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#### Abstract

Given a connected simple graph $G=(V, E)$, and a positive integer $k$, a set $S \subseteq V$ is said to be a $k$-metric generator for $G$ if and only if for any pair of different vertices $u, v \in V$, there exist at least $k$ vertices $w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{k} \in S$ such that $d_{G}\left(u, w_{i}\right) \neq d_{G}\left(v, w_{i}\right)$, for every $i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$, where $d_{G}(x, y)$ is the length of a shortest path between $x$ and $y$. A $k$-metric generator of minimum cardinality in $G$ is called a $k$-metric basis and its cardinality, the $k$-metric dimension of $G$. In this article we study the $k$-metric dimension of corona product graphs $G \odot \mathcal{H}$, where $G$ is a graph of order $n$ and $\mathcal{H}$ is a family of $n$ non-trivial graphs. Specifically, we give some necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a $k$-metric basis in a connected corona graph. Moreover, we obtain tight bounds and closed formulae for the $k$-metric dimension of connected corona graphs.
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## 1 Introduction

The concept of $k$-metric generator was introduced by the authors of this paper in [4] as a generalization of the standard concept of metric generator. In graph theory, the notion of metric generator was previously given by Slater in $[18,19]$, where the metric generators were called locating sets, and also, independently by Harary and Melter in [7], where the metric generators were called resolving sets. These characteristic sets were introduced in connection with the problem of uniquely determining the location of an intruder in a network. After that, several other applications of metric generators have been presented. For instance, applications to the navigation of robots in networks are discussed in [13], and applications to chemistry are discussed in [11, 12]. Moreover, this issue has been studied in other papers including, for instance, [2, 3, 8, 14, 21].

For more realistic settings, $k$-metric generators allow to study a more general approach of locating problems. Consider, for instance, some robots which are navigating, moving from node to node of a network. Since on a graph there is not the concept of direction nor that of visibility, we assume that robots have communication with a set of landmarks $S$ (a subset of nodes), which provides them the distance to the landmarks in order to facilitate the navigation. In this sense, one aim is that each robot is uniquely determined by the landmarks. Suppose that in a specific moment there are two robots $x, y$, whose positions are only distinguished by one landmark $s \in S$. If the communication between $x$ and $s$ is "unexpectedly blocked", then the robot $x$ will get "lost" in the sense that it can assume that it has the position of $y$. So, for security reasons, we will consider a set of landmarks, where each pair of nodes is distinguished by at least $k \geq 2$ landmarks, i.e., to take $S$ as a $k$-metric generator for $k \geq 2$.

Given a simple and connected graph $G=(V, E)$ we denote by $d_{G}(x, y)$ the distance between $x, y \in V$. A set $S \subset V$ is said to be a metric generator for $G$ if for any pair of vertices $x, y \in V$ there exists $s \in S$ such that $d_{G}(s, x) \neq d_{G}(s, y)$ (in this case we say that the pair $x, y$ is distinguished by $s$ ). A minimum metric generator is a metric generator with the smallest possible cardinality among all the metric generators for $G$. A minimum metric generator is called a metric basis, and its cardinality, the metric dimension of $G$, denoted by $\operatorname{dim}(G)$. Given $S=\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{d}\right\} \subseteq V(G)$, we refer to the $d$-vector (ordered $d$-tuple) $r(u \mid S)=\left(d_{G}\left(u, s_{1}\right), d_{G}\left(u, s_{2}\right), \ldots, d_{G}\left(u, s_{d}\right)\right)$ as the metric representation of $u$ with respect to $S$. In this sense, $S$ is a metric generator for $G$ if and only if for every pair of different vertices $u, v$ of $G$, it follows $r(u \mid S) \neq r(v \mid S)$.

Now, in a more general setting, given a positive integer $k$, a set $S \subseteq V$ is said to be a $k$-metric generator for $G$ if and only if any pair of vertices of $G$ is distinguished by at least $k$ elements of $S$, i.e., for any pair of different vertices $u, v \in V$, there exist at least $k$ vertices $w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{k} \in S$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{G}\left(u, w_{i}\right) \neq d_{G}\left(v, w_{i}\right), \text { for every } i \in\{1, \ldots, k\} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously, 1-metric generators are the standard metric generators (resolving sets or locating sets as defined in [7] or [18], respectively). By analogy to the standard case, a $k$-metric generator of minimum cardinality will be called a $k$-metric basis of $G$ and its cardinality, the $k$-metric dimension of $G$, which will be denoted by $\operatorname{dim}_{k}(G)$. Notice that every $k$-metric generator $S$ satisfies that $|S| \geq k$ and, if $k>1$, then $S$ is also a $(k-1)$-metric generator.

In practice, the problem of checking if a set $S$ is a 1-metric generator is reduced to check condition (1) only for those vertices $u, v \in V-S$, as every vertex in $S$ is distinguished at least by itself. Also, if $k=2$, then condition (1) must be checked only for those pairs having at most one vertex in $S$, since two vertices of $S$ are distinguished at least by themselves. Nevertheless, if $k \geq 3$, then condition (1) must be checked for every pair of different vertices of the graph.

It was shown in [20], that the problem of computing the $k$-metric dimension of a graph is NP-complete (the case $k=1$ was previously studied in [13]). It is therefore motivating to find the $k$-metric dimension for special classes of graphs or good bounds on this invariant. Specifically, for the case of product graphs, it would be desirable to reduce the problem of computing the $k$-metric dimension of a product graph into computing the $k$-metric dimension of the factor graphs.

Studies about the metric dimension of product graphs were initiated in [2, 15], where several tight bounds and closed formulae for the metric dimension of Cartesian product graphs were presented. After that, the metric dimension of corona graphs, rooted product graphs, lexicographic
product graphs and strong product graphs was studied in [21], [22], [10, 17] and [16], respectively. In this work we continue with the study of the $k$-metric dimension of the corona product graphs. To this end, we introduce some notation and terminology.

If two vertices $u, v$ are adjacent in $G=(V, E)$, then we write $u \sim v$ or $u v \in E(G)$. Given $x \in V(G)$, we define $N_{G}(x)$ as the open neighborhood of $x$ in $G$, i.e., $N_{G}(x)=\{y \in V(G): x \sim y\}$. The closed neighborhood, denoted by $N_{G}[x]$, equals $N_{G}(x) \cup\{x\}$. If there is no ambiguity, we will simply write $N(x)$ or $N[x]$. We also refer to the degree of $v$ as $\delta(v)=|N(v)|$. For a non-empty set $S \subseteq V(G)$, and a vertex $v \in V(G), N_{S}(v)$ denotes the set of neighbors that $v$ has in $S$, i.e., $N_{S}(v)=S \cap N(v)$. As usual, we denote by $A \nabla B=(A \cup B)-(A \cap B)$ the symmetric difference of two sets $A$ and $B$.

We now recall that the join graph $G+H$ of the graphs $G=\left(V_{1}, E_{1}\right)$ and $H=\left(V_{2}, E_{2}\right)$ is the graph with vertex set $V(G+H)=V_{1} \cup V_{2}$ and edge set $E(G+H)=E_{1} \cup E_{2} \cup\left\{u v: u \in V_{1}, v \in V_{2}\right\}$.

Let $G$ be a graph of order $n$ and let $\mathcal{H}=\left\{H_{1}, H_{2}, \ldots, H_{n}\right\}$ be a family of graphs. The corona product graph $G \odot \mathcal{H}$ is defined as the graph obtained from $G$ and $\mathcal{H}$ by taking one copy of $G$ and joining by an edge each vertex of $H_{i}$ with the $i^{\text {th }}$-vertex of $G,[6]$. Notice that the particular case of corona graph $K_{1} \odot H$ is isomorphic to the join graph $K_{1}+H$. From now on we will denote by $V=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$ the set of vertices of $G$ and by $H_{i}=\left(V_{i}, E_{i}\right)$ the graphs belonging to $\mathcal{H}$. So the vertex set of $G \odot \mathcal{H}$ is $V(G \odot \mathcal{H})=V \cup\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} V_{i}\right)$. Also, the order of the graph $H_{i} \in \mathcal{H}$ will be denoted $n_{i}$. In particular, if every $H_{i} \in \mathcal{H}$ holds that $H_{i} \cong H$, then we will use the notation $G \odot H$ instead of $G \odot \mathcal{H}$. In this work, the remaining definitions will be given the first time that the concept appears in the text.

Several results about the $k$-metric dimension of corona product graphs, $G \odot \mathcal{H}$, where at least one graph belonging to $\mathcal{H}$ is trivial, are presented in [5]. Thus, the aim of this paper is to study the case where all graphs belonging to $\mathcal{H}$ are non-trivial.

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 we give some necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a $k$-metric basis for an arbitrary connected corona graph $G \odot \mathcal{H}$. So, we determine the range of possible values for $k$, where $\operatorname{dim}_{k}(G \odot \mathcal{H})$ makes sense. In Section 3 we obtain tight bounds and closed formulae for the $k$-metric dimension of corona graphs where the values of $k$ cover the range stated in Section 2.

## $2 k$-metric dimensional corona graphs

A connected graph $G$ is said to be a $k^{\prime}$-metric dimensional graph if $k^{\prime}$ is the largest integer such that there exists a $k^{\prime}$-metric basis [4]. Notice that if $G$ is a $k^{\prime}$-metric dimensional graph, then for each positive integer $k \leq k^{\prime}$, there exists at least one $k$-metric basis for $G$, i.e., $\operatorname{dim}_{k}(G)$ makes sense for $k \in\left\{1, \ldots, k^{\prime}\right\}$. Since for every pair of vertices $x, y$ of a graph $G$, we have that they are distinguished at least by themselves, it follows that the whole vertex set $V(G)$ is a 2 -metric generator for $G$ and, as a consequence, it follows that every graph $G$ is $k^{\prime}$-metric dimensional for some $k^{\prime} \geq 2$. On the other hand, for any connected graph $G$ of order $n>2$, there exists at least one vertex $v \in V(G)$ such that $\delta(v) \geq 2$. Since $v$ does not distinguish any pair $x, y \in N_{G}(v)$, there is no $n$-metric dimensional graph of order $n>2$.

We first present a characterization of $k$-metric dimensional graphs obtained in [4]. To do so, we need some additional terminology. Given two vertices $x, y \in V(G)$, we say that the set of
distinctive vertices of $x, y$ is

$$
\mathcal{D}_{G}(x, y)=\left\{z \in V(G): d_{G}(x, z) \neq d_{G}(y, z)\right\}
$$

and, the set of non-trivial distinctive vertices of $x, y$ is

$$
\mathcal{D}_{G}^{*}(x, y)=\mathcal{D}_{G}(x, y)-\{x, y\} .
$$

Theorem 1. [4] A connected graph $G$ is $k$-metric dimensional if and only if $k=\min _{x, y \in V(G)}\left\{\left|\mathcal{D}_{G}(x, y)\right|\right\}$.
Two vertices $x, y$ are called false twins if $N(x)=N(y)$, and $x, y$ are called true twins if $N[x]=N[y]$. Two vertices $x, y$ are twins if they are false twin vertices or true twin vertices. Notice that two vertices $x, y$ are twins if and only if $\mathcal{D}_{G}^{*}(x, y)=\emptyset$, i.e., $\mathcal{D}_{G}(x, y)=\{x, y\}$. We also say that a vertex $x$ is a twin, if there exists other vertex $y$ such that $x, y$ are twins.

Corollary 2. [4] A connected graph $G$ of order $n \geq 2$ is 2 -metric dimensional if and only if $G$ has twin vertices.

If there exists a graph $H_{i} \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $H_{i}$ has twin vertices, then it follows that for any graph $G$, the corona graph $G \odot \mathcal{H}$ has twin vertices. Also notice that any two vertices of $G$ are not twins in $G \odot \mathcal{H}$. Therefore, according to Corollary 2 we deduce the following result.

