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#### Abstract

This paper is concerned with a class of nonlinear diffusion equations coupled by the nonlinear boundary sources on the exterior domain of the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. We are interested in the critical curves which can describe the large time behavior of the solutions. It is shown that the critical global existence curve coincides with the critical Fujita curve for the system we considered.
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## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we deal with a class of nonlinear diffusion equations on the exterior domain of the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{array}{llr}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=\Delta u^{m}, & \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}=\Delta v^{n}, & x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B_{1}(0), t>0, \\
\nabla u^{m} \cdot \vec{\nu}=v^{\alpha}(x, t), & \nabla v^{n} \cdot \vec{\nu}=u^{\beta}(x, t), & x \in \partial B_{1}(0), t>0, \\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), & v(x, 0)=v_{0}(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B_{1}(0), \tag{1.3}
\end{array}
$$

where $m, n>1, \alpha, \beta \geq 0, N>2, B_{1}(0)$ is the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ with boundary $\partial B_{1}(0), \vec{\nu}$ is the inward normal vector on $\partial B_{1}(0)$, and $u_{0}(x), v_{0}(x)$ are nonnegative, suitably smooth and bounded functions with compact supports.

As well known that the equations in (1.1) are Newtonian filtration equations, they degenerate at the points where $u=0$. The local existence of solutions can be established by the standard method, see $[3,8,14]$. In this paper we mainly investigate the large time behavior of solutions, such as the global existence in time and blow-up in a finite time. In particular, we are interested in the critical exponents that may describe the large time behavior of solutions.

Since the begining work on critical exponent done by Fujita [4] in 1966, lots of Fujita type results are established for various of problems, see the survey papers [2, 6]. It was Glalaktionov

[^0]and Levine who first discussed the critical exponents for the one-dimensional nonlinear diffusion equations with boundary sources in [6]:
\[

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=\frac{\partial^{2} u^{m}}{\partial x^{2}}, & x>0, t>0, \\
\frac{\partial u^{m}}{\partial x}(0, t)=u^{\alpha}(0, t), & t>0, \\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), & x \in(0,+\infty) \tag{1.6}
\end{array}
$$
\]

here $m>1, \alpha \geq 0$. For the problems (1.4)-(1.6), they proved that $\alpha_{0}=(m+1) / 2, \alpha_{c}=m+1$. Here, we call $\alpha_{0}$ as the critical global existence exponent and $\alpha_{c}$ as the critical Fujita exponent respectively,
(i) if $0<\alpha<\alpha_{0}$, then every nontrivial nonnegative solution is global in time;
(ii) if $\alpha_{0}<\alpha<\alpha_{c}$, then the nontrivial nonnegative solutions blow up in a finite time;
(iii) if $\alpha>\alpha_{c}$, then the solutions exist globally for the small initial data and blow up in a finite time for the large initial data.

The results obtained by Galakionov and Levine were extended to the problems with fast diffusion, i.e., $0<m<1$ in [5, 10]. However, for the multi-dimentional case, the critical exponents for the nonlinear diffusion equation with the boundary sources remained open. In [12], Wang et al considered this problem on the exterior domain $\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B_{1}(0)$, namely, the system (1.1)-(1.3) with $m=n, \alpha=\beta, N>2$, they obtained that $\alpha_{0}=\alpha_{c}=m$, which indicates that the critical global existence exponent coincides with the critical Fujita exponent for the multi-dimensional case.

Instead of the critical global existence exponent and the critical Fujita exponent, there are the critical global existence curve and the critical Fujita curve for the coupled system, they were proposed by Deng et al in the study of the heat equations coupled by nonlinear boundary sources, see [1]. For the one-dimensional nonlinear diffusion equations, Quirós and Rossi [11] considered the coupled Newtonian filtration equations as follows

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=\frac{\partial^{2} u^{m}}{\partial x^{2}}, \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}=\frac{\partial^{2} v^{n}}{\partial x^{2}}, & x>0, t>0, \\
-\frac{\partial u^{m}}{\partial x}(0, t)=v^{\alpha}(0, t), \quad-\frac{\partial v^{n}}{\partial x}(0, t)=u^{\beta}(0, t), & t>0, \\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), \quad v(x, 0)=v_{0}(x), & x>0 .
\end{array}
$$

