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#### Abstract

A linear map $\varphi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ between (Banach) algebras is called 3homomorphism if $\varphi(a b c)=\varphi(a) \varphi(b) \varphi(c)$ for each $a, b, c \in \mathcal{A}$. We investigate 3homomorphisms on Banach algebras with bounded approximate identities and establish in two ways (for unital and non-unital cases) that every involution preserving homomorphism between $C^{*}$-algebras is norm decreasing.
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## 1. Introduction

In Hejazian et al. [3] the notion of 3-homomorphism between (Banach) algebras was introduced and some of their significant properties were investigated. A linear mapping $\varphi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ between (Banach) algebras is called 3-homomorphism if $\varphi(a b c)=\varphi(a) \varphi(b) \varphi(c)$ for each $a, b, c \in \mathcal{A}$. Obviously, each homomorphism is a 3 -homo-morphism. One can verify that if $\mathcal{A}$ is unital and $\varphi$ is a 3 -homomorphism then $\psi(a)=\varphi(1) \varphi(a)$ is a homomorphism.

There might exist 3-homomorphisms that are not homomorphisms. For instance, if $\psi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a homomorphism, then $\varphi:=-\psi$ is a 3 -homomorphism which is not a homomorphism. As another example, assume that $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are Banach algebras such that $\mathcal{A}^{3}=\{0\}$ and $\mathcal{B}^{3}=\{0\}$. Then each linear mapping between $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ is trivially a 3 -homomorphism. One can find some non-trivial examples in [3].

In this paper, we investigate 3-homomorphisms on Banach algebras with bounded approximate identities. In particular we establish in two ways (for unital and nonunital cases) that every involution preserving homomorphism between $C^{*}$-algebras is norm decreasing.

[^0]Throughout the paper, we denote by $T^{*}$ the dual of a linear mapping $T$ and by $\mathcal{A}^{*}$ the dual of a Banach algebra $\mathcal{A}$. We also denote the involution on a $C^{*}$-algebra by \#.

## 2. 3-Homomorphisms on $C^{*}$-algebras

Suppose that $\varphi$ is a non-zero 3 -homomorphism from a unital algebra $\mathcal{A}$ to $\mathbb{C}$. Then $\varphi(1)=1$ or -1 . Hence either $\varphi$ or $-\varphi$ is a character on $\mathcal{A}$. If $\mathcal{A}$ is a Banach algebra, then $\varphi$ is automatically continuous [1, Theorem 2.1.29]. It may happen, however, that a 3 -homomorphism is not continuous. For instance, let $\mathcal{A}$ be the algebra of all $3 \times 3$ matrices having 0 on and below the diagonal and $\mathcal{B}$ be the algebra of all $\mathcal{A}$ valued continuous functions from $[0,1]$ into $\mathcal{A}$ with sup norm. Then $\mathcal{B}$ is an infinite dimensional Banach algebra in which the product of any three elements is 0 . Since $\mathcal{B}$ is infinite dimensional there are linear discontinuous maps and so discontinuous 3 -homomorphisms from $\mathcal{B}$ into itself.

Using extreme points of the closed unit ball of a $W^{*}$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ authors of [3] showed that if $\mathcal{B}$ is a $C^{*}$-algebra and $\varphi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a weakly-norm continuous involution preserving 3 -homomorphism, then $\|\varphi\| \leq 1$. Then they asked whether every preserving involution 3 -homomorphism between $C^{*}$-algebras is continuous. We shall establish this by applying the strategy used by Harris [2, Proposition 3.4] where it is shown that each $J^{*}$-homomorphism between $J^{*}$-algebras is norm decreasing.

