's theorem, there exist points  $\zeta_j \in D_3$ ,  $\zeta_j^* \in D_4$  such that

$$f_j^n(\rho_j \zeta_j) f_j^{(k)}(\rho_j \zeta_j) - a(\rho_j \zeta_j) = 0,$$

and

$$f_j^n(\rho_j \zeta_j^*) f_j^{(k)}(\rho_j \zeta_j^*) - a(\rho_j \zeta_j^*) = 0$$

for sufficiently large  $j$ . By the similar arguments in Case 1, we obtain a contradiction.

**Subcase 2.2.** There exists a subsequence of  $z_j/\rho_j$ , for simplicity we still denote it as  $z_j/\rho_j$ , such that  $z_j/\rho_j \rightarrow \infty$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} f_j^{(k)}(z_j + \rho_j \xi) &= \left\{ (z_j + \rho_j \xi)^d h_j(z_j + \rho_j \xi) \right\}^{(k)} \\ &= (z_j + \rho_j \xi)^d h_j^{(k)}(z_j + \rho_j \xi) + \sum_{i=1}^k a_i (z_j + \rho_j \xi)^{d-i} h_j^{(k-i)}(z_j + \rho_j \xi) \\ &= (z_j + \rho_j \xi)^d \rho_j^{-\frac{nk}{n+1}} g_j^{(k)}(\xi) + \sum_{i=1}^k a_i (z_j + \rho_j \xi)^{d-i} \rho_j^{-\frac{nk}{n+1}+i} g_j^{(k-i)}(\xi), \end{aligned}$$

in which  $a_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, k)$  are all constants. Since  $z_j/\rho_j \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $b(z_j + \rho_j \xi) \rightarrow b_p$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & b_p \frac{f_j^n(z_j + \rho_j \xi) f_j^{(k)}(z_j + \rho_j \xi)}{a(z_j + \rho_j \xi)} - b_p \\ &= b_p \frac{(z_j + \rho_j \xi)^p g_j^n(\xi) g_j^{(k)}(\xi)}{b(z_j + \rho_j \xi)(z_j + \rho_j \xi)^p} + \sum_{i=1}^k b_p \frac{(z_j + \rho_j \xi)^p g_j^n(\xi) g_j^{(k-i)}(\xi)}{b(z_j + \rho_j \xi)(z_j + \rho_j \xi)^p} \left( \frac{\rho_j}{z_j + \rho_j \xi} \right)^i - b_p \\ &\Rightarrow g^n(\xi) g^{(k)}(\xi) - b_p \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

on every compact subset of  $\mathbb{C}$  which contains no poles of  $g$ . Since all zeros of  $f_j \in \mathcal{F}$  have at least multiplicity  $k + m$ , then multiplicities of zeros of  $g$  are at least  $k$ . Then from Lemma 2.3 and 2.5, the function  $g^n(\xi) g^{(k)}(\xi) - b_p$  has at least two distinct zeros. With similar discussion to the proof of Case 1, we can get a contradiction.

Hence the claim is proved, that is,  $\mathcal{H}$  is normal at  $z_0 = 0$ . Therefore, for any sequence  $\{f_t\} \subset \mathcal{F}$  there exist  $\Delta_r = \{z : |z| < r\}$  and a subsequence  $\{h_{t_k}\}$  of  $\{h_t(z) = f_t(z)/z^d\} \subset \mathcal{H}$  such that  $h_{t_k} \Rightarrow I$  or  $\infty$  in  $\Delta_r$ , where  $I$  is a meromorphic function. Next we distinguish two cases.

**Case A.** Assume  $f_{t_k}(0) \neq 0$  when  $k$  is sufficiently large. Then  $I(0) = \infty$ , and hence for arbitrary  $R > 0$ , there exists a positive number  $\delta$  with  $0 < \delta < r$  such that  $|I(z)| > R$  when  $z \in \Delta_\delta$ . Hence when  $k$  is sufficiently large, we have  $|h_{t_k}(z)| > R/2$ , which means that  $1/f_{t_k}$  is holomorphic in  $\Delta_\delta$ . In fact, when  $|z| = \delta/2$ ,

$$\left| \frac{1}{f_{t_k}(z)} \right| = \left| \frac{1}{h_{t_k}(z) z^d} \right| \leq M = \frac{2^{d+1}}{R\delta^d}.$$

By applying maximum principle, we have

$$\left| \frac{1}{f_{t_k}(z)} \right| \leq M$$

for  $z \in \Delta_{\delta/2}$ . It follows from Motel's normal criterion that there exists a convergent subsequence of  $\{f_{t_k}\}$ , that is,  $\mathcal{F}$  is normal at 0.

