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#### Abstract

We study the Gauss map $G$ of surfaces of revolution in the 3-dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{E}^{3}$ with respect to the so called Cheng-Yau operator $\square$ acting on the functions defined on the surfaces. As a result, we establish the classification theorem that the only surfaces of revolution with Gauss map $G$ satisfying $\square G=A G$ for some $3 \times 3$ matrix $A$ are the planes, right circular cones, circular cylinders and spheres.


## 1. Introduction

The theory of Gauss map of a surface in a Euclidean space and a pseudoEuclidean space is always one of interesting topics and it has been investigated from the various viewpoints by many differential geometers ([2, 3, 8, $9,10,6,11,13,14,15,16,18,19,20,21])$.
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Let $M$ be a surface of the Euclidean 3 -space $\mathbb{E}^{3}$. The map $G: M \rightarrow$ $S^{2} \subset \mathbb{E}^{3}$ which sends each point of $M$ to the unit normal vector to $M$ at the point is called the Gauss map of the surface $M$, where $S^{2}$ is the unit sphere in $\mathbb{E}^{3}$ centered at the origin. It is well known that $M$ has constant mean curvature if and only if $\Delta G=\|d G\|^{2} G$, where $\Delta$ is the Laplace operator on M corresponding to the induced metric on $M$ from $\mathbb{E}^{3}([23])$. Surfaces whose Gauss map is an eigenfunction of Laplacian, that is, $\Delta G=\lambda G$ for some constant $\lambda \in R$, are the planes, circular cylinders and spheres ([6]).

Generalizing this equation, F. Dillen, J. Pas and L. Verstraelen ([11]) studied surfaces of revolution in a Euclidean 3 -space $\mathbb{E}^{3}$ such that its Gauss map G satisfies the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta G=A G, \quad A \in R^{3 \times 3} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a result, they proved ([11])

Proposition 1.1. Among the surfaces of revolution in $\mathbb{E}^{3}$, the only ones whose Gauss map satisfies (1.1) are the planes, the spheres and the circular cylinders.

Baikoussis and Blair also studied ruled surfaces in $\mathbb{E}^{3}$ and proved ([2])

Proposition 1.2. Among the ruled surfaces in $\mathbb{E}^{3}$, the only ones whose Gauss map satisfies (1.1) are the planes and the circular cylinders.

Generalized slant cylindrical surfaces (GSCS's) are natural extended notion of surfaces of revolution ([17]). Surfaces of revolution, cylindrical surfaces and tubes along a plane curve are special cases of GSCS's. In [19], the first author and B. Song proved that among the GSCS's in $\mathbb{E}^{3}$, the only ones
whose Gauss map satisfies (1.1) are the planes, the spheres and the circular cylinders.

The so-called Cheng-Yau operator $\square$ (or, $L_{1}$ ) is a natural extension of the Laplace operator $\Delta$ (cf. [1], [7]). Hence, following the condition (1.1), it is natural to ask as follows.

Question 1.3. Among the surfaces of revolution in a Euclidean 3-space $\mathbb{E}^{3}$, which one satisfy the following condition?

$$
\begin{equation*}
\square G=A G, \quad A \in R^{3 \times 3} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this paper, we give a complete answer to the above question.
Throughout this paper, we assume that all objects are smooth and connected, unless otherwise mentioned.

## 2. Cheng-Yau operator and Lemmas

Let $M$ be an oriented surface in $E^{3}$ with Gauss map $G$. We denote by $S$ the shape operator of $M$ with respect to the Gauss map $G$. For each $k=0,1$, we put $P_{0}=I, P_{1}=\operatorname{tr}(S) I-S$, where $I$ is the identity operator acting on the tangent bundle of M . Let us define an operator $L_{k}: C^{\infty}(M) \rightarrow C^{\infty}(M)$ by $L_{k}(f)=-\operatorname{tr}\left(P_{k} \circ \nabla^{2} f\right)$, where $\nabla^{2} f: \chi(M) \rightarrow \chi(M)$ denotes the selfadjoint linear operator metrically equivalent to the hessian of $f$. Then, up to signature, $L_{k}$ is the linearized operator of the first variation of the $(k+1)$-th mean curvature arising from normal variations of the surface. Note that the operator $L_{0}$ is nothing but the Laplace operator acting on $M$, i.e., $L_{0}=\Delta$ and $L_{1}=\square$ is called the Cheng-Yau operator introduced in [7].

