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#### Abstract

Let $\mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$ denote the class of analytic functions $f$ in the open unit disk normalized by $f(0)=f^{\prime}(0)-1=0$ and satisfying the condition $z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z) \prec e^{z}$ for $|z|<1$. The structural formula, inclusion relations, coefficient estimates, growth and distortion results, subordination theorems and various radii constants for functions in the class $\mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$ are obtained. In addition, the sharp $\mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$-radii for functions belonging to several interesting classes are also determined.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathscr{A}_{n}$ denote the class of analytic functions in the open unit disk $\mathbb{D}:=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$ of the form $f(z)=z+\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k}$, and let $\mathscr{A}:=\mathscr{A}_{1}$. Let $\mathscr{S}$ be the subclass of $\mathscr{A}$ consisting of univalent functions. Using subordination, Ma and Minda [22] gave a unified representation of various geometric subclasses of $\mathscr{S}$ which are characterized by the quantities $z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)$ or $1+z f^{\prime \prime}(z) / f^{\prime}(z)$ lying in a domain in the right half-plane. They considered the class $\Phi$ of analytic univalent functions $\varphi$ with positive real part mapping $\mathbb{D}$ onto domains symmetric with respect to the real axis and starlike with respect to $\varphi(0)=1$ such that $\varphi^{\prime}(0)>0$. For $\varphi \in \Phi$, they introduced the following classes that include several well-known classes as special cases:

$$
\mathscr{S}^{*}(\varphi)=\left\{f \in \mathscr{A}: \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec \varphi(z)\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathscr{K}(\varphi)=\left\{f \in \mathscr{A}: 1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)} \prec \varphi(z)\right\} .
$$

For $-1 \leq B<A \leq 1, \mathscr{S}^{*}[A, B]:=\mathscr{S}^{*}((1+A z) /(1+B z))$ is the familiar class consisting of Janowski [16] starlike functions. The corresponding class of convex functions is denoted by $\mathscr{K}[A, B]$. The special case $A=1-2 \alpha, B=-1$ with $0 \leq \alpha<1$ yield the subclasses $\mathscr{S}^{*}(\alpha)$ and $\mathscr{K}(\alpha)(0 \leq \alpha<1)$ of $\mathscr{S}$ consisting of starlike functions of order $\alpha$ and convex functions of order $\alpha$, respectively, introduced by Robertson [32]. The classes $\mathscr{S}^{*}:=\mathscr{S}^{*}(0)$ and $\mathscr{K}:=\mathscr{K}(0)$ are the classes of starlike and convex functions respectively. For $0<\gamma \leq 1$,

[^0]$\mathscr{S} \mathscr{S}^{*}(\gamma):=\mathscr{S}^{*}\left(((1+z) /(1-z))^{\gamma}\right)$ and $\mathscr{S} \mathscr{K}(\gamma):=\mathscr{K}\left(((1+z) /(1-z))^{\gamma}\right)$ are the classes of strongly starlike and strongly convex functions of order $\gamma$. If
$$
\varphi(z)=1+\frac{2}{\pi^{2}}\left(\log \frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}}\right)^{2}
$$
then $\mathscr{U} \mathscr{C} \mathscr{V}:=\mathscr{K}(\varphi)$ is the class of uniformly convex functions introduced by Goodman [14]. The corresponding class $\mathscr{S}_{P}:=\mathscr{S}^{*}(\varphi)$ of parabolic starlike functions, was studied by Rønning [34]. Similarly, $\mathscr{S}_{L}^{*}:=\mathscr{S}^{*}(\sqrt{1+z})$ is the subclass of $\mathscr{S}^{*}$ introduced by Sokół and Stankiewicz [45], consisting of functions $f \in \mathscr{A}$ such that $z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)$ lies in the domain bounded by the right-half of the lemniscate of Bernoulli given by $\left|w^{2}-1\right|<1$. More results regarding these classes can be found in $[2,4,7,10,13,21,28,29,31,39-44]$. Recently, the authors [26] discussed the properties of the class
$$
\mathscr{S}_{R L}^{*}=\mathscr{S}^{*}\left(\sqrt{2}-(\sqrt{2}-1) \sqrt{\frac{1-z}{1+2(\sqrt{2}-1) z}}\right) .
$$

Precisely, $f \in \mathscr{S}_{R L}^{*}$ provided $z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)$ lies in the interior of the left-half of the shifted lemniscate of Bernoulli given by $\left|(w-\sqrt{2})^{2}-1\right|<1$. In the similar fashion, many new interesting subclasses of starlike and convex functions can be defined by altering the subordinate function $\varphi \in \Phi$. This paper aims to investigate the properties of the classes $\mathscr{S}^{*}\left(e^{z}\right)$ and $\mathscr{K}\left(e^{z}\right)$.

The exponential function $\varphi_{0}(z)=e^{z}$ has positive real part in $\mathbb{D}, \varphi_{0}(\mathbb{D})=\{w \in \mathbb{C}$ : $|\log w|<1\}$ (see Figure 1) is symmetric with respect to the real axis and starlike with respect to 1 , and $\varphi_{0}^{\prime}(0)>0$. Hence $\varphi_{0} \in \Phi$ so that the classes $\mathscr{S}^{*}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)$ and $\mathscr{K}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)$ are well-defined. Set

$$
\mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}:=\mathscr{S}^{*}\left(e^{z}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \mathscr{K}_{e}:=\mathscr{K}\left(e^{z}\right) .
$$

In view of the Alexander relation between the classes $\mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$ and $\mathscr{K}_{e}: f \in \mathscr{K}_{e}$ if and only if $z f^{\prime} \in \mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$, the properties of functions in the class $\mathscr{K}_{e}$ can be obtained from the corresponding results for $\mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$. Therefore, it is enough to focus our attention to the class $\mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$. For a function $f \in \mathscr{A}$, we have the equivalences:

$$
f \in \mathscr{S}_{e}^{*} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec e^{z} \quad(z \in \mathbb{D}) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad\left|\log \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right|<1 \quad(z \in \mathbb{D}) .
$$

This immediately yields the following structural formula for functions in the class $\mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$.
Theorem 1.1. A function $f$ belongs to the class $\mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$ if and only if there exists an analytic function $q, q \prec e^{z}$ such that

$$
f(z)=z \exp \left(\int_{0}^{z} \frac{q(t)-1}{t} d t\right)
$$

Define the functions $h_{n}(n=2,3, \ldots)$ by $h(0)=h^{\prime}(0)-1=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z h_{n}^{\prime}(z)}{h_{n}(z)}=e^{z^{n-1}} \quad(z \in \mathbb{D}) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $h_{n} \in \mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}(n=2,3, \ldots)$. In terms of the Taylor series expansion, the functions $h_{n}$ takes the form

$$
h_{n}(z)=z+\frac{1}{n-1} z^{n-1}+\cdots
$$

In particular, the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z):=h_{2}(z)=z \exp \left(\int_{0}^{z} \frac{e^{t}-1}{t} d t\right)=z+z^{2}+\frac{3}{4} z^{3}+\frac{17}{36} z^{4}+\cdots \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

plays the role of extremal function for many extremal problems over the class $\mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$.
In Section 2, we investigate the geometric properties of functions in class $\mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$. In particular, the relations between $\mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$ and other classes geometrically defined are considered. The sharp radii of starlikeness of order $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha<1)$, parabolic starlikeness (and some of others) of $f \in \mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$ are determined in the last section of the paper. The sharp $\mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$-radii for certain well-known classes of functions are also obtained.