They showed that the critical global existence curve is given by $\alpha \beta=(m+1)(n+1) / 4$ and the critical Fujita curve is given by $\min \left\{\alpha_{1}+\beta_{1}, \alpha_{2}+\beta_{2}\right\}=0$, where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha_{1}=\frac{2 \alpha+n+1}{(m+1)(n+1)-4 \alpha \beta}, & \beta_{1}=\frac{\alpha(m-1-2 \beta)+(n+1) m}{(m+1)(n+1)-4 \alpha \beta}, \\
\alpha_{2}=\frac{2 \beta+m+1}{(m+1)(n+1)-4 \alpha \beta}, & \beta_{2}=\frac{\beta(n-1-2 \alpha)+(m+1) n}{(m+1)(n+1)-4 \alpha \beta} .
\end{array}
$$

The similar results were established in [9]. We see that these two critical curves don't coincide by some calculations.

The above papers motivate us to investigate the critical curves for the multi-dimensional system (1.1)-(1.3). The purpose of this present paper is to verify the phenomenon that the critical exponents $\alpha_{0}$ and $\alpha_{c}$ coincide for the single nonlinear diffuison equation (see [12]) also
occurs for the system (1.1)-(1.3). Namely, we prove that both the critical global existence curve and the critical Fujita curve are determined by $\alpha \beta=m n$ for the system (1.1)-(1.3).

Furthermore, by virtue of the radial symmetry of the exterior domain of the unit ball, we can extend our results to the following more general equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(|x|^{\lambda_{1}} u\right)=\operatorname{div}\left(|x|^{\lambda_{1}} \nabla u^{m}\right), \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(|x|^{\lambda_{2}} v\right)=\operatorname{div}\left(|x|^{\lambda_{2}} \nabla v^{n}\right), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B_{1}(0), t>0 \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}>2-N, N \geq 1$.
The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 is devoted to the large time behavior of solutions to the nonlinear boundary problem for the Newonian filtration equations, namely (1.1)-(1.3) and (1.7),(1.2),(1.3).

## 2 Main results and their proofs

In this section, we first introduce our results on the system of Newtonian filtration equations coupled by nonlinear boundary sources, then we give the proofs.

Theorem 2.1 For the system (1.1)-(1.3) with $N>2$, both the critical global existence curve and the critical Fujita curve are given by $\alpha \beta=m n$. Namely, if $0 \leq \alpha \beta<m n$, then every nonnegative solution $(u, v)$ of the system (1.1)-(1.3) exists globally in time; if $\alpha \beta>m n$, then the solutions with large initial data blow up in a finite time while the solutions with small initial data exist globally in time.

Theorem 2.2 Assume $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}>2-N, N \geq 1$. For the equation (1.7) with the initial and boundary conditions (1.2),(1.3), the critical global existence curve and the critical Fujita curve both are given by $\alpha \beta=m n$.

Before we give the proofs of Theorem2.1 and Theorem 2.2, we consider the problem

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=\frac{\partial^{2} u^{m}}{\partial r^{2}}+\frac{\tilde{\lambda}_{1}}{r} \frac{\partial u^{m}}{\partial r}, \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}=\frac{\partial^{2} v^{n}}{\partial r^{2}}+\frac{\tilde{\lambda}_{2}}{r} \frac{\partial v^{n}}{\partial r}, & r>1, t>0, \\
-\frac{\partial u^{m}}{\partial r}(1, t)=v^{\alpha}(1, t), \quad-\frac{\partial v^{n}}{\partial r}(1, t)=u^{\beta}(1, t), & t>0, \\
u(r, 0)=u_{0}(r), \quad v(r, 0)=v_{0}(r), & r>1, \tag{2.3}
\end{array}
$$

where $r=|x|, m, n>1, \alpha, \beta \geq 0, N \geq 1, \tilde{\lambda}_{1}, \tilde{\lambda}_{2}>1$, and $u_{0}(r), v_{0}(r)$ are nonnegative, nontrivial functions with compact supports. Clearly, the solution $(u, v)$ of the system (2.1)(2.3) with $\tilde{\lambda}_{1}=\tilde{\lambda}_{2}=N \tilde{\lambda}_{1} 1$ is also the solution of the system (1.1)-(1.3) if $u_{0}(x), v_{0}(x)$ are radially symmetrical. If $\tilde{\lambda}_{1}=\lambda_{1}+N-1, \tilde{\lambda}_{2}=\lambda_{2}+N-1$, the same facts also hold valid between the system (2.1)-(2.3) with the system (1.7), (1.2), (1.3). In order to obtain Theorem 2.1, Theorem 2.2 , we firstly show the following results on the system (2.1)-(2.3).

