BULLETIN of the MALAYSIAN MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES SOCIETY http://math.usm.my/bulletin

On the Positive Integral Solutions of the Diophantine Equation $x^3 + by + 1 - xyz = 0$

¹Florian Luca and ²Alain Togbé

¹Instituto de Matemáticas UNAM, Campus Morelia Apartado Postal 27-3 (Xangari), C.P. 58089, Morelia, Michoacán, Mexico ²Mathematics Department, Purdue University North Central, 1401 S, U.S. 421, Westville IN 46391 USA ¹fluca@matmor.unam.mx; ²atogbe@pnc.edu

Abstract. In this paper, we prove a weaker form of a conjecture of Mohanty and Ramasamy [6] concerning the number of positive integer solutions (x, y, z) of the title equation.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11D25, 11D45

Key words and phrases: Diophantine equations, cubic equations, counting solutions of Diophantine equations.

1. Introduction

The Diophantine equation

(1.1)
$$ax^3 + by + c - xyz = 0.$$

was studied by Mohanty, Mohanty-Ramasamy and Utz. In 1979, Mohanty [5] considered the case a = b = c = 1 and found all 9 positive integer solutions of this equation. In 1982, Utz [9] used Mohanty's method to determine exactly 13 positive integer solutions to this equation when a = c = 1, b = 2. In 1984, Mohanty-Ramasamy [6] studied equation (1.1) when a = c = 1 and b is a fixed positive integer. They proved that equation (1.1) has a finite number of positive integer solutions. In particular, if b > 3 is prime then this number is odd, and this number is exactly 28 when b = 3. Moreover, they showed (see [8]) that the more general Diophantine equation

$$ax^3 + by + c - xyz = 0$$

has only finitely many positive integral solutions if gcd(ab, c) = 1 and c is squarefree.

In this note, we consider the case a = c = 1 and b a fixed positive integer; i.e., the Diophantine equation

(1.3)
$$x^3 + by + 1 - xyz = 0.$$

Received: May 25, 2007; Revised: November 28, 2007.

Let N(b) be the number of positive integral solutions (x, y, z). At the end of [6], the authors suggest the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.1. The inequality $N(b) \le 8b + 15$ holds for all positive integers b. If b is even, then the better inequality $N(b) \le 4b + 15$ holds.

The aim of this note is to confirm a weaker form of Conjecture 1.1 for large b. Namely, we have the following result.

Theorem 1.1. The estimate

$$N(b) \le 11b + o(b)$$

holds as $b \to \infty$.

Remark 1.1. In [6], the authors gave the value of N(b), for $1 \le b \le 12$. In fact, we have

$$N(1) = 9, N(2) = 13, N(3) = 28, N(4) = 20, N(5) = 55, N(6) = 21,$$

 $N(7) = 61, N(8) = 45, N(9) = 43, N(10) = 39, N(11) = 97, N(12) = 43.$

In Section 2, we give the proof of the above theorem by considering separately four cases, namely x small, gcd(b, z) large, z small, and none of the above, respectively.

2. The Proof

The following description of all positive integer solutions of equation (1.3) appears in Theorem 2 in [6].

Lemma 2.1. If b > 7 and (x, y, z) is a positive integer solution of equation (1.3), then z < b and

$$xz - b \left| \frac{b^3 + z^3}{\gcd(b, z)^2} \right|$$

except for at most three solutions (x, y, z). Two of these exceptional solutions are (x, y, z) = (1, 1, b+2), (1, 2, b+1). The third one appears only when $b = x^2 + x - 1$ and in that case z = x + 1.

For $z = 1, \ldots, b - 1$, we let

$$f_b(z) = \# \left\{ d \left| \frac{b^3 + z^3}{\gcd(b, z)^2} \right| : d \equiv -b \pmod{z} \right\}.$$

Lemma 2.1 above shows that

(2.1)
$$N(b) \le 3 + \sum_{z=1}^{b-1} f_b(z)$$

Our proof uses known results concerning the average value of the number of divisors of a given polynomial together with a result of Lenstra [4] concerning the number of divisors in residue classes with a large modulus.