Remark 3. For any connected graph $G$ of order $n$ and any family $\mathcal{H}$ composed by $n$ connected non-trivial graphs, the corona graph $G \odot \mathcal{H}$ is 2-metric dimensional if and only if there exists a 2-metric dimensional graph $H_{i} \in \mathcal{H}$.

Corollary 4. Let $G$ be a connected graph. Then,
(i) For $n \geq 2$, the graph $G \odot K_{n}$ is 2-metric dimensional.
(ii) The graphs $G \odot P_{3}$ and $G \odot C_{4}$ are 2-metric dimensional.

## $2.1 k$-metric dimensional graphs of the form $G \odot \mathcal{H}$, where $G \not \neq K_{1}$.

Given a connected non-trivial graph $H$, we define

$$
\mathcal{C}(H)=\min _{x, y \in V(H)}\left\{\left|N_{H}(x) \nabla N_{H}(y) \cup\{x, y\}\right|\right\}
$$

According to that notation, for a family of connected non-trivial graphs $\mathcal{H}$, we define

$$
\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{H})=\min _{H_{i} \in \mathcal{H}}\left\{\mathcal{C}\left(H_{i}\right)\right\}
$$

Theorem 5. Let $G$ be a connected non-trivial graph of order $n$ and let $\mathcal{H}$ be a family of $n$ nontrivial graphs. Then, $G \odot \mathcal{H}$ is $k$-metric dimensional if and only if $k=\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{H})$.

Proof. We claim that $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{H})=\min _{x, y \in V(G \odot \mathcal{H})}\left\{\left|\mathcal{D}_{G \odot \mathcal{H}}(x, y)\right|\right\}$. Notice that, for every $u, v \in V\left(H_{i}\right)$, we have that $\left|N_{H_{i}}(u) \nabla N_{H_{i}}(v)\right| \leq\left|V\left(H_{i}\right)\right|$. Let $x, y$ be two different vertices of $G \odot \mathcal{H}$. We consider the following cases.

Case 1. If $x \in V_{i}$ and $y \in V_{j}, i \neq j$, then $\mathcal{D}_{G \odot \mathcal{H}}(x, y)=\bigcup_{v_{l} \in \mathcal{D}_{G}\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)}\left(V_{l} \cup\left\{v_{l}\right\}\right)$.
Case 2. If $x, y \in V$, then we assume that $x=v_{i}$ and $y=v_{j}$. So, it follows that $\mathcal{D}_{G \odot \mathcal{H}}(x, y)=$ $\bigcup_{v_{l} \in \mathcal{D}_{G}\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)}\left(V_{l} \cup\left\{v_{l}\right\}\right)$.
Case 3. If $x \in V_{i}$ and $y \in V$, then $y=v_{j}$ for some $j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and we consider the following. If $j=i$, then $\mathcal{D}_{G \odot \mathcal{H}}(x, y)=V(G \odot \mathcal{H})-N_{H_{i}}(x)$. Now, if $j \neq i$, then we have $\mathcal{D}_{G \odot \mathcal{H}}(x, y) \supseteq V_{j}$.

Case 4. If $x, y \in V_{i}$, then $\mathcal{D}_{G \odot \mathcal{H}}(x, y)=\left(N_{H_{i}}(x) \nabla N_{H_{i}}(y)\right) \cup\{x, y\}$.
Now, notice that from Cases 1, 2 and 3, $\left|\mathcal{D}_{G \odot \mathcal{H}}(x, y)\right| \geq \min _{H_{i} \in \mathcal{H}}\left\{\left|V_{i}\right|\right\} \geq \min _{H_{i} \in \mathcal{H}}\left\{\mathcal{C}\left(H_{i}\right)\right\}=\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{H})$. Also, in Case 4, for every $x, y \in V_{i}$ we have that $\left|\mathcal{D}_{G \odot \mathcal{H}}(x, y)\right|=\left|\left(N_{H_{i}}(x) \nabla N_{H_{i}}(y)\right) \cup\{x, y\}\right| \geq$ $\min _{H_{j} \in \mathcal{H}}\left\{\mathcal{C}\left(H_{j}\right)\right\}=\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{H})$. Thus,

$$
\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{H}) \leq \min _{x, y \in V(G \odot \mathcal{H})}\left\{\left|\mathcal{D}_{G \odot \mathcal{H}}(x, y)\right|\right\}
$$

On the other hand, we consider the following.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\min _{x, y \in V(G \odot \mathcal{H})}\left\{\left|\mathcal{D}_{G \odot \mathcal{H}}(x, y)\right|\right\} & \leq \min _{x, y \in V(G \odot \mathcal{H})-V(G)}\left\{\left|\mathcal{D}_{G \odot \mathcal{H}}(x, y)\right|\right\} \\
& \leq \min _{H_{i} \in \mathcal{H}}\left\{\min _{x, y \in V_{i}}\left\{\left|\mathcal{D}_{G \odot \mathcal{H}}(x, y)\right|\right\}\right\} \\
& =\min _{H_{i} \in \mathcal{H}}\left\{\min _{x, y \in V_{i}}\left\{\left|N_{H_{i}}(x) \nabla N_{H_{i}}(y) \cup\{x, y\}\right|\right\}\right\} \\
& =\min _{H_{i} \in \mathcal{H}}\left\{\mathcal{C}\left(H_{i}\right)\right\} \\
& =\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{H}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{H})=\min _{x, y \in V(G \odot \mathcal{H})}\left\{\left|\mathcal{D}_{G \odot \mathcal{H}}(x, y)\right|\right\}$ and, by Theorem 1, we conclude the proof.
Notice that if every $H_{i} \in \mathcal{H}$ satisfies that $H_{i} \cong H$, then $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{H})=\mathcal{C}(H)$. Thus, the following result follows from Theorem 5.

Corollary 6. Let $G$ and $H$ be two connected non-trivial graphs. Then $G \odot H$ is $k$-metric dimensional if and only if $k=\mathcal{C}(H)$.

According to Theorem 5, if the corona graph $G \odot \mathcal{H}$ is $k$-metric dimensional, then the value of $k$ is independent from the connected non-trivial graph $G$. Moreover, for any $x, y \in V_{i}$ it holds $\mathcal{D}_{H_{i}}(x, y) \supseteq\left(N_{H_{i}}(x) \nabla N_{H_{i}}(y)\right) \cup\{x, y\}$. Therefore, by Theorems 1 and 5 we deduce the following result.

Proposition 7. Let $G \odot \mathcal{H}$ be a $k$-metric dimensional graph such that $G$ is a connected non-trivial graph and $\mathcal{H}=\left\{H_{1}, H_{2}, \ldots, H_{n}\right\}$ is a family of connected non-trivial graphs, where $H_{i}$ is $k_{i}$-metric dimensional for $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$. Then the following assertions hold:
(i) $k \leq \min _{i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}}\left\{k_{i}\right\}$.
(ii) $k=k_{j}$ if and only if $\min _{i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}}\left\{\mathcal{C}\left(H_{i}\right)\right\}=\min _{x, y \in V_{j}}\left\{\left|\mathcal{D}_{H_{j}}(x, y)\right|\right\}$.
(iii) If $k=k_{j}$, then $\mathcal{C}\left(H_{j}\right)=\min _{x, y \in V_{j}}\left\{\left|\mathcal{D}_{H_{j}}(x, y)\right|\right\}$.

If a graph $H$ has diameter $D(H) \leq 2$, then for every $x, y \in V(H)$ it holds $\mathcal{D}_{H}(x, y)=$ $N_{H}(x) \nabla N_{H}(y) \cup\{x, y\}$. Thus, the following result is deduced.

Corollary 8. Let $G \odot \mathcal{H}$ be a $k$-metric dimensional graph where $G$ is a connected non-trivial graph and $\mathcal{H}=\left\{H_{1}, H_{2}, \ldots, H_{n}\right\}$ is a family of graphs such that $H_{i}$ is $k_{i}$-metric dimensional and $D\left(H_{i}\right) \leq 2$, for every $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$. Then $k=\min _{i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}}\left\{k_{i}\right\}$.

The girth $g(H)$ of a graph $H$ is the length of a shortest cycle contained in $H$. Now, if $g(H) \geq 5$, then for every $x, y \in V(H)$ we have that either $\left|N_{H}(x) \cap N_{H}(y)\right|=1$ or $\left|N_{H}(x) \cap N_{H}(y)\right|=0$. Hence, it follows that the next result as a consequence of Theorem 5.

Corollary 9. Let $G$ be a connected non-trivial graph of order $n$ and let $\mathcal{H}=\left\{H_{1}, H_{2}, \ldots, H_{n}\right\}$ be a family of $\delta$-regular graphs where $g\left(H_{i}\right) \geq 5$, for every $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$. Then $G \odot \mathcal{H}$ is a $2 \delta$-metric dimensional graph.

We would point out the following particular case of Corollary 9 .
Remark 10. Let $G$ be a connected non-trivial graph. Then, for $n \geq 5$, the graph $G \odot C_{n}$ is 4-metric dimensional.

An end-vertex of a graph $H$ is a vertex of degree one and a support vertex is a vertex that is adjacent to an end-vertex. If $x \in V(H)$ is an end-vertex and $y \in V(H)$ is a support vertex of degree two which is adjacent to $x$, then $\left|N_{H}(x) \nabla N_{H}(y) \cup\{x, y\}\right|=3$. Thus, from Corollary 2 and Theorem 5 we deduce the following result.

Proposition 11. Let $G$ be a connected non-trivial graph of order $n$ and let $\mathcal{H}$ be a family of $n$ connected non-trivial graphs such that no graph belonging to $\mathcal{H}$ has twin vertices. If there exists $H \in \mathcal{H}$, having an end-vertex whose support vertex has degree two, then $G \odot \mathcal{H}$ is a 3-metric dimensional graph.

An interesting particular case of the result above is when the family $\mathcal{H}$ contains a path $P_{r}$ of order $r \geq 4$ and no graph belonging to $\mathcal{H}$ has twin vertices. In such a case $G \odot \mathcal{H}$ is a 3-metric dimensional graph.

## $2.2 k$-metric dimensional graphs of the form $K_{1}+H$

Proposition 12. Let $H$ be a graph of order $n^{\prime} \geq 2$ and maximum degree $\Delta(H)$. The graph $K_{1}+H$ is $k$-metric dimensional if and only if $k=\min \left\{\mathcal{C}(H), n^{\prime}-\Delta(H)+1\right\}$.

Proof. Let $v$ be the vertex of $K_{1}$. Now, let $x, y$ be two different vertices of $K_{1}+H$. If $x, y \in V(H)$, then $\mathcal{D}_{K_{1}+H}(x, y)=N_{H}(x) \nabla N_{H}(y) \cup\{x, y\}$. If $x=v$ and $y \in V(H)$, then $\mathcal{D}_{K_{1}+H}(x, y)=$ $\left(V(H)-N_{H}(y)\right) \cup\{x\}$. Therefore, by Theorem 1, the result follows.

We next point out some consequences of Proposition 12.
Corollary 13. Let $H$ be a non-trivial graph. If $H$ is $k$-metric dimensional and $K_{1}+H$ is $k^{\prime}$-metric dimensional, then $k^{\prime} \leq k$.

Proof. By Proposition 12 we have that if $K_{1}+H$ is a $k^{\prime}$-metric dimensional graph, then $k^{\prime} \leq \mathcal{C}(H)$. Since, for any $x, y \in V(H)$ we have $\mathcal{D}_{H}(x, y) \supseteq N_{H}(x) \nabla N_{H}(y) \cup\{x, y\}$, we deduce that if $H$ is $k$-metric dimensional, then $\mathcal{C}(H) \leq k$ and, as a consequence, $k^{\prime} \leq k$.

