# COVERING PROBLEMS FOR FUNCTIONS *n*-FOLD SYMMETRIC AND CONVEX IN THE DIRECTION OF THE REAL AXIS II.

LEOPOLD KOCZAN AND PAWEŁ ZAPRAWA

### Abstract

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  denote the class of all functions univalent in the unit disk  $\Delta \equiv \{\zeta \in \mathbb{C} : |\zeta| < 1\}$ and convex in the direction of the real axis. The paper deals with the subclass  $\mathcal{F}^{(n)}$  of these functions f which satisfy the property  $f(\varepsilon z) = \varepsilon f(z)$  for all  $z \in \Delta$ , where  $\varepsilon = e^{2\pi i/n}$ . The functions of this subclass are called *n*-fold symmetric. For  $\mathcal{F}^{(n)}$ , where *n* is odd positive integer, the following sets:  $\bigcap_{f \in \mathcal{F}^{(n)}} f(\Delta)$  - the Koebe set and  $\bigcup_{f \in \mathcal{F}^{(n)}} f(\Delta)$  - the covering set, are discussed. As corollaries, we derive the Koebe and the covering constants for  $\mathcal{F}^{(n)}$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  denote the class of all functions f which are univalent in  $\Delta \equiv \{\zeta \in \mathbb{C} : |\zeta| < 1\}$ , convex in the direction of the real axis and normalized by f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0. Recall that an analytic function f is said to be convex in the direction of the real axis if the intersection of  $f(\Delta)$  with each horizontal line is either a connected set or empty.

For a given subclass A of  $\mathcal{F}$  the following sets:  $\bigcap_{f \in A} f(\Delta)$  and  $\bigcup_{f \in A} f(\Delta)$  are called the Koebe set for A and the covering set for A. We denote them by  $K_A$  and  $L_A$  respectively. The radius of the largest disk with center at the origin contained in  $K_A$  is called the Koebe constant for A. Analogously, the radius of the smallest disk with center at the origin that contains  $L_A$  is called the covering constant for A.

In the class  $\mathcal{F}$  we consider functions which satisfy the property of *n*-fold symmetry:

$$f(\varepsilon z) = \varepsilon f(z)$$
 for all  $z \in \Delta$ ,

where  $\varepsilon = e^{2\pi i/n}$ . The subclass of  $\mathcal{F}$  consisting of *n*-fold symmetric functions is denoted by  $\mathcal{F}^{(n)}$ . By the definition, for every  $f \in \mathcal{F}^{(n)}$  a set  $f(\Delta)$  is *n*-fold symmetric, which means that  $f(\Delta) = \varepsilon f(\Delta)$ . In other words,  $f(\Delta)$  may be obtained as the union of rotations about a multiple of  $2\pi/n$  from a set  $f(\Delta) \cap \{w : \arg w \in [0, 2\pi/n]\}$ . From this reason the following notation is useful:

$$\Lambda_0 = \left\{ w : \arg w \in \left[0, \frac{2\pi}{n}\right] \right\} \quad , \quad \Lambda_j = \varepsilon^j \Lambda_0 \; , \; j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$$

and

$$\Lambda^* = \left\{ w : \arg w \in \left[ \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{\pi}{n}, \frac{\pi}{2} \right] \right\}$$

The main aim of the paper is to find the Koebe set and the covering set for the class  $\mathcal{F}^{(n)}$  when n is an odd positive integer. Similar problems in related classes were discussed, for instance, in [1], [2], [5] and in the papers of the authors [3], [4].

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 30C45; Secondary 30C80.

Key words and phrases. covering domain, Koebe domain, convexity in one direction, n-fold symmetry.

At the beginning let us consider the general properties of the Koebe sets and the covering sets for  $\mathcal{F}^{(n)}$ .

In [4] we proved that

**Theorem 1.** The sets  $K_{\mathcal{F}^{(n)}}$  and  $L_{\mathcal{F}^{(n)}}$ , for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , are symmetric with respect to both axes of the coordinate system.

**Theorem 2.** The sets  $K_{\mathcal{F}^{(n)}}$  and  $L_{\mathcal{F}^{(n)}}$ , for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , are *n*-fold symmetric.

To prove both the theorems it is enough to consider functions

(1) 
$$g(z) = f(\overline{z})$$

and

$$h(z) = -f(-z) .$$

Obviously,

(3) 
$$f \in \mathcal{F}^{(n)} \Leftrightarrow q, h \in \mathcal{F}^{(n)}$$

Moreover, if  $D = f(\Delta)$  then  $g(\Delta) = \overline{D}$ ,  $h(\Delta) = -D$ .

Taking (3) into account it is clear that the coordinate axes are the lines of symmetry for both the sets  $K_{\mathcal{F}^{(n)}}$  and  $L_{\mathcal{F}^{(n)}}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Furthermore,

**Lemma 1.** Each straight line  $\varepsilon^{j/4} \cdot \{\zeta = t, t \in R\}$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \ldots, 4n-1$  is the line of symmetry of  $K_{\mathcal{F}^{(n)}}$  and  $L_{\mathcal{F}^{(n)}}$  for every positive odd integer n.

### Proof.

Let n be a positive odd integer and let D be one of the two sets:  $K_{\mathcal{F}^{(n)}}$  or  $L_{\mathcal{F}^{(n)}}$ .

Since D is symmetric with respect to the real axis and the positive real half-axis contains one side of the sector  $\Lambda_0$ , each rotation of the real axis about a multiple of  $2\pi/n$  is the line of symmetry of D. Because of the equality

$$\{\zeta = t , t \in R\} \cdot \varepsilon^{1/2} = \{\zeta = t , t \in R\} \cdot \varepsilon^{(n+1)/2}$$

our claim is true for all even  $j, j = 0, 1, \dots, 4n - 1$ .

Let n = 4k + 1,  $k \ge 1$ . The bisector of  $\Lambda_{(n-1)/4}$  divides this sector into two subsectors: { $w : \arg w \in [\pi/2 - \pi/2n, \pi/2 + \pi/2n]$ } and { $w : \arg w \in [\pi/2 + \pi/2n, \pi/2 + 3\pi/2n]$ }. Hence the imaginary axis is the bisector of the former. For this reason each rotation of the imaginary axis about a multiple of  $2\pi/n$  is the line of symmetry of D. Moreover,

$$\{\zeta = it, t \in R\} \cdot \varepsilon^{1/2} = \{\zeta = it, t \in R\} \cdot \varepsilon^{(n+1)/2}$$
.

Hence our claim is valid also for all odd  $j, j = 0, 1, \ldots, 4n - 1$ .

If n = 4k + 3,  $k \ge 0$  then the bisector of  $\Lambda_{(n-3)/4}$  divides this sector into two subsectors: { $w : \arg w \in [\pi/2 - 3\pi/2n, \pi/2 - \pi/2n]$ } and { $w : \arg w \in [\pi/2 - \pi/2n, \pi/2 + \pi/2n]$ }. The imaginary axis is the bisector of the latter. Similar argument to the one for n = 4k + 1 completes the proof for this choice of n.

# **Theorem 3.** The sets $K_{\mathcal{F}^{(n)}}$ and $L_{\mathcal{F}^{(n)}}$ , for positive odd integers n, are 2n-fold symmetric.

