BULLETIN of the MALAYSIAN MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES SOCIETY

# The Hahn Sequence Space-III

<sup>1</sup>K. CHANDRASEKHARA RAO AND <sup>2</sup>N. SUBRAMANIAN

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Mohamed Sathak Engineering College, Kilakarai - 623 806, India <sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Shanmugha Arts, Science, Technology and Research Academy, Deemed University, Tanjore - 613 402, India <sup>1</sup>e-mail: kcraoin2002@yahoo.co.in and <sup>2</sup>e-mail: nsmaths@yahoo.com

**Abstract.** The purpose of this article is to introduce a new class of sequence spaces, namely semi-Hahn spaces. It is shown that the intersection of all semi-Hahn spaces is the Hahn space. There are already semi-conservative and semi-replete spaces in literature. However, there is no relation among these spaces. Some properties of the Hahn space which are not included in [2] and [3] are given, before introducing semi-Hahn spaces. In fact, conditions for inclusion of the Hahn

space h in an FK-space, AB-property of h, and inclusion of the distinguished subspace  $B^+(h)$  are studied.

### 1. Introduction

This paper is a continuation of the papers by K. Chandrasekhara Rao [2] and by K. Chandrasekhara Rao and T.G. Srinivasalu [3].

We use the following notation:

A (complex) sequence whose  $k^{th}$  term is  $x_k$  will be denoted by  $(x_k)$  or x. Let

- $\Phi$  = the set of all finite sequences.
- $\ell_{\infty}$  = the Banach space of all bounded sequences.

w = all complex sequences.

- cs = the Banach space of all sequences  $x = (x_k)$  such that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k$  converges.
- bs = the Banach space of all sequences  $x = (x_k)$  such that  $\sup_{(n)} \left| \sum_{k=1}^n x_k \right| \text{ exists }.$

K.C. Rao and N. Subramanian

bv = the Banach space of all sequences  $x = (x_k)$  such that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_k - x_{k+1}|$  converges.

$$\sigma(\ell_{\infty}) = \text{ the Cesàro space of } \ell_{\infty}$$

=

$$\left\{ x = (x_k): (y_k) \in \ell_{\infty}, y_k = \frac{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_k}{k} \text{ for } k = 1, 2, 3, \dots \right\}.$$

*h* = The Hahn space is the BK space of all sequences  $x = (x_k)$  such that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k |x_k - x_{k+1}|$  converges and  $\lim_{k \to \infty} x_k = 0$ .

The norm on *h* is given by 
$$||x|| = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k |x_k - x_{k+1}|$$
  
$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k |\Delta x_k|$$
where  $\Delta x_k = (x_k - x_{k+1}), k = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$ 

۰.

Given a sequence  $x = (x_k)$  its *n*th section is the sequence  $x^{(n)} = \{x_1, \dots, x_n, 0, 0, \dots\}$ .

$$\delta^{(k)} = \{0, 0, \dots, 1, 0, 0, \dots\} \text{ for } k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$
$$k^{th} \text{ place}$$

We recall the following definitions (see [1]).

An FK-space is a locally convex Fréchet space which is made up of sequences and has the property that coordinate projections are continuous.

A BK-space is a locally convex Banach space which is made up of sequences and has the property that coordinate projections are continuous.

A BK-space X is said to have AK (or Sectional convergence) if and only if  $||x^{(n)} - x|| \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty [5]$ .

Let X be an FK-space. A sequence  $(x_k)$  in X is said to be weakly Cesàro bounded if  $\left\{\frac{f(x_1) + f(x_2) + \dots + f(x_k)}{k}\right\}$  is bounded for each  $f \in X'$ , the dual space of X.

The space X is said to have AD (or) be an AD space if  $\Phi$  is dense in X.

We note that

$$AK \Longrightarrow AD$$
 by [8].

164

An FK-space  $X \supset \Phi$  is said to have AB if  $(x^{(n)})$  is a bounded set in X for each  $x \in X$ .

Let X be a BK-space. Then X is said to have monotone norm if  $||x^{(m)}|| \ge ||x^{(n)}||$ for m > n and  $||x|| = \sup ||x^{(n)}||$ .

Let *X* be an FK-space  $\supset \Phi$ . Then

*(n)* 

$$B^{+} = X^{f \gamma} = B^{+}(X) = \{ z \in w : (z^{(n)}) \text{ is bounded in } X \}$$
$$= \{ z \in w : (z_{n}f(\delta^{(n)}) \in bs \quad \forall \quad f \in X' \}$$

Also we write

$$B = B^+ \cap X$$

Let X be a BK-space. Then X is an AB-space if and only if B = X. Any space with monotone norm has AB (see Theorem 10.3.12 of [1])

If *X* is a sequence space, we define

(i) X' = the continuous dual of X.