Corollary 14. For any connected graph $H$ of order $n^{\prime} \geq 2$ and maximum degree $n^{\prime}-1$, the graph $K_{1}+H$ is 2-metric dimensional.

Notice that the corollary above may be also derived from Corollary 2.
Corollary 15. Let $H$ be a connected graph of order $n^{\prime} \geq 4$ and maximum degree $n^{\prime}-2$. If $H$ does not contain twin vertices, then $K_{1}+H$ is 3-metric dimensional.

Proof. Since $H$ does not contain twin vertices, for every $x, y \in V(H)$ there exists $z \in V(H)-\{x, y\}$ such that $z \in N(x) \nabla N(y)$. Thus, $\mathcal{C}(H) \geq 3$. Now, since $n^{\prime}-\Delta(H)+1=3$, by Proposition 12 we can deduce the result.

The wheel graph $W_{1, n}$ is the join graph $K_{1}+C_{n}$ and the fan graph $F_{1, n}$ is the join graph $K_{1}+P_{n}$.

Corollary 16. For any $n \geq 4$, the fan graph $F_{1, n}$ is 3-metric dimensional, and for any $n \geq 5$, the wheel graph $W_{1, n}$ is 4-metric dimensional.

By Corollary 6 and Proposition 12 we deduce the following remark.
Remark 17. Let $G$ be a connected graph of order $n \geq 2$ and let $\mathcal{H}$ be a family of $n$ non-trivial connected graphs. If for every $H_{i} \in \mathcal{H}$ the graph $K_{1}+H_{i}$ is $k_{i}$-metric dimensional and $G \odot \mathcal{H}$ is $k$-metric dimensional, then $k \geq \min _{i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}}\left\{k_{i}\right\}$.

We conclude this section with a property on the $\left(n^{\prime}-\Delta(H)+1\right)$-metric bases of $K_{1}+H$.
Proposition 18. Let $H$ be a non-trivial graph of order $n^{\prime}$. If $K_{1}+H$ is $\left(n^{\prime}-\Delta(H)+1\right)$-metric dimensional, then the vertex of $K_{1}$ belongs to every $\left(n^{\prime}-\Delta(H)+1\right)$-metric basis of $K_{1}+H$.

Proof. Let $v$ be the vertex of $K_{1}$. Notice that for every $x \in V(H)$, we have

$$
\mathcal{D}_{K_{1}+H}(x, v)=\left(V(H)-N_{H}(x)\right) \cup\{v\} .
$$

For every $x \in V(H)$ such that $N_{H}(x)=\Delta(H)$ we have that $n^{\prime}-\Delta(H)+1=\mid(V(H)-N(x)) \cup$ $\{v\}\left|=\left|\mathcal{D}_{K_{1}+H}(x, v)\right|\right.$. Thus, for any $\left(n^{\prime}-\Delta(H)+1\right)$-metric basis $B$ we have $\mathcal{D}_{K_{1}+H}(x, v) \subseteq B$ and, since $v \in \mathcal{D}_{K_{1}+H}(x, v)$, we conclude that $v \in B$.

By Proposition 18 we deduce that if the vertex of $K_{1}$ does not belong to any $k$-metric basis of $K_{1}+H$, then $K_{1}+H$ is not $\left(n^{\prime}-\Delta(H)+1\right)$-metric dimensional. Thus, by Proposition 12 we obtain the following result.

Lemma 19. Let $H$ be a non-trivial graph. If the vertex of $K_{1}$ does not belong to any $k$-metric basis of $K_{1}+H$, then $K_{1}+H$ is $\mathcal{C}(H)$-metric dimensional.

## 3 The $k$-metric dimension of corona product graphs

Once we have presented several results on $k$-metric dimensional corona graphs, in this section we compute or bound the $k$-metric dimension of corona graphs. To do so, we need to introduce the necessary terminology and some useful tools like the following straightforward lemma.

Lemma 20. Let $G$ be a connected graph and let $x, y \in V(G)$. If $B$ is a $k$-metric basis of $G$ and $\left|\mathcal{D}_{G}(x, y)\right|=k$, then $\mathcal{D}_{G}(x, y) \subseteq B$.

Given a $k$-metric dimensional graph $G$, we define $\mathcal{D}_{k}(G)$ as the set obtained by the union of the sets of distinctive vertices $\mathcal{D}_{G}(x, y)$ whenever $\left|\mathcal{D}_{G}(x, y)\right|=k$, i.e.,

$$
\mathcal{D}_{k}(G)=\bigcup_{\left|\mathcal{D}_{G}(x, y)\right|=k} \mathcal{D}_{G}(x, y)
$$

Corollary 21. Let $G$ be a $k$-metric dimensional graph. For any $k$-metric basis $B$ of a graph $G$ it holds $\mathcal{D}_{k}(G) \subseteq B$.

Theorem 22. [4] Let $G$ be a $k$-metric dimensional graph of order $n$. Then $\operatorname{dim}_{k}(G)=n$ if and only if $V(G)=\mathcal{D}_{k}(G)$.

Corollary 23. [4] Let $G$ be a connected graph of order $n \geq 2$. Then $\operatorname{dim}_{2}(G)=n$ if and only if every vertex is a twin.

Lemma 24. Let $G=(V, E)$ be a connected graph of order $n \geq 2$ and let $\mathcal{H}=\left\{H_{1}, H_{2}, \ldots, H_{n}\right\}$ be a family of connected non-trivial graphs. If $G \odot \mathcal{H}$ is $k^{\prime}$-metric dimensional, then the following assertions hold for any $k \in\left\{1, \ldots, k^{\prime}\right\}$.
(i) If $u, v \in V_{i}$, then $d_{G \odot \mathcal{H}}(u, x)=d_{G \odot \mathcal{H}}(v, x)$ for every vertex $x$ of $G \odot \mathcal{H}$ not belonging to $V_{i}$.
(ii) If $S$ is a $k$-metric generator for $G \odot \mathcal{H}$, then $\left|V_{i} \cap S\right| \geq k$ for every $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$.
(iii) If $S$ is a $k$-metric basis of $G \odot \mathcal{H}$, then $V \cap S=\emptyset$.
(iv) If $S$ is a $k$-metric generator for $G \odot \mathcal{H}$, then for every $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, the set $S \cap V_{i}$ is a $k$-metric generator for $H_{i}$.

Proof. (i) It is straightforward.
(ii) Let $S$ be a $k$-metric generator for $G \odot \mathcal{H}$. Then for any pair of vertices $x, y \in V_{i}$ there exist at least $k$ vertices $u \in S$ such that $d_{G \odot \mathcal{H}}(x, u) \neq d_{G \odot \mathcal{H}}(y, u)$. Thus, by (i) it follows that $\left|S \cap V_{i}\right| \geq k$.
(iii) Let $S$ be a $k$-metric basis of $G \odot \mathcal{H}$. We will show that $S^{\prime}=S-V$ is a $k$-metric generator for $G \odot \mathcal{H}$. Now, let $x, y$ be two different vertices of $G \odot \mathcal{H}$. We have the following cases.

Case 1: $x, y \in V_{i}$. Since $S$ is a $k$-metric basis, by (i) we conclude that $\left|\mathcal{D}_{G \odot \mathcal{H}}(x, y) \cap S^{\prime}\right| \geq k$.
Case 2: $x \in V_{i}$ and $y \in V_{j}, i \neq j$. Notice that for every $v \in V_{i} \cap S^{\prime}$, we have that $d_{G \odot \mathcal{H}}(x, v) \leq 2<3 \leq d_{G \odot \mathcal{H}}(y, v)$. Since $\left|V_{i} \cap S^{\prime}\right| \geq k$, we conclude that $\left|\mathcal{D}_{G \odot \mathcal{H}}(x, y) \cap S^{\prime}\right| \geq k$.

Case 3: $x, y \in V$. Let $x=v_{i}$. Notice that for every $v \in V_{i} \cap S^{\prime}$ we have that $d_{G \odot \mathcal{H}}(x, v)=$ $1<1+d_{G \odot \mathcal{H}}(y, x)=d_{G \odot \mathcal{H}}(y, v)$. Since $\left|V_{i} \cap S^{\prime}\right| \geq k$, we conclude that $\left|\mathcal{D}_{G \odot \mathcal{H}}(x, y) \cap S^{\prime}\right| \geq k$.

Case 4: $x \in V_{i}$ and $y \in V$. If $x \sim y$, then $y=v_{i}$. Let $v_{j} \in V, j \neq i$. Notice that for every $v \in V_{j} \cap S^{\prime}$ we have that $d_{G \odot \mathcal{H}}(x, v)=1+d_{G \odot \mathcal{H}}(y, v)>d_{G \odot \mathcal{H}}(y, v)$. Now, if $x \nsim y=v_{l}$, then for every $v \in V_{l} \cap S^{\prime}$ it follows $d_{G \odot \mathcal{H}}(x, v)=d_{G \odot \mathcal{H}}(x, y)+d_{G \odot \mathcal{H}}(y, v)>d_{G \odot \mathcal{H}}(y, v)$. Since $\left|V_{j} \cap S^{\prime}\right| \geq k$ and $\left|V_{l} \cap S^{\prime}\right| \geq k$, any of the choices above implies that $\left|\mathcal{D}_{G \odot \mathcal{H}}(x, y) \cap S^{\prime}\right| \geq k$.
Therefore, $S^{\prime}$ is a $k$-metric generator for $G \odot \mathcal{H}$. Since $S$ is a $k$-metric basis of $G \odot \mathcal{H}$, we obtain that $V \cap S=\emptyset$.
(iv) Let $S$ be a $k$-metric generator for $G \odot \mathcal{H}$, and let $S_{i}=S \cap V_{i}$. By (i) we deduce that for any pair of vertices $x, y \in V_{i}$ it holds that $\left|\mathcal{D}_{G \odot \mathcal{H}}(x, y) \cap S_{i}\right| \geq k$. Since $\mathcal{D}_{G \odot \mathcal{H}}(x, y) \cap S_{i} \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{H_{i}}(x, y)$, we conclude that $S_{i}$ is a $k$-metric generator for $H_{i}$.

### 3.1 The $k$ metric dimension of $G \odot \mathcal{H}$, where $G$ and the graphs belonging to $\mathcal{H}$ are non-trivial.

Our first result is obtained as a consequence of Lemma 24 (iii) and (iv).
Theorem 25. Let $G$ be a connected graph of order $n \geq 2$ and let $\mathcal{H}$ be a family of connected non-trivial graphs. If $G \odot \mathcal{H}$ is $k^{\prime}$-metric dimensional, then for every $k \in\left\{1, \ldots, k^{\prime}\right\}$,

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(H_{i}\right) \leq \operatorname{dim}_{k}(G \odot \mathcal{H}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|V_{i}\right|
$$

Our next result is a direct consequence of combining the lower and upper bounds of Theorem 25.

Corollary 26. Let $G$ be a connected graph of order $n \geq 2$ and let $\mathcal{H}$ be a family of connected non-trivial graphs. If $G \odot \mathcal{H}$ is $k$-metric dimensional and $\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(H_{i}\right)=\left|V_{i}\right|$ for every graph $H_{i} \in \mathcal{H}$, then

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{k}(G \odot \mathcal{H})=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|V_{i}\right|
$$

$P_{4}$ and $C_{6}$ are two examples for the graph $H$ satisfying the conditions of Corollary 26. Notice that $G \odot P_{4}$ is 3-metric dimensional and $\operatorname{dim}_{3}\left(P_{4}\right)=4$. Also, $G \odot C_{6}$ is 4-metric dimensional and $\operatorname{dim}_{4}\left(C_{6}\right)=6$. Therefore, the next result is a particular case of Corollary 26.