**Case B.** There exists a subsequence of  $f_{t_k}$ , for simplicity we still denote it as  $f_{t_k}$ , such that  $f_{t_k}(0) = 0$ . Then we get  $I(0) = 0$  since  $h_{t_k}(z) = f_{t_k}(z)/z^d \rightrightarrows I(z)$ , and hence there exists a positive number  $\rho$  with  $0 < \rho < r$  such that  $I(z)$  is holomorphic in  $\Delta_\rho$  and has a unique zero  $z = 0$  in  $\Delta_\rho$ . Therefore, we have  $f_{t_k}(z) \rightrightarrows z^d I(z)$  in  $\Delta_\rho$  since  $h_{t_k}$  converges spherically locally uniformly to a holomorphic function  $I$  in  $\Delta_\rho$ . Thus  $\mathcal{F}$  is normal at 0.

Similarly, we can prove that  $\mathcal{F}$  is normal at arbitrary  $z_0 \in D$ , hence  $\mathcal{F}$  is normal in  $D$ .

## 4 Proof of Corollary 1.1

By using Lemma 2.3 and 2.5, we find that if  $f$  is a non-constant meromorphic function which has only zeros of multiplicity at least  $k$ , then  $f^n f^{(k)} - a$  has at least two distinct zeros for a non-zero complex number  $a$ . Therefore, noting that  $a$  has no zeroes, we can verify that  $\mathcal{F}$  is normal in  $D$  by utilizing the same method in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

## 5 Proof of Theorem 1.2

Without loss of generality, we assume that  $D = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| < 1\}$  and  $z_0 = 0$ . Now we distinguish two cases by either  $a(0) = 0$  or  $a(0) \neq 0$ .

**Case 1.**  $a(0) \neq 0$ . To the contrary, we suppose that  $\mathcal{F}$  is not normal at 0. By using the notations in the proof of Theorem 1.1, we also obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & f_j^n(z_j + \rho_j \xi) f_j'(z_j + \rho_j \xi) - a(z_j + \rho_j \xi) \\ = & g_j^n(\xi) g_j'(\xi) - a(z_j + \rho_j \xi) \rightrightarrows g^n(\xi) g'(\xi) - a(0), \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

where  $g^n g^{(k)} \not\equiv a(0)$ .

By Lemma 2.4 and 2.5, the function  $g^n g' - a(0)$  has a zero  $\xi_2$ . By (5.1) and Hurwitz's theorem, there exist points  $\eta_j \rightarrow \xi_2$  ( $j \rightarrow \infty$ ) such that for sufficiently large  $j$ ,  $z_j + \rho_j \eta_j \in D$  and

$$f_j^n(z_j + \rho_j \eta_j) f_j'(z_j + \rho_j \eta_j) - a(z_j + \rho_j \eta_j) = 0,$$

which contradicts the assumption that  $f^n f' \neq a$ .

**Case 2.**  $a(0) = 0$ . By using the notations in the proof of Theorem 1.1, we also get the formulas (3.1)–(3.4). Therefore, with the similar method in Case 1, we can prove that  $\mathcal{F}$  is normal at  $z_0$ , and hence  $\mathcal{F}$  is normal in  $D$ .

## References

- [1] Alotaibi, A., On the zeros of  $af(f^{(k)})^n - 1$  for  $n \geq 2$ , *Comput. Methods Funct. Theory* 4(1) (2004), 227-235.