(ii) 
$$X^{\alpha} = \{ a = (a_k) : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k x_k| < \infty, \text{ for each } x \in X \};$$

(iii) 
$$X^{\beta} = \{a = (a_k) : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k x_k \text{ is convergent, for each } x \in X\};$$

(iv) 
$$X^{\gamma} = \{ a = (a_k) : \sup_{(n)} \left| \sum_{k=1}^n a_k x_k \right| < \infty, \text{ for each } x \in X \}.$$

(v) Let X be an FK-space  $\supset \Phi$ . Then  $X^{f} = \{(f(\delta^{(n)}) : f \in X'\}.$  $X^{\alpha}, X^{\beta}, X^{\gamma} \text{ are called the}$ 

 $\alpha$  - (or Köthe-Toeplitz), dual of *X*.

- $\beta$  (or generalized Köthe-Toeplitz), dual of *X*.
- $\gamma$  dual of X.

 $X^{f}$  is called the *f*-dual of *X*. Note that  $X^{\alpha} \subset X^{\beta} \subset X^{\gamma}$ . If  $X \subset Y$  then  $Y^{\mu} \subset X^{\mu}$ , for  $\mu = \alpha, \beta$ , or  $\gamma$ .

#### K.C. Rao and N. Subramanian

**Lemma 1.** (See Theorem 7.2.7. in [1]). Let X be an FK-space  $\supset \Phi$ . Then

- (*i*)  $X^{\beta} \subset X^{\gamma} \subset X^{f}$ .
- (ii) If X has AK,  $X^{\beta} = X^{f}$ .
- (iii) If X has AD,  $X^{\beta} = X^{\gamma}$ .

The following facts reveal the importance of the Hahn sequence space:

- 1. The Hahn sequence is the smallest semi replete space (see [3]).
- 2. The Hahn sequence space is an example of a Banach space which is not rotund (see Proposition-2 of [2]).
- 3.  $bv_0 \cap d\ell = dh$

where 
$$d\ell = \{x = (x_k) : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|x_k|}{k} < \infty\}.$$
  
 $bv_0 = \{x = (x_k) : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_k - x_{k+1}| < \infty \text{ and } \lim_{k \to \infty} 0\} x_k$   
 $dh = \{x = (x_k) : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \left| \frac{x_k}{k} - \frac{x_{k+1}}{k+1} \right| < \infty \text{ and } \lim_{k \to \infty} x_k = 0\}$ 

(See Theorem 3.2 of [5]).

As pointed out by Wilansky in [1], because of the historical roots of summability in convergence, conservative space and matrices play a special role in its theory. However, the results seem mainly to depend on a weaker assumption, that the spaces be semi conservative.

Snyder and Wilansky introduced the concept of semi conservative spaces in [6]. Snyder studied the properties of semi conservative spaces in [7]. Later on, in the year 1996 K. Chandrasekhara Rao and T.G. Srinivasalu introduced the semi replete spaces in [3].

Given the Hahn sequence space h it is our aim to find a sequence space X such that h is the intersection of all such X. Such a space X is called a semi-Hahn space.

Thus semi-Hahn spaces are introduced and their properties are studied.

As a prelude, some properties of the Hahn sequence space are also given for the sake of completeness of the paper. These properties did not appear in [2] and [3].

166

## 2. Results

Lemma 2.  $h^f = \sigma (\ell_{\infty}).$ 

*Proof:*  $h^{\beta} = \sigma(\ell_{\infty})$  by Theorem 3.1 in [5]. But *h* has AK. (see Goes and Goes [5]). Hence  $h^{\beta} = h^{f}$ . Therefore  $h^{f} = \sigma(\ell_{\infty})$ . This completes the proof.

**Theorem 1.** Let Y be any FK-space  $\supset \Phi$ . Then  $Y \supset h$  if and only if the sequence  $\{\delta^{(k)}\}$  is weakly Cesàro bounded.