Now, we state a useful lemma as follows ([1]).

Lemma 2.1. Let $M$ be an oriented surface in $E^{3}$ with Gaussian curvature $K$ and mean curvature $H$. Then, the Gauss map $G$ of $M$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\square G=\nabla K+2 H K G, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\nabla K$ denotes the gradient of $K$.

Now, using Lemma 2.1 we give some examples of surfaces with Gauss map satisfying (1.2).

## Examples.

(1) Flat surfaces. In this case, we have $\square G=0$, and hence flat surfaces satisfy $\square G=A G$ for some $3 \times 3$ matrix $A$. Note that the matrix $A$ must be singular.
(2) Spheres: $(x-a)^{2}+(y-b)^{2}+(z-c)^{2}=r^{2}$. In this case, we have $G=\frac{1}{r}(x-a, y-b, z-c)$ so the sphere satisfies $\square G=A G$ with $A=\frac{-1}{r^{3}} I$, where $I$ denotes the identity matrix.

## 3. Gauss map of surfaces of revolution

We consider a unit speed plane curve $C:(x(s), 0, z(s))$ with $x(s)>0$ in the $x z$ plane which is defined on an interval $I$. By rotating the curve $C$ around $z$-axis, we get a surface of revolution $M$, which is parametrized by

$$
\begin{equation*}
X(s, t)=(x(s) \cos t, x(s) \sin t, z(s)) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The adapted frame field $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, G\right\}$ on the surface of revolution $M$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
e_{1} & =X_{s}=\left(x^{\prime}(s) \cos t, x^{\prime}(s) \sin t, z^{\prime}(s)\right) \\
e_{2} & =\frac{1}{x} X_{t}=(-\sin t, \cos t, 0)  \tag{3.2}\\
G & =e_{1} \times e_{2}=\left(-z^{\prime} \cos t,-z^{\prime} \sin t, x^{\prime}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

The principal curvatures $k_{1}, k_{2}$ of $M$ with respect to the Gauss map $G$ are respectively ([12])

$$
\begin{align*}
& k_{1}=\left\langle S\left(e_{1}\right), e_{1}\right\rangle=x^{\prime} z^{\prime \prime}-x^{\prime \prime} z^{\prime}=\kappa, \\
& k_{2}=\left\langle S\left(e_{2}\right), e_{2}\right\rangle=\frac{z^{\prime}}{x} \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $S$ and $\kappa$ denote the shape operator of $M$ and the plane curvature of the plane curve $C$, respectively.

Since the parametrization $(x(s), 0, z(s))$ of the plane curve $C$ is of unit speed, there exists a smooth function $\theta=\theta(s)$ such that $x^{\prime}=\cos \theta$ and $z^{\prime}=\sin \theta$. Then, the Gaussian curvature $K$ and the mean curvature $H$ of $M$ are, respectively, given by

$$
\begin{gather*}
K=k_{1} k_{2}=\frac{\theta^{\prime}(s) \sin \theta}{x},  \tag{3.4}\\
2 H=k_{1}+k_{2}=\theta^{\prime}(s)+\frac{\sin \theta}{x} .
\end{gather*}
$$

Hence, the gradient $\nabla K$ of the Gaussian curvature $K$ of $M$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla K=K^{\prime}(s) e_{1} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
K^{\prime}(s)=\frac{1}{x^{2}}\left\{x \theta^{\prime \prime}(s) \sin \theta+x \theta^{\prime}(s)^{2} \cos \theta-\theta^{\prime}(s) \cos \theta \sin \theta\right\} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now suppose that the Gauss map $G$ of the surface of revolution $M$ satisfies for a $3 \times 3$ matrix $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\square G=A G \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that the Gauss map $G$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(s, t)=(-\sin \theta \cos t,-\sin \theta \sin t, \cos \theta) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, it follows from (2.1), (3.4) and (3.5) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{K^{\prime}(s) \cos \theta-2 K H \sin \theta\right\} \cos t \\
& =-a_{11} \sin \theta \cos t-a_{12} \sin \theta \sin t+a_{13} \cos \theta  \tag{3.9}\\
& \left\{K^{\prime}(s) \cos \theta-2 K H \sin \theta\right\} \sin t \\
& =-a_{21} \sin \theta \cos t-a_{22} \sin \theta \sin t+a_{23} \cos \theta \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
K^{\prime}(s) \sin \theta+2 K H \cos \theta=-a_{31} \sin \theta \cos t-a_{32} \sin \theta \sin t+a_{33} \cos \theta \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