Theorem 2.1. Let $\varphi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be an involution preserving 3-homomorphism between unital $C^{*}$-algebras. Then $\|\varphi\| \leq 1$.
Proof. Let $a \in \mathcal{A}$, let $\lambda>0$ and let $\lambda \notin \sigma\left(a^{\#} a\right)$. Then $\lambda 1_{\mathcal{A}}-a^{\#} a$ has an inverse $b$. Then we have the following sequence of deductions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
a b\left(\lambda 1_{\mathcal{A}}-a^{\#} a\right) & =a \\
\left(\lambda b-b a^{\#} a\right) a\left(\lambda b-b a^{\#} a\right) & =a \\
\varphi\left(\lambda b-b a^{\#} a\right) \varphi(a)\left(\lambda \varphi(b)-\varphi(b) \varphi\left(a^{\#}\right) \varphi(a)\right) & =\varphi(a) \\
\varphi\left(a^{\#}\right)\left(\varphi\left(\lambda b-b a^{\#} a\right) \varphi(a) \varphi(b)\right)\left(\lambda 1_{\mathcal{B}}-\varphi\left(a^{\#}\right) \varphi(a)\right) & =\varphi\left(a^{\#}\right) \varphi(a) \\
\varphi\left(a^{\#}\right) \varphi(a b)\left(\lambda 1_{\mathcal{B}}-\varphi\left(a^{\#}\right) \varphi(a)\right) & =\varphi\left(a^{\#}\right) \varphi(a) \\
\lambda 1_{\mathcal{B}}-\varphi\left(a^{\#}\right) \varphi(a b)\left(\lambda 1_{\mathcal{B}}-\varphi\left(a^{\#}\right) \varphi(a)\right) & =\lambda 1_{\mathcal{B}}-\varphi\left(a^{\#}\right) \varphi(a) \\
1_{\mathcal{B}} & =\frac{1}{\lambda}\left(1_{\mathcal{B}}+\varphi\left(a^{\#}\right) \varphi(a b)\right) \\
& \times\left(\lambda 1_{\mathcal{B}}-\varphi\left(a^{\#}\right) \varphi(a)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\lambda 1_{\mathcal{B}}-\varphi\left(a^{\#}\right) \varphi(a)$ is self-adjoint, it follows that $\lambda 1_{\mathcal{B}}-\varphi(a)^{\#} \varphi(a)$ is invertible and so $\lambda \notin \sigma\left(\varphi(a)^{\#} \varphi(a)\right)$. Hence

$$
\sigma\left(\varphi(a)^{\#} \varphi(a)\right) \subseteq \sigma\left(a^{\#} a\right) \cup\{0\}
$$

Since the norm of a normal element $c$ of a $C^{*}$-algebra is equal to its spectral radius $r(c)$ (see [1, Theorem 3.2.3]), we have

$$
\|\varphi(a)\|^{2}=\left\|\varphi\left(a^{\#} a\right)\right\|=r\left(\varphi(a)^{\#} \varphi(a)\right) \leq r\left(a^{\#} a\right)=\left\|a^{\#} a\right\|=\|a\|^{2} .
$$

So $\varphi$ is norm decreasing.

## 3. 3-homomorphisms from algebras with bounded approximate identity

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Banach algebra. Let $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{A}^{*}$ be arbitrary, then $a . \xi \in \mathcal{A}^{*}$ and $\xi . a \in \mathcal{A}^{*}$ are defined by $\langle a . \xi, x\rangle=\langle\xi, x a\rangle, x \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\langle\xi . a, x\rangle=\langle\xi, a x\rangle, x \in \mathcal{A}$.
For $\xi \in \mathcal{A}^{*}$ and $F \in \mathcal{A}^{* *}$, functionals $F . \xi \in \mathcal{A}^{*}$ and $\xi . F \in \mathcal{A}^{*}$ are given by $\langle F . \xi, x\rangle=$ $\langle F, \xi . x\rangle, x \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\langle\xi \cdot F, x\rangle=\langle F, x . \xi\rangle, x \in \mathcal{A}$. Recall that the first Arens product of $F, G \in \mathcal{A}^{* *}$ is $F \triangleleft G \in \mathcal{A}^{* *}$, where

$$
\langle F \triangleleft G, \xi\rangle=\langle F, G \cdot \xi\rangle \quad\left(\xi \in \mathcal{A}^{*}\right)
$$

Similarly, the second Arens product of $F, G \in \mathcal{A}^{* *}$ is $F \triangleright G \in \mathcal{A}^{* *}$, where

$$
\langle F \triangleright G, \xi\rangle=\langle G, \xi \cdot F\rangle \quad\left(\xi \in \mathcal{A}^{*}\right)
$$

It is well known that $\left(\mathcal{A}^{* *}, \triangleleft\right)$ and $\left(\mathcal{A}^{* *}, \triangleright\right)$ are Banach algebras and that $\mathcal{A}$ is a closed subalgebra of each of them. The algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is Arens regular if the products $\triangleleft$ and $\triangleright$ coincide on $\mathcal{A}^{* *}$.