*Proof.* We know that h has AK. But every AK-space is AD (see [8]). Now the following implications establish the result.

$$Y \supset h \iff Y^{f} \subset h^{f} \text{ since } h \text{ has AD and hence by using Theorem 8.6.1 of [1].}$$
$$\Leftrightarrow Y^{f} \subset \sigma(\ell_{\infty}) \text{ by Lemma 2.}$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \text{ for each } f \in Y', \text{ the topological dual of } Y, f(\delta^{(k)}) \in \sigma(\ell_{\infty}).$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \left\{ \frac{f(\delta^{(1)}) + f(\delta^{(2)}) + f(\delta^{(3)}) + \dots + f(\delta^{(k)})}{k} \right\} \in \ell_{\infty}.$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \left\{ \frac{f(\delta^{(1)}) + f(\delta^{(2)}) + f(\delta^{(3)}) + \dots + f(\delta^{(k)})}{k} \right\} \text{ is bounded.}$$

 $\Leftrightarrow$  The sequence  $\{\delta^{(k)}\}$  is weakly Cesàro bounded. This completes the proof.

**Theorem 2.** Suppose that h is a closed subspace of an FK-space X. Then  $B^+(X) \subset h$ .

*Proof.* Note that  $c_0$  has AK. Hence  $\sigma(c_0)$  has AK. Consequently  $\sigma(c_0)$  has AD. Therefore by Lemma 1,  $[\sigma(c_0)]^{\beta} = [\sigma(c_0)]^{\gamma}$ . By Theorem 10.3.5 of [1] we have

$$B^{+}(X) = B^{+}(h)$$

$$= h^{f\gamma}$$

$$= (h^{f})^{\gamma} = \{\sigma(\ell_{\infty})\}^{\gamma}, \text{ by Lemma 2.But}$$

$$(\sigma(\ell_{\infty}))^{\gamma} \subset (\sigma(c_{0}))^{\gamma} = (\sigma(c_{0}))^{\beta} \text{ and } (\sigma(c_{0}))^{\beta} = h. \text{ (see page 97 of [5]). Hence}$$

$$B^{+}(X) \subset h. \text{ This completes the proof.}$$

**Theorem 3.** Let X be an AK-space  $\supset \Phi$ . Then  $X \supset h$  if and only if  $B^+(X) \supset h$ .

*Proof.* (Necessity). Suppose that  $X \supset h$ . Then

$$B^+(X) \supset B^+(h) \tag{3.1}$$

by the monotonicity Theorem 10.2.9 of [1]. By Theorem 10.3.4 of [1] we have

$$B^+(h) = h^{f\gamma} = h \tag{3.2}$$

From (3.1) and (3.2) we obtain  $B^+(X) \supset B^+(h) = h$ . (Sufficiency). Suppose that  $B^+(X) \supset h$ . We have

$$h^{\gamma} \supset [B^+(X)]^{\gamma} \tag{3.3}$$

But h has AK and so h has AD. Therefore

$$h^{\beta} = h^{\gamma} = h^{f} \tag{3.4}$$

But always

$$B^+(X) = X^{f\gamma} \tag{3.5}$$

From (3.3) and (3.5)  $h^{\gamma} \supset (X^{f\gamma})^{\gamma} = (X^f)^{\gamma\gamma} \supset X^f$ . Thus from (3.4)  $h^f \supset X^f$ . Now by Theorem 8.6.1 of [1]; since *h* has AD we conclude that  $X \supset h$ . This completes the proof.

**Theorem 4.** *The space h has monotone norm.* 

*Proof.* Let m > n. It follows from

$$|x_n| \le |x_n - x_{n+1}| + |x_{n+1} - x_{n+2}| + \dots + |x_{m-1} - x_m| + |x_m|$$

that

$$\left\|x^{(n)}\right\| \le \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k |x_k - x_{k+1}|\right) + \left|x_n - x_{n+1}|\right| + \dots + (m-1)|x_{m-1} - x_m| + m|x_m| = \left\|x^{(m-1)}\right\|$$

The sequence  $(||x^{(x)}||)$  being monotone increasing, it thus follows from  $x = \lim_{n \to \infty} x^{(n)}$  that

$$||x|| = \lim_{n \to \infty} ||x^{(n)}|| = \sup_{(n)} ||x^{(n)}||.$$

This completes the proof.

