The (k, ℓ) -rainbow index of random graphs*

Qingqiong Cai, Xueliang Li, Jiangli Song Center for Combinatorics and LPMC-TJKLC

Nankai University

Tianjin 300071, China

Email: cqqnjnu620@163.com, lxl@nankai.edu.cn, songjiangli@mail.nankai.edu.cn

Abstract

A tree in an edge-colored graph G is said to be a rainbow tree if no two edges on the tree share the same color. Given two positive integers k, ℓ with $k \geq 3$, the (k,ℓ) -rainbow index $rx_{k,\ell}(G)$ of G is the minimum number of colors needed in an edge-coloring of G such that for any set S of k vertices of G, there exist ℓ internally disjoint rainbow trees connecting S. This concept was introduced by Chartrand et. al., and there have been very few known results about it. In this paper, we establish a sharp threshold function for $rx_{k,\ell}(G_{n,p}) \leq k$ and $rx_{k,\ell}(G_{n,M}) \leq k$, respectively, where $G_{n,p}$ and $G_{n,M}$ are the usually defined random graphs.

Keywords: rainbow index, random graphs, threshold function

AMS subject classification 2010: 05C05, 05C15, 05C80, 05D40.

1 Introduction

All graphs in this paper are undirected, finite and simple. We follow [3] for graph theoretical notation and terminology not defined here. Let G be a nontrivial connected graph with an edge-coloring $c: E(G) \to \{1, 2, \dots, t\}, \ t \in \mathbb{N}$, where adjacent edges may be colored the same. A path of G is said to be a rainbow path if no two edges on the path have the same color. An edge-colored graph G is called rainbow connected if for every pair of distinct vertices of G there exists a rainbow path connecting them. The rainbow

^{*}Supported by NSFC No. 11371205 and 11071130.

connection number of a graph G, denoted by rc(G), is defined as the minimum number of colors that are needed in order to make G rainbow connected. For any two vertices u and v of G, a rainbow u-v geodesic in G is a rainbow u-v path of length d(u,v), where d(u,v) is the distance between u and v. The graph G is strongly rainbow connected if there exists a rainbow u-v geodesic for any pair of vertices u and v in G. Similarly, we define the strong rainbow connection number of a connected graph G, denoted by src(G), as the smallest number of colors that are needed in order to make G strongly rainbow connected. Clearly, we have $diam(G) \leq rc(G) \leq src(G) \leq m$, where diam(G) denotes the diameter of G and m is the number of edges of G. The rainbow k-connectivity of G, denoted by $rc_k(G)$, is defined as the minimum number of colors in an edge-coloring of G such that every two distinct vertices of G are connected by k internally disjoint rainbow paths. These concepts were introduced by Chartrand et al. in [7, 8]. Recently, a lot of relevant results have been published; see [5, 6, 10, 11, 12, 18]. The interested readers can see [16, 17] for a survey on this topic.

Here we recall the concept of generalized connectivity. Let G be a connected graph of order n and size m. For $S \subseteq V(G)$, an S-tree is a tree connecting the vertices of S. Suppose that $\{T_1, T_2, \dots, T_\ell\}$ is a set of S-trees. They are called internally disjoint if $E(T_i) \cap E(T_j) = \emptyset$ and $V(T_i) \cap V(T_j) = S$ for every pair of distinct integers i, j with $1 \le i, j \le \ell$ (note that the trees are vertex-disjoint in $G \setminus S$). For a set S of K vertices of K0, let K1 denote the maximum number of internally disjoint K2-trees in K3. The K4-connectivity K4 and K5 defined by K5, where the minimum is taken over all K5-element subsets K5 of K6. We refer to K6, and K6 for more details about the generalized connectivity.