### Proof.

Let D be one of the two sets:  $K_{\mathcal{F}^{(n)}}$  or  $L_{\mathcal{F}^{(n)}}$ . Let  $w_0 = |w_0|e^{i\varphi_0}$  be an arbitrary point belonging to the boundary of D such that  $\arg \varphi_0 \in [0, \pi/2n]$ .

It is sufficient to apply Lemma 1. Firstly, the symmetric point to  $w_0$  with respect to the straight line  $\varepsilon^{1/4} \cdot \{\zeta = t , t \in R\}$  is  $w_1 = |w_0|e^{i(\pi/n-\varphi_0)}$ . Secondly, the symmetric point to  $w_0$  with respect to the real axis is  $w_2 = |w_0|e^{-i\varphi_0}$ . Both points  $w_1$ ,  $w_2$  also belong to the boundary of D. Consequently,

$$w_1 = \varepsilon^{1/2} \cdot w_2 \; ,$$

which results in

$$D = \varepsilon^{1/2} \cdot D \ .$$

**Remark 1.** On the basis of this lemma, we can observe that in order to find sets K and L, it is enough to determine their boundaries only in the sector of the measure  $\pi/2n$ .

#### 2. Extremal polygons and functions

Let n be a fixed positive odd integer,  $n \ge 5$ , and let K denote the Koebe set for  $\mathcal{F}^{(n)}$ .

We denote by  $\mathcal{W}$ , the family of *n*-fold symmetric polygons W convex in the direction of the real axis and which have 2n sides and interior angles  $\pi + \pi/n$  and  $\pi - 3\pi/n$  alternately.

Suppose that  $w_* \in \partial K \cap \Lambda^*$ , where  $\partial K$  stands for the boundary of K. According to Theorem 1,  $-\overline{w_*} \in \partial K$ . Consider the straight horizontal line containing a segment  $I = \{-\lambda \overline{w_*} + (1-\lambda)w_* : \lambda \in (0,1)\}$ . There are two possibilities: the intersection of this line with K is either I or the empty set. Assume now that  $I \subset K$ . We shall see that the second case holds only if  $\operatorname{Re} w_* = 0$ .

Since K is n-fold symmetric, all points  $w_* \cdot \varepsilon^j$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$  belong to  $\partial K$ . On the one hand,  $w_* \in \Lambda^*$  means that  $w_*$  has the greatest imaginary part among points  $w_* \cdot \varepsilon^j$ . On the other hand,  $w_* \in \partial K$  means that there exists  $f_* \in \mathcal{F}^{(n)}$  such that  $w_* \in \partial f_*(\Delta)$ .

From the convexity of  $f_*$  in the direction of the real axis, at least one of the two horizontal rays emanating from  $w_*$  is disjoint from  $f_*(\Delta)$ . Since  $\{w_* - t : t \ge 0\} \cap K = I$ , it is a ray  $l = \{w_* + t : t \ge 0\}$  is disjoint from  $f_*(\Delta)$ . Taking into account the *n*-fold symmetry of  $f_*$ , all rays  $l \cdot \varepsilon^j$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$  are disjoint from  $f_*(\Delta)$ .

Observe that the point  $w_* \cdot \varepsilon^{(n+1)/2}$  has the lowest imaginary part among points  $w_* \cdot \varepsilon^j$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$ . Only if  $\arg w_* = \pi/2$ , this point is one of two points with the same imaginary part. The convexity in the direction of the real axis of  $f_*$  implies that one of two horizontal rays emanating from  $w_* \cdot \varepsilon^{(n+1)/2}$  is also disjoint from  $f_*(\Delta)$ . If this ray is of the form  $k_1 = \{w_* \cdot \varepsilon^{(n+1)/2} + t : t \ge 0\}$ , then  $(k_1 \cdot \varepsilon^j) \cap f_*(\Delta) = \emptyset$  and, consequently,  $f_*(\Delta)$ is included in a polygon of the family  $\mathcal{W}$ . Indeed, the rays l and  $k_1 \cdot \varepsilon^{(n-1)/2}$  form a sector with the vertex in  $w_*$  and the opening angle  $\pi + \pi/n$ . From the *n*-fold symmetry of  $f_*$  we obtain the polygon mentioned above. The conjugate angle to this opening angle is the vertex angle of the polygon at  $w_*$ . It is easy to check that the angle of the polygon between  $l \cdot \varepsilon$  and  $k_1 \cdot \varepsilon^{(n+1)/2}$  has the measure  $\pi - 3\pi/n$ .

But there is another possibility, i.e.  $k_2 \cap f_*(\Delta) = \emptyset$ , where  $k_2 = \{w_* \cdot \varepsilon^{(n+1)/2} - t : t \ge 0\}$ .

If  $\arg w_* = \pi/2$  the set  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{k_2 \cdot \varepsilon^j, j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$  consists of two parts: an unbounded part and a bounded one which is a regular *n*-gon, see Figure 1. A regular polygon is convex and it can be treated as the generalization of a set of the family  $\mathcal{W}$ . Every second side of this generalized polygon has the length 0.

If  $\arg w_* \in [\pi/2 - \pi/n, \pi/2)$  then the set  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{l \cdot \varepsilon^j, k_2 \cdot \varepsilon^j, j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$  is not bounded and it is not convex in the direction of the real axis. Since  $w_* \cdot \varepsilon \in \partial f_*(\Delta)$ , one of two horizontal rays emanating from this point is also disjoint from  $f_*(\Delta)$ . If  $m_1 = \{w_* \cdot \varepsilon + t : t \ge 0\}$  has no common points with  $f_*(\Delta)$  then  $w_* \notin \partial f_*(\Delta)$ , because  $\operatorname{Im}(w_*\varepsilon) < \operatorname{Im} w_*$  and  $\operatorname{Re}(w_*\varepsilon) < \operatorname{Re} w_*$ , a contradiction. For this reason  $m_2 \cap f_*(\Delta) = \emptyset$ , where  $m_2 = \{w_* \cdot \varepsilon - t : t \ge 0\}$ . Hence  $(m_2 \cdot \varepsilon^j) \cap f_*(\Delta) = \emptyset$ .

In this way we obtain 3n rays emanating from n points:  $w_* \cdot \varepsilon^j$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$ . Let us take three rays starting from  $w_*$ . These rays are:  $l = \{w_* + t : t \ge 0\}$ ,  $k_2\varepsilon^{-(n+1)/2} = \{w_* - t \cdot \varepsilon^{-(n+1)/2} : t \ge 0\}$  and  $m_2\varepsilon^{-1} = \{w_* - t \cdot \varepsilon^{-1} : t \ge 0\}$ . The angles between them and the positive real half-axis are equal to:  $0, -\pi/n, \pi - 2\pi/n$ . It means that l lies in the sector with the vertex in  $w_*$  and with the sides  $k_2\varepsilon^{-(n+1)/2}$  and  $m_2\varepsilon^{-1}$ . The opening angle of this sector is equal to  $\pi - 3\pi/n$ .

Consequently,  $f_*(\Delta)$  is included in a polygon generated by  $k_2\varepsilon^j$  and  $m_2\varepsilon^j$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$ . This polygon belongs to the family  $\mathcal{W}$ . Two examples of members of  $\mathcal{W}$  are shown in Figure 2.