## 2. Properties of functions in the class $\mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$

In this section, we will determine the inclusion relations, coefficient estimates, growth and distortion results and convolution properties of functions in the class $\mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$. The following two lemmas will be needed in our investigation.
Lemma 2.1. For $r \in(0,1)$, the function $\varphi_{0}(z)=e^{z}$ satisfies

$$
\min _{|z|=r} \operatorname{Re} \varphi_{0}(z)=\varphi_{0}(-r)=\min _{|z|=r}\left|\varphi_{0}(z)\right| \quad \text { and } \quad \max _{|z|=r} \operatorname{Re} \varphi_{0}(z)=\varphi_{0}(r)=\max _{|z|=r}\left|\varphi_{0}(z)\right| \text {. }
$$

Proof. For $\theta \in[0,2 \pi)$, the function $\psi_{0}(\theta)=\operatorname{Re} \varphi_{0}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)=e^{r \cos \theta} \cos (r \sin \theta)$ attains its minimum at $\theta=\pi$ and maximum at $\theta=0$. Consequently,

$$
\min _{|z|=r} \operatorname{Re} \varphi_{0}(z)=e^{-r}=\varphi_{0}(-r) \quad \text { and } \quad \max _{|z|=r} \operatorname{Re} \varphi_{0}(z)=e^{r}=\varphi_{0}(r) .
$$

The other equality follows by observing that the real-valued function $\left|e^{z}\right|=e^{\operatorname{Re} z}$ is strictly increasing in the interval $[-r, r]$.

Lemma 2.2. For $1 / e<a<e$, let $r_{a}$ be given by

$$
r_{a}= \begin{cases}a-e^{-1}, & e^{-1}<a \leq\left(e+e^{-1}\right) / 2 \\ e-a, & \left(e+e^{-1}\right) / 2 \leq a<e\end{cases}
$$

Then

$$
\left\{w:|w-a|<r_{a}\right\} \subset\{w:|\log w|<1\} .
$$

Proof. Let $\varphi_{0}(z)=e^{z}$. Then any point on the boundary of $\varphi_{0}(\mathbb{D})$ is of the form $\varphi_{0}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)=$ $e^{\cos \theta} \cos (\sin \theta)+i e^{\cos \theta} \sin (\sin \theta)$ for $\theta \in[-\pi, \pi]$. Note that the curve $w=\varphi_{0}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)$ is symmetric with respect to the real axis, so it is sufficient to consider the interval $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$. The square of the distance from the point $(a, 0)$ to the points on the curve $w=\varphi_{0}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)$ is given by

$$
z(\theta)=\left(a-e^{\cos \theta} \cos (\sin \theta)\right)^{2}+e^{2 \cos \theta} \sin ^{2}(\sin \theta)=e^{2 \cos \theta}-2 a e^{\cos \theta} \cos (\sin \theta)+a^{2} .
$$

Let us first assume that $1 / e<a \leq e / 2$. Then $z(\theta)$ is a decreasing function of $\theta \in[0, \pi]$. Consequently, we have

$$
r_{a}=\min _{\theta \in[0, \pi]} \sqrt{z(\theta)}=\sqrt{z(\pi)}=a-\frac{1}{e} .
$$

Next, assume that $e / 2<a<e$. A calculation shows that

$$
z^{\prime}(\theta)=-2 e^{\cos \theta}\left(e^{\cos \theta} \sin \theta-a \sin (\theta+\sin \theta)\right)
$$

and hence $z^{\prime}(0)=z^{\prime}(\pi)=z^{\prime}\left(\theta_{a}\right)=0$, where $\theta_{a} \in(0, \pi)$ is the real root of the equation $e^{\cos \theta} \sin \theta=a \sin (\theta+\sin \theta)$. Observe that $\theta_{a_{1}}<\theta_{a_{2}}$ for $a_{1}<a_{2}$. Moreover, the function $z(\theta)$ is increasing for $\theta \in\left[0, \theta_{a}\right]$ and decreasing for $\theta \in\left[\theta_{a}, \pi\right]$. Also,

$$
z(\pi)-z(0)=2\left(e-\frac{1}{e}\right)\left(a-\frac{1}{2}\left(e+\frac{1}{e}\right)\right) .
$$

These observations lead to two cases:
Case 1: $e / 2<a \leq\left(e+e^{-1}\right) / 2$. In this case $\min \left\{z(0), z\left(\theta_{a}\right), z(\pi)\right\}=z(\pi)$. Thus $z(\theta)$ attains its minimum value at $\theta=\pi$ and $r_{a}=\min \sqrt{z(\theta)}=a-1 / e$.

Case 2: $\left(e+e^{-1}\right) / 2 \leq a<e$. It is easy to see that $\min \left\{z(0), z\left(\theta_{a}\right), z(\pi)\right\}=z(0)$ and hence $r_{a}=\min \sqrt{z(\theta)}=e-a$ in this case. This completes the proof of the lemma.
Remark 2.1. Following the notation and method of the proof of Lemma 2.2, it is easy to deduce that

$$
\{w:|\log w|<1\} \subset\left\{w:|w-a|<R_{a}\right\}
$$

where $R_{a}$ is given by

$$
R_{a}= \begin{cases}e-a, & e^{-1}<a \leq e / 2 \\ z\left(\theta_{a}\right), & e / 2<a<e\end{cases}
$$

### 2.1. Inclusion Relations

Recall that starlike functions of order $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha<1)$ and strongly starlike functions of order $\gamma(0<\gamma \leq 1)$ are characterized by the conditions $\operatorname{Re}\left(z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)\right)>\alpha$ and $\left|\arg \left(z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)\right)\right| \leq$ $\gamma \pi / 2$ respectively. Kanas and Wisniowska [17] introduced the class $k-\mathscr{S}^{*}$ of $k$-starlike ( $k \geq 0$ ) functions $f \in \mathscr{A}$ defined by the condition

$$
\operatorname{Re} \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}>k\left|\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-1\right| \quad(z \in \mathbb{D})
$$

which provides a continuous passage from starlike functions $(k=0)$ to parabolic starlike functions ( $k=1$ ). Another interesting class is $\mathscr{M}(\beta), \beta>1$, defined by

$$
\mathscr{M}(\beta)=\left\{f \in \mathscr{A}: \operatorname{Re} \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}<\beta, z \in \mathbb{D}\right\} .
$$

This class was investigated by Uralegaddi et al. [47]. The following theorem investigates the inclusion relations between the classes $\mathscr{S}^{*}(\alpha)(0 \leq \alpha<1), \mathscr{S} \mathscr{S}^{*}(\gamma)(0<\gamma \leq 1)$, $\mathscr{M}(\beta)(\beta>1), k-\mathscr{S}^{*}(k \geq 0)$ and $\mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$.

Theorem 2.1. The class $\mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$ satisfies the following relationships:
(i) $\mathscr{S}_{e}^{*} \subset \mathscr{S}^{*}(\alpha) \subset \mathscr{S}^{*}$ for $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1 / e$;
(ii) $\mathscr{S}_{e}^{*} \subset \mathscr{M}(\beta)$ for $\beta \geq e$;
(iii) $\mathscr{S}_{e}^{*} \subset \mathscr{S}_{\mathscr{S}^{*}}(\gamma) \subset \mathscr{S}^{*}$ for $2 / \pi \leq \gamma \leq 1$;
(iv) $k-\mathscr{S}^{*} \subset \mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$ for $k \geq e /(e-1)$.

The constants $1 / e, e, 2 / \pi$ and $e /(e-1)$ in parts (i), (ii), (iii) and (iv) respectively are best possible.

Proof. Let $f \in \mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$. Then $z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z) \prec e^{z}$. By Lemma 2.1, it is easy to deduce that

$$
\frac{1}{e}=\min _{|z|=1} \operatorname{Re} e^{z}<\operatorname{Re} \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}<\max _{|z|=1} \operatorname{Re} e^{z}=e \quad(z \in \mathbb{D}) .
$$

Thus $f \in \mathscr{S}^{*}(1 / e) \cap \mathscr{M}(e)$. Also,

$$
\left|\arg \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right| \leq \max _{|z|=1}^{\arg } e^{z}=1 \quad(z \in \mathbb{D})
$$

which shows that $f \in \mathscr{S} \mathscr{S}^{*}(2 / \pi)$.