First, we suppose that the set $J=\left\{s \in I \mid \theta^{\prime}(s) \neq 0\right\}$ is nonempty. Then $\theta(I)$ contains an interval, hence we get from (3.9)-(3.11) that $a_{12}=a_{13}=$ $a_{21}=a_{23}=a_{31}=a_{32}=0$ and $a_{11}=a_{22}$. Thus we obtain $A=\operatorname{diag}(\lambda, \lambda, \mu)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
K^{\prime}(s) \cos \theta-2 K H \sin \theta=-\lambda \sin \theta, \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
K^{\prime}(s) \sin \theta+2 K H \cos \theta=\mu \cos \theta \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that (3.12) and (3.13) are equivalent to the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K^{\prime}(s)=a \cos \theta \sin \theta, \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 K H=-a \sin ^{2} \theta+\mu, \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we put $a=\mu-\lambda$.
We prove the following lemma, which plays a crucial role in the proof of our main theorem.

Lemma 3.1. Let $M$ be a surface of revolution given by (3.1) with nonempty set $J=\left\{s \in I \mid \theta^{\prime}(s) \neq 0\right\}$. Suppose that the Gauss map $G$ of $M$ satisfies $\square G=A G$ for some $3 \times 3$ matrix $A$. Then $A$ is of the form $\lambda I$, where $I$ is an identity matrix.

Proof. The above discussions show that $A$ is a diagonal matrix of the form $A=\operatorname{diag}(\lambda, \lambda, \mu)$ for some constants $\lambda$ and $\mu$. We put $a=\mu-\lambda$. Then, it follows from (3.4), (3.6), (3.14) and (3.15) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \theta^{\prime \prime}(s) \sin \theta+x \theta^{\prime}(s)^{2} \cos \theta-\theta^{\prime}(s) \cos \theta \sin \theta=a x^{2} \cos \theta \sin \theta \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \theta^{\prime}(s)^{2} \sin \theta+\theta^{\prime}(s) \sin ^{2} \theta=\left(-a \sin ^{2} \theta+\mu\right) x^{2} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

By differentiating the both sides of (3.17) with respect to $s$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \theta^{\prime \prime}(s) \sin ^{2} \theta+2 x \theta^{\prime}(s) \theta^{\prime \prime}(s) \sin \theta+x \theta^{\prime}(s)^{3} \cos \theta+3 \theta^{\prime}(s)^{2} \sin \theta \cos \theta \\
& +2 a x^{2} \theta^{\prime}(s) \sin \theta \cos \theta=2 x \cos \theta\left(-a \sin ^{2} \theta+\mu\right) \tag{3.18}
\end{align*}
$$

If we substitute $\theta^{\prime \prime}(s)$ in (3.16) into (3.18), then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& -x^{2} \theta^{\prime}(s)^{3} \cos \theta+4 x \theta^{\prime}(s)^{2} \cos \theta \sin \theta+\left\{\cos \theta \sin ^{2} \theta+4 a x^{3} \cos \theta \sin \theta\right\} \theta^{\prime}(s)  \tag{3.19}\\
& +3 a x^{2} \cos \theta \sin ^{2} \theta-2 \mu x^{2} \cos \theta=0
\end{align*}
$$

Let us substitute $\theta^{\prime}(s)^{2}$ in (3.17) into (3.19). Then we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& 5 x \theta^{\prime}(s)^{2} \cos \theta \sin \theta+\left\{\cos \theta \sin ^{2} \theta+5 a x^{3} \cos \theta \sin \theta-\mu x^{3} \cot \theta\right\} \theta^{\prime}(s)  \tag{3.20}\\
& +3 a x^{2} \cos \theta \sin ^{2} \theta-2 \mu x^{2} \cos \theta=0
\end{align*}
$$

Once more, we substitute $\theta^{\prime}(s)^{2}$ in (3.17) into (3.20). Then we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta^{\prime}(s)=\frac{\gamma x^{2}}{\alpha x^{3}+\beta}, \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we put