FIGURE 1. Extremal *n*-gon for n = 5.



FIGURE 2. Extremal polygons for n = 5.

From now on, we assume that all members of  ${\mathcal W}$  are open sets. Let

(4) 
$$f_{\alpha}(z) = \int_{0}^{z} (1 - \zeta^{n} e^{-in\alpha})^{\frac{1}{n}} (1 + \zeta^{n} e^{-in\alpha/3})^{-\frac{3}{n}} d\zeta \quad , \quad \alpha \in \left[-\frac{3\pi}{n}, \frac{3\pi}{n}\right] \; ,$$

(5) 
$$g_{\alpha}(z) = \int_{0}^{z} (1 - \zeta^{n} e^{-in\alpha})^{\frac{1}{n}} (1 - \zeta^{n} e^{-i(n\alpha/3 + 5\pi/3)})^{-\frac{3}{n}} d\zeta \quad , \quad \alpha \in \left[-\frac{2\pi}{n}, \frac{4\pi}{n}\right]$$

We choose the principal branch of *n*-th root. Since the exponential function is periodic, in the above definitions we restrict the range of variability of  $\alpha$  to the intervals of length  $6\pi/n$ . The choice of these intervals depends on the properties of  $f_{\alpha}$  and  $g_{\alpha}$ . Some additional information will be given in Remark 2.

The definition of the family  $\mathcal{W}$  may be extended for n = 3. In this case the sets belonging to  $\mathcal{W}$  may be treated as generalized polygons. The measure of the angles is equal to  $4\pi/3$ and 0 alternately. These sets have the shape of an unbounded three-pointed star, see Figure 3. Moreover, for n = 3 the functions  $g_{\alpha}$  map  $\Delta$  onto these generalized polygons.

**Lemma 2.** All functions  $f_{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha \in \left[-\frac{3\pi}{n}, \frac{3\pi}{n}\right]$ , belong to  $\mathcal{F}^{(n)}$  for n = 4k + 1,  $k \ge 1$ . **Lemma 3.** All functions  $g_{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha \in \left[-\frac{2\pi}{n}, \frac{4\pi}{n}\right]$ , belong to  $\mathcal{F}^{(n)}$  for n = 4k + 3,  $k \ge 0$ .

Proof of Lemma 2.

At the beginning we shall show that the functions  $f_{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha \in [-3\pi/n, 3\pi/n]$  are univalent. Observe that

(6) 
$$f'_{\alpha}(z) = p(z) \cdot \frac{h(z)}{z}$$

where

$$p(z) = \left(\frac{1 - z^n e^{-ia}}{1 + z^n e^{-ib}}\right)^{1/r}$$



FIGURE 3. Extremal polygons for n = 3.

#### LEOPOLD KOCZAN AND PAWEŁ ZAPRAWA

and

$$h(z) = \frac{z}{(1 + z^n e^{-ib})^{2/n}}$$

with  $a = n\alpha$ ,  $b = n\alpha/3$ .

A Möbius function  $p_1(z) = (1-ze^{-ia})/(1+ze^{-ib})$ ,  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  satisfies the condition  $\operatorname{Re} e^{i\beta}p_1(z) > 0$  with some  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ ; hence, for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  the inequality  $\operatorname{Re} e^{i\beta}p(z) = \operatorname{Re} e^{i\beta}p_1(z^n)^{1/n} > 0$  holds with the same  $\beta$ . A function  $h_1(z) = z/(1+ze^{-ib})^2$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{R}$  is starlike, so is  $h(z) = \sqrt[n]{h_1(z^n)}$ . Combining these two facts with (6) we conclude that

$$\operatorname{Re} e^{i\beta} p(z) = \operatorname{Re} e^{i\beta} \frac{z f'_{\alpha}(z)}{h(z)} > 0$$

which means that  $f_{\alpha}$  is close-to-convex and consequently univalent.

Next, we claim that each polygon  $f_{\alpha}(\Delta)$  is a set which is convex in the direction of the real axis. It is sufficient to discuss the argument of the tangent line to  $\partial f_{\alpha}(\Delta)$ . Observe that

(7) 
$$\arg\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\varphi}\left(f_{\alpha}(e^{i\varphi})\right)\right) = \arg\left(f_{\alpha}'(e^{i\varphi})ie^{i\varphi}\right) = \frac{1}{n}\arg\left(1+e^{i(\pi+n\varphi-n\alpha)}\right) - \frac{3}{n}\arg\left(1+e^{i(n\varphi-n\alpha/3)}\right) + \frac{\pi}{2}+\varphi$$
.

Let  $\varphi \in [\alpha/3 - \pi/n, \alpha)$ . Then  $\pi + n\varphi - n\alpha$  as well as  $n\varphi - n\alpha/3$  are in  $[-\pi, \pi)$  and from (7) we get

(8) 
$$\arg\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\varphi}\left(f_{\alpha}(e^{i\varphi})\right)\right) = \frac{1}{2n}\left(\pi + n\varphi - n\alpha\right) - \frac{3}{2n}\left(n\varphi - n\frac{\alpha}{3}\right) + \frac{\pi}{2} + \varphi = \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2n}$$

The above means that the tangent for  $\varphi$  in  $(\alpha/3 - \pi/n, \alpha)$  has the constant argument  $\pi/2 + \pi/2n$ . Since  $f_{\alpha}(\Delta)$  is a polygon with angles measuring  $\pi + \pi/n$  and  $\pi - 3\pi/n$  alternately, the argument of the tangent line takes values  $\pi/2 + \pi/2n + 2j\pi/n$  and  $\pi/2 - \pi/2n + 2j\pi/n$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$  alternately. What is more, putting j = k in  $\pi/2 + \pi/2n + 2j\pi/n$ , we obtain the argument equal to  $\pi$  and putting j = 3k + 1 in  $\pi/2 - \pi/2n + 2j\pi/n$ , we obtain the argument equal to  $2\pi$ . Hence two of the sides of  $f_{\alpha}(\Delta)$  are horizontal; consequently  $f_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{F}^{(n)}$ . The proof of Lemma 3 is similar.

**Remark 2.** A polygon  $f_{\alpha}(\Delta)$  has vertices in points  $f_{\alpha}(e^{i\alpha}) \cdot \varepsilon^{j}$  and  $f_{\alpha}(e^{i(\alpha/3+\pi/n)}) \cdot \varepsilon^{j}$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$ . These vertices correspond to angles measuring  $\pi + \pi/n$  and  $\pi - 3\pi/n$  respectively. It is worth pointing out some particular cases of polygons belonging to  $\mathcal{W}$ . For  $\alpha = -3\pi/2n$  and  $\alpha = 3\pi/2n$  they become regular n-gons and for  $\alpha = -3\pi/n$ ,  $\alpha = 0$  and  $\alpha = 3\pi/n$  these sets are n-pointed stars symmetric with respect to the real axes. The functions  $f_{-3\pi/n}$ ,  $f_{0}$  and  $f_{3\pi/n}$  have real coefficients. In all other cases coefficients are nonreal. These particular functions are as follows:

$$f_{-3\pi/2n}(z) = \int_0^z (1+i\zeta^n)^{-\frac{2}{n}} d\zeta \quad , \quad f_{3\pi/2n}(z) = \int_0^z (1-i\zeta^n)^{-\frac{2}{n}} d\zeta \quad ,$$
$$f_0(z) = \int_0^z (1-\zeta^n)^{\frac{1}{n}} (1+\zeta^n)^{-\frac{3}{n}} d\zeta \quad , \quad f_{-3\pi/n}(z) = f_{3\pi/n}(z) = \int_0^z (1+\zeta^n)^{\frac{1}{n}} (1-\zeta^n)^{-\frac{3}{n}} d\zeta \quad .$$

Likewise, for  $\alpha = -\pi/2n$  and  $\alpha = 5\pi/2n$  the sets  $g_{\alpha}(\Delta)$  are regular n-gons, and for  $\alpha = -2\pi/n$ ,  $\alpha = \pi/n$  and  $\alpha = 4\pi/n$  these sets are n-pointed stars. These functions  $g_{\alpha}$  which map  $\Delta$  on n-pointed stars have real coefficients.