A tree T in an edge-colored graph G is called a rainbow tree if no two edges of T have the same color. Given two positive integers k, ℓ with $1 \le k \le n$ and $1 \le \ell \le \kappa_k(G)$, the (k,ℓ) -rainbow index $rx_{k,\ell}(G)$ of G is the minimum number of colors needed in an edge-coloring of G such that for any set G of G vertices of G, there exist G internally disjoint rainbow G-trees. In particular, for G is the write G rather than G and call it the G-rainbow index. It is easy to see that G-rainbow connectivity. So the G-rainbow index can be viewed as a generalization of the rainbow connectivity. In the sequel, we always assume G is called a G-rainbow connectivity. In the sequel, we

The concept of (k, ℓ) -rainbow index was also introduced by Chartrand et al.; see [9]. They determined the k-rainbow index of all unicyclic graphs and the $(3, \ell)$ -rainbow index of complete graphs for $\ell = 1, 2$. In [4], we investigated the (k, ℓ) -rainbow index of complete graphs for every pair of integers k, ℓ . We proved that for every pair of positive integers k, ℓ with $k \geq 3$, there exists a positive integer $N = N(k, \ell)$ such that $rx_{k,\ell}(K_n) = k$ for

every integer $n \geq N$, which settled down the two conjectures in [9].

In this paper, we study the (k, ℓ) -rainbow index of random graphs and establish a sharp threshold function for the property $rx_{k,\ell}(G_{n,p}) \leq k$ and $rx_{k,\ell}(G_{n,M}) \leq k$, respectively, where $G_{n,p}$ and $G_{n,M}$ are defined as usual; see [2].

2 Basic notation on random graphs

The two most frequently occurring probability models of random graphs are $\mathcal{G}(n,p)$ and $\mathcal{G}(n,M)$. The model $\mathcal{G}(n,p)$ consists of all graphs on n vertices, in which the edges are chosen independently and randomly with probability p; whereas the model $\mathcal{G}(n,M)$ consists of all graphs on n vertices and M edges, in which each graph has the same probability. Let $G_{n,p}$, $G_{n,M}$ stand for random graphs from the models $\mathcal{G}(n,p)$ and $\mathcal{G}(n,M)$. We say that an event E = E(n) happens almost surely (or a.s. for short) if $\lim_{n\to\infty} Pr[E(n)] = 1$. Let K, G, H be three graphs on n vertices. A property Q is said to be monotone if whenever $G \subseteq H$ and G satisfies Q, then H also satisfies Q. Moreover, We call a property Q convex if whenever $K \subset G \subset H$, and K satisfies Q and H satisfies Q, then G also satisfies Q. For a graph property Q, a function p(n) is called a threshold function of Q if

- $\frac{p'(n)}{p(n)} \to 0$, then $G_{n,p'(n)}$ almost surely does not satisfy Q; and
- $\frac{p''(n)}{p(n)} \to \infty$, then $G_{n,p''(n)}$ almost surely satisfies Q.

Furthermore, p(n) is called a *sharp threshold function* of Q if there are two positive constants c and C such that

- for every $p'(n) \leq cp(n)$, $G_{n,p'(n)}$ almost surely does not satisfy Q; and
- for every $p''(n) \ge Cp(n)$, $G_{n,p''(n)}$ almost surely satisfies Q.

Similarly, we can define M(n) as a threshold function of Q in the model $\mathcal{G}(n, M)$; see [2].

It is well known that all monotone graph properties have a threshold function [2]. Obviously, for every pair of positive integers k, ℓ , the property that the (k, ℓ) -rainbow index is at most k is monotone, and thus has a threshold.

3 Main results

As Caro et al. pointed out, the random graph setting poses several intriguing questions. In [5], Caro et al. proved that $p = \sqrt{logn/n}$ is a sharp threshold for the property $rc(G_{n,p}) \leq 2$. This was generalized by Fujita et al. [10], who obtained that $p = \sqrt{logn/n}$

is a sharp threshold for the property $rc_k(G_{n,p}) \leq 2$ and $M = \sqrt{n^3 log n}$ is a sharp threshold for the property $rc_k(G_{n,M}) \leq 2$ for all integer $k \geq 1$. In this section, we employ similar methods to study the (k,ℓ) -rainbow index of random graphs $G_{n,p}$ and $G_{n,M}$.

Theorem 1. For every pair of positive integers k, ℓ with $k \geq 3$, $\sqrt[k]{\frac{\log_a n}{n}}$ is a sharp threshold function for the property $rx_{k,\ell}(G_{n,p}) \leq k$, where $a = \frac{k^k}{k^k - k!}$.