Figure 1. Image of the unit circle under the mapping $e^{z}$.
For (iv), let $f \in k-\mathscr{S}^{*}$ and consider the conic domain $\Gamma_{k}=\{w \in \mathbb{C}: \operatorname{Re} w>k|w-1|\}$. For $k>1$, the curve $\partial \Gamma_{k}$ is the ellipse $\gamma_{k}: x^{2}=k^{2}(x-1)^{2}+k^{2} y^{2}$ which may be rewritten as

$$
\frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{2}}{a^{2}}+\frac{\left(y-y_{0}\right)^{2}}{b^{2}}=1
$$

where $x_{0}=k^{2} /\left(k^{2}-1\right), y_{0}=0, a=k /\left(k^{2}-1\right)$ and $b=1 / \sqrt{k^{2}-1}$. For the ellipse $\gamma_{k}$ to lie inside $|\log w| \leq 1$, it is necessary that $x_{0}+a \leq e$, which is equivalent to the condition $k \geq e /(e-1)$. Figure 1 depicts that the ellipse $\gamma_{e /(e-1)}$ lies completely inside $|\log w| \leq 1$. Also, since $\Gamma_{k_{1}} \subset \Gamma_{k_{2}}$ for $k_{1} \geq k_{2}$, it follows that $k-\mathscr{S}^{*} \subset \mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$ for $k \geq e /(e-1)$.

Remark 2.2. In [10], Aouf, Dziok and Sokół investigated the properties of functions in the class $\mathscr{S}^{*}\left(q_{c}\right)$, where $q_{c}(z)=\sqrt{1+c z}, c \in(0,1]$. In particular, $\mathscr{S}^{*}\left(q_{1}\right)=\mathscr{S}_{L}^{*}$. The function $q_{c}$ maps $\mathbb{D}$ onto the domain

$$
\mathscr{O}_{c}=\left\{w \in \mathbb{C}: \operatorname{Re} w>0,\left|w^{2}-1\right|<c\right\}
$$

and its boundary $\partial \mathscr{O}_{c}$ is the right loop of the Cassinian Ovals

$$
\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{2}-2\left(x^{2}-y^{2}\right)=c^{2}-1 .
$$

Using the similar analysis carried out in Theorem 2.1, it can be shown that $\mathscr{S}^{*}\left(q_{c}\right) \subset \mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$ for $c \leq 1-\left(1 / e^{2}\right) \approx 0.864665$, and this bound is best possible.

For $-1 \leq B<A \leq 1$, let $\mathscr{P}[A, B]$ be the class of analytic functions $p$ of the form $p(z)=$ $1+c_{1} z+c_{2} z^{2}+\cdots$ satisfying $p(z) \prec(1+A z) /(1+B z)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. We write $\mathscr{P}[1-$ $2 \alpha,-1]=\mathscr{P}(\alpha)(0 \leq \alpha<1)$ and $\mathscr{P}(0)=\mathscr{P}$. The following lemma will be needed to determine the conditions on parameters $A$ and $B$ so that $\mathscr{S}^{*}[A, B]$ is a subclass of $\mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$.

Lemma 2.3. ([31, Lemma 2.1, p. 267], [38]) If $p \in \mathscr{P}[A, B]$, then

$$
\left|p(z)-\frac{1-A B r^{2}}{1-B^{2} r^{2}}\right| \leq \frac{(A-B) r}{1-B^{2} r^{2}} \quad(|z|=r<1) .
$$

Moreover, if $p \in \mathscr{P}(\alpha)$, then

$$
\left|p(z)-\frac{1+(1-2 \alpha) r^{2}}{1-r^{2}}\right| \leq \frac{2(1-\alpha) r}{1-r^{2}}
$$

and

$$
\left|\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}\right| \leq \frac{2 r(1-\alpha)}{(1-r)(1+(1-2 \alpha) r)} \quad(|z|=r<1)
$$

Theorem 2.2. Let $-1<B<A \leq 1$ and either
(i) $2\left(1-B^{2}\right)<2 e(1-A B) \leq\left(e^{2}+1\right)\left(1-B^{2}\right)$ and $(1-B) \leq(1-A) e$; or
(ii) $\left(1+e^{2}\right)\left(1-B^{2}\right) \leq 2(1-A B) e<2\left(1-B^{2}\right) e^{2}$ and $(1+A) \leq e(1+B)$,
then $\mathscr{S}^{*}[A, B] \subset \mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$.
Proof. Let $f \in \mathscr{S}^{*}[A, B]$. Then $z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z) \in \mathscr{P}[A, B]$ so that Lemma 2.3 gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-\frac{1-A B}{1-B^{2}}\right|<\frac{A-B}{1-B^{2}} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $a:=(1-A B) /\left(1-B^{2}\right)$ and suppose that the two conditions in (i) hold. By multiplying both sides of the inequality $(1-B) \leq(1-A) e$ by the positive constant $1+B$ and rewriting, we obtain $(A-B) e \leq(1-A B) e-\left(1-B^{2}\right)$. A division by $e\left(1-B^{2}\right)$ shows that the condition $(1-B) \leq(1-A) e$ is equivalent to $(A-B) /\left(1-B^{2}\right) \leq a-1 / e$. Similarly, the condition $2\left(1-B^{2}\right)<2 e(1-A B) \leq\left(e^{2}+1\right)\left(1-B^{2}\right)$ is equivalent to $1 / e<a \leq\left(e+e^{-1}\right) / 2$. From (2.1), it follows that the values of $w=z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)$ lies in the disk $|w-a|<r_{a}$, where $r_{a}:=a-1 / e$ and $1 / e<a \leq\left(e+e^{-1}\right) / 2$. Hence $f \in \mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$ by Lemma 2.2. A similar argument shows that $f \in \mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$ if condition (ii) is satisfied and therefore its details are omitted.

### 2.2. Coefficient Estimates

The estimation of coefficient bounds is one of the classical problem in univalent univalent theory. The famous Bieberbach conjecture for the class $\mathscr{S}$ which stood as a challenge for several years, was finally settled by de Branges [12] in 1984. There are still many open problems concerning determination of sharp coefficient bounds for various subclasses of $\mathscr{S}$ such as $\mathscr{S}_{P}, \mathscr{S}_{L}^{*}$ and $\mathscr{S}_{R L}^{*}$ (see [7,26, 43, 48]).

The correspondence between the classes $\mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$ and $\mathscr{K}_{e}$ and [22, Theorem 3, p. 164] yield the sharp upper bound for the Fekete-Szegö functional $\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right|$ in the class $\mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$ for all real $\mu$. If $f(z)=z+a_{2} z^{2}+a_{3} z^{3}+\cdots \in \mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$, then

$$
\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \begin{cases}(3-4 \mu) / 4, & \mu \leq 1 / 4 \\ 1 / 2, & 1 / 4 \leq \mu \leq 5 / 4 \\ -(3-4 \mu) / 4, & \mu \geq 5 / 4\end{cases}
$$

Suppose that the functions $h_{n}$ are given by (1.1). For $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, define $f_{\lambda}$ and $g_{\lambda}$ by $f_{\lambda}(0)=f_{\lambda}^{\prime}(0)-1=g_{\lambda}(0)=g_{\lambda}^{\prime}(0)-1=0$,

$$
\frac{z f_{\lambda}^{\prime}(z)}{f_{\lambda}(z)}=\exp \left(\frac{z(z+\lambda)}{1+\lambda z}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{z g_{\lambda}^{\prime}(z)}{g_{\lambda}(z)}=\exp \left(-\frac{z(z+\lambda)}{1+\lambda z}\right)
$$

respectively. If $\mu<1 / 4$ or $\mu>5 / 4$, then equality holds if and only if $f$ is $h_{2}$ or one of its rotation. If $1 / 4<\mu<5 / 4$, then equality holds if and only if $f$ is equal to $h_{3}$ or one of its rotation. If $\mu=1 / 4$, equality holds if and only if $f$ is equal to $f_{\lambda}$ or one of its rotation. When $\mu=5 / 4$, inequality becomes equality if and only if $f$ equals $g_{\lambda}$ or one of its rotation.

These observations together with [8, Theorem 1, p. 38] yield the sharp upper bound on the absolute value of second, third and fourth coefficient of functions in the class $\mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$.