Case 1. x is small.

Put $B = b/(\log b)^{10}$. Assume that $x \leq B$. From equation (1.3), it follows that $y \mid x^3 + 1$, and that if x and y are determined, then z is uniquely determined. Hence,

denoting by $\tau(m)$ the number of divisors of the positive integer m, we get that the number of solutions in this category does not exceed

(2.2)
$$N_1(b) = \sum_{x \le B} \tau(x^3 + 1).$$

It is well-known that if $f(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ is a fixed primitive polynomial having nonzero discriminant (i.e., no double roots) and exactly k irreducible factors (all of degree ≥ 1 and integer coefficients), then

(2.3)
$$\sum_{n \le T} \tau(|f(n)|) \ll T(\log T)^k,$$

where the implied constant above depends on the polynomial f(X). Here, we make the convention that $\tau(0) = 0$. Indeed, such a result was first proved by Erdős in [2] when f(X) is irreducible (see also [3]); i.e., when k = 1, and in the general form stated in the bound (2.3) above by Ennola in [1]. Applying estimate (2.3) to the sum appearing in the right hand side of (2.2) and using the fact that k = 2 when $F(X) = X^3 + 1$, we get

(2.4)
$$N_1(b) \ll B(\log B)^2 \ll \frac{b}{(\log b)^8} = o(b)$$

as $b \to \infty$.

Case 2. gcd(b, z) is large.

Let $d = \gcd(b, z)$ and write $z = dz_1$, $b = db_1$. Assume that $d > b^{1/10}$. Then, by Lemma 2.1, we have $xz_1 - b_1 \mid z_1^3 + b_1^3$. If z_1 is fixed, then the number of values of $xz_1 - b_1$ does not exceed $\tau(z_1^3 + b_1^3) \leq (2b^3)^{o(1)} = b^{o(1)}$ as $b \to \infty$. Thus, the number of solutions in this category does not exceed

(2.5)
$$N_{2}(b) = \sum_{\substack{d \mid b \\ d > b^{1/10} \text{ gcd}(z_{1}, b/d) = 1}} \sum_{\substack{z_{1} \le b/d \\ d > b^{1/10} \text{ gcd}(z_{1}, b/d) = 1}} \tau(z_{1}^{3} + b_{1}^{3}) \le \sum_{\substack{d \mid b \\ d > b^{1/10}}} \sum_{z_{1} \le b/d} b^{o(1)}$$
$$\le \sum_{\substack{d \mid b \\ d > b^{1/10}}} \frac{b^{1+o(1)}}{d} \le \frac{b^{1+o(1)}\tau(b)}{b^{1/10}} = b^{9/10+o(1)} = o(b)$$

as $b \to \infty$.

Case 3. z is small.

We assume that $z \leq B$. We keep the notations from the previous cases. We assume that $d \leq b^{1/10}$. Fix $d \mid b$. Then $xz_1 - b_1$ is a divisor of $z_1^3 + b_1^3$. Thus, the number of numbers in this category does not exceed

(2.6)
$$N_3(b) \le \sum_{\substack{d \mid b \\ d \le b^{1/10} \gcd(z_1, b/d) = 1}} \sum_{\substack{z_1 \le B/d \\ \gcd(z_1, b/d) = 1}} \tau(z_1^3 + b_1^3)$$

We split the inner sum at $z_1 = b^{1/2}$. The contribution from the solutions with $z_1 \leq b^{1/2}$ is