Proof. The proof will be two-fold. For the first part, we show that there exists a positive constant c_1 such that for every $p \ge c_1 \sqrt[k]{\frac{\log_a n}{n}}$, almost surely $rx_{k,\ell}(G_{n,p}) \le k$, which can be derived from the following two claims.

Claim 1: For any $c_1 \geq 3$, if $p \geq c_1 \sqrt[k]{\frac{\log_a n}{n}}$, then almost surely any k vertices in $G_{n,p}$ have at least $2k \log_a n$ common neighbors.

For any $S \subseteq V(G_{n,p})$ with |S| = k, let D(S) denote the event that the vertices in S have at least $2klog_an$ common neighbors. Then it suffices to prove that, for $p = c_1 \sqrt[k]{\frac{log_an}{n}}$, $Pr[\bigcap_S D(S)] \to 1$, as $n \to \infty$. Define X as the number of common neighbors of all the vertices in S. Then $X \sim Bin\left(n-k, (c_1\sqrt[k]{\frac{log_an}{n}})^k\right)$ and $E(X) = \frac{n-k}{n}c_1^klog_an$. Assume that $n > \frac{c_1^kk}{c_1^k-2k}$. Using the Chernoff Bound [1], we get that

$$\begin{split} Pr[\overline{D(S)}] &= Pr[X < 2klog_a n] \\ &= Pr[X < \frac{c_1^k(n-k)}{n}log_a n \left(1 - \frac{(c_1^k - 2k)n - c_1^k k}{c_1^k(n-k)}\right)] \\ &\leq e^{-\frac{c_1^k(n-k)}{2n}log_a n \left(\frac{(c_1^k - 2k)n - c_1^k k}{c_1^k(n-k)}\right)^2} \\ &< n^{-\frac{c_1^k(n-k)}{2n} \left(\frac{(c_1^k - 2k)n - c_1^k k}{c_1^k(n-k)}\right)^2}. \end{split}$$

Note that the assumption $n > \frac{c_1^k k}{c_1^{k} - 2k}$ ensures $\frac{(c_1^k - 2k)n - c_1^k k}{c_1^k (n - k)} > 0$. So we can apply the Chernoff Bound to scaling the above inequalities. The last inequality holds, since $1 < a = \frac{k^k}{k^k - k!} < e$ and then $\log_a n > \ln n$.

It follows from the union bound that

$$Pr[\bigcap_{S} D(S)] = 1 - Pr[\bigcup_{S} \overline{D(S)}]$$

 $\geq 1 - \sum_{S} Pr[\overline{D(S)}]$

$$> 1 - \binom{n}{k} n^{-\frac{c_1^k(n-k)}{2n} \left(\frac{(c_1^k - 2k)n - c_1^k k}{c_1^k(n-k)}\right)^2}$$

$$> 1 - n^{k - \frac{c_1^k(n-k)}{2n} \left(\frac{(c_1^k - 2k)n - c_1^k k}{c_1^k(n-k)}\right)^2}.$$

It is not hard to see that $c_1 > 3$ can guarantee $k - \frac{c_1^k(n-k)}{2n} \left(\frac{(c_1^k - 2k)n - c_1^k k}{c_1^k(n-k)} \right)^2 < 0$ for sufficiently large n. Then $\lim_{n \to \infty} 1 - n^{k - \frac{c_1^k(n-k)}{2n} \left(\frac{(c_1^k - 2k)n - c_1^k k}{c_1^k(n-k)} \right)^2} = 1$, which implies that $\lim_{n \to \infty} Pr[\bigcap_S D(S)] = 1$ as desired.

Claim 2: If any k vertices in $G_{n,p}$ have at least $2klog_a n$ common neighbors, then there exists a positive integer N = N(k) such that $rx_{k,\ell}(G_{n,p}) \leq k$ for every integer $n \geq N$.

Let $C = \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ be a set of k different colors. We color the edges of $G_{n,p}$ with the colors from C randomly and independently. For $S \subseteq V(G_{n,p})$ with |S| = k, define F(S) as the event that there exist at least ℓ internally disjoint rainbow S-trees. It suffices to prove that $\Pr[\bigcap_{S} F(S)] > 0$.