Remark 27. For any non-trivial graph $G$ of order $n$, $\operatorname{dim}_{3}\left(G \odot P_{4}\right)=4 n$ and $\operatorname{dim}_{4}\left(G \odot C_{6}\right)=6 n$.
Theorem 28. Let $G$ be a connected graph of order $n \geq 2$, and let $\mathcal{H}$ be a family of connected non-trivial graphs. Then, every $H_{i} \in \mathcal{H}$ is composed by twin vertices if and only if

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{2}(G \odot \mathcal{H})=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|V_{i}\right|
$$

Proof. Suppose that every $H_{i} \in \mathcal{H}$ is formed by twin vertices. By Corollary 23, we deduce that every $H_{i} \in \mathcal{H}$ holds that $\operatorname{dim}_{2}\left(H_{i}\right)=\left|V_{i}\right|$. So, by Corollary 26 we conclude that $\operatorname{dim}_{2}(G \odot \mathcal{H})=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|V_{i}\right|$.

Conversely, assume that $\operatorname{dim}_{2}(G \odot \mathcal{H})=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|V_{i}\right|$. We proceed by contradiction. Suppose that there exists $x \in V_{i}$ such that for every $y \in W=V_{i}-\{x\}$ it holds $N_{H_{i}}(x) \neq N_{H_{i}}(y)$. In such a case, $\left|V_{i}\right| \geq 3$ and since $H_{i}$ is connected, for every $y \in W$ we have the following.

- If $y \sim x$, then $\left|N_{H_{i}}(x) \nabla N_{H_{i}}(y)-\{x\}\right| \geq 2$ and, as a consequence, $\left|\mathcal{D}_{G \odot \mathcal{H}}(x, y) \cap W\right| \geq 2$.
- If $y \nsim x$, then $\left|N_{H_{i}}(x) \nabla N_{H_{i}}(y)\right| \geq 1$ and also $y$ distinguishes the pair $x, y$. Thus, again, $\left|\mathcal{D}_{G \odot \mathcal{H}}(x, y) \cap W\right| \geq 2$.

Now, we take $S$ as a 2-metric basis of $G \odot \mathcal{H}$. By Lemma 24 (iii) we have that $S \cap V=\emptyset$ and, consequently, for any $j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ we have $S \cap V_{j}=V_{j}$. Also, by Lemma 24 (i), every pair of vertices of $H_{j}$ is only distinguished by vertices of $H_{j}$. Therefore, $S^{\prime}=W \cup\left(\bigcup_{j \neq i} V_{j}\right)$ is a 2-metric generator for $G \odot \mathcal{H}$ and $\left|S^{\prime}\right|<\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|V_{i}\right|=\operatorname{dim}_{2}(G \odot \mathcal{H})$, which is a contradiction.

Next we present another case where the lower bound of Theorem 25 is achieved.
Theorem 29. Let $G$ be a connected graph of order $n \geq 2$ and let $\mathcal{H}$ be a family of $n$ non-trivial graphs such that every $H_{i} \in \mathcal{H}$ is $k_{i}$-metric dimensional and $D\left(H_{i}\right) \leq 2$. If $k^{\prime}=\min _{i \in 1, \ldots, n}\left\{k_{i}\right\}$, then for every $k \in\left\{1, \ldots, k^{\prime}\right\}$,

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{k}(G \odot \mathcal{H})=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(H_{i}\right)
$$

Proof. Let $k \in\left\{1, \ldots, k^{\prime}\right\}$ and let $S_{i} \subseteq V_{i}$ be a $k$-metric basis of $H_{i}$. We will show that $S=\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} S_{i}$ is a $k$-metric generator for $G \odot \mathcal{H}$. Let us consider two different vertices $x, y$ of $G \odot \mathcal{H}$. We have the following cases.

Case 1: $x, y \in V_{i}$. Since $S_{i}$ is a $k$-metric basis of $H_{i}$, we have that $\left|\mathcal{D}_{H_{i}}(x, y) \cap S_{i}\right| \geq k$. Also, if $D\left(H_{i}\right) \leq 2$, then for every $a, b \in V_{i}$, we have that $d_{H_{i}}(a, b)=d_{G \odot \mathcal{H}}(a, b)$. Now, since no vertex $u \in V(G \odot \mathcal{H})-V_{i}$ distinguishes the pair $x, y$, we conclude that $\mathcal{D}_{H_{i}}(x, y)=\mathcal{D}_{G \odot \mathcal{H}}(x, y)$. Thus, we obtain that $\left|\mathcal{D}_{G \odot \mathcal{H}}(x, y) \cap S\right| \geq k$.

Case 2: $x \in V_{i}$ and $y \in V_{j}, i \neq j$. For every $v \in S_{i}$ we have $d(x, v) \leq 2<3 \leq d(y, v)$. Since $\left|S_{i}\right| \geq k$, we conclude that $\left|\mathcal{D}_{G \odot \mathcal{H}}(x, y) \cap S\right| \geq k$.

Case 3: $x, y \in V$. Assume $x=v_{i}$. Hence, for every $v \in S_{i}$, we have $d(x, v)=1<d(y, x)+1=$ $d(y, v)$. Again, as $\left|S_{i}\right| \geq k$, we obtain that $\left|\mathcal{D}_{G \odot \mathcal{H}}(x, y) \cap S\right| \geq k$.

Case 4: $x \in V_{i}$ and $y \in V$. If $y=v_{i}$, then for every $v \in S_{j}$, with $j \neq i$, it follows that $d(x, v)=1+d(y, v)>d(y, v)$. Now, if $y=v_{l}, l \neq i$, then for every $v \in S_{l}$, we have $d(x, v)=d(x, y)+d(y, v)>d(y, v)$. Finally, since $\left|S_{j}\right| \geq k$ and $\left|S_{l}\right| \geq k$, both possibilities lead to $\left|\mathcal{D}_{G \odot \mathcal{H}}(x, y) \cap S\right| \geq k$.

Thus, for every pair of different vertices $x, y \in V(G \odot \mathcal{H})$, we have that $\left|\mathcal{D}_{G \odot \mathcal{H}}(x, y) \cap S\right| \geq k$. So, $S$ is a $k$-metric generator for $G \odot \mathcal{H}$ and, as a consequence, $\operatorname{dim}_{k}(G \odot \mathcal{H}) \leq|S|=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(H_{i}\right)$. The proof is completed by the lower bound of Theorem 25.

We must point out that Theorems 25 and 29 are generalizations of previous results established in [21] for the case $k=1$.

Notice that there are values for $\operatorname{dim}_{k}(G \odot \mathcal{H})$ non achieving the bounds given in Theorem 25. For instance, if there exists a $k$-metric basis $S$ of $G \odot \mathcal{H}$ and a graph $H_{i} \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(H_{i}\right)<\left|S \cap V_{i}\right|<\left|V_{i}\right|$, then by Lemma 24 (iii) and (iv) we conclude

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(H_{i}\right)<\operatorname{dim}_{k}(G \odot \mathcal{H})<\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|V_{i}\right|
$$

The results given in Proposition 41 show some examples for the observation above.

### 3.2 The $k$-metric dimension of $K_{1}+H$ and its role in the study of the $k$-metric dimension of $G \odot \mathcal{H}$

Remark 30. Let $H$ be a non-trivial graph. If $B$ is a $k$-metric basis of $K_{1}+H$, then $B \cap V(H)$ is a $k$-metric generator for $H$.

Proof. Let $B$ be a $k$-metric basis of $K_{1}+H$. Since the vertex of $K_{1}$ is adjacent to every vertex of $H$, for every $x, y \in V(H)$, we have $\left|B \cap\left(N_{H}(x) \nabla N_{H}(y) \cup\{x, y\}\right)\right| \geq k$ and, as a consequence, $\left|B \cap \mathcal{D}_{H}(x, y)\right| \geq k$. Therefore, $B \cap V(H)$ is a $k$-metric generator for $H$.

Corollary 31. Let $H$ be a non-trivial graph. If $K_{1}+H$ is a $k^{\prime}$-metric dimensional graph, then for every $k \in\left\{1, \ldots, k^{\prime}\right\}$,

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{k}(H) \leq \operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(K_{1}+H\right)
$$

Given a $k^{\prime}$-metric dimensional graph $K_{1}+H$ and an integer $k \in\left\{1, \ldots, k^{\prime}\right\}$, we define the following binary function.

$$
f(H, k)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if the vertex of } K_{1} \text { does not belong to any } k \text {-metric basis of } K_{1}+H \\ 1 & \text { if there exists a } k \text {-metric basis } S \text { of } K_{1}+H \text { containing the vertex of } K_{1}\end{cases}
$$

Theorem 32. Let $G$ be a connected graph of order $n \geq 2$ and let $\mathcal{H}$ be a family of $n$ non-trivial graphs such that for every $H_{i} \in \mathcal{H}$, the graph $K_{1}+H_{i}$ is $k_{i}$-metric dimensional. If $k^{\prime}=\min _{i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}}\left\{k_{i}\right\}$, then for any $k \in\left\{1, \ldots, k^{\prime}\right\}$,

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{k}(G \odot \mathcal{H}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(K_{1}+H_{i}\right)-f\left(H_{i}, k\right)\right)
$$

Proof. Let $V(G)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$ be the vertex set of $G$. Now, for every $v_{i} \in V(G)$, let $B_{i}$ be a $k$-metric basis of $\left\langle v_{i}\right\rangle+H_{i}$ containing $v_{i}$ if possible. Let $B_{i}^{\prime}=B_{i}-\left\{v_{i}\right\}$ (notice that if for some $l \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, the vertex $v_{l}$ does not belong to any $k$-metric basis of $\left\langle v_{l}\right\rangle+H_{l}$, then $\left.B_{l}^{\prime}=B_{l}\right)$. From Remark 30, we have that $B_{i}^{\prime}$ is a $k$-metric generator for $H_{i}$. Thus, $\left|B_{i}^{\prime}\right| \geq k$. We will show that $B=\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} B_{i}^{\prime}$ is a $k$-metric generator for $G \odot \mathcal{H}$. We consider the following cases for any pair of different vertices $x, y \in V(G \odot \mathcal{H})$.

Case 1: $x, y \in V_{i}$. Since no vertex outside of $V_{i}$ distinguishes $x, y$, we have that $\left|B_{i}^{\prime} \cap \mathcal{D}_{G \odot \mathcal{H}}(x, y)\right|=$ $\left|B_{i}^{\prime}\right| \geq k$ and, consequently, $\left|B \cap \mathcal{D}_{G \odot \mathcal{H}}(x, y)\right| \geq k$.

Case 2: $x \in V_{i}$ and $y \in V_{j}, i \neq j$. For every $v \in B_{i}^{\prime}$, we have that $d_{G \odot \mathcal{H}}(x, v) \leq 2<3 \leq d_{G \odot \mathcal{H}}(y, v)$. Thus, $B_{i}^{\prime} \subset \mathcal{D}_{G \odot \mathcal{H}}(x, y)$ and, since $\left|B_{i}^{\prime}\right| \geq k$, we conclude that $\left|B \cap \mathcal{D}_{G \odot \mathcal{H}}(x, y)\right| \geq k$.

Case 3: $x, y \in V$. Suppose now that $x=v_{i}$. In this case for every $v \in B_{i}^{\prime}$ we have that $d_{G \odot \mathcal{H}}(x, v)=1<d_{G \odot \mathcal{H}}(y, x)+1=d_{G \odot \mathcal{H}}(y, v)$. Hence, $B_{i}^{\prime} \subset \mathcal{D}_{G \odot \mathcal{H}}(x, y)$ and, since $\left|B_{i}^{\prime}\right| \geq k$, we conclude that $\left|B \cap \mathcal{D}_{G \odot \mathcal{H}}(x, y)\right| \geq k$.