Proposition 2.1 If $0 \leq \alpha \beta<m n$, then all nonnegative solutions of the system (2.1)-(2.3) exist globally in time.

Proposition 2.2 If $\alpha \beta>m n$, then the nonnegative solution of the system (2.1)-(2.3) with large initial data blows up in a finite time.

Proposition 2.3 If $\alpha \beta>m n$, then every nonnegative nontrivial solution of the problem (2.1)(2.3) with small initial data exists globally.

Remark 2.1 Proposition 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3 make us to conclude that both the crtical global existence curve and the critical Fujita curve for the system (2.1)-(2.3) are determined by $\alpha \beta=m n$.

Now, we prove Propostion 2.1 - Proposition 2.3.
Proof of Proposition 2.1. We prove this proposition by constructing a kind of global upper solutions. Let

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
u_{1}(r, t)=(T+t)^{k_{1}} h_{1}\left(\xi_{1}\right), & \xi_{1}=\frac{r-1}{(T+t)^{l_{1}}}, \\
v_{1}(r, t)=(T+t)^{k_{2}} h_{2}\left(\xi_{2}\right), & \xi_{2}=\frac{r-1}{(T+t)^{l_{2}}}, \tag{2.5}
\end{array}
$$

where $T>0, k_{2}=k k_{1}$ with $k, k_{1}$ being the positive constants to be determined and

$$
l_{1}=\frac{1+k_{1}(m-1)}{2}, \quad l_{2}=\frac{1+k_{2}(n-1)}{2} .
$$

For $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}>0$, we take

$$
h_{1}\left(\xi_{1}\right)=\left(\frac{m-1}{m}\left(1-\xi_{1}\right)_{+}\right)^{1 /(m-1)}, \quad h_{2}\left(\xi_{2}\right)=\left(\frac{n-1}{n}\left(1-\xi_{2}\right)_{+}\right)^{1 /(n-1)} .
$$

Denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{2}(r, t)=r^{-\alpha_{1} / m} u_{1}(r, t), \quad v_{2}(r, t)=r^{-\alpha_{2} / n} v_{1}(r, t), \quad r>1, t>0 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}$ are given by the following:

$$
\alpha_{1}=\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
\tilde{\lambda}_{1}-1, & 1<\tilde{\lambda}_{1}<2, \\
\frac{1}{2} \tilde{\lambda}_{1}, & \tilde{\lambda}_{1} \geq 2 ;
\end{array} \quad \alpha_{2}=\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
\tilde{\lambda}_{2}-1, & 1<\tilde{\lambda}_{2}<2 \\
\frac{1}{2} \tilde{\lambda}_{2}, & \tilde{\lambda}_{2} \geq 2
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

We claim that $\left(u_{2}, v_{2}\right)$ is a upper solution to the problem (2.1)-(2.3). Firstly, we verify $u_{2}(r, t), v_{2}(r, t)$ satisfy the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{\partial u_{2}^{m}}{\partial r}(1, t) \geq v_{2}^{\alpha}(1, t), \quad-\frac{\partial v_{2}^{n}}{\partial r}(1, t) \geq u_{2}^{\beta}(1, t) . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the one hand, due to that

$$
\frac{\partial u_{1}^{m}}{\partial r}=(T+t)^{m k_{1}-l_{1}}\left(h_{1}^{m}\right)^{\prime}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \leq 0, \quad \frac{\partial u_{2}^{n}}{\partial r}=(T+t)^{n k_{2}-l_{2}}\left(h_{2}^{n}\right)^{\prime}\left(\xi_{2}\right) \leq 0
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\frac{\partial u_{2}^{m}}{\partial r}(1, t) & =\alpha_{1} u_{1}^{m}(1, t)-\frac{\partial u_{1}^{m}}{\partial r}(1, t) \\
& \geq \alpha_{1} u_{1}^{m}(1, t)=\alpha_{1}(T+t)^{k_{1} m}\left(\frac{m-1}{m}\right)^{m /(m-1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\frac{\partial v_{2}^{n}}{\partial r}(1, t) & =\alpha_{2} v_{1}^{n}(1, t)-\frac{\partial v_{1}^{n}}{\partial r}(1, t) \\
& \geq \alpha_{2} v_{1}^{n}(1, t)=\alpha_{2}(T+t)^{k_{2} n}\left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^{n /(n-1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, it is clear that

