

## Superposition Operators on $W_0(\phi)$

SUPAMA AND SOEPARNA DARMAWIJAYA

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematic and Natural Sciences,  
Gadjah Mada University, Yogyakarta, 55281, Indonesia  
e-mail: maspomo@yahoo.com

**Abstract.** For any linear operator on a Banach space, the continuity and boundedness are equivalent. It fails for a nonlinear operator, in particular, for a superposition operator. In this paper, we present sufficient and necessary conditions in terms of an inequality for the continuity of a superposition operator on the function space  $W_0(\phi)$ .

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47B38.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $N$  and  $R$  denote the set of all positive integers and the real numbers respectively. If  $g(k, t) : N \times R \rightarrow R$ , then a superposition operator  $P_g$  from a sequence space of real numbers  $X$  into another one is defined by:

$$P_g(\{x_k\}) = \{g(k, x_k)\},$$

for every  $\{x_k\} \in X$ . Under the assumption that  $g(k, \cdot)$  is continuous on  $R$  for every  $k$ , Chew and Lee [1] have characterized  $P_g : l_p \rightarrow l_1$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , and  $P_g : c_0 \rightarrow l_1$ . Meanwhile Paredes [2] has characterized  $P_g : w_o(\Phi) \rightarrow l_1$  where  $w_o(\Phi)$  is the sequence version of the function space  $W_0(\phi)$  defined below. The sufficient and necessary condition for the continuity of  $P_g$  on the sequence spaces have also been given in [1] and [2]. The purpose of this paper is to generalize these results to a function space.

Let  $m[a, \infty)$  denote the collection of all measurable real-valued functions on  $[a, \infty)$ . For each  $x \in [a, \infty)$  and  $f \in m[a, \infty)$ , the function  $f_x$  is defined as follows :

$$f_x(t) = \begin{cases} f(t) & \text{whenever } a \leq t \leq x, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

It is clear that for every  $x \in [a, \infty)$ , we have  $f_x \in \mathbf{m}[a, \infty)$ , whenever  $f \in \mathbf{m}[a, \infty)$ .

In this paper we always assume that  $X \subset \mathbf{m}[a, \infty)$ .

Let  $X$  be a linear space of functions on  $[a, \infty)$  over real numbers  $\mathbf{R}$ . A non-negative function  $\|\cdot\|: X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is called an ***F-norm*** if for every  $f, g \in X$  we have:

- (i)  $\|f\| = 0 \Leftrightarrow f = 0$ ,
- (ii)  $\|-f\| = \|f\|$ ,
- (iii)  $\|f + g\| \leq \|f\| + \|g\|$ ,
- (iv) If  $\{a_n\} \subset \mathbf{R}$  is any sequence which converges to  $a$  and  $\{f^{(n)}\}$  is any sequence in  $X$  such that  $\|f^{(n)} - f\| \rightarrow 0$ , for some  $f \in X$ , then  $\|a_n f^{(n)} - af\| \rightarrow 0$ .

As usual,  $f = 0$  means  $f(x) = 0$  almost everywhere in  $[a, \infty)$ . Furthermore,  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  i.e. a linear space equipped with an *F-norm* is called an ***F-normed Space***. It is easy to prove that a function  $d: X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  given by  $d(f, g) = \|f - g\|$  is a metric on  $X$ . If an ***F-normed Space***  $X$  is complete then it is called a ***Fréchet Space*** or an ***F-Space*** in short.

A space  $X$  is called an ***FK-Space*** if it is an *F-space* and the canonical mapping  $p_x: X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ ,

$$p_x(f) = f(x), \quad f \in X$$

is continuous for every  $x \in [a, \infty)$ , in the sense that if  $\|f^{(n)} - f\| \rightarrow 0$  then  $f^{(n)}(x) \rightarrow f(x)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

An *F-space*  $X$  is called an ***AK-Space*** if  $X$  contains  $\chi_E$  and  $f_x$  for any set  $E \subset [a, \infty)$  of finite measure,  $f \in X$  and  $x \in [a, \infty)$ , and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \|f_x - f\| = 0$ .

An *F-normed space*  $X$  is said to be ***solid*** if for every  $f \in X$  and  $g \in \mathbf{m}[a, \infty)$  such that if  $|g(x)| \leq |f(x)|$  for almost all  $x \in [a, \infty)$ , then  $g \in X$ .

A real-valued function  $\phi: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is called a ***ϕ-function*** if it is continuous, even, increasing on  $[0, \infty)$ , and  $\phi(t) \rightarrow \infty$ , as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . We shall always assume that the  $\phi$ -function  $\phi$  satisfies the ***Δ<sub>2</sub>-condition***, i.e. there exists a real number  $M > 0$  such that:  $\phi(2t) \leq M\phi(t)$  for every  $t \geq 0$  (see [5]).