Theorem 2.3. If $f(z)=z+a_{2} z^{2}+a_{3} z^{3}+a_{4} z^{4}+\cdots \in \mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$, then $\left|a_{2}\right| \leq 1,\left|a_{3}\right| \leq 3 / 4$ and $\left|a_{4}\right| \leq 17 / 36$. These bounds are sharp for the function $h$ given by (1.2).

Since the function $h$ given by (1.2) maximizes $\left|a_{n}\right|$ for $n=2,3,4$ in the class $\mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$, it is natural to suspect that $h$ maximizes $\left|a_{n}\right|$ for each $n$. But we are not able to prove it for $n>4$. However, we may obtain bounds on $\left|a_{n}\right|(n=5,6, \ldots)$, although they are not sharp. By Theorem 2.1(i), $\mathscr{S}_{e}^{*} \subset \mathscr{S}^{*}(1 / e)$ and hence

$$
\left|a_{n}\right| \leq \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \prod_{k=2}^{n}\left(k-\frac{2}{e}\right) \quad(n=2,3, \ldots)
$$

for a function $f \in \mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$ (see [37]). These bounds can be further improved by making use of the result by Rogosinski [33]: if $h(z)=1+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{k} z^{k}$ is subordinate to $H(z)=1+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C_{k} z^{k}$ in $\mathbb{D}$, where $H$ is univalent in $\mathbb{D}$ and $H(\mathbb{D})$ is convex, then $\left|c_{n}\right| \leq\left|C_{1}\right|$ for $n=1,2, \ldots$.

Theorem 2.4. If $f(z)=z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k} \in \mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$, then $\left|a_{n}\right| \leq 1$ for $n \geq 2$.
Proof. Note that

$$
1+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{k} z^{k}=q(z):=\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec \varphi_{0}(z)=e^{z}=1+z+\frac{z^{2}}{2!}+\cdots .
$$

Since $\varphi_{0}$ maps $\mathbb{D}$ univalently onto a convex domain, by Rogosinski's result, $\left|c_{n}\right| \leq 1$ for each $n$. Writing $z f^{\prime}(z)=q(z) f(z)$ and comparing the coefficient of $z^{n}$ on both sides, we obtain

$$
(n-1) a_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} c_{n-k} a_{k} .
$$

Therefore, $\left|a_{2}\right|=\left|c_{1}\right| \leq 1$. Assume that $\left|a_{k}\right| \leq 1$ for $k=3,4, \ldots, n-1$. Then it is easy to see that

$$
(n-1)\left|a_{n}\right|=\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\left|c_{n-k}\right|\left|a_{k}\right| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\left|a_{k}\right| \leq n-1 .
$$

The result now follows by induction.
If $f \in \mathscr{S}_{e}^{*} \cap \mathscr{A}_{3}$, then the result of Theorem 2.4 can be further strengthened as seen by the following theorem.

Theorem 2.5. If $f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \in \mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$, then

$$
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left(n^{2}-e^{2}\right)\left|a_{n}\right|^{2} \leq e^{2}-1 .
$$

Proof. Since $f \in \mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$, therefore $z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)=e^{w(z)}$ where $w$ is an analytic function in $\mathbb{D}$ with $w(0)=0$ and $|w(z)|<1$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Using the identity $f^{2}(z)=e^{-2 w(z)}\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 \pi \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2} r^{2 n} & =\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{2} d \theta=\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|e^{-2 w\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)}\right|\left|r e^{i \theta} f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{2} d \theta \\
& \geq e^{-2} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|r e^{i \theta} f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{2} d \theta=2 \pi e^{-2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{2}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2} r^{2 n}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $0<r<1$ and $a_{1}=1$. Thus

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(n^{2}-e^{2}\right)\left|a_{n}\right|^{2} r^{2 n} \leq 0
$$

On letting $r \rightarrow 1^{-}$, we obtain the required result.
Corollary 2.1. Let $f(z)=z+\sum_{k=4}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k} \in \mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$. Then

$$
\left|a_{n}\right| \leq \sqrt{\frac{e^{2}-1}{n^{2}-e^{2}}}<1 \quad \text { for } n=4,5, \ldots
$$

Remark 2.3. Since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n!)^{2}}<\infty$, therefore the function $\varphi_{0}(z)=e^{z}$ belongs to $\mathscr{H}^{2}$, the Hardy class of analytic functions in $\mathbb{D}$. Hence for a function $f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \in \mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$, the sharp order of growth $\left|a_{n}\right|=O(1 / n)$ by [22, Corollary 4', p. 166].

By making use of Lemma 2.2, we next determine necessary and sufficient conditions for some special members to be in the class $\mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$.

Theorem 2.6. (i) A function $f(z)=z+a_{n} z^{n}(n=2,3, \ldots)$ belongs to the class $\mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$ if and only if $\left|a_{n}\right| \leq(e-1) /(n e-1)$.
(ii) The function $z /(1-A z)^{2}$ is in $\mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$ if and only if $|A| \leq(e-1) /(e+1)$.

Proof. (i) Since $\mathscr{S}_{e}^{*} \subset \mathscr{S}^{*},\left|a_{n}\right| \leq 1 / n$. To find the sharp estimate, observe that $w=$ $z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)=\left(1+n a_{n} z^{n-1}\right) /\left(1+a_{n} z^{n-1}\right)$ maps $\mathbb{D}$ onto the disk

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|w-\frac{1-n\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}}{1-\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}}\right|<\frac{(n-1)\left|a_{n}\right|}{1-\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left(1-n\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}\right) /\left(1-\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}\right) \leq 1$, therefore, in view of Lemma 2.2, the disk (2.2) lies inside $|\log w| \leq 1$ if and only if

$$
\frac{(n-1)\left|a_{n}\right|}{1-\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}} \leq \frac{1-n\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}}{1-\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}}-\frac{1}{e} .
$$

This yields $\left|a_{n}\right| \leq(e-1) /(n e-1)$.
(ii) Clearly, the Koebe function $k(z)=z /(1-z)^{2} \notin \mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$. Let $g(z)=z /(1-A z)^{2}(A \neq 1)$. Then the bilinear transformation $w=z g^{\prime}(z) / g(z)=(1+A z) /(1-A z)$ maps $\mathbb{D}$ onto the disk

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|w-\frac{1+|A|^{2}}{1-|A|^{2}}\right|<\frac{2|A|}{1-|A|^{2}} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with diametric end points $x_{L}=(1-|A|) /(1+|A|)$ and $x_{R}=(1+|A|) /(1-|A|)$. If $g \in \mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$, then the disk (2.3) lies inside $|\log w| \leq 1$. Consequently, it is necessary that $x_{R} \leq e$ which gives $|A| \leq(e-1) /(e+1)$. Conversely, if $|A| \leq(e-1) /(e+1)$, then $a:=\left(1+|A|^{2}\right) /(1-$ $\left.|A|^{2}\right) \leq\left(e+e^{-1}\right) / 2$ and $2|A| /\left(1-|A|^{2}\right) \leq a-1 / e$. By again applying Lemma 2.2, we conclude that the disk (2.3) lies inside $|\log w| \leq 1$ and hence $g \in \mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$.

### 2.3. Subordination Results and their consequences

If $f \in \mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$, then $f(z) / z \prec h(z) / z$ by [22, Theorem 1', p. 161], where $h$ is given by (1.2). Since the function $e^{z}$ is convex univalent, this result can also be obtained as a special case of [35, Theorem 1, p. 275]. Using this subordination relation, or by directly applying the results of [22], we obtain the following result.