$$
\begin{gathered}
v_{2}^{\alpha}(1, t)=v_{1}^{\alpha}(1, t)=(T+t)^{k_{2} \alpha}\left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^{\alpha /(n-1)} \\
u_{2}^{\alpha}(1, t)=u_{1}^{\alpha}(1, t)=(T+t)^{k_{1} \alpha}\left(\frac{m-1}{m}\right)^{\alpha /(m-1)}
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus, the inequalities in (2.7) are valid if

$$
\begin{gather*}
\alpha_{1}\left(\frac{m-1}{m}\right)^{m /(m-1)}(T+t)^{k_{1} m} \geq\left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^{\alpha /(n-1)}(T+t)^{k_{2} \alpha},  \tag{2.8}\\
\alpha_{2}\left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^{n /(n-1)}(T+t)^{k_{2} n} \geq\left(\frac{m-1}{m}\right)^{\alpha /(m-1)}(T+t)^{k_{1} \beta} . \tag{2.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

Since $\alpha \beta<m n$, there exists a constant $k>0$, such that $\beta / n<k<m / \alpha$. For the above $k$ and $k_{2}=k_{1} k$, (2.8) and (2.9) hold for the large enough $T$.

Secondly, we verify that $u_{2}, v_{2}$ are the upper solutions to the equations in (2.1). A direct computation yields that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left(h_{1}^{m}\right)^{\prime \prime}\left(\xi_{1}\right)+\xi_{1} h_{1}^{\prime}\left(\xi_{1}\right)-\frac{1}{m-1} h_{1}\left(\xi_{1}\right)=0, & \xi_{1}>0, \\
\left(h_{2}^{n}\right)^{\prime \prime}\left(\xi_{2}\right)+\xi_{2} h_{2}^{\prime}\left(\xi_{2}\right)-\frac{1}{n-1} h_{2}\left(\xi_{2}\right)=0, & \xi_{2}>0
\end{array}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial t}-\frac{\partial^{2} u_{1}^{m}}{\partial r^{2}} & =(T+t)^{k_{1}-1}\left(k_{1} h_{1}\left(\xi_{1}\right)-l_{1} \xi_{1} h_{1}^{\prime}\left(\xi_{1}\right)-\left(h_{1}^{m}\right)^{\prime \prime}\left(\xi_{1}\right)\right) \\
& =(T+t)^{k_{1}-1}\left[\left(k_{1}-\frac{1}{m-1}\right) h_{1}\left(\xi_{1}\right)-\left(l_{1}-1\right) \xi_{1} h_{1}^{\prime}\left(\xi_{1}\right)\right] \\
\frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial t}-\frac{\partial^{2} v_{1}^{n}}{\partial r^{2}} & =(T+t)^{k_{2}-1}\left(k_{2} h_{2}\left(\xi_{2}\right)-l_{2} \xi_{2} h_{2}^{\prime}\left(\xi_{2}\right)-\left(h_{2}^{n}\right)^{\prime \prime}\left(\xi_{2}\right)\right) \\
& =(T+t)^{k_{2}-1}\left[\left(k_{2}-\frac{1}{n-1}\right) h_{2}\left(\xi_{2}\right)-\left(l_{2}-1\right) \xi_{2} h_{2}^{\prime}\left(\xi_{2}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

For the fixed $k \in(\beta / n, m / \alpha)$ and $k_{2}=k k_{1}$, we choose $k_{1}>0$ such that