Further, fix a real number  $a > 0$  and we define the space:

$$W_0(\phi) = \left\{ f \in \mathbf{m}[a, \infty); \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \rho_s(f) = 0 \right\},$$

where  $\rho_s$  is given by :

$$\rho_s(f) = \frac{1}{s} \int_a^s \phi(f(x)) dx, \quad s \in [a, \infty).$$

We can show that  $\rho_s$  is a modular on  $W_0(\phi)$ , i.e. it is even, increasing on the set  $\{f \in \mathbf{m}[a, \infty); f \geq 0 \text{ a.e on } [a, \infty)\}$  and satisfies the following conditions:

- (i)  $\rho_s(f) = 0 \Leftrightarrow f = 0 \text{ a.e on } [a, \infty)$ ,
- (ii)  $\rho_s(\max\{f, g\}) \leq \rho_s(f) + \rho_s(g)$ , for every  $f, g \in W_0(\phi)$ .

If we define the function  $\rho$  on the space by:

$$\rho(f) = \sup\{\rho_s(f), \quad s \in [a, \infty)\},$$

we can also prove that  $\rho$  is a modular on the space. Supama and Soeparna [3] observed that  $W_0(\phi)$  is a solid  $FK$ - and  $AK$ -space with respect to:

$$\|f\| = \inf \left\{ \varepsilon > 0 ; \rho\left(\frac{f}{\varepsilon}\right) \leq \varepsilon \right\}, \quad f \in W_0(\phi).$$

Let  $X$  be a function space. A functional  $F : X \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$  is said to be **continuous** at  $f \in X$  if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that :

$$|F(f) - F(h)| < \varepsilon,$$

whenever  $\|f - h\| < \delta$ . Further,  $F$  is said to be **continuous** on  $X$  if it is continuous at every  $f \in X$ .

Two functions  $f, g \in X$  are said to be **orthogonal** or **disjoint**, written by  $f \perp g$ , if  $f(x) \cdot g(x) = 0$  for almost all  $x \in [a, \infty)$ . The functional  $F : X \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$  is said to be **orthogonally additive** if for every  $f, g \in X$ ,

$$F(f + g) = F(f) + F(g),$$

whenever  $f \perp g$ . Then, Supama and Soeparna (see [3], [4]) characterized the following:

**Theorem 1.1.** *The functional  $F : W_0(\phi) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous and orthogonally additive if and only if there exists  $g(x, t) : [a, \infty) \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfying that  $g(\cdot, t)$  is measurable for every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $g(x, 0) = 0$  and  $g(x, \cdot)$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$  for every  $x \in [a, \infty)$  such that:*

$$F(f) = \int_a^\infty g(x, f(x)) dx$$

exists for every  $f \in W_0(\phi)$ .

## 2. Some lemmas

The main results of the research are Theorem 3.1. The following lemmas are required for proving those theorems.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $f \in W_0(\phi)$ . Then for every  $\beta > 0$  there exists  $\alpha > 0$  such that  $\rho(f) \leq \beta$ , whenever  $\|f\| \leq \alpha$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f \in W_0(\phi)$  and  $\beta > 0$  be given. There exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\beta \leq 2^{n_0}$ . So, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(f) &= \sup_{s \geq a} \left\{ \frac{1}{s} \int_a^s \phi(f(x)) dx \right\} \\ &\leq \sup_{s \geq a} \left\{ \frac{1}{s} \int_a^s \phi\left(\frac{2^{n_0}}{\beta} f(x)\right) dx \right\} \\ &\leq M^{n_0} \sup_{s \geq a} \left\{ \frac{1}{s} \int_a^s \phi\left(\frac{1}{\beta} f(x)\right) dx \right\}, \text{ for some } M > 0 \\ &= M^{n_0} \rho\left(\frac{f}{\beta}\right) \end{aligned}$$

If we choose  $\alpha > 0$  such that  $\alpha < \beta$  and  $M^{n_0} \alpha < \beta$  then the assertion follows.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $f \in W_0(\phi)$ . Then for every real numbers  $\alpha, \gamma > 0$  there exists  $\beta > 0$  such that  $\|f\| \leq \alpha$ , whenever  $\rho(\gamma f) \leq \beta$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha, \gamma > 0$ , then there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\frac{1}{\alpha} \leq \gamma 2^{n_0}$ . Since  $\phi$  satisfies the  $\Delta_2$ -condition then for every  $s \in [a, \infty)$  we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_s\left(\frac{f}{\alpha}\right) &= \frac{1}{s} \int_a^s \phi\left(\frac{1}{\alpha} f(x)\right) dx \\
&\leq \frac{1}{s} \int_a^s \phi\left(2^{n_0} \gamma f(x)\right) dx \\
&\leq \frac{M^{n_0}}{s} \int_a^s \phi(\gamma f(x)) dx, \text{ for some } M > 0 \\
&= M^{n_0} \rho_s(\gamma f)
\end{aligned}$$

It implies  $\rho\left(\frac{f}{\alpha}\right) \leq M^{n_0}(\gamma f)$ . If we choose  $\beta \leq \frac{\alpha}{M^{n_0}}$  then  $\rho\left(\frac{f}{\alpha}\right) \leq \alpha$ , as  $\rho(\gamma f) \leq \beta$ .