Theorem 2.7. Let $f \in \mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$ and $h$ be given by (1.2). Then, for $|z|=r$, we have the following:
(i) (Growth Theorem)

$$
-h(-r) \leq|f(z)| \leq h(r) .
$$

In particular, $f(\mathbb{D}) \supset\{w:|w|<-h(-1) \approx 0.450859\}$.
(ii) (Rotation Theorem)

$$
\left|\arg \left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)\right| \leq \max _{|z|=r} \arg \left(\frac{h(z)}{z}\right) .
$$

(iii) (Distortion Theorem)

$$
h^{\prime}(-r) \leq\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq h^{\prime}(r) .
$$

Equality holds for some $z \neq 0$ if and only if $f$ is a rotation of $h$.
It is worth to remark that the proof of Theorem 2.7(iii) makes use of Lemma 2.1. In [34], Rønning proved that $|f(z)| \leq K|z|(z \in \mathbb{D})$ for functions $f \in \mathscr{S}_{P}$, where $K=\exp \left(14 \zeta(3) / \pi^{2}\right) \approx$ $5.502(\zeta(t)$ is the Reimann Zeta function). The next corollary proves the corresponding result for $\mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$.
Corollary 2.2. If $f \in \mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$, then

$$
|f(z)| \leq|z| \exp \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(n!)}\right)=|z| K
$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$, where $K \approx 3.73558$. The function $h$ given by (1.2) shows that this bound cannot be further improved.

Proof. By Theorem 2.7(i), for $|z|=r$, we have

$$
\log \left|\frac{f(z)}{z}\right| \leq \int_{0}^{r} \frac{e^{t}-1}{t} d t \leq \int_{0}^{1} \frac{e^{t}-1}{t} d t=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(n!)}
$$

The series on the right side of the above inequality is convergent and hence we obtain the desired result.

In terms of subordination, Tuneski [46] gave an interesting criteria for analytic functions to be in the class $\mathscr{S}^{*}[A, B](-1 \leq B<A \leq 1)$. In 2007, Sokół [41] generalized this result using Jack lemma [15] and obtained a sufficient condition for functions $f \in \mathscr{A}$ to be in a more general class of functions. As an application, observe that the function $\varphi_{0}(z)=e^{z}$ is univalent and non-vanishing in $\mathbb{D}$ with $\varphi_{0}(0)=1$ and such that

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{z \varphi_{0}^{\prime \prime}(z)}{\varphi_{0}^{\prime}(z)}\right)>2 \operatorname{Re} \frac{z \varphi_{0}^{\prime}(z)}{\varphi_{0}(z)} \quad(z \in \mathbb{D}) .
$$

Therefore, a function $f \in \mathscr{A}$ satisfying

$$
\frac{1+z f^{\prime \prime}(z) / f^{\prime}(z)}{z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)}-1 \prec z e^{-z} \quad(z \in \mathbb{D})
$$

belongs to the class $\mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$, by [41, Corollary 1, p. 239].
Let $p$ be an analytic function in $\mathbb{D}$ with $p(0)=1$. Recently, Ali et al. [3] and Kumar et al. [18] determined conditions on $\beta$ so that $p(z) \prec \sqrt{1+z}$ when $1+\beta z p^{\prime}(z) / p(z)$ is subordinated to $\sqrt{1+z}$ or $(1+A z) /(1+B z)$. This, in turn, provide sufficient conditions for analytic functions $f \in \mathscr{A}$ to belong to the class $\mathscr{S}_{L}^{*}$. Motivated by their work, we prove the corresponding subordination results involving the exponential function.

Theorem 2.8. Let $p$ be an analytic function in $\mathbb{D}$ with $p(0)=1$. If either of the following three conditions is satisfied:
(a) $1+\beta z p^{\prime}(z) / p(z) \prec e^{z} \quad(\beta \geq e-1)$,
(b) $1+\beta z p^{\prime}(z) / p(z) \prec(1+A z) /(1+B z) \quad(-1<B<A \leq 1,|\beta| \geq(A-B) /(1-|B|))$,
(c) $1+\beta z p^{\prime}(z) / p(z) \prec \sqrt{1+z} \quad(\beta \geq 1)$,
then $p(z) \prec e^{z}$.
Proof. Let $\varphi_{0}$ be the convex univalent function defined by $\varphi_{0}(z)=e^{z}$. Then it is clear that $\beta z \varphi_{0}^{\prime}(z)$ is starlike. The main crux of the proof relies on the observation that if the subordination

$$
1+\beta \frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)} \prec 1+\beta \frac{z \varphi_{0}^{\prime}(z)}{\varphi_{0}(z)}=1+\beta z:=\psi(z)
$$

is satisfied, then $p(z) \prec \varphi_{0}(z)$ by [27, Corollary 3.4h.1, p. 135].
(a) It suffices to show that $\varphi_{0}(z) \prec \psi(z)$. Since $\psi(\mathbb{D})=\{w \in \mathbb{C}:|w-1|<\beta\}$, it follows that $\varphi_{0}(\mathbb{D}) \subset \psi(\mathbb{D})$ if $\beta \geq e-1$ by Remark 2.1. Hence $\varphi_{0}(z) \prec \psi(z)$ and consequently $p(z) \prec e^{z}$.
(b) Set $\phi(z)=(1+A z) /(1+B z)$. Then $\phi^{-1}(w)=(w-1) /(A-B w)$. Since the subordination $\phi(z) \prec \psi(z)$ is equivalent to $z \prec \phi^{-1}(\psi(z))$, we only need to show that $\left|\phi^{-1}\left(\psi\left(e^{i t}\right)\right)\right| \geq$ 1 for $-\pi \leq t \leq \pi$. Fot $t \in[-\pi, \pi]$, we have

$$
\left|\phi^{-1}\left(\psi\left(e^{i t}\right)\right)\right|=\left|\frac{\beta e^{i t}}{(A-B)-\beta B e^{i t}}\right| \geq \frac{|\beta|}{A-B+|\beta B|} \geq 1
$$

provided $|\beta| \geq(A-B) /(1-|B|)$. Thus $\phi(z) \prec \psi(z)$ and hence $p(z) \prec e^{z}$.
(c) Let $\chi(z)=\sqrt{1+z}$. Since $\chi(\mathbb{D}) \subset \psi(\mathbb{D})$ if $\beta \geq 1$ (by [4, Lemma 2.2, p. 6559]), it follows that $\chi(z) \prec \psi(z)$ and so $p(z) \prec e^{z}$.

For $f \in \mathscr{A}$, the function $p(z)=z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{D}$ with $p(0)=1$. As a result, Theorem 2.8 immediately yields the following corollary.

Corollary 2.3. Let $f \in \mathscr{A}$ and set

$$
\Psi_{\beta}(z)=1+\beta\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)
$$

If either of the following three conditions is satisfied:
(a) $\Psi_{\beta}(z) \prec e^{z}(\beta \geq e-1)$;
(b) $\Psi_{\beta}(z) \prec(1+A z) /(1+B z)(|\beta| \geq(A-B) /(1-|B|))$; or
(c) $\Psi_{\beta}(z) \prec \sqrt{1+z}(\beta \geq 1)$,
then $f \in \mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$.
These results can be extended to functions with fixed second coefficient by using the results of [6].

### 2.4. Convolution Properties

For analytic functions $f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}$ and $F(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} A_{n} z^{n}$, their convolution (or Hadamard product) is defined as $(f * F)(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} A_{n} z^{n}$. The classes of starlike, convex and close-to-convex functions are closed under convolution with convex functions (see [36]). A similar result holds for $\mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$. By [22, Theorem 5, p. 167], we have
Theorem 2.9. If $f \in \mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$ and $g \in \mathscr{K}$, then $f * g \in \mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$.

Let $\Gamma_{i}: \mathscr{A} \rightarrow \mathscr{A}(i=1,2,3)$ be the operators defined by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Gamma_{1}[f](z)=z f^{\prime}(z), \quad \Gamma_{2}[f](z)=\frac{1}{2}\left(f(z)+z f^{\prime}(z)\right) \quad \text { and } \\
\Gamma_{3}[f](z)=\frac{k+1}{z^{k}} \int_{0}^{z} \zeta^{k-1} f(\zeta) d \zeta \quad(\operatorname{Re} k>0)
\end{gathered}
$$

These operators were introduced by Alexander [1], Livingston [20] and Bernardi [11] respectively. Each of these operators can be written as a convolution operator: $\Gamma_{i}=f * g_{i}$ ( $i=1,2,3$ ), where

$$
g_{1}(z)=\frac{z}{(1-z)^{2}}, \quad g_{2}(z)=\frac{z-z^{2} / 2}{(1-z)^{2}}, \quad \text { and } \quad g_{3}(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{k+1}{k+n} z^{n}
$$

The function $g_{1}$ is convex in $|z|<2-\sqrt{3}, g_{2}$ is convex in $|z|<1 / 2$ while $g_{3}$ is convex in $\mathbb{D}$. Hence Theorem 2.9 gives
Corollary 2.4. Let $f \in \mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$. Then $\Gamma_{i}[f] \in \mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$ in $|z|<r_{i}(i=1,2,3)$ where $r_{1}=2-\sqrt{3}$, $r_{2}=1 / 2$ and $r_{3}=1$.