$$
k_{1}>\max \left\{\frac{1}{m-1}, \frac{1}{k(n-1)}\right\}
$$

which yields $l_{1}>1, l_{2}>1$. Combing with that $h_{1}^{\prime}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \leq 0, h_{2}^{\prime}\left(\xi_{2}\right) \leq 0$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial t} \geq \frac{\partial^{2} u_{1}^{m}}{\partial r^{2}} \geq \frac{\partial^{2} u_{1}^{m}}{\partial r^{2}}+\frac{\tilde{\alpha}_{1}}{r} \frac{\partial u_{1}^{m}}{\partial r}, \quad \frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial t} \geq \frac{\partial^{2} v_{1}^{n}}{\partial r^{2}} \geq \frac{\partial^{2} v_{1}^{n}}{\partial r^{2}}+\frac{\tilde{\alpha}_{2}}{r} \frac{\partial v_{1}^{n}}{\partial r} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\tilde{\alpha}_{1}=\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
2-\tilde{\lambda}_{1}, & 1<\tilde{\lambda}_{1}<2, \\
0, & \tilde{\lambda}_{1} \geq 2
\end{array} \quad \tilde{\alpha}_{2}=\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
2-\tilde{\lambda}_{2}, & 1<\tilde{\lambda}_{2}<2 \\
0, & \tilde{\lambda}_{2} \geq 2
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial t} & =r^{\alpha_{1} / m} \frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial t} \\
\frac{\partial u_{1}^{m}}{\partial r} & =\alpha_{1} r^{\alpha_{1}-1} u_{2}^{m}+r^{\alpha_{1}} \frac{\partial u_{2}^{m}}{\partial r} \\
\frac{\partial^{2} u_{1}^{m}}{\partial r^{2}} & =r^{\alpha_{1}} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{2}^{m}}{\partial r^{2}}+2 \alpha_{1} r^{\alpha_{1}-1} \frac{\partial u_{2}^{m}}{\partial r}+\alpha_{1}\left(\alpha_{1}-1\right) r^{\alpha_{1}-2} u_{2}^{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting the above equalities into (2.10), we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
r^{\alpha_{1} / m} \frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial t} & \geq r^{\alpha_{1}} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{2}^{m}}{\partial r^{2}}+\left(2 \alpha_{1}+\tilde{\alpha}_{1}\right) r^{\alpha_{1}-1} \frac{\partial u_{2}^{m}}{\partial r}+\alpha_{1}\left(\alpha_{1}-1-\tilde{\alpha}_{1}\right) r^{\alpha_{1}-2} u_{2}^{m} \\
& \geq r^{\alpha_{1}}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u_{2}^{m}}{\partial r^{2}}+\frac{\tilde{\lambda}_{1}}{r} \frac{\partial u_{2}^{m}}{\partial r}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

due to that $\alpha_{1}-1-\tilde{\alpha}_{1} \geq 0$ and $2 \alpha_{1}+\tilde{\alpha}_{1}=\tilde{\lambda}_{1}$. The above inequality implies that for $r>1$

$$
\frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial t} \geq r^{\alpha_{1}(1-1 / m)}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u_{2}^{m}}{\partial r^{2}}+\frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{r} \frac{\partial u_{2}^{m}}{\partial r}\right) \geq \frac{\partial^{2} u_{2}^{m}}{\partial r^{2}}+\frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{r} \frac{\partial u_{2}^{m}}{\partial r}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\frac{\partial v_{2}}{\partial t} \geq \frac{\partial^{2} v_{2}^{n}}{\partial r^{2}}+\frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{r} \frac{\partial v_{2}^{n}}{\partial r}
$$

Finally, we verify the initial conditions that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{2}(r, 0) \geq u_{0}(r), \quad v_{2}(r, 0) \geq v_{0}(r) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
M_{1}=\max _{r>1} u_{0}(r), \quad M_{2}=\max _{r>1} v_{0}(r), \quad \operatorname{supp} u_{0}=\left[1, R_{1}\right], \quad \operatorname{supp} v_{0}=\left[1, R_{2}\right]
$$

Then, (2.11) holds provided with

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{2}\left(R_{1}, 0\right) \geq M_{1}, \quad v_{2}\left(R_{2}, 0\right) \geq M_{2} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

since that $u_{2}(r, 0)$ and $v_{2}(r, 0)$ are decreasing with respect to $r$. In fact, we can choose $T$ to be large such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{1}^{-\alpha_{1} / m} T^{k_{1}}\left(\frac{m-1}{m}\left(1-\frac{R_{1}-1}{T^{l_{1}}}\right)_{+}\right)^{1 /(m-1)} & \geq M_{1} \\
R_{2}^{-\alpha_{2} / n} T^{k_{2}}\left(\frac{n-1}{n}\left(1-\frac{R_{2}-1}{T^{l_{2}}}\right)_{+}\right)^{1 /(n-1)} & \geq M_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From the above, for large $T$ satisfying (2.8) and (2.12), $\left(u_{2}, v_{2}\right)$ given by (2.6) is a global upper solution of the problem (2.1)-(2.3) in the distribution sense. Then the solutions to (2.1)(2.3) are global in time by using the comparison principle. The proof is completed.