6

Let  $n = 4k + 1, k \ge 1$  be fixed. Let us denote by  $W_{\alpha}$  a set  $f_{\alpha}(\Delta)$  for a fixed  $\alpha \in [-3\pi/n, 3\pi/n]$ .

Observe that the following equalities hold:

$$f'_{-\alpha}(z) = \overline{f'_{\alpha}(\overline{z})} \quad \text{for} \quad \alpha \in \left[-\frac{3\pi}{n}, \frac{3\pi}{n}\right]$$

and

$$f'_{\frac{3\pi}{2n}-\gamma}(-z) = \overline{f'_{\frac{3\pi}{2n}+\gamma}(\overline{z})} \quad \text{for} \quad \gamma \in \left[0, \frac{3\pi}{2n}\right]$$

which means that

$$f_{-\alpha}(z) = \overline{f_{\alpha}(\overline{z})} \quad \text{for} \quad \alpha \in \left[-\frac{3\pi}{n}, \frac{3\pi}{n}\right]$$

and

$$-f_{\frac{3\pi}{2n}-\gamma}(-z) = \overline{f_{\frac{3\pi}{2n}+\gamma}(\overline{z})} \quad \text{for} \quad \gamma \in \left[0, \frac{3\pi}{2n}\right] \; .$$

Consequently,

$$W_{-\alpha} = \overline{W_{\alpha}}$$
 and  $-W_{\frac{3\pi}{2n}-\gamma} = \overline{W_{\frac{3\pi}{2n}+\gamma}}$ 

For this reason a polygon W as well as  $\overline{W}$  and  $-\overline{W}$  belong to the family W. From the geometric construction of polygons in W it follows that the ratio of lengths of any two adjacent sides of a polygon varies from 0 to infinity as  $\alpha$  is changing in  $[-3\pi/n, 3\pi/n]$ ; in each case a polygon is convex in the direction of the real axis. Multiplying sets  $W_{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha \in [-3\pi/n, 3\pi/n]$  by  $\lambda > 0$  we obtain all members of the set W.

We have proved one part of the following lemma (the second one can be proved analogously)

### Lemma 4.

1.  $\mathcal{W} = \{\lambda \cdot f_{\alpha}(\Delta), \lambda > 0, \alpha \in [-3\pi/n, 3\pi/n]\}$  for  $n = 4k + 1, k \ge 1$ , 2.  $\mathcal{W} = \{\lambda \cdot g_{\alpha}(\Delta), \lambda > 0, \alpha \in [-2\pi/n, 4\pi/n]\}$  for  $n = 4k + 3, k \ge 0$ .

3. Koebe sets for  $\mathcal{F}^{(n)}$ 

Let us define

$$F(\alpha) \equiv f_{\alpha}(e^{i\alpha}) \quad , \quad \alpha \in \left[-\frac{3\pi}{n}, \frac{3\pi}{n}\right]$$

and

$$G(\alpha) \equiv g_{\alpha}(e^{i\alpha}) \quad , \quad \alpha \in \left[-\frac{2\pi}{n}, \frac{4\pi}{n}\right]$$

From (4) and (5)

$$F(\alpha) = e^{i\alpha} \int_0^1 (1 - t^n)^{\frac{1}{n}} (1 + t^n e^{2in\alpha/3})^{-\frac{3}{n}} dt$$

and

$$G(\alpha) = e^{i\alpha} \int_0^1 (1 - t^n)^{\frac{1}{n}} (1 - t^n e^{i(2n\alpha/3 - 5\pi/3)})^{-\frac{3}{n}} dt \; .$$

It can be easily checked that

(9) 
$$\arg F(\alpha) = \alpha \quad \text{for} \quad \alpha \in \left\{ -\frac{3\pi}{n}, -\frac{3\pi}{2n}, 0, \frac{3\pi}{2n}, \frac{3\pi}{n} \right\} ,$$

(10) 
$$\arg G(\alpha) = \alpha \quad \text{for} \quad \alpha \in \left\{-\frac{2\pi}{n}, -\frac{\pi}{2n}, \frac{\pi}{n}, \frac{5\pi}{2n}, \frac{4\pi}{n}\right\}$$

**Theorem 4.** The Koebe set  $K_{\mathcal{F}^{(n)}}$ , for a fixed n = 4k + 1,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , is a bounded and 2n-fold symmetric domain such that

(11) 
$$\partial K_{\mathcal{F}^{(n)}} \cap \left\{ w : \arg w \in \left[ -\frac{\pi}{2n}, \frac{\pi}{2n} \right] \right\} = F\left( \left[ -\alpha_F, \alpha_F \right] \right) ,$$

where  $\alpha_F$  is the only solution of the equation

(12) 
$$\arg F\left(\alpha\right) = \frac{\pi}{2n}$$

in  $[0, 3\pi/2n]$ .

Proof.

Let K denote the Koebe set for  $\mathcal{F}^{(n)}$ .

Let us consider a polygon  $V_{\alpha} = f_{\alpha}(\Delta)$  belonging to  $\mathcal{W}$ , such that one of its vertices, let say  $v_*$ , lies in  $\Lambda^*$  (its argument is in  $[\pi/2 - \pi/n, \pi/2]$ ) and the interior angle at  $v_*$  has the measure  $\pi(1+1/n)$ . Suppose additionally that  $w_*$  is a point of the boundary of K such that  $\arg w_* = \arg v_*$  and  $|w_*| < |v_*|$ . We denote the quotient  $w_*/v_* = |w_*|/|v_*|$  by  $\lambda$ . Hence  $\lambda < 1$ . Since  $w_* \in \partial K$ , there exists  $f_* \in \mathcal{F}^{(n)}$  such that

(13) 
$$f_*(\Delta) \subset \lambda V_\alpha \subsetneq V_\alpha = f_\alpha(\Delta) \; .$$

Therefore,  $f_* \prec f_{\alpha}$  and  $1 = f'_*(0) \leq f'_{\alpha}(0) = 1$ . Consequently  $f_* = f_{\alpha}$ , which contradicts (13). It means that  $v_* = w_*$ , or in other words,  $w_*$  coincides with some vertex of  $f_{\alpha}(\Delta)$ . Hence  $w_*$ is equal to  $f_{\alpha}(e^{i\alpha})$  rotated about a multiple of  $2\pi/n$ , namely about  $2\pi/n \cdot (n-1)/4$ . However, it is true only for those  $\alpha$ , for which  $w_* = F(\alpha) \cdot \varepsilon^{(n-1)/4}$  is in  $\Lambda^*$ .