Case 4: $x \in V_{i}$ and $y \in V$. If $y=v_{i}$, then for every $v \in B_{j}^{\prime}$, with $j \neq i$, we have $d_{G \odot \mathcal{H}}(x, v)=$ $1+d_{G \odot \mathcal{H}}(y, v)>d_{G \odot \mathcal{H}}(y, v)$. Thus, $B_{j}^{\prime} \subset \mathcal{D}_{G \odot \mathcal{H}}(x, y)$ and, since $\left|B_{j}^{\prime}\right| \geq k$, we conclude that $\left|B \cap \mathcal{D}_{G \odot \mathcal{H}}(x, y)\right| \geq k$. Now, let us assume that $y=v_{j}$, with $j \neq i$. In this case for every $v \in B_{j}^{\prime}$ we have that $d_{G \odot \mathcal{H}}(x, v)=d_{G \odot \mathcal{H}}(x, y)+d_{G \odot \mathcal{H}}(y, v)>d_{G \odot \mathcal{H}}(y, v)$. So, $B_{j}^{\prime} \subset \mathcal{D}_{G \odot \mathcal{H}}(x, y)$ and, as $\left|B_{j}^{\prime}\right| \geq k$, we conclude that $\left|B \cap \mathcal{D}_{G \odot \mathcal{H}}(x, y)\right| \geq k$.

Therefore, $B$ is a $k$-metric generator for $G \odot H$ and, as a consequence,

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{k}(G \odot H) \leq|B|=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|B_{i}^{\prime}\right|=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(\left\langle v_{i}\right\rangle+H_{i}\right)-f\left(H_{i}, k\right)\right)
$$

Since $\left\langle v_{i}\right\rangle+H_{i} \cong K_{1}+H_{i}$, the proof is complete.

To see that the equality in Theorem 32 is attained, we take a family $\mathcal{H}$ such that for every $H_{i} \in \mathcal{H}$ the graph $K_{1}+H_{i}$ is $k$-metric dimensional and $\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(H_{i}\right)=\left|V_{i}\right|$. In such a situation, since the vertex of $K_{1}$ does not distinguish any pair of vertices belonging to $V_{i}$, we have that either $\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(K_{1}+H_{i}\right)=\left|V_{i}\right|$, in which case the vertex of $K_{1}$ does not belong to any $k$-metric basis of $K_{1}+H_{i}$, or $\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(K_{1}+H_{i}\right)=\left|V_{i}\right|+1$, in which case the vertex of $K_{1}$ belongs to any $k$-metric basis of $K_{1}+H_{i}$. Thus, Theorem 32 leads to $\operatorname{dim}_{k}(G \odot \mathcal{H}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|V_{i}\right|$. As shown in Corollary 26, the equality is attained. For instance, we can take $k=2$ and every $H_{i}=K_{r}$, where $r \geq 2$, or $k=3$ and every $H_{i}=P_{4}$, or $k=4$ and every $H_{i}=C_{5}$.

Since for any $x, y \in V(H)$ it holds $N_{H}(x) \nabla N_{H}(y)=N_{\bar{H}}(x) \nabla N_{\bar{H}}(y)$, where $\bar{H}$ denotes the complement of graph $H$, we deduce that $\left(N_{H}(x) \nabla N_{H}(y)\right) \cup\{x, y\}=\left(N_{\bar{H}}(x) \nabla N_{\bar{H}}(y)\right) \cup\{x, y\}$. Therefore, the next result is deduced.

Lemma 33. Let $H$ be a non-trivial graph such that the vertex of $K_{1}$ does not belong to any $k$ metric basis of $K_{1}+H$. Any $k$-metric basis of $K_{1}+H$ is $k$-metric basis of $K_{1}+\bar{H}$ and, therefore $\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(K_{1}+H\right)=\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(K_{1}+\bar{H}\right)$.

By Corollary 16 the wheel graph $K_{1}+C_{r}=W_{1, r}$ is 4-metric dimensional for $r \geq 7$. Therefore, the next lemma makes only sense for $k \leq 4$. We do not consider the case $k=1$, since it has been previously studied in [1].

Lemma 34. Let $C_{r}$ be a cycle graph of order $r \geq 7$, and let $k \in\{2,3,4\}$. If there exists $S \subseteq V\left(C_{r}\right)$ such that $\left|\mathcal{D}_{W_{1, r}}(x, y) \cap S\right| \geq k$ for every $x, y \in V\left(C_{r}\right)$, then $|S| \geq k+2$.

Proof. Let $V\left(C_{r}\right)=\left\{u_{0}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{r-1}\right\}$ be the vertex set of the cycle $C_{r}$. The subscripts of $u_{i} \in$ $V\left(C_{r}\right)$ will be taken modulo $r$. Notice that $\mathcal{D}_{W_{1, r}}\left(u_{i}, u_{i+1}\right)=\left\{u_{i-1}, u_{i}, u_{i+1}, u_{i+2}\right\}$.

We first consider the case $r \geq 8$. Since $\mathcal{D}_{W_{1, r}}\left(u_{i}, u_{i+1}\right) \cap \mathcal{D}_{W_{1, r}}\left(u_{i+4}, u_{i+5}\right)=\emptyset, \mid \mathcal{D}_{W_{1, r}}\left(u_{i}, u_{i+1}\right) \cap$ $S \mid \geq k$ and $\left|\mathcal{D}_{W_{1, r}}\left(u_{i+4}, u_{i+5}\right) \cap S\right| \geq k$, we deduce that $|S| \geq 2 k$. Thus, for $k \geq 2$ we have that $|S| \geq k+2$.

We now consider the case $r=7$. Since $\mathcal{D}_{W_{1, r}}\left(u_{i}, u_{i+1}\right) \cap \mathcal{D}_{W_{1, r}}\left(u_{i+4}, u_{i+5}\right)=\left\{u_{i+6}\right\}$, in this case we have $|S| \geq 2 k-1$. So for $k \in\{3,4\}$ it holds $|S| \geq k+2$. Now we take $k=2$. Suppose that $|S|=3$. If $S$ is composed by non-consecutive vertices, say $S=\left\{u_{i}, u_{i+2}, u_{i+4}\right\}$, then $\left|\mathcal{D}_{W_{1, r}}\left(u_{i+4}, u_{i+5}\right) \cap S\right|=1$, which is a contradiction. If there are two consecutive vertices in $S$, say $u_{i}, u_{i+1} \in S$, then $\left|\mathcal{D}_{W_{1, r}}\left(u_{i+3}, u_{i+4}\right) \cap S\right| \leq 1$, which is a contradiction. Hence, $|S| \geq 4$ and, as a consequence, for $k=2$ we have that $|S| \geq k+2$.

In order to present our next result, we need to introduce a new notation. Given a family of graphs $\mathcal{H}=\left\{H_{1}, \ldots, H_{n}\right\}$, we define $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ as the family of the complement graphs of each $H_{i} \in \mathcal{H}$, i.e., $\overline{\mathcal{H}}=\left\{\bar{H}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{H}_{n}\right\}$.

Theorem 35. Let $G$ be a connected graph of order $n \geq 2$ and let $\mathcal{H}$ be a family of $n$ connected non-trivial graphs. If for every $H_{i} \in \mathcal{H}$ it holds $D\left(H_{i}\right) \geq 6$ or $H_{i}$ is a cycle graph of order greater than or equal to seven, then for any $k \in\{1, \ldots, \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{H})\}$,

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{k}(G \odot \mathcal{H})=\operatorname{dim}_{k}(G \odot \overline{\mathcal{H}})=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(K_{1}+H_{i}\right)
$$

Proof. The case $k=1$, where every $H_{i}$ is isomorphic to a fixed graph $H$, was studied in [21]. Moreover, the procedure to prove the case when $k=1$ and $\mathcal{H}$ contains at least two non-isomorphic graphs, is quite similar to the one presented in [21]. Hence, from now on we assume that $k \geq 2$.

By Remark 17, if for every $H_{i} \in \mathcal{H}$ of order $n_{i}$, the graph $K_{1}+H_{i}$ is $k_{i}$-metric dimensional, then for $k \in\left\{1, \ldots, \min _{i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}}\left\{k_{i}\right\}\right\}$ there exist $k$-metric bases of $G \odot \mathcal{H}$. By Lemma 19 and Proposition 36, we deduce that $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{H})=\min _{i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}}\left\{k_{i}\right\}$.

Let $S$ be a $k$-metric basis of $G \odot \mathcal{H}$. We will show that $S_{i}=S \cap V_{i}$ is a $k$-metric generator for $\left\langle v_{i}\right\rangle+H_{i}$. Notice that by Lemma 24, for every $x, y \in V_{i}$ we have that $\left|S_{i} \cap \mathcal{D}_{\left\langle v_{i}\right\rangle+H_{i}}(x, y)\right|=$ $\left|S_{i} \cap \mathcal{D}_{G \odot \mathcal{H}}(x, y)\right| \geq k$. Now we differentiate two cases in order to show that for every $x \in V_{i}$ it holds $\left|S_{i} \cap \mathcal{D}_{\left\langle v_{i}\right\rangle+H_{i}}\left(x, v_{i}\right)\right| \geq k$.

Case 1: $H_{i}$ is a cycle graph of order $n^{\prime} \geq 7$. Since $n^{\prime} \geq 7$, by Lemma 34, we have that $\left|S_{i}\right| \geq k+2$. Notice that for any $x \in V_{i}$ there exist exactly two vertices $y, z \in V_{i}$ such that $d_{H_{i}}(x, y)=d_{H_{i}}(x, z)=1$. Since $\left|S_{i}\right| \geq k+2$, for every $x \in V_{i}$ we have that there exist at least $k$ elements $u$ of $S_{i}$ such that $d_{H_{i}}(u, x)>1$, and as a consequence, $d_{\left\langle v_{i}\right\rangle+H_{i}}(u, x)=2$. Hence, $\left|S_{i} \cap \mathcal{D}_{\left\langle v_{i}\right\rangle+H_{i}}\left(x, v_{i}\right)\right| \geq k$.

Case 2: $D\left(H_{i}\right) \geq 6$. If for every $x \in V_{i}$ there exist at least $k$ elements in $S_{i}$ which are not adjacent to $x$, then the result holds. Hence, given $z \in V_{i}$, we define $R_{i}(z)=\left(V_{i}-N_{H_{i}}(z)\right) \cap S_{i}$. Suppose that there exists $x \in V_{i}$ such that $0 \leq\left|R_{i}(x)\right| \leq k-1$.

Now, let $F_{i}(x)=S_{i}-R_{i}(x)$. Since $\left|S_{i}\right| \geq k$, we have that $F_{i}(x) \neq \emptyset$. If $V_{i}=F_{i}(x) \cup\{x\}$, then $D\left(H_{i}\right) \leq 2$, which is a contradiction. Now, if for every $y \in V_{i}-\left(F_{i}(x) \cup\{x\}\right)$ there exists $z \in F_{i}(x)$ such that $d_{H_{i}}(y, z)=1$, then $D\left(H_{i}\right) \leq 4$, which is a contradiction. So, we assume that there exists a vertex $y \in V_{i}-\left(F_{i}(x) \cup\{x\}\right)$ such that $d_{H_{i}}(y, z)>1$, for every $z \in F_{i}(x)$. If $V_{i}=F_{i}(x) \cup\{x, y\}$, then $y \sim x$ and, as a consequence, $D\left(H_{i}\right)=2$, which is also a contradiction. Hence, $V_{i}-\left(F_{i}(x) \cup\{x, y\}\right) \neq \emptyset$.