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha(s)=-5 a \sin ^{2} \theta(s)+\mu, \beta(s)=4 \sin ^{3} \theta(s)  \tag{3.22}\\
& \gamma(s)=-2 a \sin ^{3} \theta(s)+3 \mu \sin \theta(s)
\end{align*}
$$

Now, we replace $\theta^{\prime}(s)$ in (3.17) with that in (3.21). Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{6} x^{6}+a_{3} x^{3}+a_{0}=0, \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we use the following notations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{6}(\theta)=25 a^{3} \cos ^{6} \theta+5 a^{2}(15 \lambda-8 \mu) \cos ^{4} \theta \\
& \quad+a(5 a-\mu)(4 \mu-15 \lambda) \cos ^{2} \theta+\lambda(5 a-\mu)^{2}  \tag{3.24}\\
& \quad a_{3}(\theta)=26 a^{2} \sin ^{7} \theta-19 a \mu \sin ^{5} \theta-4 \mu^{2} \sin ^{3} \theta \tag{3.25}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{0}(\theta)=-8 a \sin ^{8} \theta+4 \mu \sin ^{6} \theta . \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us differentiate (3.23) with respect to $s$. Here, we denote by $\dot{a}_{i}(\theta)$ the derivative of $a_{i}(\theta)$ with respect to $\theta, i=0,3,6$. Using $x^{\prime}=\cos \theta$ and $\theta^{\prime}(s)$ given by (3.21), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{6} x^{6}+b_{3} x^{3}+b_{0}=0, \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we denote

$$
\begin{gather*}
b_{6}(\theta)=6 \alpha \cos \theta a_{6}(\theta)+\gamma \dot{a_{6}}(\theta)  \tag{3.28}\\
b_{3}(\theta)=3 \alpha \cos \theta a_{3}(\theta)+6 \beta \cos \theta a_{6}(\theta)+\gamma \dot{a_{3}}(\theta) \tag{3.29}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{0}(\theta)=3 \beta \cos \theta a_{3}(\theta)+\gamma \dot{a_{0}}(\theta) . \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we compute $b_{i}(\theta)$ for $i=0,3,6$, then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& b_{6}(\theta)=\left\{1050 a^{4} \sin ^{8} \theta+\sum_{i=0}^{6} p_{i}(\lambda, \mu) \sin ^{i} \theta\right\} \cos \theta  \tag{3.31}\\
& b_{3}(\theta)=\left\{-214 a^{3} \sin ^{9} \theta+\sum_{i=0}^{7} q_{i}(\lambda, \mu) \sin ^{i} \theta\right\} \cos \theta \tag{3.32}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{0}(\theta)=\left\{440 a^{2} \sin ^{10} \theta+\sum_{i=0}^{8} r_{i}(\lambda, \mu) \sin ^{i} \theta\right\} \cos \theta \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{i}(\lambda, \mu), q_{i}(\lambda, \mu)$ and $r_{i}(\lambda, \mu)$ are respectively some polynomials in $\lambda$ and $\mu$.

Eliminating $x^{6}$, it follows from (3.23) and (3.27) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{3} x^{3}+c_{0}=0 \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{3}=a_{3} b_{6}-b_{3} a_{6}, c_{0}=a_{0} b_{6}-b_{0} a_{6} . \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Due to (3.24)-(3.26) and (3.31)-(3.33)3), we may compute $c_{3}$ and $c_{0}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{3}=\left\{32650 a^{6} \sin ^{15} \theta+\sum_{j=0}^{13} p_{3 j}(\lambda, \mu) \sin ^{j} \theta\right\} \cos \theta \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{0}=\left\{-19400 a^{5} \sin ^{16} \theta+\sum_{j=0}^{14} p_{0 j}(\lambda, \mu) \sin ^{j} \theta\right\} \cos \theta \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where each $p_{i j}(\lambda, \mu)(i=0,3)$ is a polynomial in $\lambda$ and $\mu$.
Let us replace $x^{3}$ in (3.23) with $x^{3}=-c_{0} / c_{3}$ given in (3.34). Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{6} c_{0}^{2}-a_{3} c_{0} c_{3}+a_{0} c_{3}^{2}=0 \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (3.31)-(3.33), (3.36) and (3.37), we may compute the leading terms of those in (3.38) as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{6} c_{0}^{2} & =-25(19400)^{2} a^{13} \sin ^{40} \theta+\text { lower degree terms in } \sin \theta \\
a_{3} c_{0} c_{3} & =26(19400)(32650) a^{13} \sin ^{40} \theta+\text { lower degree terms in } \sin \theta  \tag{3.39}\\
a_{0} c_{3}^{2} & =8(32650)^{2} a^{13} \sin ^{40} \theta+\text { lower degree terms in } \sin \theta
\end{align*}
$$