So,  $\|f\| \leq \alpha$ , whenever  $\rho(\gamma f) \leq \beta$ . This completes the proof.

We remark that the above two lemmas remain valid with  $W_0(\phi)$  replaced by a modular space  $X$  (see [5]). We presented only the case when  $X = W_0(\phi)$  as it is the form required later.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $X$  be an FK- and AK-space. Suppose  $g(x, t) : [a, \infty) \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies the following conditions:  $g(\cdot, t)$  is measurable for every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $g(x, 0) = 0$  and  $g(x, \cdot)$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$  for every  $x \in [a, \infty)$ . If there exist real numbers  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta > 0$  such that  $\frac{1}{s} \int_a^s \phi(f(x)) dx \leq \beta$  implies  $\int_a^s |g(x, f(x))| dx \leq \alpha$  for each  $f \in X$  and  $s \in [a, \infty)$  then for every  $s \in [a, \infty)$  there is a non-negative function  $h \in L_1[a, s]$ , depending on  $s$ , with  $\int_a^s h(x) dx \leq \alpha$  such that for every  $x \in [a, s]$ ,*

$$|g(x, t)| \leq h(x) + 2\alpha\beta^{-1} s^{-1} \phi(t),$$

whenever  $\frac{\phi(t)}{s} \leq \beta$ .

*Proof.* Let  $s \in [a, \infty)$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  be given. We define the function  $k$  on  $[a, s] \times \mathbb{R} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  and the function  $h$  on  $[a, s]$  as follows:  $\subseteq \subseteq$

$$k(x, t) = \begin{cases} |g(x, t)| - 2\alpha\beta^{-1} s^{-1} \phi(t) & \text{when } |g(x, t)| \geq 2\alpha\beta^{-1} s^{-1} \phi(t), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$h(x) = \sup\left\{k(x, t); \frac{\phi(t)}{s} \leq \beta\right\} = k(x, u(x)).$$

Note that both functions  $k$  and  $h$  depend on  $s$ . Since  $\phi$  is continuous and has an inverse,  $h(x)$  above is uniquely determined. It is clear that  $h(x) \geq 0$  for every  $x \in [a, s]$ . Since,  $g(x, \cdot)$  and  $\phi$  are both continuous on  $\mathcal{R}$  then  $k(x, \cdot)$  is continuous on  $\mathcal{R}$  for every  $x \in [a, s]$ . Further,  $\left\{t; \frac{\phi(t)}{s} \leq \beta\right\}$  is closed and bounded, in view of the continuity of  $\phi$ . Hence, we have  $h(x)$  is finite for  $x \in [a, s]$ . Next we prove  $h \in L_1[a, s]$ .

For each  $s \in [a, \infty)$ , we can decompose as follows:

$$\int_a^s \phi(u(x))dx = \int_{A_1} \phi(u(x))dx + \int_{A_2} \phi(u(x))dx + \cdots + \int_{A_n} \phi(u(x))dx$$

where  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  are measurable subsets of  $[a, s]$  with  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i = [a, s]$ , the Lebesgue measure  $\mu(A_i \cap A_j) = 0$  for every  $i \neq j$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , and  $\frac{\beta}{2} \leq \frac{1}{s} \int_{A_i} \phi(u(x))dx \leq \beta$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n-1$  and  $0 \leq \frac{1}{s} \int_{A_n} \phi(u(x))dx \leq \beta$ . So, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^s h(x)dx &= \int_a^s k(x, u(x))dx \\ &\leq \int_a^s |g(x, u(x))|dx - 2\alpha\beta^{-1}s^{-1} \int_a^s \phi(u(x))dx \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{A_i} |g(x, u(x))|dx - 2\alpha\beta^{-1}s^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{A_i} \phi(u(x))dx \\ &\leq n\alpha - 2\alpha\beta^{-1}s^{-1}(n-1)(\beta/2) = \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $h \in L_1[a, s]$  and  $\int_a^s h(x)dx \leq \alpha$ .