Since $N_{H_{i}}(y) \cap F_{i}(x)=\emptyset$ and $\left|R_{i}(x)\right|<k$, and also for any $w \in V_{i}-\left(F_{i}(x) \cup\{x, y\}\right)$ we have that $\mathcal{D}_{G \odot \mathcal{H}}(y, w)=\left(N_{H_{i}}(y) \nabla N_{H_{i}}(w)\right) \cup\{y, w\}$ and $\left|\mathcal{D}_{G \odot \mathcal{H}}(y, w) \cap S_{i}\right| \geq k$, we deduce that $N_{H_{i}}(w) \cap F_{i}(x) \neq \emptyset$, and this leads to $D\left(H_{i}\right) \leq 5$, which is also a contradiction.

Therefore, if $D\left(H_{i}\right) \geq 6$, then for every $x \in V_{i}$ we have that $\left|R_{i}(x)\right| \geq k$ and, as a consequence, for every $x \in V_{i}$ there exist at least $k$ vertices $u \in S_{i}$ such that $d_{\left\langle v_{i}\right\rangle+H_{i}}(u, x)=2$. Hence, $\left|S_{i} \cap \mathcal{D}_{\left\langle v_{i}\right\rangle+H_{i}}\left(x, v_{i}\right)\right| \geq k$.

We have shown that $S_{i}$ is a $k$-metric generator for $\left\langle v_{i}\right\rangle+H_{i}$ and, as a consequence, $\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(\left\langle v_{i}\right\rangle+\right.$ $\left.H_{i}\right) \leq\left|S_{i}\right|$. Now, by Lemma 24 (iii) we have that $V(G) \cap S=\emptyset$ and, consequently, $S=\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} S_{i}$. Therefore,

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{k}(G \odot \mathcal{H})=|S|=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|S_{i}\right| \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(K_{1}+H_{i}\right)
$$

Finally, by Theorem 32 and Lemma 33, the proof is completed.
By Theorems 32 and 35 we deduce the following result.
Proposition 36. Let $H$ be a connected graph such that $K_{1}+H$ is $k^{\prime}$-metric dimensional and let $k \in\left\{1, \ldots, k^{\prime}\right\}$. If $D(H) \geq 6$ or $H$ is a cycle graph of order greater than or equal seven, the vertex of $K_{1}$ does not belong to any $k$-metric basis of $K_{1}+H$.

In order to present our next result we introduce a new definition. Given a family of $n$ graphs $\mathcal{H}$, we denote by $K_{1} \diamond \mathcal{H}$ the family of graphs formed by the graphs $K_{1}+H_{i}$ for every $H_{i} \in \mathcal{H}$, i.e., $K_{1} \diamond \mathcal{H}=\left\{K_{1}+H_{1}, K_{1}+H_{2}, \ldots, K_{1}+H_{n}\right\}$.

Proposition 37. Let $G$ be a connected graph of order $n \geq 2$, let $\mathcal{H}$ be a family of $n$ connected graphs, and let $K_{1}+H_{i}$ be a $k_{i}$-metric dimensional graph for every $H_{i} \in \mathcal{H}$. If for every $H_{i} \in \mathcal{H}$ holds that $D\left(H_{i}\right) \geq 6$ or $H_{i}$ is a cycle graph of order greater than or equal to seven, then for any $k \in\{1, \ldots, \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{H})\}$,

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{k}(G \odot \mathcal{H})=\operatorname{dim}_{k}(G \odot \overline{\mathcal{H}})=\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(G \odot\left(K_{1} \diamond \mathcal{H}\right)\right)
$$

Proof. Since for every $H_{i} \in \mathcal{H}$, it follows $D\left(K_{1}+H_{i}\right)=2$, by Theorem 29, $\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(G \odot\left(K_{1} \diamond \mathcal{H}\right)\right)=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(K_{1}+H_{i}\right)$. Also, by Theorem 35, $\operatorname{dim}_{k}(G \odot \mathcal{H})=\operatorname{dim}_{k}(G \odot \overline{\mathcal{H}})=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(K_{1}+H_{i}\right)$. So, the result follows.

Next we consider some special classes of graphs of the form $K_{1}+H$, the so called fan graphs and wheel graphs.

### 3.2.1 The particular case of fan graphs and wheel graphs

In order to study the $k$-metric dimension of fan graphs, we will use the following notation. Let $V\left(P_{n}\right)=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n}\right\}$ be the vertex set of the path $P_{n}$ and let $F_{1, n}=\langle u\rangle+P_{n}$. We assume that $u_{i} \sim u_{i+1}$ for each $i \in\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$.

By Corollary 16 we know that the fan graphs $F_{1, n}, n \geq 4$, are 3-metric dimensional, so $\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(F_{1, n}\right)$ makes sense for $k \in\{1,2,3\}$. In this section we study the cases $k=2$ and $k=3$, since the case $k=1$ was previously studied in [9], that is:

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{1}\left(F_{1, n}\right)= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } n=1 \\ 2, & \text { if } n=2,3 \\ 3, & \text { if } n=6 \\ \left\lfloor\frac{2 n+2}{5}\right\rfloor, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

We first present some useful lemmas.
Lemma 38. Let $k \in\{2,3\}$ and let $n \geq 6$ be an integer. For any $k$-metric basis $S$ of $F_{1, n}$ it holds $\left|S \cap V\left(P_{n}\right)\right| \geq 2 k$.

Proof. Notice that $\mathcal{D}_{F_{1, n}}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right\}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{F_{1, n}}\left(u_{n-1}, u_{n}\right)=\left\{u_{n-2}, u_{n-1}, u_{n}\right\}$. Since $S$ is a $k$-metric basis of $F_{1, n}$, we have $\left|S \cap \mathcal{D}_{F_{1, n}}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)\right| \geq k$ and $\left|S \cap \mathcal{D}_{F_{1, n}}\left(u_{n-1}, u_{n}\right)\right| \geq k$. As $n \geq 6$, it holds $\mathcal{D}_{F_{1, n}}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \cap \mathcal{D}_{F_{1, n}}\left(u_{n-1}, u_{n}\right)=\emptyset$. Therefore, $\left|S \cap V\left(P_{n}\right)\right| \geq 2 k$.

Lemma 39. Let $H$ be a non-trivial graph, let $K_{1}+H$ be a $k^{\prime}$-metric dimensional graph, and let $k \in\left\{1, \ldots, k^{\prime}\right\}$. If for every $k$-metric basis $S$ of $K_{1}+H$ we have that $|S \cap V(H)| \geq k+\Delta(H)$, then the vertex of $K_{1}$ does not belong to any $k$-metric basis of $K_{1}+H$.

Proof. Let $v$ be the vertex of $K_{1}$ and let $S$ be a $k$-metric basis of $K_{1}+H$. We will show that $S^{\prime}=S-\{v\}$ is a $k$-metric generator for $K_{1}+H$.

On one hand, for every $x \in V(H)$ we have $\left|S^{\prime} \cap \mathcal{D}_{K_{1}+H}(x, v)\right|=\left|S^{\prime} \cap\left(V(H)-N_{H}(x)\right)\right| \geq k$, as $\left|S^{\prime} \cap V(H)\right|=|S \cap V(H)| \geq k+\Delta(H)$.

On the other hand, for any $x, y \in V(H)$ we have $\left|S^{\prime} \cap \mathcal{D}_{K_{1}+H}(x, y)\right|=\left|S \cap \mathcal{D}_{K_{1}+H}(x, y)\right| \geq k$, as $v \notin \mathcal{D}_{K_{1}+H}(x, y)$.

Therefore, $S^{\prime \prime}$ is a $k$-metric generator for $K_{1}+H$ and, by the minimality of $S$, the set $S^{\prime}$ is a $k$ metric basis of $K_{1}+H$.

By performing some simple calculations, we have observed that $\operatorname{dim}_{2}\left(F_{1,2}\right)=3, \operatorname{dim}_{2}\left(F_{1,3}\right)=4$, $\operatorname{dim}_{2}\left(F_{1,4}\right)=\operatorname{dim}_{2}\left(F_{1,5}\right)=4$ and $\operatorname{dim}_{3}\left(F_{1,4}\right)=\operatorname{dim}_{3}\left(F_{1,5}\right)=5$. The remaining values of $\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(F_{1, n}\right)$ are obtained in our next proposition.

Proposition 40. For any integer $n \geq 6$,
(i) $\operatorname{dim}_{2}\left(F_{1, n}\right)=\lceil(n+1) / 2\rceil$.
(ii) $\operatorname{dim}_{3}\left(F_{1, n}\right)=n-\lfloor(n-4) / 5\rfloor$

Proof. (i) We shall prove that $A=\left\{u_{i} \in V\left(P_{n}\right): i \equiv 1(2)\right\} \cup\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is a 2-metric generator for $F_{1, n}$. Let $x, y$ be two different vertices of $F_{1, n}=\langle u\rangle+P_{n}$.

If $x=u$, then $d_{F_{1, n}}\left(x, u_{i}\right)=1$ for every $u_{i} \in V\left(P_{n}\right)$. Since $|A| \geq 4$ and there exist at most two vertices $u_{j}, u_{l} \in V\left(P_{n}\right)$ such that $d_{F_{1, n}}\left(y, u_{j}\right)=d_{F_{1, n}}\left(y, u_{l}\right)=1$, we have $\left|\mathcal{D}_{F_{1, n}}(u, y) \cap A\right| \geq 2$.

Let us now assume that $x, y \in V\left(P_{n}\right)$. If $x, y \in A$, then they are distinguished by themselves and, if $x, y \notin A$, then there exist at least two vertices $u_{i}, u_{j} \in A$ such that $u_{i}, u_{j} \in N(x) \nabla$ $N(y) \subset \mathcal{D}_{F_{1, n}}(x, y)$. Finally, if $x \in A$ and $y \notin A$, then there exists a vertex $u_{l} \in A-\{x\}$ such that $u_{l} \in N(y)-N(x)$. Therefore, $A$ is a 2 -metric generator for $F_{1, n}$ and, as a consequence, $\operatorname{dim}_{2}\left(F_{1, n}\right) \leq|A|=\lceil(n+1) / 2\rceil$.