Hence we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{6} c_{0}^{2}-a_{3} c_{0} c_{3}+a_{0} c_{3}^{2} & =-17349480000 a^{13} \sin ^{40} \theta  \tag{3.40}\\
& + \text { lower degree terms in } \sin \theta
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\theta(I)$ contains an interval, together with (3.38), (3.40) shows that $a$ must be zero. Thus we have $\mu=\lambda$ and hence $A=\lambda I$. This completes the proof.

## 4. Main Theorems and Corollaries

Finally, we prove the main theorem as follows.

Theorem 4.1. Let $M$ be a surface of revolution. Then the Gauss map $G$ of $M$ satisfies $\square G=A G$ for some $3 \times 3$ matrix $A$ if and only if $M$ is an open part of the following surfaces:

1) a plane,
2) a right circular cone,
3) a circular cylinder,
4) a sphere.

Proof. We consider a surface of revolution $M$ obtained by rotating the unit speed plane curve $C:(x(s), 0, z(s))$ with $x(s)>0$ around $z$-axis which is defined on an interval $I$.

Suppose that the Gauss map $G$ of $M$ satisfies $\square G=A G$ for some $3 \times 3$ ma$\operatorname{trix} A$. For a function $\theta=\theta(s)$ satisfying $\left(x^{\prime}(s), z^{\prime}(s)\right)=(\cos \theta(s), \sin \theta(s))$, let us put $J=\left\{s \in I \mid \theta^{\prime}(s) \neq 0\right\}$.

We divide by two cases.
Case 1. Suppose that $J$ is nonempty. Then, as in the proof of Lemma 3.1 we have $A=\operatorname{diag}(\lambda, \lambda, \mu)$ with $a=\lambda-\mu$. Furthermore, Lemma 3.1 shows that $a=0$ that is, $\lambda=\mu$. Hence it follows from (3.14) and (3.15) that the Gaussian curvature $K$ is constant and the mean curvature $H$ satisfies $2 K H=\lambda$.

If $\lambda \neq 0$, then both of $K$ and $H$ are nonzero constant. Hence it follows from a well-known theorem (cf. [22]) that $M$ is an open part of a sphere. Using (3.17) and (3.21) with $a=0$, it can be directly shown that $\theta^{\prime}(s)$ is constant and $x(s)=r \sin \theta$ for a positive constant $r$. This shows that the profile curve $C$ is an open part of a half circle centered on the rotation axis of $M$. Thus, $M$ is an open portion of a round sphere.

If $\lambda=0$, then $K$ is constant with $2 K H=0$. Suppose that $K \neq 0$. Then we have $H=0$. But catenoids are the only minimal nonflat surfaces of revolution, of which Gaussian curvature $K$ are nonconstant. This contradiction shows that $K=0$. Thus $M$ is a flat surfaces of revolution. Therefore, $M$ is an open part of a plane, a right circular cone or a circular cylinder.

Case 2. Suppose that $J$ is empty. Then the profile curve $C$ of $M$ is a straight line. Thus, $M$ is an open part of a plane, a right circular cone or a circular cylinder.

The converse is obvious from (2.1).

Combining the results of [11, 19], the following characterization theorems can be obtained.

Corollary 4.2. Let $M$ be a surface of revolution. Then the following are equivalent.

1) $M$ is an open part of a round sphere.
2) The Gauss map $G$ of $M$ satisfies $\square G=A G$ for some nonsingular $3 \times 3$ matrix $A$.

Corollary 4.3. Let $M$ be a surface of revolution. Then the following are equivalent.

1) $M$ is an open part of a right circular cone.
2) The Gauss map $G$ of $M$ satisfies $\square G=A G$ for some $3 \times 3$ matrix $A$, but not satisfies $\Delta G=A G$ for any $3 \times 3$ matrix $A$.
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