From the definitions of  $h$  and  $k(x, t)$ , we have  $k(x, t) \leq h(x)$  for every  $x \in [a, s]$ , whenever  $\frac{\phi(t)}{s} \leq \beta$ . So, by the definition of  $k(x, t)$  we have:

$$|g(x, t)| \leq h(x) + 2\alpha\beta^{-1}s^{-1}\phi(t),$$

whenever  $\frac{\phi(t)}{s} \leq \beta$ . This completes the proof.

### 3. Main result

Let  $X$  be a function space and  $g(x, t) : [a, \infty) \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . We define a superposition operator  $P_g$  on  $X$  as follows:

$$P_g(f)(x) = g(x, f(x)),$$

for every  $f \in X$  and  $x \in [a, \infty)$ . It is clear that the conditions of  $X$  required in Lemma 2.3 are all satisfied by the space  $W_0(\phi)$  (see [3]). Hence, we can prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $g(x, t) : [a, \infty) \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be given such that  $g(\cdot, t)$  is measurable for every  $t$ ,  $g(x, 0) = 0$  and  $g(x, \cdot)$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$  for every  $x \in [a, \infty)$ . The operator  $P_g : W_0(\phi) \rightarrow L_1[a, \infty)$  if and only if there exist  $\alpha, \beta > 0$  and for every  $s \in [a, \infty)$  there exists non-negative function  $h \in L_1[a, s]$ , depending on  $s$ , with  $\int_a^s h(x) dx \leq \alpha$  such that for every  $x \in [a, s]$ ,*

$$|g(x, t)| \leq h(x) + 2\alpha\beta^{-1}s^{-1}\phi(t),$$

whenever  $\frac{\phi(t)}{s} \leq \beta$ .

*Proof.*

( $\Leftarrow$ ): Let  $f \in W_0(\phi)$ , then there exists a real number  $M > a$  such that for every  $s \geq M$ :

$$\frac{1}{s} \int_a^s \phi(f(x)) dx < \beta.$$

It follows that:

$$\int_a^s |g(x, f(x))| dx \leq \int_a^s h(x) dx + 2\alpha\beta^{-1}s^{-1} \int_a^s \phi(f(x)) dx \leq \alpha + 2\alpha\beta^{-1}\beta = 3\alpha,$$

for every  $s \geq M$ . Hence,  $\int_a^\infty |g(x, f(x))| dx$  exist.

( $\Rightarrow$ ): By Theorem 1.1, the functional  $F : W_0(\phi) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by:

$$F(f) = \int_a^\infty |g(x, f(x))| dx, \quad f \in W_0(\phi)$$

is continuous and orthogonally additive. So, there exists  $\eta > 0$  such that for each  $f \in W_o(\phi)$ ,

$$\int_a^\infty |g(x, f(x))| dx < 1,$$

whenever  $\|f\| < \eta$ . Also, by Lemma 2.2 there exists  $\beta > 0$  such that  $\|f\| < \eta$  as  $\rho(f) \leq \beta$ . Thus, for  $\rho(f) \leq \beta$  we have:

$$\int_a^\infty |g(x, f(x))| dx < 1.$$

It means that for every  $s \in [a, \infty)$ ,

$$\int_a^s |g(x, f(x))| dx < 1,$$

whenever

$$\frac{1}{s} \int_a^s \phi(f(x)) dx \leq \beta.$$

By choosing

$$\alpha = \sup \left\{ \int_a^s |g(x, f(x))| dx ; \frac{1}{s} \int_a^s \phi(f(x)) dx \leq \beta \right\}$$

then we have:

$$\int_a^s |g(x, f(x))| dx \leq \alpha,$$

whenever  $\frac{1}{s} \int_a^s \phi(f(x)) dx \leq \beta$ . By Lemma 2.3 there exists a non-negatif function  $h \in L_1[a, s]$ , depending on  $s$ , with  $\int_a^s h(x) dx \leq \alpha$  such that for every  $x \in [a, s]$ ,

$$|g(x, t)| \leq h(x) + 2\alpha\beta^{-1} s^{-1}\phi(t),$$

whenever  $\frac{\phi(t)}{s} \leq \beta$ . This completes the proof.



**References**

1. Chew Tuan Seng and Lee Peng Yee, Orthogonally additive functionals on sequence spaces, *SEA. Bull. Math.* (1985), 81–85.
2. L.I. Paredes, Orthogonally additive functionals and superposition operators on  $w_o(\phi)$ , *Ph.D Dissertation*, University of the Philippines, 1993.
3. Supama and Soeparna D., Orthogonally additive functionals on modular function space  $W_0(\phi)$ , *Berkala Ilmiah MIPA Th.VIII No. 1*, Yogyakarta **1** (1998), 49–64.
4. Supama and Soeparna D., Orthogonally additive functionals on  $W_0^0(\phi)$ , *J. Indonesian Math. Soc.* **5** (1999), 1–9.
5. W. Orlicz, *Linear Functional Analysis*, Word Scientific, 1992.