A left approximate identity for $\mathcal{A}$ is a net $\left(e_{\alpha}\right) \subset \mathcal{A}$ such that $\lim _{\alpha} e_{\alpha} a=a$, for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$. If there exists $m>0$ such that $\sup _{\alpha}\left\|e_{\alpha}\right\| \leq m$, the left approximate identity $\left(e_{\alpha}\right)$ is said to be bounded by $m$. The definitions of a right approximate identity and a bounded right approximate identity are similar. Recall from [1, Proposition 2.9.16] that $\mathcal{A}$ has a left approximate identity bounded by $m$ if and only if $\left(\mathcal{A}^{* *}, \triangleright\right)$ has a left identity of norm at most $m$. Similarly, $\mathcal{A}$ has a right approximate identity bounded by $m$ if and only if $\left(\mathcal{A}^{* *}, \triangleleft\right)$ has a right identity of norm at most $m$.

Lemma 3.1. Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be Banach algebras and $\varphi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a 3-homomorphism. Then, for arbitrary $a, b \in \mathcal{A}, \xi \in \mathcal{B}^{*}$, and $F, G \in \mathcal{A}^{* *}$, the following hold:
(i) $\varphi^{*}(\varphi(a) \varphi(b) . \xi)=a b \cdot \varphi^{*}(\xi)$;
(ii) $\varphi^{*}(\xi \cdot \varphi(a) \varphi(b))=\varphi^{*}(\xi) \cdot a b$;
(iii) $\varphi^{*}\left(\varphi(a) \cdot \xi \cdot \varphi^{* *}(F)\right)=a \cdot \varphi^{*}(\xi) \cdot F$;
(iv) $\varphi^{*}\left(\varphi^{* *}(F) \cdot \xi \cdot \varphi(a)\right)=F \cdot \varphi^{*}(\xi) \cdot a$;
(v) $\varphi^{*}\left(\xi \cdot \varphi^{* *}(F) \triangleright \varphi^{* *}(G)\right)=\varphi^{*}(\xi) \cdot F \triangleright G$;
(vi) $\varphi^{*}\left(\varphi^{* *}(F) \triangleleft \varphi^{* *}(G) \cdot \xi\right)=F \triangleleft G \cdot \varphi^{*}(\xi)$.

Proof. (i) Let $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$, and $\xi \in \mathcal{B}^{*}$ be arbitrary. Then, for each $x \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\varphi^{*}(\varphi(a) \varphi(b) \cdot \xi), x\right\rangle & =\langle\varphi(a) \varphi(b) \cdot \xi, \varphi(x)\rangle \\
& =\langle\xi, \varphi(x) \varphi(a) \varphi(b)\rangle \\
& =\langle\xi, \varphi(x a b)\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\varphi^{*}(\xi), x a b\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle a b \cdot \varphi^{*}(\xi), x\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

(iii) Let $a \in \mathcal{A}, \xi \in \mathcal{B}^{*}$, and $F \in \mathcal{A}^{* *}$ be arbitrary. Then, for each $x \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\varphi^{*}\left(\varphi(a) \cdot \xi \cdot \varphi^{* *}(F)\right), x\right\rangle & =\left\langle\varphi(a) \cdot \xi \cdot \varphi^{* *}(F), \varphi(x)\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\varphi^{* *}(F), \varphi(x) \varphi(a) \cdot \xi\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle F, \varphi^{*}(\varphi(x) \varphi(a) \cdot \xi)\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle F, x a \cdot \varphi^{*}(\xi)\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle a \cdot \varphi^{*}(\xi) \cdot F, x\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

(v) Let $\xi \in \mathcal{B}^{*}$, and $F, G \in \mathcal{A}^{* *}$ be arbitrary. Then, for each $x \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\varphi^{*}\left(\xi \cdot \varphi^{* *}(F) \triangleright \varphi^{* *}(G)\right), x\right\rangle & =\left\langle\left(\xi \cdot \varphi^{* *}(F) \triangleright \varphi^{* *}(G)\right), \varphi(x)\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\varphi^{* *}(G), \varphi(x) \cdot \xi \cdot \varphi^{* *}(F)\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle G, \varphi^{*}\left(\varphi(x) \cdot \xi \cdot \varphi^{* *}(F)\right)\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle G, x \cdot \varphi^{*}(\xi) \cdot F\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\varphi^{*}(\xi) \cdot F \triangleright G, x\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

The proofs of (ii), (iv), and (vi) are similar.
Proposition 3.1. Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be Banach algebras. If $\varphi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a 3homomorphism, then $\varphi^{* *}$ is a 3-homomorphism from $\left(\mathcal{A}^{* *}, \triangleleft\right)$ to $\left(\mathcal{B}^{* *}, \triangleleft\right)$ and from $\left(\mathcal{A}^{* *}, \triangleright\right)$ to $\left(\mathcal{B}^{* *}, \triangleright\right)$.