(2.7)
$$N_{3}(b)' \leq \sum_{\substack{d \mid b \\ d \leq b^{1/10}}} \sum_{z_{1} \leq b^{1/2}} \tau(z_{1}^{3} + b_{1}^{3}) \leq \sum_{\substack{d \mid b \\ d \leq b^{1/10}}} \sum_{z_{1} \leq b^{1/2}} b^{o(1)}$$
$$\leq b^{o(1)} \sum_{d \leq b^{1/10}} \sum_{z_{1} \leq b^{1/2}} 1 \leq b^{1/2 + 1/10 + o(1)} = o(b)$$

as $b \to \infty$. We now assume that $z_1 \ge b^{1/2} \ge b_1^{1/2}$. Fix d. Let $\tau_{b_1}(m)$ be the function whose value at m is $\tau(m)$ if m is coprime to b_1 and 0 otherwise. Clearly, $\tau_{b_1}(m)$ is multiplicative. Write

$$\rho_{b_1}(m) = \#\{n \pmod{m} : n^3 + b_1^3 \equiv 0 \pmod{m}\},$$

when m and b_1 are coprime, and $\rho_{b_1}(m) = 0$ otherwise. A result of Nair from [7], shows that since $z_1 > b^{1/2}$, we have

(2.8)
$$M_{d}(b) = \sum_{\substack{z_{1} \leq B/d \\ \gcd(z_{1}, b/d) = 1}} \tau(z_{1}^{3} + b_{1}^{3}) = \sum_{z_{1} \leq B/d} \tau_{b_{1}}(z_{1}^{3} + b_{1}^{3})$$
$$\ll \frac{B}{d} \prod_{p \leq B/d} \left(1 - \frac{\rho_{b_{1}}(p)}{p}\right) \sum_{m \leq B/d} \frac{\rho_{b_{1}}(m)\tau_{b_{1}}(m)}{m}.$$

Clearly, $1 \leq \rho_{b_1}(p^{\alpha}) \leq 3$ for all prime powers p^{α} when p does not divide b_1 . Thus,

(2.9)
$$\begin{split} \prod_{p \leq B/d} \left(1 - \frac{\rho_{b_1}(p)}{p} \right) &\leq \prod_{p \leq b^{9/10}/(\log b)^{10}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{b}{\phi(b)} \prod_{p \leq b^{9/10}/(\log b)^{10}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) \\ &\ll \frac{\log \log b}{\log b}, \end{split}$$

where the last estimate follows by Mertens's formula and by the minimal order of the Euler function in the interval [1, b]. Furthermore, since both ρ_{b_1} and τ_{b_1} are multiplicative and nonnegative, we have that

$$\sum_{z \leq B/d} \frac{\rho_{b_1}(m)\tau_{b_1}(m)}{m} \leq \prod_{\substack{p \leq b/d \\ p \nmid b_1}} \left(\sum_{\alpha \geq 0} \frac{\rho_{b_1}(p^\alpha)\tau(p^\alpha)}{p^\alpha} \right)$$
$$\leq \prod_{p \leq b} \left(1 + \frac{6}{p} + \sum_{\alpha \geq 2} \frac{3(\alpha+1)}{p^\alpha} \right)$$
$$\leq \exp\left(6\sum_{p \leq b} \frac{1}{p} + O\left(\sum_{p \geq 2} \frac{1}{p^2}\right) \right)$$
$$= \exp\left(6\log\log b + O(1) \right) \ll (\log b)^6.$$

Inserting estimates (2.9) and (2.10) into estimate (2.8), we get

$$M_d(b) \ll \frac{B}{d} \left(\frac{\log \log b}{\log b} \right) (\log b)^6 \ll \frac{B(\log b)^5 \log \log b}{d}$$

Summing the above estimate up over all $d \mid b$ with $d < b^{1/10}$, we get that

$$N_{3}(b)'' \leq \sum_{\substack{d \mid d \\ d \leq b^{1/10}}} M_{d}(b) \ll B(\log b)^{5} \log \log b \sum_{d \mid b} \frac{1}{d}$$

$$\ll B(\log b)^{5} (\log \log b) \frac{\sigma(b)}{b} \ll B(\log b)^{5} (\log \log b)^{2}$$

where we used the maximal order of the sum of divisors function $\sigma(b)$ on the interval [1, b]. Recalling the definition of B, we get that

(2.11)
$$N_3(b)'' \ll \frac{b(\log \log b)^2}{(\log b)^5} = o(b)$$

as $b \to \infty$. Thus, estimates (2.6) together with estimates (2.7) and (2.11) lead to

(2.12)
$$N_3(b) = N_3(b)' + N_3(b)'' = o(b)$$

as $b \to \infty$.