Proof of Proposition 2.2. The proposition is proved by constructing a kind of blow-up lower solutions of the system (2.1)-(2.3). For $r>1,0<t<T$, set

$$
\underline{u}(r, t)=(T-t)^{-\mu_{1}} f_{1}(\eta), \quad \underline{v}(r, t)=(T-t)^{-\mu_{2}} f_{2}(\eta), \quad \eta=(r-1)(T+t)
$$

where $T>0$ is a given constant,

$$
\mu_{1}=\frac{1}{m-1}+\frac{\alpha}{m(n-1)}, \quad \mu_{2}=\frac{m}{\alpha} \mu_{1}=\frac{m}{\alpha(m-1)}+\frac{1}{n-1} .
$$

Assume that $f_{1}, f_{2}$ satisfy $f_{1}, f_{2} \geq 0, f_{1}^{\prime}, f_{2}^{\prime} \leq 0,\left(f_{1}^{m}\right)^{\prime \prime},\left(f_{2}^{n}\right)^{\prime \prime} \geq 0$, by computation we can see that $(\underline{u}, \underline{v})$ with $\underline{u}(r, 0) \leq u_{0}(r), \underline{v}(r, 0) \leq v_{0}(r)$ is a lower solution of the system (2.1)-(2.3), if the functions $f_{1}(\eta), f_{2}(\eta)$ satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
& T^{2}\left(f_{1}^{m}\right)^{\prime \prime}+2 T \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_{1}}{r}\left(f_{1}^{m}\right)^{\prime}-\mu_{1}(T-t)^{\mu_{1} m-\mu_{1}-1} f_{1}(\eta) \geq 0  \tag{2.13}\\
& T^{2}\left(f_{2}^{n}\right)^{\prime \prime}+2 T \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_{2}}{r}\left(f_{2}^{n}\right)^{\prime}-\mu_{2}(T-t)^{\mu_{2} n-\mu_{2}-1} f_{2}(\eta) \geq 0  \tag{2.14}\\
& -2 T(T-t)^{\mu_{2} \alpha-\mu_{1} m}\left(f_{1}^{m}\right)^{\prime}(0) \leq f_{2}^{\alpha}(0)  \tag{2.15}\\
& -2 T(T-t)^{\mu_{1} \beta-\mu_{2} n}\left(f_{2}^{n}\right)^{\prime}(0) \leq f_{1}^{\beta}(0) \tag{2.16}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $r>1$ and

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\mu_{1} m-\mu_{1}-1=\frac{\alpha(m-1)}{m(n-1)}>0, & \mu_{2} n-\mu_{2}-1=\frac{m}{\alpha(m-1)}>0 \\
\mu_{2} \alpha-\mu_{1} m=\frac{m}{\alpha} \mu_{1} \alpha-\mu_{1} m=0, & \mu_{1} \beta-\mu_{2} n=\mu_{1}\left(\beta-\frac{m}{\alpha} n\right)>0
\end{array}
$$

Thus, (2.13)-(2.16) hold if

$$
\begin{align*}
& T^{2}\left(f_{1}^{m}\right)^{\prime \prime}+2 T \tilde{\lambda}_{1}\left(f_{1}^{m}\right)^{\prime}-\mu_{1} T^{\mu_{1} m-\mu_{1}-1} f_{1}(\eta) \geq 0  \tag{2.17}\\
& T^{2}\left(f_{2}^{n}\right)^{\prime \prime}+2 T \tilde{\lambda}_{2}\left(f_{2}^{n}\right)^{\prime}-\mu_{2} T^{\mu_{2} n-\mu_{1}-1} f_{2}(\eta) \geq 0  \tag{2.18}\\
& -2 T\left(f_{1}^{m}\right)^{\prime}(0) \leq f_{2}^{\alpha}(0)  \tag{2.19}\\
& -2 T^{\mu_{1} \beta-\mu_{2} n+1}\left(f_{2}^{n}\right)^{\prime}(0) \leq f_{1}^{\beta}(0) \tag{2.20}
\end{align*}
$$

Take

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{1}(\eta)=A_{1}(B-\eta)_{+}^{1 /(m-1)}, \quad f_{2}(\eta)=A_{2}(B-\eta)_{+}^{1 /(n-1)}, \quad \eta>0 \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{1}, A_{2}$ are positive constants to be determined, and

$$
B=\min \left\{\frac{T}{4(m-1) \tilde{\lambda}_{1}}, \frac{T}{4(n-1) \tilde{\lambda}_{2}}, \frac{m T^{3+\mu_{1}-\mu_{1} m}}{2(m-1)^{2} \mu_{1}}, \frac{n T^{3+\mu_{2}-\mu_{2} n}}{2(n-1)^{2} \mu_{2}}\right\}
$$