Suppose $S = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$. For any common neighbor u of the vertices in S, let T(u) denote the star with $V(T(u)) = \{u, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$ and $E(T(u)) = \{uv_1, uv_2, \dots, uv_k\}$. Set $\mathcal{T} = \{T(u)|u$ is a common neighbor of the vertices in S}. Then \mathcal{T} is a set of at least $2klog_a n$ internally disjoint S-trees. It is easy to see that q:=Pr[$T \in \mathcal{T}$ is a rainbow tree]= $\frac{k!}{k^k} < \frac{1}{2}$. So 1 - q > q. Define Y as the number of rainbow S-trees in \mathcal{T} . Then we have

$$Pr[\overline{F(S)}] \leq Pr[Y \leq \ell - 1]$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=0}^{\ell-1} {2k log_a n \choose i} q^i (1 - q)^{2k log_a n - i}$$

$$\leq (1 - q)^{2k log_a n} \sum_{i=0}^{\ell-1} {2k log_a n \choose i}$$

$$\leq (1 - q)^{2k log_a n} (1 + 2k log_a n)^{\ell-1}$$

$$= \frac{(1 + 2k log_a n)^{\ell-1}}{n^{2k}}.$$

It yields that

$$Pr[\bigcap_{S} F(S)] = 1 - Pr[\bigcup_{S} \overline{F(S)}]$$

$$\geq 1 - \sum_{S} Pr[\overline{F(S)}]$$

$$\geq 1 - \binom{n}{k} \frac{(1 + 2klog_{a}n)^{\ell-1}}{n^{2k}}$$

$$> 1 - \frac{(1 + 2klog_{a}n)^{\ell-1}}{n^{k}}.$$

Obviously, $\lim_{n\to\infty} 1 - \frac{(1+2k\log_a n)^{\ell-1}}{n^k} = 1$, and then $\lim_{n\to\infty} \Pr[\bigcap_S F(S)] = 1$. Thus there exists a positive integer N = N(k) such that $\Pr[\bigcap_S F(S)] > 0$ for every integer $n \geq N$.

For the other direction, we show that there exists a positive constant c_2 such that for every $p \leq c_2 \sqrt[k]{\frac{\log_a n}{n}}$, almost surely $rx_{k,\ell}(G_{n,p}) \geq k+1$.

It suffices to prove that for a sufficiently small constant c_2 , the random graph $G_{n,p}$ with $p = c_2 \sqrt[k]{\frac{\log_a n}{n}}$ almost surely contains a set S of k vertices satisfying

- (i) S is an independent set;
- (ii) the vertices in S have no common neighbors.

Clearly, for such S there exists no rainbow S-trees in any k-edge-coloring, which implies that $rx_{k,\ell}(G_{n,p}) \geq k+1$.

Fix a set H of $n^{1/(2k+1)}$ vertices in $G_{n,p}$ (we may and will assume that $n^{1/(2k+1)}/k$ is an integer). Let E_1 be the event that H is an independent set. Then

$$\Pr[E_1] = (1 - c_2 \sqrt[k]{\frac{\log_a n}{n}})^{\binom{n^{1/(2k+1)}}{2}} = 1 - o(1),$$

where o(1) denotes a function tending to 0 as n tends to infinity.

Partition H into t subsets H_1, H_2, \ldots, H_t arbitrarily, where $t = n^{1/(2k+1)}/k$ and $|H_1| = |H_2| = \ldots = |H_t| = k$. Let E_2 be the event that there exists some H_i without common neighbors in $V(G_{n,p})\backslash H$. Then, for sufficiently small c_2 ,

$$\Pr[E_2] = 1 - \left(1 - \left(1 - c_2^k \frac{\log_a n}{n}\right)^{n - n^{1/(2k+1)}}\right)^{n^{1/(2k+1)/k}} = 1 - o(1).$$

So, almost surely there exists some set H_i of k vertices satisfying properties (i) and (ii). Thus, for sufficiently small c_2 and every $p \leq c_2 \sqrt[k]{\frac{\log_a n}{n}}$, almost surely $rx_{k,\ell}(G_{n,p}) \geq k+1$. The proof is thus complete.