The convolution of two starlike functions need not be univalent in $\mathbb{D}$. Let $f, g \in \mathscr{S}^{*}$ and $h_{\rho}(z)=(f * g)(\rho z) / \rho$. Ling and Ding [19, Theorem 1, p. 404] proved that $h_{\rho} \in \mathscr{S}^{*}$ for $0 \leq \rho \leq 2-\sqrt{3}$. Ali et al. [9] determined conditions on $\rho$ so that $h_{\rho}$ belongs to the classes $\mathscr{S}_{P}, \mathscr{S}^{*}(\alpha)(0 \leq \alpha<1), \mathscr{S}^{*}(\gamma)(0<\gamma \leq 1)$ and $\mathscr{S}_{L}^{*}$. The next theorem investigates the corresponding result for $\mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$.
Theorem 2.10. If $f, g \in \mathscr{S}^{*}$, then $f * g \in \mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$ in $|z|<\rho_{0}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{0}=\frac{e-1}{2 e+\sqrt{1+3 e^{2}}} \approx 0.167641 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The number $\rho_{0}$ is best possible.
Proof. Consider the function $H: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$
H(z)=\frac{z(1+z)}{(1-z)^{3}}=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{2} z^{n}
$$

The function $H$ is analytic in $\mathbb{D}$ and satisfies

$$
\left|\frac{z H^{\prime}(z)}{H(z)}-\frac{1+r^{2}}{1-r^{2}}\right| \leq \frac{4 r}{1-r^{2}}, \quad|z|=r<1 .
$$

Let $0 \leq r \leq \rho_{0}$, where $\rho_{0}$ is given by (2.4). Then it is a simple exercise to show that if $a:=\left(1+r^{2}\right) /\left(1-r^{2}\right)$ then $1 / e<a \leq\left(e+e^{-1}\right) / 2$ and $4 r /\left(1-r^{2}\right) \leq a-1 / e$. By Lemma 2.2, it follows that $H \in \mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$ in $|z|<\rho_{0}$. Also, at the point $z=-\rho_{0}$, we have

$$
\left|\log \frac{z H^{\prime}(z)}{H(z)}\right|=\left|\log \left(\frac{1-4 \rho_{0}+\rho_{0}^{2}}{1-\rho_{0}^{2}}\right)\right|=|\log (1 / e)|=1
$$

This shows that the number $\rho_{0}$ is sharp.
Now, let $f, g \in \mathscr{S}^{*}$. Then the functions $F$ and $G$ defined by $z F^{\prime}(z)=f(z)$ and $z G^{\prime}(z)=$ $g(z)$ belong to $\mathscr{K}$. Since the convolution of two convex functions is convex, $F * G \in \mathscr{K}$. Since $H\left(\rho_{0} z\right) / \rho_{0} \in \mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$, therefore $(F * G * H)\left(\rho_{0} z\right) / \rho_{0} \in \mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$ by Theorem 2.9. But $f * g=$ $F * G * H$. Hence $f * g \in \mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$ in $|z|<\rho_{0}$.

## 3. Radius Problems

Let $\mathscr{F}$ and $\mathscr{G}$ be subsets of $\mathscr{A}$. Then the $\mathscr{G}$-radius in $\mathscr{F}$, denoted by $\mathscr{R} \mathscr{G}(\mathscr{F})$ is the largest $R$ such that for every $f \in \mathscr{F}, r^{-1} f(r z) \in \mathscr{G}$ for each $r \leq R$. In particular, if $\mathscr{F} \subset \mathscr{G}$ then $\mathscr{R}_{\mathscr{G}}(\mathscr{F})=1$. In this section, we compute $\mathscr{R}_{\mathscr{G}}\left(\mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}\right)$ and $\mathscr{R}_{\mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}}(\mathscr{F})$ for various subclasses $\mathscr{F}$ and $\mathscr{G}$ of $\mathscr{A}$.

The first theorem of this section determines sharp $\mathscr{S}^{*}(\alpha)(0 \leq \alpha<1), \mathscr{S} \mathscr{S}^{*}(\gamma)(0<$ $\gamma \leq 1), \mathscr{M}(\beta)(\beta>1)$ and $k-\mathscr{S}^{*}(k \geq 0)$ radii in the class $\mathscr{\mathscr { S }}_{e}^{*}$. By Theorem 2.1, it is known that $\mathscr{R}_{\mathscr{S}^{*}(\alpha)}\left(\mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}\right)=\mathscr{R}_{\mathscr{S} \mathscr{S}^{*}(\gamma)}\left(\mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}\right)=\mathscr{R}_{\mathscr{M}(\beta)}\left(\mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}\right)=1$ for $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1 / e, 2 / \pi \leq \gamma \leq$ 1 and $\beta \geq e$.
Theorem 3.1. Let $f \in \mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$. Then we have the following.
(i) If $1 / e \leq \alpha<1$, then $f$ is starlike of order $\alpha$ in $|z|<(-\log \alpha)$;
(ii) If $1<\beta \leq e$, then $f \in \mathscr{M}(\beta)$ in $|z|<\log \beta$;
(iii) If $0<\gamma \leq 2 / \pi$, then $f$ is strongly starlike of order $\gamma$ in $|z|<\gamma \pi / 2$;
(iv) If $k>0$, then $f$ is $k$-starlike in $|z|<\log ((1+k) / k)$. In particular, $f$ is parabolic starlike in $|z|<\log 2$.
The results are all sharp.
Proof. Since $f \in \mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}, z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z) \prec e^{z}$ and hence Lemma 2.1 gives

$$
e^{-r} \leq \operatorname{Re} \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \leq e^{r}, \quad|z|=r<1
$$

which verifies the validity of parts (i) and (ii). The function $h$ given by (1.2) shows that the constants $-\log \alpha$ and $\log \beta$ are best possible.

Also, we can write $z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)=e^{w(z)}$ where $w$ is an analytic function in $\mathbb{D}$ with $w(0)=0$ and $|w(z)|<1$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. By Schwarz lemma, $|w(z)| \leq|z|$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. If $|z|<\gamma \pi / 2$, then

$$
\left|\arg e^{w(z)}\right|=|\operatorname{Im} w(z)| \leq|w(z)| \leq|z|<\gamma \pi / 2 .
$$

Thus $f \in \mathscr{S} \mathscr{S}^{*}(\gamma)$ in $|z|<\gamma \pi / 2$. At the point $z_{0}=i \gamma \pi / 2$, the function $h$ given by (1.2) gives

$$
\left|\arg \frac{z_{0} h^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{h\left(z_{0}\right)}\right|=\left|\arg e^{z_{0}}\right|=\left|\operatorname{Im} z_{0}\right|=\frac{\gamma \pi}{2}
$$

This proves (iii).
For the proof of (iv), note that $f$ is $k$-starlike is $|z|<r$ whenever $\operatorname{Re} e^{w(z)}>k \mid e^{w(z)}-$ 1|. Since $\operatorname{Re} e^{w(z)}>e^{-r}$ and $\left|e^{w(z)}-1\right|<1-e^{-r}$, we conclude that the condition $e^{-r}>$ $k\left(1-e^{-r}\right)$ is sufficient for the inequality $\operatorname{Re} e^{w(z)}>k\left|e^{w(z)}-1\right|$ to hold. Hence solving $e^{-r}>k\left(1-e^{-r}\right)$, we obtain $r<\log ((1+k) / k)$. For the function $h$ given by (1.2) and for $z_{0}=-\log ((1+k) / k)$, we have

$$
\operatorname{Re} \frac{z_{0} h^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{h\left(z_{0}\right)}=\operatorname{Re} e^{z_{0}}=\frac{k}{1+k}=k\left|1-e^{z_{0}}\right|=k\left|1-\frac{z_{0} h^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{h\left(z_{0}\right)}\right|
$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.
Remark 3.1. Let $f \in \mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$. Then $z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)=e^{w(z)}$ where $w$ is Schwarz function. Differentiation gives $1+z f^{\prime \prime}(z) / f^{\prime}(z)=e^{w(z)}+z w^{\prime}(z)$ so that