In the following, we verify that the above $f_{1}, f_{2}$ satisfy (2.17)-(2.20). Substituting (2.21) into (2.17) and (2.18) yields that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
A_{1}^{m} \frac{m}{(m-1)^{2}} T^{2}-A_{1}^{m} \tilde{\lambda}_{1} \frac{m}{m-1} 2 T(B-\eta)_{+}-A_{1} \alpha_{1} T^{\alpha_{1} m-\alpha_{1}-1}(B-\eta)_{+} \geq 0 \\
A_{2}^{n} \frac{n}{(n-1)^{2}} T^{2}-A_{2}^{n} \tilde{\lambda}_{2} \frac{n}{n-1} 2 T(B-\eta)_{+}-A_{2} \alpha_{2} T^{\alpha_{2} n-\alpha_{2}-1}(B-\eta)_{+} \geq 0
\end{array}
$$

which can be obtained by the following

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{1}^{m} \frac{m}{(m-1)^{2}} T^{2} & \geq \frac{1}{2} A_{1}^{m} \tilde{\lambda}_{1} \frac{m}{m-1} 2 T B, & A_{1}^{m} \frac{m}{(m-1)^{2}} T^{2} \geq \frac{1}{2} A_{1} \mu_{1} T^{\mu_{1} m-\mu_{1}-1} B \\
A_{2}^{n} \frac{n}{(n-1)^{2}} T^{2} & \geq \frac{1}{2} A_{2}^{n} \tilde{\lambda}_{2} \frac{n}{n-1} 2 T B, & A_{2}^{n} \frac{n}{(n-1)^{2}} T^{2} \geq \frac{1}{2} A_{2} \alpha_{2} T^{\mu_{2} n-\mu_{2}-1} B
\end{aligned}
$$

The choice of $B$ makes us to conclude that the above inequalities hold for $A_{1}, A_{2}>1$. This indicated that $f_{1}, f_{2}$ satisfy (2.17) and (2.18).

Next, substitute (2.21) into (2.19) and (2.20), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
2 T \frac{m}{m-1} B^{1 /(m-1)} A_{1}^{m} & \leq B^{\alpha /(n-1)} A_{2}^{\alpha}  \tag{2.22}\\
2 T^{\mu_{1} \beta-\mu_{2} n+1} \frac{n}{n-1} B^{1 /(n-1)} A_{2}^{n} & \leq B^{\beta /(m-1)} A_{1}^{\beta} \tag{2.23}
\end{align*}
$$

Thanks to the assumption $\alpha \beta>m n$, there exists a constant $k$, such that $m / \alpha<k<\beta / n$. Let $A_{2}=A_{1}^{k}$, then (2.22) and (2.23) are equivalent to the following

$$
\begin{align*}
2 T \frac{m}{m-1} B^{1 /(m-1)} A_{1}^{m} & \leq B^{\alpha /(n-1)} A_{1}^{k \alpha}  \tag{2.24}\\
2 T^{\mu_{1} \beta-\mu_{2} n+1} \frac{n}{n-1} B^{1 /(n-1)} A_{1}^{k n} & \leq B^{\beta /(m-1)} A_{1}^{\beta} \tag{2.25}
\end{align*}
$$

Choose $A_{1}$ large enough to satisfy (2.24) and (2.25), then we get (2.19) and (2.20).
Therefore, the solution $(u, v)$ of the system $(2.1)-(2.3)$ blows up in a finite time if $\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)$ is large enough such that

$$
u_{0}(r) \geq \underline{u}(r, 0), \quad v_{0}(r) \geq \underline{v}(r, 0), \quad r>1
$$

The proof is completed.
Proof of Proposition 2.3. In fact, we can show the precent proposition is valid for all $\alpha \beta \neq m n$. Let

$$
\bar{u}(r, t)=\left(B_{1} r^{1-\tilde{\lambda}_{1}}\right)^{1 / m}, \quad \bar{v}(r, t)=\left(B_{2} r^{1-\tilde{\lambda}_{2}}\right)^{1 / n}, \quad r>1, t>0
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{1} & =\left(\tilde{\lambda}_{1}-1\right)^{-m n /(m n-\alpha \beta)}\left(\tilde{\lambda}_{2}-1\right)^{-m \alpha /(m n-\alpha \beta)} \\
B_{2} & =\left(\tilde{\lambda}_{1}-1\right)^{-n \beta /(m n-\alpha \beta)}\left(\tilde{\lambda}_{2}-1\right)^{-m n /(m n-\alpha \beta)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By some calculations, we get