Next we will turn to another well-known random graph model $\mathcal{G}(n, M)$. We start with a useful lemma which reveals the relationship between $\mathcal{G}(n, p)$ and $\mathcal{G}(n, M)$. Set $N = \binom{n}{2}$.

Lemma 2. [2] If Q is a convex property and $p(1-p)N \to \infty$, then $G_{n,p}$ almost surely has Q if and only if for every fixed x, $G_{n,M}$ almost surely has Q, where $M = \lfloor pN + x (p(1-p)N)^{1/2} \rfloor$.

Clearly, the property that the (k, ℓ) -rainbow index of a given graph is at most k, is a convex property. By Theorem 1 and Lemma 2, we get the following result.

Corollary 3. For every pair of positive integers k, ℓ with $k \geq 3$, $M(n) = \sqrt[k]{n^{2k-1}log_a n}$ is a sharp threshold function for the property $rx_{k,\ell}(G_{n,M}) \leq k$, where $a = \frac{k^k}{k^k - k!}$.

Remark: If p is a threshold function for a given property Q, then so is λp for any positive constant λ . It follows that $p(n) = \sqrt[k]{\frac{\log n}{n}} \left(M(n) = \sqrt[k]{n^{2k-1} \log n} \right)$ is also a sharp threshold function for the property $rx_{k,\ell}(G_{n,p}) \leq k \ (rx_{k,\ell}(G_{n,M}) \leq k)$, which corresponds to the results in [10].

Acknowledgement. The authors are very grateful to the reviewers for their helpful comments and suggestions.

References

- [1] N. Alon, J.H. Spencer, The Probabilistic Method, John Wiley & Sons, 2004.
- [2] B. Bollobás, Random Graphs, Cambridge University Press, 2001.
- [3] J.A. Bondy, U.S.R. Murty, Graph Theory, GTM 244, Springer, 2008.
- [4] Q. Cai, X. Li, J. Song, Solutions to conjectures on the (k, ℓ) -rainbow index of complete graphs, Networks 62(2013), 220-224.
- [5] Y. Caro, A. Lev, Y. Roditty, Z. Tuza, R. Yuster, On rainbow connection, Electron. J. Combin. 15(1)(2008), R57.
- [6] L. Chandran, A. Das, D. Rajendraprasad, N. Varma, Rainbow connection number and connected dominating sets, J. Graph Theory 71(2)(2012), 206-218.
- [7] G. Chartrand, G. Johns, K. McKeon, P. Zhang, Rainbow connection in graphs, Math. Bohem. 133(2008), 85-98.

- [8] G. Chartrand, G. Johns, K. McKeon, P. Zhang, The rainbow connectivity of a graph, Networks 54(2)(2009), 75-81.
- [9] G. Chartrand, F. Okamoto, P. Zhang, Rainbow trees in graphs and generalized connectivity, Networks 55(2010), 360-367.
- [10] S. Fujita, H. Liu, C. Magnant, *Rainbow k-connection in dense graphs*, Electron. Notes Discrete Math. 38(2011), 361-366, or, J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput., to appear.
- [11] X. Huang, X. Li, Y. Shi, Note on the hardness of rainbow connections for planar and line graphs, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.(2), in press.
- [12] M. Krivelevich, R. Yuster, The rainbow connection of a graph is (at most) reciprocal to its minimum degree, J. Graph Theory 63(3)(2010), 185-191.
- [13] H. Li, X. Li, Y. Mao, On extremal graphs with at most two internally disjoint Steiner trees connecting any three vertices, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.(2), in press.
- [14] S. Li, W. Li, X. Li, The generalized connectivity of complete bipartite graphs, Ars Combin. 104(2012), 65-79.
- [15] S. Li, W. Li, X. Li, The generalized connectivity of complete equipartition 3-partite graphs, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.(2) 37(1)(2014), 103–121.
- [16] X. Li, Y. Shi, Y. Sun, Rainbow connections of graphs: A Survey, Graphs & Combin. 29(1)(2013), 1-38.
- [17] X. Li, Y. Sun, Rainbow Connections of Graphs, Springer Briefs in Math., Springer, New York, 2012.
- [18] X. Li, Y. Sun, On the strong rainbow connection of a graph, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.(2) 36(2)(2013), 299-311.