It remains to show that $\operatorname{dim}_{2}\left(F_{1, n}\right) \geq\lceil(n+1) / 2\rceil$. With this aim, we take an arbitrary $k$ metric basis $A^{\prime}$ of $F_{1, n}$. Since $n \geq 6$, by Lemmas 38 and $39, u \notin A^{\prime}$. Notice that $\mathcal{D}_{F_{1, n}}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)=$ $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right\}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{F_{1, n}}\left(u_{n-1}, u_{n}\right)=\left\{u_{n-2}, u_{n-1}, u_{n}\right\}$. Thus, $\left|A^{\prime} \cap\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right\}\right| \geq 2$ and $\mid A^{\prime} \cap$ $\left\{u_{n-2}, u_{n-1}, u_{n}\right\} \mid \geq 2$. So, for $n=6$, then $\left|A^{\prime}\right| \geq 4$ and we are done. From now on we consider $n \geq 7$. Let $M\left(P_{n}\right)=V\left(P_{n}\right)-\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{n-2}, u_{n-1}, u_{n}\right\}$. Assume for purposes of contradiction that $\left|A^{\prime} \cap M\left(P_{n}\right)\right| \leq\lfloor(n-6) / 2\rfloor-1$. We consider the following cases.
(1) $n-6=4 p$ or $n-6=4 p+1$ for some positive integer $p$. Let $Q_{i}=\left\{u_{4 i}, u_{4 i+1}, u_{4 i+2}, u_{4 i+3}\right\}$, $1 \leq i \leq p$. Notice that every $Q_{i} \subset M\left(P_{n}\right)$. Since $\left|A^{\prime} \cap M\left(P_{n}\right)\right|<\lfloor(n-6) / 2\rfloor=2 p$, there exists at least a set $Q_{j}=\left\{u_{4 j}, u_{4 j+1}, u_{4 j+2}, u_{4 j+3}\right\}$ such that $\left|Q_{j} \cap A^{\prime}\right| \leq 1$. Since $\mathcal{D}_{F_{1, n}}\left(u_{4 j+1}, u_{4 j+2}\right)=\left\{u_{4 j}, u_{4 j+1}, u_{4 j+2}, u_{4 j+3}\right\}$, we deduce that $u_{4 j+1}, u_{4 j+2}$ are distinguished by at most one vertex of $A^{\prime}$, which is a contradiction.
(2) $n-6=4 p+2$ for some positive integer $p$. As above, let $Q_{i}=\left\{u_{4 i}, u_{4 i+1}, u_{4 i+2}, u_{4 i+3}\right\}$, $1 \leq i \leq p$. Notice that $M\left(P_{n}\right)=\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{p} Q_{i}\right) \cup\left\{u_{4(p+1)}, u_{4(p+1)+1}\right\}$. If there exists at least one $Q_{i}$ such that $\left|Q_{i} \cap A^{\prime}\right| \leq 1$, then we have a contradiction as in the case above. Thus, $\left|Q_{i} \cap A^{\prime}\right| \geq 2$ for all $1 \leq i \leq p$ and we have

$$
2 p=\lfloor(n-6) / 2\rfloor-1 \geq\left|A^{\prime} \cap M\left(P_{n}\right)\right|=\sum_{i=1}^{p}\left|Q_{i} \cap A^{\prime}\right|+\left|A^{\prime} \cap\left\{u_{4(p+1)}, u_{4(p+1)+1}\right\}\right| \geq 2 p
$$

As a consequence, it follows $\left|Q_{j} \cap A^{\prime}\right|=2$ for every $j \in\{1, \ldots, p\}$ and $A^{\prime} \cap\left\{u_{4(p+1)}, u_{4(p+1)+1}\right\}=$ $\emptyset$. Now, if $u_{4 p+2}, u_{4 p+3} \in A^{\prime}$, then $u_{4 p}, u_{4 p+1} \notin A^{\prime}$. Thus, $u_{4 p+1}, u_{4 p+3}$ are distinguished only by $u_{4 p+3}$, which is a contradiction. Conversely, if $u_{4 p+2} \notin A^{\prime}$ or $u_{4 p+3} \notin A^{\prime}$, then $\mid A^{\prime} \cap$ $\left\{u_{4 p+2}, u_{4 p+3}, u_{4(p+1)}, u_{4(p+1)+1} \mid \leq 1\right.$ and, like in the previous case, we obtain that $u_{4 p+3}, u_{4(p+1)}$ are distinguished by at most one vertex, which is also a contradiction.
(3) If $n-6=4 p+3$, then we obtain a contradiction by proceeding analogously to Case 2 $(n-6=4 p+2)$.

Thus, $\left|A^{\prime} \cap M\left(P_{n}\right)\right| \geq\lfloor(n-6) / 2\rfloor$ and we obtain that $\operatorname{dim}_{2}\left(F_{1, n}\right)=\left|A^{\prime}\right|=\left|A^{\prime} \cap M\left(P_{n}\right)\right|+$ $\left|A^{\prime} \cap \mathcal{D}_{F_{1, n}}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)\right|+\left|A^{\prime} \cap \mathcal{D}_{F_{1, n}}\left(u_{n-1}, u_{n}\right)\right| \geq\lfloor(n-6) / 2\rfloor+4=\lceil(n+1) / 2\rceil$. Therefore, (i) follows.
(ii) Let $S=V\left(P_{n}\right)-\left\{u_{i} \in V\left(P_{n}\right): i \equiv 0(5) \wedge 1 \leq i \leq n-4\right\}$. Notice that $|S|=n-\lfloor(n-4) / 5\rfloor$. We claim that $S$ is a 3 -metric generator for $F_{1, n}$. Let $x, y$ be two different vertices of $F_{1, n}$.

If $x=u$, then $d_{F_{1, n}}\left(x, u_{i}\right)=1$ for every $u_{i} \in V\left(P_{n}\right)$. Also, there exist at most two vertices $u_{j}, u_{l} \in V\left(P_{n}\right)$ such that $d_{F_{1, n}}\left(y, u_{j}\right)=d_{F_{1, n}}\left(y, u_{l}\right)=1$. Since $|S| \geq 6$ the vertices $x, y$ are distinguished by at least three vertices of $S$.

Now suppose $x, y \in V\left(P_{n}\right)$. According to the construction of $S$, there exist at least three different vertices $u_{i_{1}}, u_{i_{2}}, u_{i_{3}} \in S$ such that $d_{F_{1, n}}\left(x, u_{i_{j}}\right) \neq d_{F_{1, n}}\left(y, u_{i_{j}}\right)$, with $j \in\{1,2,3\}$. (Notice that $x$ or $y$ could be equal to some $u_{i_{j}}, j \in\{1,2,3\}$ )

Thus, $S$ is a 3-metric generator for $F_{1, n}$ and, as a result, $\operatorname{dim}_{3}\left(F_{1, n}\right) \leq|S|=n-\lfloor(n-4) / 5\rfloor$.
It remains to show that $\operatorname{dim}_{3}\left(F_{1, n}\right) \geq n-\lfloor(n-4) / 5\rfloor$. Now, let $S^{\prime}$ be a 3 -metric basis of $F_{1, n}$. Since $n \geq 6$, by Lemmas 38 and $39, u \notin S^{\prime}$. Also, notice that two adjacent vertices $u_{i}, u_{i+1}$ are distinguished by themselves and at least one neighbor $u_{i-1}$ or $u_{i+2}$. So, at least three of them belong to $S^{\prime}$. Now, if there exist three consecutive vertices $u_{i-1}, u_{i}, u_{i+1} \in S^{\prime}$ such that $u_{i-2}, u_{i+2} \notin S^{\prime}$, then the vertices $u_{i-1}, u_{i+1}$ are not distinguished by at least three vertices of $S^{\prime}$, which is a contradiction. Thus, if two vertices $u_{i}, u_{j} \notin S^{\prime}$, then $i-j \equiv 0(5)$ and, as a consequence, per each five consecutive vertices of $V\left(P_{n}\right)$, at least four of them are in $S^{\prime}$, or equivalently, at most one does not belong to $S^{\prime}$. Moreover, notice that $\mathcal{D}_{F_{1, n}}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right\}, \mathcal{D}_{F_{1, n}}\left(u_{1}, u_{3}\right)=$ $\left\{u_{1}, u_{3}, u_{4}\right\}, \mathcal{D}_{F_{1, n}}\left(u_{n-1}, u_{n}\right)=\left\{u_{n-2}, u_{n-1}, u_{n}\right\}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{F_{1, n}}\left(u_{n-2}, u_{n}\right)=\left\{u_{n-3}, u_{n-2}, u_{n}\right\}$. By Lemma 20, $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{4}, u_{n-3}, u_{n-2}, u_{n-1}, u_{n}\right\} \subset S^{\prime}$. Hence, $\left|\overline{S^{\prime}}\right| \leq\lfloor(n-4) / 5\rfloor+1$, where we refer to $\overline{S^{\prime}}$ as the complement of the set $S^{\prime}$. Finally, we have that $\operatorname{dim}_{3}\left(F_{1, n}\right)=\left|S^{\prime}\right|=n+1-\left|\overline{S^{\prime}}\right| \geq$ $n-\lfloor(n-4) / 5\rfloor$. Therefore, $\operatorname{dim}_{3}\left(F_{1, n}\right)=n-\lfloor(n-4) / 5\rfloor$.

The next result shows the relationship between $\operatorname{dim}_{k}(G \odot \mathcal{H})$ and $\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(G \odot\left(K_{1} \diamond \mathcal{H}\right)\right)$ for a family $\mathcal{H}$ of paths of order greater than five and $k \in\{1,2,3\}$. We only consider $k \in\{1,2,3\}$, since for $n^{\prime} \geq 6$ we have that $\mathcal{C}\left(P_{n^{\prime}}\right)=\mathcal{C}\left(F_{1, n^{\prime}}\right)=3$, and as a consequence, by Theorem $5, G \odot \mathcal{H}$ and $G \odot\left(K_{1} \diamond \mathcal{H}\right)$ are 3-metric dimensional.

Proposition 41. Let $G$ be a connected graph of order $n \geq 2$ and let $\mathcal{H}$ be a family of paths. If every path $P_{i} \in \mathcal{H}$ has order $n_{i}$, then the following statements hold.
(i) If $n_{i} \geq 7$ for $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, then $\operatorname{dim}(G \odot \mathcal{H})=\operatorname{dim}(G \odot \overline{\mathcal{H}})=\operatorname{dim}\left(G \odot\left(K_{1} \diamond \mathcal{H}\right)\right)=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\lfloor\left(2 n_{i}+2\right) / 5\right\rfloor$.
(ii) If $n_{i} \geq 6$ for $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, then $\operatorname{dim}_{2}(G \odot \mathcal{H})=\operatorname{dim}_{2}(G \odot \overline{\mathcal{H}})=\operatorname{dim}_{2}\left(G \odot\left(K_{1} \diamond \mathcal{H}\right)\right)=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\lceil\left(n_{i}+1\right) / 2\right\rceil$.
(iii) If $n_{i} \geq 6$ for $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, then $\operatorname{dim}_{3}(G \odot \mathcal{H})=\operatorname{dim}_{3}(G \odot \overline{\mathcal{H}})=\operatorname{dim}_{3}\left(G \odot\left(K_{1} \diamond \mathcal{H}\right)\right)=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(n_{i}-\left\lfloor\left(n_{i}-4\right) / 5\right\rfloor\right)$.

Proof. If $n_{i} \geq 7$, then by Theorem 29 and Propositions 37 and 40 the result follows. Hence, we only need to prove that $\operatorname{dim}_{k}(G \odot \mathcal{H})=\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(G \odot\left(K_{1} \diamond \mathcal{H}\right)\right)$ for the cases where $n_{i}=6$ and $k \in\{2,3\}$. We recall that, by Lemma 38, for $k \in\{2,3\}, n^{\prime} \geq 6$ and any $k$-metric basis $S$ of $F_{1, n^{\prime}}$, it holds $\left|S \cap V\left(P_{n^{\prime}}\right)\right| \geq 2 k$. Since for $k \in\{2,3\}$, we have that $|S| \geq k+2$. Thus, by a procedure analogous to the one used in the proof of Theorem 35, Case 1, we deduce that $\operatorname{dim}_{k}(G \odot \mathcal{H})=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(F_{1, n_{i}}\right)$. Since $F_{1, n_{i}}$ has diameter two, by Theorem 29, $\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(G \odot\left(K_{1} \diamond \mathcal{H}\right)\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(F_{1, n_{i}}\right)$. Therefore, by Proposition 40 the result follows.

Let $V\left(C_{n}\right)=\left\{u_{0}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n-1}\right\}$ be the vertex set of the cycle $C_{n}$ in $W_{1, n}=K_{1}+C_{n}$ and let $u$ be the central vertex of the wheel graph. From now on, all the operations with the subscripts of $u_{i} \in V\left(C_{n}\right)$ will be taken modulo $n$.