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial t}-\frac{\partial^{2} \bar{u}^{m}}{\partial r^{2}}-\frac{\tilde{\lambda}_{1}}{r} \frac{\partial \bar{u}^{m}}{\partial r}=0, & \frac{\partial \bar{v}}{\partial t}-\frac{\partial^{2} \bar{v}^{n}}{\partial r^{2}}-\frac{\tilde{\lambda}_{2}}{r} \frac{\partial \bar{v}^{n}}{\partial r}=0, & r>1, t>0 \\
-\frac{\partial \bar{u}^{m}}{\partial r}(1, t)=\bar{v}^{\alpha}(1, t), & -\frac{\partial \bar{v}^{n}}{\partial r}(1, t)=\bar{u}^{\beta}(1, t), & t>0
\end{array}
$$

That is to say that $(\bar{u}, \bar{v})$ is a stationary solution of the problem (2.1)-(2.3). Due to the comparison principle, for any initial value $\left(u_{0}(r), v_{0}(r)\right)$ which is small enough to satisfy

$$
u_{0}(r) \leq \bar{u}(r, 0), \quad v_{0}(r) \leq \bar{v}(r, 0), \quad r>1
$$

the solutions of the system (2.1)-(2.3) exist globally in time.
Now, we prove the main result for the system (1.1)-(1.3), i.e., Theorem 2.1.
Proof of Theorem 2.1 Noticing that the functions $u_{0}(x), v_{0}(x)$ have compact supports, we can choose two bounded, radially symmetrical functions, denoted by $u_{1}(x)=u_{1}(|x|) \geq$
$u_{0}(x), v_{1}(x)=v_{1}(|x|) \geq v_{0}(x)$. By using Proposition 2.1 and the comparison principle, we can obtain the global existence of solutions for the system (1.1)-(1.3). However, for the large and radially symmetric functions $\underline{u}(|x|, 0), \underline{v}(|x|, 0)$ defined in the proof of Proposition 2.2 , if $\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)$ is large enough such that $u_{0}(x) \geq \underline{u}(|x|, 0), v_{0}(x) \geq \underline{v}(|x|, 0)$, then the solutions of the system (1.1)-(1.3) with $\alpha \beta>m n$ blow up by the comparison principle and Proposition 2.2. This clarifies that the critical global existence curve is $\alpha \beta=m n$ for the system (1.1)-(1.3).

On the other hand, using the comparison principle again, we conclude that the solution $(u, v)$ of (1.1)-(1.3) with

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0}(x) \leq\left(B_{1}|x|^{2-N}\right)^{1 / m}, \quad v_{0}(x) \leq\left(B_{2}|x|^{2-N}\right)^{1 / n}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} \backslash B_{1}(0) \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
B_{1}=(N-2)^{-m(n+\alpha) /(m n-\alpha \beta)}, \quad B_{2}=(N-2)^{-n(m+\beta) /(m n-\alpha \beta)}
$$

exists globally for $\alpha \beta>m n$ by Proposition 2.3. This combined with Proposition 2.2 indicates that the critical Fujita curve $\alpha \beta=m n$ for the system (1.1)-(1.3).

Proof of Theorem 2.2 By virtue of the same discussion in the proof of Theorem 2.1, if we prove this theorem by taking $\tilde{\lambda}_{1}=\lambda_{1}+N-1, \tilde{\lambda}_{2}=\lambda_{2}+N-1$ in the system (2.1)-(2.3), and replacing (2.26) with

$$
u_{0}(x) \leq\left(B_{1}|x|^{\lambda_{1}+2-N}\right)^{1 / m}, \quad v_{0}(x) \leq\left(B_{2}|x|^{\lambda_{2}+2-N}\right)^{1 / n}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
B_{1}=\left(\lambda_{1}+N-2\right)^{-m n /(m n-\alpha \beta)}\left(\lambda_{2}+N-2\right)^{-m \alpha /(m n-\alpha \beta)} \\
B_{2}=\left(\lambda_{1}+N-2\right)^{-n \beta /(m n-\alpha \beta)}\left(\lambda_{2}+N-2\right)^{-m n /(m n-\alpha \beta)}
\end{gathered}
$$

The proof is complete.
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