Proof. Let $F, G, H \in \mathcal{A}^{* *}$ be arbitrary. Then, for each $\xi \in \mathcal{B}^{*}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\varphi^{* *}(F \triangleleft G \triangleleft H), \xi\right\rangle & =\left\langle F \triangleleft G \triangleleft H, \varphi^{*}(\xi)\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle F, G \triangleleft H \cdot \varphi^{*}(\xi)\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle F, \varphi^{*}\left(\varphi^{* *}(G) \triangleleft \varphi^{* *}(H) \cdot \xi\right)\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\varphi^{* *}(F), \varphi^{* *}(G) \triangleleft\left(\varphi^{* *}(H) \cdot \xi\right)\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\varphi^{* *}(F) \triangleleft \varphi^{* *}(G), \varphi^{* *}(H) . \xi\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\varphi^{* *}(F) \triangleleft \varphi^{* *}(G) \triangleleft \varphi^{* *}(H), \xi\right\rangle,
\end{aligned}
$$

where the second equality holds by Lemma 3.1 (vi).
The proof of the second assertion is similar.
Theorem 3.1. Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be Banach algebras and $\varphi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a 3 -homomorphism. If $\mathcal{A}$ has a bounded left approximate identity, then

$$
\psi(F):=\varphi^{* *}(L) \triangleright \varphi^{* *}(F) \quad\left(F \in \mathcal{A}^{* *}\right)
$$

defines a homomorphism from $\left(\mathcal{A}^{* *}, \triangleright\right)$ to $\left(\mathcal{B}^{* *}, \triangleright\right)$, where $L$ is a left identity in $\mathcal{A}^{* *}$. Moreover,

$$
\varphi(a)=\varphi^{* *}(L) \triangleright \psi(a) \quad(a \in \mathcal{A}) .
$$

Similarly, if $\mathcal{A}$ has a bounded right approximate identity, then

$$
\psi(F):=\varphi^{* *}(F) \triangleleft \varphi^{* *}(R) \quad\left(F \in \mathcal{A}^{* *}\right)
$$

defines a homomorphism from $\left(\mathcal{A}^{* *}, \triangleleft\right)$ to $\left(\mathcal{B}^{* *}, \triangleleft\right)$, where $R$ is a left identity in $\mathcal{A}^{* *}$. Moreover,

$$
\varphi(a)=\psi(a) \triangleleft \varphi^{* *}(R) \quad(a \in \mathcal{A})
$$

Proof. We shall prove only the first part of the theorem. Since $\varphi$ is a 3 -homomorphism the mapping $\varphi^{* *}$ is a 3-homomorphism from $\left(\mathcal{A}^{* *}, \triangleright\right)$ to $\left(\mathcal{B}^{* *}, \triangleright\right)$, by Proposition 3.1. By [1, Proposition 2.9.16], there exists a left identity $L$ in $\left(\mathcal{A}^{* *}, \triangleright\right)$. Thus

$$
\psi(F):=\varphi^{* *}(L) \triangleright \varphi^{* *}(F) \quad\left(F \in \mathcal{A}^{* *}\right)
$$

defines a homomorphism from $\left(\mathcal{A}^{* *}, \triangleright\right)$ to $\left(\mathcal{B}^{* *}, \triangleright\right)$ and

$$
\varphi^{* *}(F)=\varphi^{* *}(L) \triangleright \psi(F) \quad\left(F \in \mathcal{A}^{* *}\right) .
$$

Since the restriction of $\varphi^{* *}$ to $\mathcal{A}$ is $\varphi$, we have

$$
\varphi(a)=\varphi^{* *}(L) \triangleright \psi(a) \quad(a \in \mathcal{A}) .
$$