Observe that for  $\alpha \in [-3\pi/n, 3\pi/n]$  we have

$$F(-\alpha) = \overline{F(\alpha)} ,$$

that is,

$$\arg F(-\alpha) = -\arg F(\alpha)$$
.

From this and (9),(12) it follows that

$$\left\{F(\alpha)\cdot\varepsilon^{(n-1)/4}:\alpha\in\left[-\alpha_{F},\alpha_{F}\right]\right\}$$

is the boundary of the Koebe set for  $\mathcal{F}^{(n)}$  in  $\Lambda^*$ . Combining this with Theorem 3 the equality (11) follows.

Finally, we claim that  $\alpha_F$  is the only solution of (12) in  $[0, 3\pi/2n]$ . On the contrary, assume that there exist two different numbers  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in [0, 3\pi/2n]$  such that

$$\arg F(\alpha_1) = \arg F(\alpha_2)$$

or equivalently,

$$\arg f_{\alpha_1}(e^{i\alpha_1}) = \arg f_{\alpha_2}(e^{i\alpha_2})$$

The sets  $W_{\alpha_1} = f_{\alpha_1}(\Delta)$ ,  $W_{\alpha_2} = f_{\alpha_2}(\Delta)$  are polygons of the family  $\mathcal{W}$ . This and the definition of  $\mathcal{W}$  (or Lemma 4) result in

$$W_{\alpha_1} \subset W_{\alpha_2}$$
 or  $W_{\alpha_2} \subset W_{\alpha_1}$ .

The normalization of  $f_{\alpha_1}$  and  $f_{\alpha_2}$  leads to  $W_{\alpha_1} = W_{\alpha_2}$ . Hence  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$ , a contradiction. This means that (12) has only one solution in the set  $[0, 3\pi/2n]$ .

The above proof gives more. Namely, F is starlike for  $\alpha \in [-3\pi/2n, 3\pi/2n]$ . Moreover,

$$\arg F\left(\alpha + \frac{3\pi}{n}\right) = \arg F(\alpha) + \frac{3\pi}{n}$$

It implies that F is starlike for  $\alpha \in [-\pi, \pi]$ .

Furthermore, it is not difficult to see that there do not exist two different points in the set  $\partial K_{\mathcal{F}^{(n)}} \cap \Lambda^*$  with the same imaginary part. For contrary suppose that it is not the case, ie. there exist  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  such that  $v_1 \neq v_2$ ,  $v_1, v_2 \in \partial K_{\mathcal{F}^{(n)}} \cap \Lambda^*$  and  $\operatorname{Im} v_1 = \operatorname{Im} v_2$ .

With use of an argument similar to those in the proof of previous theorem we can see that there exist two polygons  $V_1, V_2 \in \mathcal{W}$  with vertices  $v_1, v_2$  respectively. The angles at these vertices have the same measure. Hence the sides of these polygons are pairwise parallel and if  $\operatorname{Re} v_1 < \operatorname{Re} v_2$  then  $V_1 \subset V_2$ . This means that there exist  $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{F}^{(n)}$  that  $f_1(\Delta) = V_1$ ,  $f_2(\Delta) = V_2$  and  $f_1 \prec f_2$ . But the normalization of  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  is the same, hence  $f_1 = f_2$ ; a contradiction.

**Theorem 5.** The Koebe set  $K_{\mathcal{F}^{(n)}}$ , for a fixed n = 4k + 3,  $k \ge 0$  is a bounded and 2n-fold symmetric domain such that

(14) 
$$\partial K_{\mathcal{F}^{(n)}} \cap \left\{ w : \arg w \in \left[ \frac{\pi}{2n}, \frac{3\pi}{2n} \right] \right\} = G\left( \left[ \alpha_G, \frac{2\pi}{n} - \alpha_G \right] \right) ,$$

where  $\alpha_G$  is the only solution of the equation

(15) 
$$\arg G\left(\alpha\right) = \frac{\pi}{2n}$$

in  $[-\pi/2n, \pi/n]$ .

## Proof.

A consideration similar to the above shows that  $\alpha_G$  is the only solution of the equation (15) in  $[-\pi/2n, \pi/n]$ .

Suppose that  $w_* \in \partial K \cap \Lambda^*$ . The analogous argument to this in the proof of Theorem 4 yields that K is contained in some polygon W of the family  $\mathcal{W}$ .

Let  $g_*$  be a function from  $\mathcal{F}^{(n)}$  for which  $w_* \in \partial g_*(\Delta)$ . We have  $g_*(\Delta) \subset W = g_\alpha(\Delta)$  for some  $\alpha \in [-\pi/2n, 5\pi/n]$ . For this reason  $g_* \prec g_\alpha$ , but taking into account the normalization of both functions we obtain  $g_* = g_\alpha$ . Hence  $w_* = g_\alpha(e^{i\alpha}) \cdot \varepsilon^{(n-3)/4}$ , but only if  $w_* \in \Lambda^*$ .

For  $\alpha \in [-\pi/2n, \pi/n]$ ,

$$G\left(\frac{2\pi}{n} - \alpha\right) = \varepsilon \overline{G\left(\alpha\right)} ,$$

and so

$$\arg G\left(\frac{2\pi}{n} - \alpha\right) = \frac{2\pi}{n} - \arg G\left(\alpha\right)$$
.

From this, (10) and (15), we conclude that

$$\left\{G(\alpha)\cdot\varepsilon^{(n-3)/4}:\alpha\in\left[\alpha_G,\frac{2\pi}{n}-\alpha_G\right]\right\}$$

is the boundary of the Koebe set for  $\mathcal{F}^{(n)}$  in  $\Lambda^*$ . Theorem 3 concludes the proof of our theorem.

Now we can derive the Koebe constant for  $\mathcal{F}^{(n)}$ .

**Theorem 6.** For a fixed positive odd integer  $n, n \geq 3$  and for every function  $f \in \mathcal{F}^{(n)}$  the disk  $\Delta_{r_n}$ , where  $r_n = B(1/n, 1/2n + 1/2)/n\sqrt[n]{4}$ , is included in  $f(\Delta)$ . The number  $r_n$  cannot be increased.