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right) \geq \operatorname{Re} e^{w(z)}-\left|z w^{\prime}(z)\right|
$$

By using the identity $\left|w^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq\left(1-|w(z)|^{2}\right) /\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)$, we deduce that

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right) \geq e^{-|z|}-\frac{|z|}{1-|z|^{2}}
$$

The function $g(r)=e^{-r}-r /\left(1-r^{2}\right)$ is decreasing in $[0,1)$ and $g(0)=1$. Hence $\operatorname{Re}(1+$ $\left.z f^{\prime \prime}(z) / f^{\prime}(z)\right)>\alpha$ in $|z|<r(\alpha)$ where $r(\alpha)$ is the real root of the equation $g(r)=\alpha$ in $(0,1)$. In particular, $r(0) \approx 0.478172$.

Now, we will determine the $\mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$-radii for several interesting subclasses of analytic functions. We begin with the fundamental class $\mathscr{S}$ of normalized univalent functions.

Theorem 3.2. The $\mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$-radius for the class $\mathscr{S}$ is given by $\mathscr{R}_{\mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}}(\mathscr{S})=(e-1) /(e+1) \approx$ 0.462117 . This radius is sharp.

Proof. A function $f \in \mathscr{S}$ satisfies the sharp inequality (see [30, Theorem 6.5, p. 168]):

$$
\left|\log \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right| \leq \log \frac{1+|z|}{1-|z|} \quad(z \in \mathbb{D}) .
$$

If $|z|<(e-1) /(e+1)$, then $\left|\log \left(z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)\right)\right|<1$. Thus $f \in \mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$ in $|z|<(e-1) /(e+1)$. To show that the bound $(e-1) /(e+1)$ cannot be increased, consider the Koebe function $k(z)=z /(1-z)^{2}$. At the point $z_{0}=(e-1) /(e+1)$, a computation shows that

$$
\left|\log \frac{z_{0} k^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{k\left(z_{0}\right)}\right|=\left|\log \frac{1+z_{0}}{1-z_{0}}\right|=1 .
$$

This proves that $(e-1) /(e+1)$ is the $\mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$-radius for the class $\mathscr{S}$ and that the result is sharp.

Since $\mathscr{S}^{*} \subset \mathscr{S}$ and Koebe function $k(z)=z /(1-z)^{2}$ is starlike, Theorem 3.2 shows that $\mathscr{R}_{\mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}}\left(\mathscr{S}^{*}\right)=(e-1) /(e+1)$. We next determine the $\mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$-radius for the class $\mathscr{S}^{*}[A, B]$ $(-1 \leq B<A \leq 1)$ with the cases $B \geq 0$ and $B<0$.

Theorem 3.3. Let $0 \leq B<A \leq 1$. Then the $\mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$-radius for the class $\mathscr{S}^{*}[A, B]$ is given by

$$
\mathscr{R}_{\mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}}\left(\mathscr{S}^{*}[A, B]\right)=\min \left\{1, \frac{e-1}{A e-B}\right\} .
$$

The result is sharp. In particular, if $1-B \leq(1-A) e$, then $\mathscr{S}^{*}[A, B] \subset \mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$.
Proof. Let $f \in \mathscr{S}^{*}[A, B]$. Then $z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z) \in \mathscr{P}[A, B]$ so that Lemma 2.3 gives

$$
\left|\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-\frac{1-A B r^{2}}{1-B^{2} r^{2}}\right| \leq \frac{(A-B) r}{1-B^{2} r^{2}}, \quad|z|=r<1
$$

Since $B \geq 0, a:=\left(1-A B r^{2}\right) /\left(1-B^{2} r^{2}\right) \leq 1$. Using Lemma 2.2, the function $f$ satisfies $\left|\log \left(z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)\right)\right| \leq 1$ provided

$$
\frac{(A-B) r}{1-B^{2} r^{2}} \leq \frac{1-A B r^{2}}{1-B^{2} r^{2}}-\frac{1}{e} .
$$

This yields $r \leq(e-1) /(A e-B)$. The result is sharp for the function

$$
f(z)= \begin{cases}z(1+B z)^{\frac{A-B}{B}}, & B \neq 0 ;  \tag{3.1}\\ z e^{A z}, & B=0 .\end{cases}
$$

The function $f \in \mathscr{S}^{*}[A, B]$ and at the point $z_{0}=(1-e) /(A e-B)$, we have

$$
\left|\log \frac{z_{0} f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{f\left(z_{0}\right)}\right|=\left|\log \frac{1+A z_{0}}{1+B z_{0}}\right|=|\log (1 / e)|=1 .
$$

Theorem 3.4. Let $-1 \leq B<A \leq 1$, with $B<0$. Let

$$
R_{1}=\min \left\{1, \sqrt{\frac{1+e^{2}}{\left(1+e^{2}\right) B^{2}+2 e(1-A B)}}\right\}, \quad R_{2}=\min \left\{1, \frac{e-1}{A e-B}\right\}
$$

and

$$
R_{3}=\min \left\{1, \frac{2(e-1)}{(A-B)+\sqrt{(A-B)^{2}+4 B(e B-A)(e-1)}}\right\} .
$$

Then the $\mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$-radius for the class $\mathscr{S}^{*}[A, B]$ is given by

$$
\mathscr{R}_{\mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}}\left(\mathscr{S}^{*}[A, B]\right)= \begin{cases}R_{2}, & R_{2} \leq R_{1} \\ R_{3}, & R_{2}>R_{1}\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathscr{S}^{*}[A, B]$. Then Lemma 2.3 shows that the quantity $w=z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)$ lies in the disk $|w-a| \leq R$, where $a:=\left(1-A B r^{2}\right) /\left(1-B^{2} r^{2}\right)>1$ and $R:=(A-B) r /\left(1-B^{2} r^{2}\right)$. Also, observe that the numbers $R_{1}, R_{2}$ and $R_{3}$ are determined so that $r \leq R_{1}$ if and only if $a \leq\left(e+e^{-1}\right) / 2, r \leq R_{2}$ if and only if $R \leq a-1 / e$ and $r \leq R_{3}$ if and only if $R \leq e-a$.

Suppose that $R_{2} \leq R_{1}$. Since $r \leq R_{1}$ is equivalent to $a \leq\left(e+e^{-1}\right) / 2$, for $0 \leq r \leq R_{2}$, it follows that $a \leq\left(e+e^{-1}\right) / 2$. From Lemma 2.2 the $\mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$-radius satisfies the inequality $R \leq a-1 / e$. This shows that $f \in \mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$ in $|z| \leq R_{2}$.

Next, assume that $R_{2}>R_{1}$. In this case, since $r \geq R_{1}$ if and only if $a \geq\left(e+e^{-1}\right) / 2$, for $r=R_{2}$, we have $a \geq\left(e+e^{-1}\right) / 2$. Lemma 2.2 shows that $f \in \mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$ in $|z| \leq r$ if $R \leq e-a$, or equivalently if $r \leq R_{3}$.

For the function $f$ given by (3.1), $\left\{z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z):|z|<r\right\}=\{w:|w-a|<R\}$, where $a$ and $R$ are as defined above. This shows that the result is sharp.