- [2] Bergweiler, W. and Eremenko, A., On the singularities of the inverse to a meromorphic function of finite order, *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana* 11 (1995), 355-373.
- [3] Chang, J. M. and Fang, M. L., Normality and shared functions of holomorphic functions and their derivatives, *Michigan Math. J.* 53(2005), 625-645.
- [4] Chang, J. M., Fang, M. L. and Zalcman, L., Normal families of holomorphic functions, *Illinois J. Math.* 48(1) (2004), 319-337.
- [5] Chen, B. Q. and Chen, Z. X., Meromorphic function sharing two sets with its difference operator, *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. (2)* 35(3) (2012), 765-774.
- [6] Chen, H. H. and Fang, M. L., On the value distribution of  $f^n f'$ , *Sci. China Ser. A* 38 (1995), 789-798.
- [7] Chen, H. H. and Gu, Y. X., An improvement of Marty's criterion and its applications, *Sci. China Ser. A* 36 (1993), 674-681.
- [8] Clunie, J., On integral and meromorphic functions, *J. London Math. Soc.* 37 (1962), 17-27.
- [9] Clunie, J., On a result of Hayman, *J. London Math. Soc.* 42 (1967), 389-392.
- [10] Dou, J., Qi, X. G. and Yang, L. Z., Entire functions that share fixed-points, *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. (2)* 34(2) (2011), 355-367.
- [11] Drasin, D., Normal families and Nevanlinna theory, *Acta Math.* 122 (1969), 231-263.
- [12] Gu, Y. X., On normal families of meromorphic functions, *Sci. Sinica, Series A* 4 (1978), 373-384.
- [13] Gu, Y. X., Sur les familles normales de fonctions méromorphes, *Sci. Sinica* 21 (1978), 431-445.
- [14] Gu, Y. X., A normal criterion of meromorphic families, *Sci. Sinica I* (1979), 267-274.
- [15] Gu, Y. X., Pang, X. C. and Fang, M. L., *Theory of Normal Families and its application*, Science Press, Beijing, 2007.
- [16] Hayman, W., Picard value of meromorphic functions and their derivatives, *Ann. of Math.* 70 (1959), 9-42.
- [17] Hayman, W., *Meromorphic Functions*, Clarendon Press, London, 1964.
- [18] Hayman, W., *Research problems in function theory*, Athlone Press (University of London), London, 1967.



- [19] Hennekemper, W., Über die Wertverteilung von  $(f^{k+1})^{(k)}$ , *Math. Z.* 177 (1981), 375-380.
- [20] Hu, P. C. and Meng, D. W., Normal criteria of meromorphic functions with multiple zeros, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 357 (2009), 323-329.
- [21] Jiang, Y. B. and Gao, Z. S., Normal families of meromorphic functions sharing a holomorphic function and the converse of the Bloch principle, *Acta Math. Sci.* 4 (2012), 1503-1512.
- [22] Jiang, Y. B. and Gao, Z. S., Normal families of meromorphic functions sharing values and functions, *J. Inequal. Appl.* 2011, 2011:72.
- [23] Kong, Y. Y. and Gan, H. L., The Borel radius and the S radius of the K-quasimeromorphic mapping in the unit disc, *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.* (2) 35(3) (2012), 819-827.
- [24] Li, S. Y. and Xie, H. C., On normal families of meromorphic functions (in Chinese), *Acta Math. Sinica* 29 (1986), 468-476.
- [25] Li, X. M. and Yi, H. X., On uniqueness theorems of meromorphic functions concerning weighted sharing of three values, *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.* (2) 33(1) (2010), 1-16.
- [26] Li, X. M. and Gao, L., Uniqueness results for a nonlinear differential polynomial, *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.* (2) 35(3) (2012), 727-743.
- [27] Li, Y. T. and Gu, Y. X., On normal families of meromorphic functions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 354 (2009), 421-425.
- [28] Liu, L. P., On normal families of meromorphic functions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 331 (2007), 177-183.
- [29] Meng, D. W. and Hu, P. C., Normality criteria of meromorphic functions sharing one value, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 381 (2011), 724-731.
- [30] Miranda, C., Sur un nouveau critère de normalité pour les familles de fonctions holomorphes, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* 63 (1935), 185-196.
- [31] Mues, E., Über ein problem von Hayman, *Math. Z.* 164 (1979), 239-259.
- [32] Oshkin, I. B., On a test for the normality of families of holomorphic functions, *Uspehi Mat. Nauk* 37(2) (1982), 221-222; *Russian Math. Surveys* 37(2) (1982), 237-238.
- [33] Pang, X. C., Normality conditions for differential polynomials (in Chinese), *Kexue Tongbao* 33 (1988), 1690-1693.