The symbol B stands for the Beta and  $\Delta_r$ , r > 0 means  $\Delta_r = \{\zeta \in \mathbb{C} : |\zeta| < r\}$ . *Proof.* 

According to Theorems 4 and 5, the Koebe constant is equal to

$$\min\{|F(\alpha)|: \alpha \in [-\alpha_F, \alpha_F]\} \quad \text{for} \quad n = 4k+1 ,$$

or

$$\min\left\{|G(\alpha)|: \alpha \in \left[\alpha_G, \frac{2\pi}{n} - \alpha_G\right]\right\} \quad \text{for} \quad n = 4k + 3 \; .$$

But

$$|F(\alpha)|^{2} \ge \left(\int_{0}^{1} (1-t^{n})^{\frac{1}{n}} \operatorname{Re}(1+t^{n}e^{2in\alpha/3})^{-\frac{3}{n}}dt\right)^{2}$$

and the integrand in this expression is nonnegative; thus

$$|F(\alpha)| \ge \int_0^1 (1-t^n)^{\frac{1}{n}} \operatorname{Re} q_F(\alpha,t) dt \quad , \quad q_F(\alpha,t) = (1+t^n e^{2in\alpha/3})^{-\frac{3}{n}}.$$

Likewise,

$$|G(\alpha)| \ge \int_0^1 (1-t^n)^{\frac{1}{n}} \operatorname{Re} q_G(\alpha, t) dt \quad , \quad q_G(\alpha, t) = (1-t^n e^{i(2n\alpha/3 - 5\pi/3)})^{-\frac{3}{n}}.$$

It is easy to check that for  $n \ge 3$  the functions  $p(z) = (1 \pm t^n z)^{-3/n}$  are convex in  $\Delta$  and they have real coefficients. This means that

(16) 
$$\operatorname{Re}(1 \pm t^n z)^{-\frac{3}{n}} \ge (1 + t^n)^{-\frac{3}{n}} .$$

Applying (16) for both  $q_F$  and  $q_G$ , we get

$$|F(\alpha)| \ge q_0$$
 and  $|G(\alpha)| \ge q_0$ ,

where

(17) 
$$q_0 = \int_0^1 (1 - t^n)^{\frac{1}{n}} (1 + t^n)^{-\frac{3}{n}} dt$$

This results in

$$\min\left\{\left|F(\alpha)\right|:\alpha\in\left[-\alpha_{F},\alpha_{F}\right]\right\}=\left|F\left(0\right)\right|$$

and

$$\min\left\{|G(\alpha)|: \alpha \in \left[\alpha_G, \frac{2\pi}{n} - \alpha_G\right]\right\} = \left|G\left(\frac{\pi}{n}\right)\right| \; .$$

10



FIGURE 4. Koebe domain (solid line) and Koebe disk (dashed line) for  $\mathcal{F}^{(3)}$  and  $\mathcal{F}^{(5)}$ .

Moreover, substituting  $t^n = \tan^2(x/2)$  in (17) we get

$$q_0 = \frac{2}{n\sqrt[n]{4}} \int_0^{\pi/2} (\sin x)^{\frac{2}{n}-1} (\cos x)^{\frac{1}{n}} dx = \frac{1}{n\sqrt[n]{4}} B\left(\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{2n} + \frac{1}{2}\right) .$$

**Corollary 1.** For a fixed positive odd integer  $n, n \ge 3$  the Koebe constant for  $\mathcal{F}^{(n)}$  is equal to  $r_n = B(1/n, 1/2n + 1/2)/n\sqrt[n]{4}$ .

The Koebe sets and the Koebe disks for n = 3 and n = 5 are shown in Figure 4.

**Remark 3.** The results established in Theorem 4 and in Corollary 1 are actually valid also for n = 1. They were obtained by Złotkiewicz and Reade in [6].

One can check that for n = 1 the function F takes the form

$$F(\alpha) = e^{i\alpha} \int_0^1 \frac{1-t}{(1+te^{2i\alpha/3})^3} dt = \frac{e^{2i\alpha/3}}{4\cos(\alpha/3)} .$$

The equation (12) gives  $\alpha_F = 3\pi/4$ ; thus the boundary of the Koebe set in the upper half-plane can be written as follows

$$u = \frac{\cos(2\alpha/3)}{4\cos(\alpha/3)} , \ v = \frac{1}{2}\sin(\alpha/3) , \ \alpha \in \left[-\frac{3\pi}{4}, \frac{3\pi}{4}\right]$$

This fact we can rewrite in a different way

$$K_{\mathcal{F}} = \{ w \in \mathbb{C} : 8|w| (|w| + |\operatorname{Re} w|) < 1 \}$$
.

The extremal functions  $f_{\alpha}$  given by (4) are of the form

$$f_{\alpha}(z) = \frac{z + Bz^2}{(1 + ze^{-i\alpha/3})^2}, \ B = i\sin(\alpha/3)e^{-2i\alpha/3}$$

and

$$g_{\alpha}(z) = -f_{\alpha}(-z) ,$$

where  $\alpha \in [-3\pi/4, 3\pi/4]$ . The image set  $f_{\alpha}(\Delta)$  for a fixed  $\alpha \in (-3\pi/4, 3\pi/4)$  coincides with the plane with a horizontal ray excluded. For  $\alpha = -3\pi/4, 3\pi/4$ , the sets  $f_{\alpha}(\Delta)$  are half-planes.

Moreover,  $r_1 = B(1, 1)/4 = 1/4$ .

## 4. Covering domains for $\mathcal{F}^{(n)}$

**Theorem 7.** The covering set  $L_{\mathcal{F}^{(n)}}$  for odd  $n \geq 5$  is a bounded and 2n-fold symmetric domain such that

(18) 
$$\partial L_{\mathcal{F}^{(n)}} \cap \left\{ w : \arg w \in \left[0, \frac{\pi}{2n}\right] \right\} = H\left(\left[0, \frac{\pi}{2n}\right]\right) \;.$$

In the proof of this theorem we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.** Let  $n \geq 5$  be a fixed odd integer. If  $f \in \mathcal{F}^{(n)}$  and  $w \in f(\Delta) \cap \Lambda^*$  then  $f(\Delta)$  contains a polygon  $W \in \mathcal{W}$  such that W has one of its vertices at w and the interior angle at w has the measure  $\pi - 3\pi/n$ .

Proof.

Let  $f \in \mathcal{F}^{(n)}$  and  $w \in f(\Delta) \cap \Lambda^*$ , i.e.  $\arg w \in [\pi/2 - \pi/n, \pi/2]$ . Because of the *n*-fold symmetry of f every point  $w \cdot \varepsilon^j$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$  belongs to  $f(\Delta)$ .

It can be easily checked that

$$\max \left\{ \operatorname{Im} \left( w \cdot \varepsilon^{j} \right), j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1 \right\} = \operatorname{Im} \left( w \right)$$

and

$$\min\left\{\operatorname{Im}\left(w\cdot\varepsilon^{j}\right), j=0,1,\ldots,n-1\right\}=\operatorname{Im}\left(w\cdot\varepsilon^{2k+1}\right)$$

Let  $w_1 = w \cdot \varepsilon$  and  $w_2 = w \cdot \varepsilon^{2k}$ . The point  $w_1$  has the second biggest imaginary part among points  $w, w \cdot \varepsilon, \ldots, w \cdot \varepsilon^{n-1}$ . Likewise,  $w_2$  has the second lowest imaginary part among those points.

Let, moreover,  $l_1$  and  $l_2$  stand for two horizontal rays emanating from  $w_1$  and  $w_2$ :  $l_1 = \{w_1 + t : t \ge 0\}$ ,  $l_2 = \{w_2 + t : t \ge 0\}$  respectively.

From the inequality  $\operatorname{Im} w_2 > \operatorname{Im} w \cdot \varepsilon^{2k+1}$  we conclude that the point  $w \cdot \varepsilon^{2k+1}$  lies on the opposite side of the straight line which contains  $l_2$  with respect to the origin. As a consequence,  $w_1$  lies on the other side of the straight line including  $l_2 \cdot \varepsilon^{-2k}$  with respect to the origin. Hence, two rays  $l_1$  and  $l_2 \cdot \varepsilon^{-2k}$  have a common point, let say  $w_0$ .