Corollary 3.1. $\mathscr{R}_{\mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}}(\mathscr{K})=(e-1) / e \approx 0.632121$. The result is sharp.
Proof. By the well-known Marx Strohhäcker theorem [27, Theorem 2.6(a), p. 57], $\mathscr{K} \subset$ $\mathscr{S}^{*}(1 / 2)$ and $\mathscr{S}^{*}[0,-1]=\mathscr{S}^{*}(1 / 2)$, therefore by Theorem 3.4, the $\mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$-radius for the class $\mathscr{K}$ is at least $(e-1) / e$. The half-plane mapping $l(z)=z /(1-z) \in \mathscr{K}$ satisfies $z l^{\prime}(z) / l(z)=$ $1 /(1-z)$. In particular, at the point $z_{0}=(e-1) / e$, we have

$$
\left|\log \frac{z_{0} l^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{l\left(z_{0}\right)}\right|=|\log e|=1
$$

This establishes the sharpness of the result.
Let $\mathscr{W}$ be the class of functions $f \in \mathscr{A}$ satisfying $f(z) / z \in \mathscr{P}$. MacGregor [23], Ali et al. [4] and Mendiratta [26] determined $\mathscr{S}^{*}, \mathscr{S}_{L}^{*}$ and $\mathscr{S}_{R L}^{*}$ radii respectively for the class $\mathscr{W}$. The following result determines the sharp $\mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$-radius for the class $\mathscr{W}$.
Theorem 3.5. The $\mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$-radius for the class $\mathscr{W}$ is given by

$$
\mathscr{R}_{\mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}}(\mathscr{W})=\frac{e-1}{e+\sqrt{e^{2}+(e-1)^{2}}} \approx 0.28956 .
$$

This bound is best possible.

Proof. Let $f \in \mathscr{W}$. Then the function $g(z)=f(z) / z$ belongs to the class $\mathscr{P}$ and using Lemma 2.3, it is easy to deduce that

$$
\left|\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-1\right| \leq \frac{2 r}{1-r^{2}}
$$

In view of Lemma 2.2, the disk $|w-1| \leq 2 r /\left(1-r^{2}\right)$ lies inside $|\log w| \leq 1$ if

$$
\frac{2 r}{1-r^{2}} \leq 1-\frac{1}{e}
$$

This yields $r \leq R:=(e-1) /\left(e+\sqrt{e^{2}+(e-1)^{2}}\right)$. Hence $\mathscr{R}_{\mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}}(\mathscr{W}) \geq R$. The function $f(z)=z(1+z) /(1-z) \in \mathscr{W}$ and at the point $z_{0}=-R$, we obtain

$$
\left|\log \frac{z_{0} f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{f\left(z_{0}\right)}\right|=\left|\log \frac{1-2 R-R^{2}}{1-R^{2}}\right|=|\log (1 / e)|=1
$$

Thus $\mathscr{R}_{\mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}}(\mathscr{W}) \leq R$.
Motivated by $[5,24,25]$, we close this section by determining the $\mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$-radii for several classes of functions $f \in \mathscr{A}$ characterized by its ratio with a certain function $g$. Let $\mathscr{F}_{1}$ be the class of functions $f \in \mathscr{A}$ satisfying $f / g \in \mathscr{P}$ for some $g \in \mathscr{W}$.

Theorem 3.6. The $\mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$-radius for the class $\mathscr{F}_{1}$ is

$$
\mathscr{R}_{\mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}}\left(\mathscr{F}_{1}\right)=\frac{e-1}{2 e+\sqrt{4 e^{2}+(e-1)^{2}}} \approx 0.154269 .
$$

The result is sharp.
Proof. Let $f \in \mathscr{F}_{1}$ and define $p, q: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $p(z)=g(z) / z$ and $q(z)=f(z) / g(z)$. Then $p, q \in \mathscr{P}$ and using Lemma 2.3, it follows that

$$
\left|\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-1\right| \leq\left|\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}\right|+\left|\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}\right| \leq \frac{4 r}{1-r^{2}} \quad(|z|=r)
$$

In view of Lemma 2.2, $f \in \mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$ provided $4 r /\left(1-r^{2}\right) \leq 1-1 / e$, which gives $r \leq R:=$ $(e-1) /\left(2 e+\sqrt{4 e^{2}+(e-1)^{2}}\right)$. To show that $R$ is the sharp $\mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$-radius for $\mathscr{F}_{1}$, consider the function $f_{0}(z)=z(1+z)^{2} /(1-z)^{2}$ with $g_{0}(z)=z(1+z) /(1-z)$. Clearly $f_{0} \in \mathscr{F}$ and at the point $z_{0}=-R$, a routine calculation shows that

$$
\left|\log \frac{z_{0} f_{0}^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{f_{0}\left(z_{0}\right)}\right|=\left|\log \frac{1-4 R-R^{2}}{1-R^{2}}\right|=|\log (1 / e)|=1 .
$$

Let $\mathscr{F}_{2}$ be the class of functions $f \in \mathscr{A}$ satisfying the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{f(z)}{g(z)}-1\right|<1 \quad(z \in \mathbb{D}) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $g \in \mathscr{W}$.
Theorem 3.7. The $\mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$-radius for the class $\mathscr{F}_{2}$ is given by

$$
\mathscr{R}_{\mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}}\left(\mathscr{F}_{2}\right)=\frac{2(e-1)}{3 e+\sqrt{9 e^{2}+4(2 e-1)(e-1)}} \approx 0.190884 .
$$

This bound is best possible.

Proof. Let $f \in \mathscr{F}_{2}$ and define functions $p, q: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $p(z)=g(z) / z$ and $q(z)=g(z) / f(z)$. Since the inequality (3.2) is equivalent to $\operatorname{Re} g(z) / f(z)>1 / 2$, therefore $p \in \mathscr{P}$ and $q \in$ $\mathscr{P}(1 / 2)$. Applying Lemma 2.3 to the identity

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}=1+\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}-\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}
$$

we obtain

$$
\left|\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-1\right| \leq \frac{r(3+r)}{1-r^{2}}
$$

By Lemma 2.2, the function $f$ satisfies $\left|\log \left(z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)\right)\right| \leq 1$ if $r(3+r) /\left(1-r^{2}\right) \leq 1-1 / e$. This yields $r \leq R:=2(e-1) /\left(3 e+\sqrt{9 e^{2}+4(2 e-1)(e-1)}\right)$. For sharpness, note that the function $f_{0}(z)=z(1+z)^{2} /(1-z)$ belongs to class $\mathscr{F}_{2}$ with $g_{0}(z)=z(1+z) /(1-z)$. At the point $z_{0}=-R$, we have

$$
\left|\log \frac{z_{0} f_{0}^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{f_{0}\left(z_{0}\right)}\right|=\left|\log \frac{1-3 R-2 R^{2}}{1-R^{2}}\right|=|\log (1 / e)|=1
$$

Hence the result is sharp.
The other related radius problems carried out in [5] can also be performed on the similar lines as that of Theorems 3.6 and 3.7 for the class $\mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}$.

Remark 3.2. Let $\mathscr{C} \mathscr{S}^{*}$ be the class of close-to-star functions defined by

$$
\mathscr{C} \mathscr{S}^{*}=\left\{f \in \mathscr{A}: \frac{f}{g} \in \mathscr{P} \text { and } g \in \mathscr{S}^{*}\right\} .
$$

Then $\mathscr{R}_{\mathscr{S}_{e}^{*}}\left(\mathscr{C} \mathscr{S}^{*}\right)=(e-1) /\left(2 e+\sqrt{1+3 e^{2}}\right) \approx 0.167641$. To see this, let $f \in \mathscr{C} \mathscr{S}^{*}$ and $g \in \mathscr{S}^{*}$ such that $p(z)=f(z) / g(z)$ belongs to $\mathscr{P}$. Then $z g^{\prime}(z) / g(z) \in \mathscr{P}$ so that

$$
\left|\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}\right| \leq \frac{2 r}{1-r^{2}} \quad \text { and } \quad\left|\frac{z g^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}-\frac{1+r^{2}}{1-r^{2}}\right| \leq \frac{2 r}{1-r^{2}},
$$

by applying Lemma 2.3. Using these estimates in the identity

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}=\frac{z g^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}+\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}
$$

it is easy to see that

$$
\left|\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-\frac{1+r^{2}}{1-r^{2}}\right| \leq \frac{4 r}{1-r^{2}} .
$$

The rest of the proof is similar to Theorem 2.10 and so its details are omitted.
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