- [34] Pang, X. C., Bloch principle and normality criterion, *Sci. Sinica Ser. A* 11 (1988), 1153-1159; *Sci. China Ser. A* 32 (1989), 782-791.
- [35] Pang, X. C., On normal criterion of meromorphic functions, *Sci. China Ser. A* 33 (1990), 521-527.
- [36] Pang, X. C. and L. Zalcman, On theorems of Hayman and Clunie, *New Zealand J. Math.* 28 (1999), 71-75.
- [37] Qi, J. M., Ding, J. and Yang, L. Z., Normality criteria for families of meromorphic function concerning shared values, *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. (2)* 35(2) (2012), 449-457.
- [38] Schiff, J., *Normal Families*, Springer-Verlag Press, Berlin, 1993.
- [39] Schwick, W., Normal criteria for families of meromorphic function, *J. Anal. Math.* 52 (1989), 241-289.
- [40] Schwick, W., Exceptional functions and normality, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 29 (1997), 425-432.
- [41] Wang, J. P., A fundamental inequality of theory of meromorphic function and its applications, *Acta Math. Sinica. (Chinese Series)* 49(2) (2006), 443-450.
- [42] Wang, Y. F. and Fang, M. L., Picard values and normal families of meromorphic functions with multiple zeros, *Acta Math. Sinica. (Chinese Series)* 41 (1998), 743-748.
- [43] Xia, J. Y. and Xu, Y., Normal families of meromorphic functions with multiple values, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 354 (2009), 387-393.
- [44] Xia, J. Y. and Xu, Y., Normality criterion concerning sharing functions II. *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. (2)* 33(3) (2010), 479-486.
- [45] Xu, H. Y. and Zhan, T. S., On the existence of T-direction and Nevanlinna direction of K-quasi-meromorphic mapping dealing with multiple values, *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. (2)* 33(2) (2010), 281-294.
- [46] Xu, Y. and Chang, J. M., Normality criteria and multiple values II, *Ann. Pol. Math.* 102.1 (2011), 91-99.
- [47] Xue, G. F. and Pang, X. C., A criterion for normality of a family of meromorphic functions (in Chinese), *J. East China Norm. Univ. Natur. Sci. Ed.* 2 (1988), 15-22.
- [48] Yang, C. C. and Hu, P. C., On the value distribution of  $ff^{(k)}$ , *Kodai Math. J.* 19 (1996), 157-167.

- [49] Yang, C. C., Yang, L. and Wang, Y. F., On the zeros of  $f (f^{(k)})^n - a$ , Chinese Sci. Bull. 38 (1993), 2125-2128.
- [50] Yang, C. C. and Yi, H. X., Uniquess Theory of Meromorphic Funtions. Science Press/Kluwer Academic, Beijing/New York, 2003.
- [51] Yang, L., Normality for families of meromorphic functions, Sci. Sinica Ser. A 29 (1986), 1263-1274.
- [52] Yang, L., Value distribution Theory, Springer Press, Berlin, 1993.
- [53] Yang, L. and Yang, C. C., Angular distribution of values of  $ff'$ , Sci. China Ser. A 37 (1994), 284-294.
- [54] Yang, L. and Zhang, G. H., Recherches sur la normalité des familles de fonctions analytiques à des valeurs multiples, I. Un nouveau critère et quelques applications, Scientia Sinica, Series A 14 (1965), 1258-1271; II. Généralisations, *ibid.*, 15 (1966), 433-453.
- [55] Zalcman, L., A heuristic principle in complex function theory, Amer. Math. Monthly 82 (1975), 813-817.
- [56] Zalcman, L., Normal families: New perspectives, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 35 (1998) 215-230.
- [57] Zhang, Q. C., Normal families of meromorphic functions concerning shared values, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 338 (2008), 545-551.
- [58] Zhang, Q. C., Some normality criteria of meromorphic functions, Complex Var. Elliptic Equ. 53(8) (2008), 791-795.
- [59] Zhang, W. H., Value distribution of meromorphic functions concerning differential polynomial, Bull. Malays. Sci. Soc. 28 (2005), 117-123.
- [60] Zhang, Z. F. and Song, G. D., On the zeros of  $f (f^{(k)})^n - a(z)$ , Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. A 19(2) (1998), 275-282.
- [61] Zhang, Z. L. and Li, W., Picard exceptional values for two class differential polynomials, Acta Math. Sinica 34 (1994), 828-835.