We shall show that  $w_0$  also belongs to  $f(\Delta)$ . Suppose, contrary to our claim, that  $w_0 \notin f(\Delta)$ . The points  $w_0, w_1$  lie on the ray  $l_1$  and  $w_1 \in f(\Delta)$ . Therefore, taking into account the convexity in the direction of the real axis of f, a ray  $m_1 = \{w_0 + t : t \ge 0\}$  is disjoint from  $f(\Delta)$ .

Since  $w_0, w$  belong to  $l_2 \cdot \varepsilon^{-2k}$ , the points  $w_0 \cdot \varepsilon^{2k}, w \cdot \varepsilon^{2k}$  belong to  $l_2$ . Moreover,  $w_0 \cdot \varepsilon^{2k} \notin f(\Delta)$ and  $w_2 \in f(\Delta)$ . Consequently,  $m_2 = \{w_0 \cdot \varepsilon^{2k} + t : t \ge 0\}$  is disjoint from  $f(\Delta)$ , and, generally,  $m_2 \varepsilon^j \cap f(\Delta) = \emptyset, j = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$ .

We have proved that the rays  $m_1$  and  $m_2 \varepsilon^{-2k}$  with the common vertex  $w_0$  are disjoint from  $f(\Delta)$ . It means that the reflex sector with the vertex in  $w_0$  and these two rays as the sides has no common points with  $f(\Delta)$ . But  $w_1$  lies in this reflex sector; hence  $w_1 \notin f(\Delta)$ , a contradiction.

From the argument given above all points  $w\varepsilon^j$ ,  $w_0\varepsilon^j$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$  belong to  $f(\Delta)$ . Applying *n*-fold symmetry and the convexity of f in the direction of the real axis we can see that a polygon W with succeeding vertices at points  $w, w_0, w\varepsilon, w_0\varepsilon, \ldots, w\varepsilon^{n-1}, w_0\varepsilon^{n-1}$  is contained in  $f(\Delta)$ . It is easy to check that this polygon has the interior angles  $\pi - 3\pi/n$  and  $\pi + \pi/n$  alternately. For this reason W is in W. According to Lemmas 2 and 3, every function in  $\mathcal{F}^{(n)}$  mapping  $\Delta$  onto a polygon of the family  $\mathcal{W}$  has the form (4)-(5) with appropriately taken  $\alpha$ . These functions may be written in the form

(19) 
$$f_{\beta}(z) = \int_{0}^{z} (1 + \zeta^{n} e^{-3in\beta})^{\frac{1}{n}} (1 - \zeta^{n} e^{-in\beta})^{-\frac{3}{n}} d\zeta \quad , \quad \beta \in \left[0, \frac{2\pi}{n}\right]$$

(20) 
$$g_{\beta}(z) = \int_{0}^{z} (1 + \zeta^{n} e^{-3in\beta})^{\frac{1}{n}} (1 - \zeta^{n} e^{-in\beta})^{-\frac{3}{n}} d\zeta \quad , \quad \beta \in \left[\frac{\pi}{n}, \frac{3\pi}{n}\right]$$

equivalent to (4)-(5).

In fact, the functions defined by (4) and (19) are connected by the relation  $\beta = \alpha/3 + \pi/n$ and the functions in (5) and (20) are connected by  $\beta = \alpha/3 + 5\pi/3n$ .

Let us define

$$H(\beta) = f_{\beta}(e^{i\beta}) \text{ for } \beta \in \left[0, \frac{2\pi}{n}\right],$$

and

$$H(\beta) = g_{\beta}(e^{i\beta}) \text{ for } \beta \in \left[\frac{\pi}{n}, \frac{3\pi}{n}\right]$$

Hence

$$H(\beta) \equiv e^{i\beta} \int_0^1 (1 + t^n e^{-2in\beta})^{\frac{1}{n}} (1 - t^n)^{-\frac{3}{n}} dt \ , \ \beta \in \mathbb{R} \ .$$

Observe that

(21) 
$$\arg H(\beta) = \beta \text{ for } \beta = \frac{\pi}{2n} \cdot j , \ j = 0, 1, \dots, 4n - 1 .$$

Furthermore,

(22) 
$$H\left(\beta + \frac{\pi}{n}\right) = e^{i\frac{\pi}{n}}H(\beta)$$

Now we can prove Theorem 7. Proof of Theorem 7.

Let L denote the covering set for  $\mathcal{F}^{(n)}$ . We additionally assume that  $n = 4k + 1, k \ge 1$ . The proof for the case  $n = 4k + 3, k \ge 0$  is almost similar.

Let us consider a polygon  $W_{\beta} = f_{\beta}(\Delta)$  belonging to  $\mathcal{W}$ , such that one of its vertices, let say  $w^*$ , lies in  $\Lambda^*$  and the interior angle at  $w^*$  has the measure  $\pi(1 - 3/n)$ . Suppose additionaly that  $v^*$  is a point of the boundary of L such that  $\arg v^* = \arg w^*$  and  $|v^*| > |w^*|$ . We denote the quotient  $v^*/w^* = |v^*|/|w^*|$  by  $\mu$ . Hence  $\mu > 1$ .

Since  $v^* \in \partial L$ , there exists  $f^* \in \mathcal{F}^{(n)}$  such that  $v^*$  is a boundary point of  $f^*(\Delta)$ . From Lemma 5

(23) 
$$f^*(\Delta) \supset \mu W_\beta \supseteq W_\beta = f_\beta(\Delta) \; .$$

Therefore,  $f_{\beta} \prec f^*$  and  $1 = f'_{\beta}(0) \leq f^{*'}(0) = 1$ . Consequently  $f_{\beta} = f^*$ , which contradicts (23). It means that  $w^* = v^*$ , or in other words,  $v^*$  coincides with some vertex of  $f_{\beta}(\Delta)$ . Hence  $v^*$  is equal to  $f_{\beta}(e^{i\beta})$  rotated about a multiple of  $2\pi/n$ , namely about  $2\pi/n \cdot (n-1)/4$ . It is enough to take such  $\beta$  that  $v^* = H(\beta) \cdot \varepsilon^{(n-1)/4}$  is in  $\Lambda^*$ . From this we conclude that  $\beta \in [0, \pi/2n]$ .

**Theorem 8.** For a fixed odd integer  $n \ge 5$  and for every function  $f \in \mathcal{F}^{(n)}$  the set  $f(\Delta)$  is included in  $\Delta_{R_n}$ , where  $R_n = B(1/n, 1/2 - 3/2n)/n\sqrt[n]{4}$ . The number  $R_n$  cannot be decreased.

Proof.

We have

$$|H(\beta)| \le \int_0^1 \left| (1 + t^n e^{-2in\beta})^{\frac{1}{n}} (1 - t^n)^{-\frac{3}{n}} \right| dt \le \int_0^1 \frac{(1 + t^n)^{\frac{1}{n}}}{(1 - t^n)^{\frac{3}{n}}} dt = |H(0)|$$

It can be shown that  $H(0) = B(1/n, 1/2 - 3/2n)/n\sqrt[n]{4}$ .

**Corollary 2.** For a fixed odd integer  $n \ge 5$  the covering constant for  $\mathcal{F}^{(n)}$  is equal to  $R_n = B(1/n, 1/2 - 3/2n)/n\sqrt[n]{4}$ .