Since $W_{1,3}$ and $W_{1,4}$ have twin vertices, they are 2-metric dimensional graphs. Also, by Corollary 16 we know that the wheel graphs $W_{1, n}, n \geq 5$, are 4-metric dimensional, i.e, $\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(W_{1, n}\right)$ makes sense for $k \in\{1,2,3,4\}$. The case $k=1$ was previously studied in [1], that is:

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{1}\left(W_{1, n}\right)= \begin{cases}3, & \text { if } n=3,6 \\ 2, & \text { if } n=4,5 \\ \left\lfloor\frac{2 n+2}{5}\right\rfloor, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

We now study $\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(W_{1, n}\right)$ for $k \in\{2,3,4\}$. We first give a useful lemma.
Lemma 42. Let $H$ be a non-trivial graph and let $K_{1}+H$ be a $k^{\prime}$-metric dimensional graph. Let $k \in\left\{1, \ldots, k^{\prime}\right\}$ and $S \subseteq V(H)$. If for every $x, y \in V(H),\left|S \cap \mathcal{D}_{K_{1}+H}(x, y)\right| \geq k$ and $|S| \geq k+\Delta(H)$, then $S$ is a $k$-metric generator for $K_{1}+H$.

Proof. Let $v$ be the vertex of $K_{1}$. Since for every $x, y \in V(H)$ we have that $\left|S \cap \mathcal{D}_{K_{1}+H}(x, y)\right| \geq k$, in order to prove that $S$ is a $k$-metric generator for $K_{1}+H$, it is enough proving that for every $x \in V(H)$ the condition $\left|\mathcal{D}_{K_{1}+H}(x, v) \cap S\right| \geq k$ is satisfied. Notice that for every $x \in V(H)$ we have that $\mathcal{D}_{K_{1}+H}(x, v)=\left(V(H)-N_{H}(x)\right) \cup\{v\}$. Since $|S| \geq k+\Delta(H)$, for every $x \in V(H)$ there exist $k$ vertices $y \in S \cap\left(V(H)-N_{H}(x)\right)$. Thus, for every $x \in V(H)$ it holds that $\left|\mathcal{D}_{K_{1}+H}(x, v) \cap S\right| \geq k$. Therefore, $S$ is a $k$-metric generator for $K_{1}+H$.

By performing some simple calculations, we have that $\operatorname{dim}_{2}\left(W_{1,3}\right)=\operatorname{dim}_{2}\left(W_{1,4}\right)=\operatorname{dim}_{2}\left(W_{1,5}\right)=$ $\operatorname{dim}_{2}\left(W_{1,6}\right)=4, \operatorname{dim}_{3}\left(W_{1,5}\right)=\operatorname{dim}_{3}\left(W_{1,6}\right)=5$ and $\operatorname{dim}_{4}\left(W_{1,5}\right)=\operatorname{dim}_{4}\left(W_{1,6}\right)=6$. Next we present a formula for the $k$-metric dimension of wheel graphs for $n \geq 7$ and $k \in\{2,3,4\}$.

Proposition 43. For any $n \geq 7$,
(i) $\operatorname{dim}_{2}\left(W_{1, n}\right)=\lceil n / 2\rceil$.
(ii) $\operatorname{dim}_{3}\left(W_{1, n}\right)=n-\lfloor n / 5\rfloor$.
(iii) $\operatorname{dim}_{4}\left(W_{1, n}\right)=n$.

Proof. Since $n \geq 7$, by Proposition 36, the central vertex of $W_{1, n}$ does not belong to any $k$-metric basis of $W_{1, n}$. Thus, any $k$-metric basis of $W_{1, n}$ is a subset of $V\left(C_{n}\right)$. Let $S_{k} \subset V\left(C_{n}\right), k \in\{2,3,4\}$, be a set of vertices of $W_{1, n}$ such that $\left|S_{2}\right|<\lceil n / 2\rceil,\left|S_{3}\right|<n-\lfloor n / 5\rfloor$ and $\left|S_{4}\right|<n$. We claim that $S_{k}$ is not a $k$-metric generator for $W_{1, n}$ with $k \in\{2,3,4\}$. Consider each $S_{k}$ independently:
$k=2$. Since $\left|S_{2}\right|<\lceil n / 2\rceil$, there exist four consecutive vertices $u_{i}, u_{i+1}, u_{i+2}, u_{i+3}$ such that at most one of them belongs to $S_{2}$. Thus, $\left|\mathcal{D}_{W_{1, n}}\left(u_{i+1}, u_{i+2}\right) \cap S_{2}\right| \leq 1$.
$k=3$. Since $\left|S_{3}\right|<n-\lfloor n / 5\rfloor$, there exist five consecutive vertices $u_{i}, u_{i+1}, u_{i+2}, u_{i+3}, u_{i+4}$ such that at most three of them belong to $S_{3}$. Thus, there exist four consecutive vertices $u_{j}, u_{j+1}, u_{j+2}, u_{j+3} \in\left\{u_{i}, u_{i+1}, u_{i+2}, u_{i+3}, u_{i+4}\right\}$ such that at most two of them belong to $S_{3}$, with the exception of two cases. Hence, $\left|\mathcal{D}_{W_{1, n}}\left(u_{j+1}, u_{j+2}\right) \cap S_{3}\right| \leq 2$. The two exceptional cases are when either $u_{i+1}, u_{i+2}, u_{i+3} \in S_{3}$ or $u_{i}, u_{i+2}, u_{i+4} \in S_{3}$. In both cases, $\left|\mathcal{D}_{W_{1, n}}\left(u_{i+1}, u_{i+3}\right) \cap S_{3}\right|=2$.
$k=4$. Since $\left|S_{4}\right|<n$, there exist four consecutive vertices $u_{i}, u_{i+1}, u_{i+2}, u_{i+3}$ such that at most three of them belong to $S_{4}$. Thus, $\left|\mathcal{D}_{W_{1, n}}\left(u_{i+1}, u_{i+2}\right) \cap S_{4}\right| \leq 3$.

Therefore, as we claimed, $S_{k}$ is not a $k$-metric generator for $W_{1, n}$, with $k \in\{2,3,4\}$ and so $\operatorname{dim}_{2}\left(W_{1, n}\right) \geq\lceil n / 2\rceil, \operatorname{dim}_{3}\left(W_{1, n}\right) \geq n-\lfloor n / 5\rfloor$ and $\operatorname{dim}_{4}\left(W_{1, n}\right) \geq n$.

Since $n \geq 7$, by Proposition 36, the central vertex of $W_{1, n}$ does not belong to any $k$-metric basis of $W_{1, n}$. Thus, $V\left(C_{n}\right)$ is a 4-metric generator for $W_{1, n}$ and, as a result, $\operatorname{dim}_{4}\left(W_{1, n}\right)=n$. It remains to show that $\operatorname{dim}_{2}\left(W_{1, n}\right) \leq\lceil n / 2\rceil$ and $\operatorname{dim}_{3}\left(W_{1, n}\right) \leq n-\lfloor n / 5\rfloor$. With this aim, let $A_{k} \subset V\left(C_{n}\right), k \in\{2,3\}$, be a set of vertices such that $u_{i}$ belongs to $A_{2}$ or $A_{3}$ if and only if $i$ is odd or $i \not \equiv 0(5)$. Notice that $\left|A_{2}\right|=\lceil n / 2\rceil$ and $\left|A_{3}\right|=n-\lfloor n / 5\rfloor$. We will show that for every $u_{i}, u_{j} \in V\left(C_{n}\right), i \neq j$, it hold $\left|\mathcal{D}_{W_{1, n}}\left(u_{i}, u_{j}\right) \cap A_{k}\right| \geq k$ and then, by Lemmas 34 and 42 , we will have that $A_{k}$ is a $k$-metric generator for $W_{1, n}$. Consider each $A_{k}$ separately:
$k=2$. If $u_{i}, u_{j} \in A_{2}$, then the result is straightforward. If $u_{i} \in A_{2}$ and $u_{j} \notin A_{2}$, then $\left\{u_{i}, u_{k}\right\} \subseteq A_{2} \cap \mathcal{D}_{W_{1, n}}\left(u_{i}, u_{j}\right)$, for some $u_{k} \in N\left(u_{j}\right)-N\left[u_{i}\right]$. Also, if $u_{i}, u_{j} \notin A_{2}$, then $\left\{u_{k}, u_{l}\right\} \subseteq$ $A_{2} \cap \mathcal{D}_{W_{1, n}}\left(u_{i}, u_{j}\right)$, where $u_{k}, u_{l} \in N\left(u_{i}\right) \nabla N\left(u_{j}\right)$.
$k=3$. If $u_{i}, u_{j} \in A_{3}$, then $\left\{u_{i}, u_{j}, u_{k}\right\} \subseteq A_{3} \cap \mathcal{D}_{W_{1, n}}\left(u_{i}, u_{j}\right)$, where $u_{k} \in A_{3} \cap\left(N\left[u_{i}\right] \nabla N\left[u_{j}\right]\right)$. If $u_{i} \in A_{3}$ and $u_{j} \notin A_{3}$, then $\left\{u_{i}, u_{k}, u_{l}\right\} \subseteq A_{3} \cap \mathcal{D}_{W_{1, n}}\left(u_{i}, u_{j}\right)$, where $u_{k}, u_{l} \in A_{3} \cap\left(N\left[u_{j}\right] \nabla N\left[u_{i}\right]\right)$. Finally, if $u_{i}, u_{j} \notin A_{3}$, then $\left\{u_{k}, u_{l}, u_{m}\right\} \subseteq A_{3} \cap \mathcal{D}_{W_{1, n}}\left(u_{i}, u_{j}\right)$, where $u_{k}, u_{l}, u_{m} \in N\left(u_{i}\right) \cup N\left(u_{j}\right)$.

Therefore, $A_{k}$ is a $k$-metric generator for $W_{1, n}$, with $k \in\{2,3\}$ and, as a consequence, the result follows.

Finally, we present the relationship between $\operatorname{dim}_{k}(G \odot \mathcal{H})$ and $\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(G \odot\left(K_{1} \diamond \mathcal{H}\right)\right)$ for a family $\mathcal{H}$ of cycles of order greater than six and $k \in\{1,2,3,4\}$. We only consider $k \in\{1,2,3,4\}$, since for $n^{\prime} \geq 7$ we have that $\mathcal{C}\left(C_{n^{\prime}}\right)=\mathcal{C}\left(W_{1, n^{\prime}}\right)=4$, and as a consequence, by Corollary $6, G \odot \mathcal{H}$ and $G \odot\left(K_{1} \diamond \mathcal{H}\right)$ are 4-metric dimensional. Thus, by Theorem 29 and Propositions 37 and 43, we obtain the following result.

Proposition 44. Let $G$ be a connected graph of order $n \geq 2$ and let $\mathcal{H}$ be a family of $n$ cycles. If every cycle $C_{i} \in \mathcal{H}$ has order $n_{i} \geq 7$, then
(i) $\operatorname{dim}(G \odot \mathcal{H})=\operatorname{dim}(G \odot \overline{\mathcal{H}})=\operatorname{dim}\left(G \odot\left(K_{1} \diamond \mathcal{H}\right)\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\lfloor\left(2 n_{i}+2\right) / 5\right\rfloor$.
(ii) $\operatorname{dim}_{2}(G \odot \mathcal{H})=\operatorname{dim}_{2}(G \odot \overline{\mathcal{H}})=\operatorname{dim}_{2}\left(G \odot\left(K_{1} \diamond \mathcal{H}\right)\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\lceil n_{i} / 2\right\rceil$.
(iii) $\operatorname{dim}_{3}(G \odot \mathcal{H})=\operatorname{dim}_{3}(G \odot \overline{\mathcal{H}})=\operatorname{dim}_{3}\left(G \odot\left(K_{1} \diamond \mathcal{H}\right)\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(n_{i}-\left\lfloor n_{i} / 5\right\rfloor\right)$.
(iv) $\operatorname{dim}_{4}(G \odot \mathcal{H})=\operatorname{dim}_{4}(G \odot \overline{\mathcal{H}})=\operatorname{dim}_{4}\left(G \odot\left(K_{1} \diamond \mathcal{H}\right)\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} n_{i}$.
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