**Definition.** An FK-space X is called "semi-Hahn" if  $X^{f} \subset \sigma(\ell_{\infty})$ . In other words

$$\begin{split} f(\delta^{(k)}) &\in \sigma(\ell_{\infty}) \ \forall \ f \in X'. \\ \Leftrightarrow \left\{ \frac{f(\delta^{(1)}) + f(\delta^{(2)}) + \dots + f(\delta^{(k)})}{k} \right\} \in \ell_{\infty} \\ \Leftrightarrow \left\{ \frac{f(\delta^{(1)}) + f(\delta^{(2)}) + \dots + f(\delta^{(k)})}{k} \right\} \ is \ bounded \ for \ each \ f \ \in X'. \end{split}$$

**Example.** The Hahn space is semi-Hahn. Indeed, if h be Hahn space, then by Lemma 2,  $h^f = \sigma(\ell_{\infty})$ .

We recall

**Lemma 3.** (4.3.7 of [1]). Let z be a sequence. Then  $(z^{\beta}, p)$  is an AK space with  $p = (p_k : k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots)$  where

$$p_0(x) = \sup_m \left| \sum_{k=1}^m z_k x_k \right|, \ p_n(x) = \left| x_n \right|$$

For any k such that  $z_k \neq 0$ ,  $p_k$  may be omitted. If  $z \in \Phi$ ,  $p_0$  may be omitted.

**Theorem 5.**  $z^{\beta}$  is semi-Hahn if and only if  $z \in \sigma$   $(\ell_{\infty})$ .

Proof.

**Step 1.** Suppose that  $z^{\beta}$  is semi-Hahn.  $z^{\beta}$  has AK by Lemma 3. Hence  $z^{\beta} = z^{f}$ . Therefore  $z^{\beta\beta} = (z^{\beta})^{f}$  by Theorem 7.2.7 of [1]. So  $z^{\beta}$  is semi-Hahn if and only if  $z^{\beta\beta} \subset \sigma(\ell_{\infty})$ . But then  $z \in z^{\beta\beta} \subset \sigma(\ell_{\infty})$ . **Step 2.** Conversely, let  $z \in \sigma(\ell_{\infty})$ . Then  $z^{\beta} \supset \{\sigma(\ell_{\infty})\}^{\beta}$  and  $z^{\beta\beta} \subset \{\sigma(\ell_{\infty})\}^{\beta\beta}$ =  $h^{\beta} = \sigma(\ell_{\infty})$ . But  $(z^{\beta})^{f} = z^{\beta\beta}$ . Hence  $(z^{\beta})^{f} \subset \sigma(\ell_{\infty}) \Rightarrow z^{\beta}$  is semi-Hahn. This completes the proof.

**Theorem 6.** Every semi-Hahn space contains h.

*Proof.* Let *X* be any semi-Hahn space.

$$\Rightarrow X^{f} \subset \sigma(\ell_{\infty}).$$
  
$$\Rightarrow f(\delta^{(k)}) \in \sigma(\ell_{\infty}) \forall f \in X'.$$
  
$$\Rightarrow \left\{\delta^{(k)}\right\} \text{ is weakly Cesàro bounded w.r. to } X.$$
  
$$\Rightarrow X \supset h \text{ by Theorem 1.}$$

This completes the proof.

*Proof.* Let I be the intersection of all semi-Hahn spaces. Then the intersection

$$I \subset \bigcap \left\{ z^{\beta} : z \in \sigma(\ell_{\infty}) \right\}$$
$$= \left\{ \sigma(\ell_{\infty}) \right\}^{\beta}$$
$$= h \tag{7.1}$$

By Theorem 6,

$$h \subset I$$
 (7.2)

From (7.1) and (7.2) we get

$$I = h$$
.

This completes the proof.

**Corollary.** The smallest semi-Hahn space is h.

### The Hahn Sequence Space-III

## References

- 1. A. Wilansky, Summability through Functional Analysis, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984.
- 2. K. Chandrasekhara Rao, The Hahn sequence space-I, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. 82 (1990), 72–78.
- 3. K. Chandrasekhara Rao and T.G. Srinivasalu, The Hahn sequence space-II, "Y.Y.U" Journal of Faculty of Education 1 2 (1996), 43–45.
- 4. K. Chandrasekhara Rao, Spaces of matrix operators, *Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc.* **80** (1988), 91–95.
- G. Goes and S. Goes, Sequences of bounded variation and sequences of Fourier coefficients-I, Math. Zeitschrift 118 (1970), 93–102.
- A.K. Snyder and A. Wilansky, Inclusion theorems and semi conservative FK spaces, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 2 (1972), 595–603.
- 7. A.K. Snyder, Consistency theory in semi conservative spaces, Studia Math. 5 (1982), 1-13.
- 8. H.I. Brown, The summability field of a perfect  $\ell \ell$  method of summation, J. D' Analyse Mathematique **20** (1967), 281–287.

Keywords: Sequence spaces, Semi-Hahn sequence space, duals.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 46A45