We now give another proof of Theorem 2.1:
Corollary 3.1. Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are $C^{*}$-algebras. Then each involution preserving 3-homomorphism $\varphi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is norm decreasing.
Proof. Each $C^{*}$-algebra has approximate identity of bound 1 (cf. [1, Theorem 3.2.21]). By [ 1 , Corollary 3.2.37], $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are Arens regular and $\mathcal{A}^{* *}$ and $\mathcal{B}^{* *}$ are von Neumann algebras equipped with the involution that are defined in the following way (see [1, p. 349]). A linear involution on $\mathcal{A}^{*}$ is defined by

$$
\left\langle\xi^{\#}, a\right\rangle=\overline{\left\langle\xi, a^{\#}\right\rangle} \quad\left(a \in \mathcal{A}, \xi \in \mathcal{A}^{*}\right),
$$

and an involution on $\mathcal{A}^{* *}$ is given by

$$
\left\langle F^{\#}, \xi\right\rangle=\overline{\left\langle F, \xi^{\#}\right\rangle} \quad\left(F \in \mathcal{A}^{* *}, \xi \in \mathcal{A}^{*}\right)
$$

The involution on $\mathcal{B}^{* *}$ is defined similarly. The algebra $\mathcal{A}^{* *}$ is unital, with identity $E$ of norm $\|E\|=1$.

It is easy to check that $\varphi^{* *}$ is an involution preserving 3-homomorphism. Namely, for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and each $\xi \in \mathcal{B}^{*}$, we have

$$
\left\langle\varphi^{*}\left(\xi^{\#}\right), a\right\rangle=\overline{\left\langle\xi, \varphi(a)^{\#}\right\rangle}=\overline{\left\langle\xi, \varphi\left(a^{\#}\right)\right\rangle}=\left\langle\varphi^{*}(\xi)^{\#}, a\right\rangle .
$$

It follows, for each $F \in \mathcal{A}^{* *}$ and each $\xi \in \mathcal{B}^{*}$, that

$$
\left\langle\varphi^{* *}\left(F^{\#}\right), \xi\right\rangle=\overline{\left\langle F, \varphi^{*}(\xi)^{\#}\right\rangle}=\overline{\left\langle F, \varphi^{*}\left(\xi^{\#}\right)\right\rangle}=\left\langle\varphi^{* *}(F)^{\#}, \xi\right\rangle
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi^{* *}(E) \varphi^{* *}(F) & =\varphi^{* *}(E) \varphi^{* *}\left(F E^{2}\right) \\
& =\varphi^{* *}(E) \varphi^{* *}(F) \varphi^{* *}(E)^{2} \\
& =\varphi^{* *}(E F E) \varphi^{* *}(E) \\
& =\varphi^{* *}(F) \varphi^{* *}(E),
\end{aligned}
$$

for each $F \in \mathcal{A}^{* *}$, we conclude that $\psi(F)=\varphi^{* *}(E) \varphi^{* *}(F) \quad\left(F \in \mathcal{A}^{* *}\right)$ defines an involution preserving homomorphism. Indeed, for each $F \in \mathcal{A}^{* *}$, we have

$$
\psi\left(F^{\#}\right)=\varphi^{* *}(E) \varphi^{* *}\left(F^{\#}\right)=\left(\varphi^{* *}(F) \varphi^{* *}(E)^{\#}\right)^{\#}=\psi(F)^{\#}
$$

By [1, Corollary 3.2.4], each involution preserving homomorphism between $C^{*}$ algebras is norm-decreasing. Thus

$$
\left\|\varphi^{* *}(F)\right\|=\left\|\varphi^{* *}(E) \psi(F)\right\| \leq\left\|\varphi^{* *}(E)\right\|\|F\| \quad\left(F \in \mathcal{A}^{* *}\right)
$$

Since

$$
\psi(E)=\varphi^{* *}(E)^{2}=\varphi^{* *}(E)^{\#} \varphi^{* *}(E)
$$

we have

$$
1 \geq\|\psi(E)\|=\left\|\varphi^{* *}(E)^{\#} \varphi^{* *}(E)\right\|=\left\|\varphi^{* *}(E)\right\|^{2}
$$

which gives $\left\|\varphi^{* *}(E)\right\| \leq 1$. Thus,

$$
\left\|\varphi^{* *}(F)\right\| \leq\left\|\varphi^{* *}(E)\right\|\|F\| \leq\|F\| \quad\left(F \in \mathcal{A}^{* *}\right)
$$
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