The results presented above are valid for positive odd integers greater than or equal to 5. In the last part of this section we turn to the case n = 3.

As it was said in Section 2 (see also Figure 3) for n = 3 and  $\beta \in [\pi/3, \pi] \setminus \{\pi/2, 5\pi/6\}$ the functions given by (20) map  $\Delta$  onto the polygons with the interior angles  $4\pi/3$  and 0 alternately, and the vertices in points  $a \cdot \varepsilon^j$ ,  $\infty \cdot a \cdot \varepsilon^j$ , j = 0, 1, 2 alternately, where  $a = g_\beta(e^{i\beta}) = H(\beta)$ . Both sides adjacent to every vertex in infinity are parallel. Hence  $g_\beta(\Delta)$  are star-shaped sets with three unbounded strips. The strips have the direction  $\pi/3$ ,  $\pi$ ,  $5\pi/3$  if  $\beta \in [\pi/3, \pi/2) \cup (5\pi/6, \pi]$  and  $0, 2\pi/3, 4\pi/3$  if  $\beta \in (\pi/2, 5\pi/6)$ . The thickness of the strips is changing as  $\beta$  varies in  $\beta \in [\pi/3, \pi] \setminus \{\pi/2, 5\pi/6\}$ , but when  $\beta$  tends to  $\pi/2$  or  $5\pi/6$  the thickness of the strips tends to 0.

For  $\beta = \pi/2$  and  $\beta = 5\pi/6$  the functions

$$g_{\frac{\pi}{2}}(z) = \int_0^z \frac{1}{(1 - i\zeta^3)^{2/3}} d\zeta$$

and

$$g_{\frac{5\pi}{6}}(z) = \int_0^z \frac{1}{(1+i\zeta^3)^{2/3}} d\zeta$$

map  $\Delta$  onto the equilateral triangles symmetric with respect to the imaginary axis. The first triangle has one of its vertices in the point *ic*, the second one - in the point -ic, where

$$c = \frac{B(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{6})}{3\sqrt[3]{4}} = 1.76\dots$$

Let

$$\Omega_0 = \left\{ w : \operatorname{Re} w \ge 0, |\operatorname{Im} w| < \frac{1}{2}c \right\}$$

**Theorem 9.** The covering domain  $L_{\mathcal{F}^{(3)}}$  is an unbounded and 6-fold symmetric domain

$$L_{\mathcal{F}^{(3)}} = \bigcup_{j=0}^{5} e^{j\frac{\pi}{3}i} \cdot \Omega_0$$

Proof.

Let L denote the covering set for  $\mathcal{F}^{(n)}$  and let  $L^*$  stand for  $\bigcup_{i=0}^5 e^{j\pi i/3} \cdot \Omega_0$ .

At the beginning we can see that L includes six-pointed star obtained as a union of  $g_{\pi/2}(\Delta)$ and  $g_{5\pi/6}(\Delta)$ . We know that for  $\beta \in (\pi/2, 5\pi/6)$  each set  $g_{\beta}(\Delta)$  contains a part of a horizontal strip between two rays emanating from  $a/\varepsilon$  and a, where  $a = H(\beta)$ . From (21) it follows that



FIGURE 5. Covering domains for  $\mathcal{F}^{(3)}$  and  $\mathcal{F}^{(5)}$ .

the arguments of these points vary continuously from  $-\pi/6$  to  $\pi/6$  for the point  $a/\varepsilon$  and from  $\pi/2$  to  $5\pi/6$  for the point a. This and the symmetry of L with respect to the imaginary axis result in  $L^* \subset L$ .

Now we shall prove that  $L \subset L^*$ . On the contrary, assume that  $w_0 \notin L^*$  but  $w_0 \in L$ . It means that there exists a function  $f_0 \in \mathcal{F}^{(3)}$  such that  $w_0 \in f_0(\Delta)$ . Without loss of generality we can assume that  $\arg w_0 \in (0, \pi/6)$  because of Lemma 1 and Remark 1.

From the 3-fold symmetry of  $f_0$  we know that  $w_0\varepsilon, w_0\varepsilon^2 \in f_0(\Delta)$ . Moreover,

$$\operatorname{Im} w_0 = |w_0| \sin \varphi_0 < |w_0| \sin \left(\varphi_0 + \frac{2\pi}{3}\right) = \operatorname{Im}(w_0 \varepsilon) ,$$

because  $\varphi_0 = \arg w_0 \in (0, \pi/6)$ .

Observe that the point  $w_1 = \{w_0 - t : t \ge 0\} \cap (\varepsilon \cdot \{w_0 - t : t \ge 0\})$  also belongs to  $f_0(\Delta)$ . If it were not the case, the points  $w_1\varepsilon, w_1\varepsilon^2$  would not be in  $f_0(\Delta)$  either. But  $w_1, w_1\varepsilon^2 \in \{w_0 - t : t \ge 0\}$ . Combining  $w_1, w_1\varepsilon^2 \notin f_0(\Delta)$  with  $w_0 \in f_0(\Delta)$  yields that the segment connecting  $w_1$  and  $w_1\varepsilon^2$  has no common points with  $f_0(\Delta)$ . From this and the 3-fold symmetry, all three segments connecting  $w_1, w_1\varepsilon, w_1\varepsilon^2$  and, as a consequence, the equilateral triangle T with vertices in these points, would be disjoint with  $f_0(\Delta)$ , a contradiction. This means that  $w_1, w_1\varepsilon, w_1\varepsilon^2 \in f_0(\Delta)$ , which results in

$$(24) T \subset f_0(\Delta)$$

But

(25) 
$$g_{\frac{5\pi}{6}}(\Delta) \subset T \text{ and } g_{\frac{5\pi}{6}}(\Delta) \neq T$$
.

From (24) and (25),  $g_{5\pi/6}$  is subordinated to f, but  $g_{5\pi/6}$  and f have the same normalization, a contradiction. It means that if  $w_0 \in L$  then  $w_0 \in L^*$ , which completes the proof.

The covering domains for  $\mathcal{F}^{(3)}$  and  $\mathcal{F}^{(5)}$  are shown in Figure 5.

#### LEOPOLD KOCZAN AND PAWEŁ ZAPRAWA

### References

- Goodman, A.W., Saff, E.B., On univalent functions convex in one direction. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 73, 183-187 (1979).
- [2] Koczan, L., Typically real functions convex in the direction of the real axis. Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Skłodowska, Sect.A, 43, 23-29 (1989).
- [3] Koczan, L., Zaprawa, P., On functions convex in the direction of the real axis with real coefficients. *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin*, 18, No. 2, 321-335 (2011).
- [4] Koczan, L., Zaprawa, P., Covering problems for functions n-fold symmetric and convex in the direction of the real axis. Appl. Math. Comput. 219, No.3, 947-958 (2012).
- [5] Krzyz, J., Reade, M.O., Koebe domains for certain classes of analytic functions. J. Anal. Math. 18, 185-195 (1967).
- [6] Reade, M.O., Złotkiewicz E., On univalent functions with two preassigned values. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 30, 539-544 (1971).

Department of Mathematics, Lublin University of Technology, Nadbystrzycka 38D, 20-618 Lublin, Poland

*E-mail address*: l.koczan@pollub.pl, p.zaprawa@pollub.pl