Case 4. The remaining solutions.

For the remaining solutions, we fix again d. We have $d \leq b^{1/10}$, $z \geq B$, therefore $z_1 \geq B/d$. Now fix $z_1 \leq b/d$ such that z_1 is coprime to b/d. Then $xz_1 - b_1$ is a divisor of $z_1^3 + b_1^3$ which is in the fixed arithmetical progression $-b_1$ modulo z_1 . Note that $z_1^3 + b_1^3 \leq 2(b/d)^3$. Since $z_1 \geq B/d$, it follows that for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists b_{ε} such that if $b > b_{\varepsilon}$, then $z_1 > (b/d)^{1/(3+\varepsilon)}$, uniformly in the divisor d. In [4], Lenstra showed that for each $\alpha > 1/4$, there exists a constant $c(\alpha)$ such that whenever $1 \leq r < s \leq n$ are such that r and s are coprime and $s > n^{\alpha}$, then n has at most $c(\alpha)$ divisors $d \equiv r \pmod{s}$. Using this with $\alpha(\varepsilon) = 1/(3+\varepsilon)$, we get that $xz_1 - b_1$ (hence, x) can be chosen it at most $c(\alpha(\varepsilon))$ ways once b is sufficiently large. Lenstra also showed that c(1/3) can be chosen to be 11. This shows that if b is sufficiently large, then there are at most 11 divisors of $z_1^3 + b_1^3$ of the form $xz_1 - b_1$ in this range of the solutions. Since z_1 can be chosen in at most $\phi(b/d)$ ways, we get that the number of solutions in this case does not exceed

$$N_4(b) \le \sum_{\substack{d \mid b \\ d \le b^{1/10}}} 11\phi(b/d) \le 11 \sum_{d \mid b} \phi(b/d) = 11b.$$

This and the previous estimates (2.4), (2.5) and (2.12) complete the proof of the theorem.

Acknowledgement. We thank the referee for a careful reading of the manuscript and for useful suggestions. Work on this paper started during a very enjoyable visit of F. L. at the Purdue University North Central. He thanks the people of this institution for their hospitality. The first author was partially supported by Grant SEP-CONACyT 46755. The second author was partially supported by Purdue University North Central.

References

- [1] V. Ennola, A note on a divisor problem, Ann. Univ. Turku. Ser. A I 118(1968), 11 pp.
- [2] P. Erdös, On the sum $\sum_{k=1}^{x} d(f(k))$, J. London Math. Soc. 27 (1952), 7–15.
- [3] F. Delmer, Sur la somme de diviseurs $\sum_{k \leq x} \{d[f(k)]\}^s$, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B 272 (1971), A849–A852.
- [4] H. W. Lenstra, Jr., Divisors in residue classes, Math. Comp. 42 (1984), no. 165, 331–340.
- [5] S. P. Mohanty, On the Diophantine equation $x^3 + y + 1 xyz = 0$, Math. Student **45** (1977), no. 4, 13–16 (1979).
- [6] S. P. Mohanty and A. M. S. Ramasamy, On the positive integral solutions of the Diophantine equation $x^3 + by + 1 xyz = 0$ (b > 0), Bull. Malaysian Math. Soc. (2) 7 (1984), no. 1, 23–28.
- [7] M. Nair, Multiplicative functions of polynomial values in short intervals, Acta Arith. 62 (1992), no. 3, 257–269.
- [8] A. M. S. Ramasamy and S. P. Mohanty, On the positive integral solutions of the Diophantine equation $ax^3 + by + c xyz = 0$, J. Indian Math. Soc. (N.S.) **62** (1996), no. 1-4, 210–214.
- [9] W. R. Utz, Positive solutions of the Diophantine equation $x^3 + 2y + 1 xyz = 0$, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. 5 (1982), no. 2, 311–314.