

## A Family of Integral Operators Preserving Subordination and Superordination

<sup>1</sup>RI-GUANG XIANG, <sup>2</sup>ZHI-GANG WANG AND <sup>3</sup>MASLINA DARUS

<sup>1,2</sup>School of Mathematics and Computing Science,  
Changsha University of Science and Technology, Yuntang Campus,  
Changsha 410114, Hunan, People's Republic of China

<sup>3</sup>School of Mathematical Sciences, Faculty of Science and Technology,  
Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Bangi 43600, Selangor Darul Ehsan, Malaysia  
<sup>1</sup>xrgcs98@163.com, <sup>2</sup>zhigangwang@foxmail.com, <sup>3</sup>maslina@ukm.my

**Abstract.** The main purpose of the present paper is to investigate some subordination-preserving and superordination-preserving properties of a certain family of integral operators. Several sandwich-type results associated with this family of integral operators are also derived.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary: 30C45; Secondary: 30C80

Key words and phrases: Analytic functions, Hadamard product (or convolution), subordination and superordination between analytic functions, integral operator, Srivastava-Attiya operator.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  denote the class of functions of the form:

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k,$$

which are *analytic* in the *open* unit disk

$$\mathbb{U} := \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{U})$  be the linear space of all analytic functions in  $\mathbb{U}$ . For a positive integer number  $n$  and  $a \in \mathbb{C}$ , we let

$$\mathcal{H}[a, n] := \{f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{U}) : f(z) = a + a_n z^n + a_{n+1} z^{n+1} + \dots\}.$$

Let  $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$ , where  $f$  is given by (1.1) and  $g$  is defined by

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k.$$

---

Communicated by Rosihan M. Ali, Dato'.

Received: March 15, 2009; Revised: April 30, 2009.

Then the Hadamard product (or convolution)  $f * g$  of the functions  $f$  and  $g$  is defined by

$$(f * g)(z) := z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k =: (g * f)(z).$$

For two functions  $f$  and  $g$ , analytic in  $\mathbb{U}$ , we say that the function  $f$  is subordinate to  $g$  in  $\mathbb{U}$ , and write

$$f(z) \prec g(z),$$

if there exists a Schwarz function  $\omega$ , which is analytic in  $\mathbb{U}$  with

$$\omega(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad |\omega(z)| < 1 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

such that

$$f(z) = g(\omega(z)) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Indeed, it is known that

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \implies f(0) = g(0) \quad \text{and} \quad f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U}).$$

Furthermore, if the function  $g$  is univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$ , then we have the following equivalence:

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \iff f(0) = g(0) \quad \text{and} \quad f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U}).$$

We recall the general Hurwitz-Lerch Zeta function  $\Phi(z, s, a)$  defined by (cf., e.g., [30, p. 121 *et seq.*])

$$\Phi(z, s, a) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{(k+a)^s}$$

$$(a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-; s \in \mathbb{C} \text{ when } |z| < 1; \operatorname{Re}(s) > 1 \text{ when } |z| = 1),$$

where, as usual,

$$\mathbb{Z}_0^- := \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{N} \quad (\mathbb{Z} := \{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\}; \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}).$$

Several interesting properties and characteristics of the Hurwitz-Lerch Zeta function  $\Phi(z, s, a)$  can be found in the recent investigations by, for example, Choi and Srivastava [9], Ferreira and López [11], Garg *et al.* [12], Lin and Srivastava [13], Lin *et al.* [14] and Luo and Srivastava [17].

In 2007, Srivastava and Attiya [29] (see also Răducanu and Srivastava [25], Liu [16] and Prajapat and Goyal [24]) introduced and investigated the linear operator

$$\mathcal{J}_{s, b}(f) : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$$

defined in terms of the Hadamard product (or convolution) by

$$(1.2) \quad \mathcal{J}_{s, b}(f)(z) := G_{s, b}(z) * f(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}; b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-; s \in \mathbb{C}; f \in \mathcal{A}),$$

where, for convenience,

$$(1.3) \quad G_{s, b}(z) := (1+b)^s [\Phi(z, s, b) - b^{-s}] \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

It is easy to observe from (1.2) and (1.3) that

$$\mathcal{J}_{s, b}(f)(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1+b}{k+b} \right)^s a_k z^k.$$

Motivated essentially by the Srivastava-Attiya operator, Al-Shaqsi and Darus [4] (see also Darus and Al-Shaqsi [10]) introduced and investigated the integral operator

$$(1.4) \quad \mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda,\mu}(f)(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1+b}{k+b}\right)^s \frac{\lambda!(k+\mu-2)!}{(\mu-2)!(k+\lambda-1)!} a_k z^k \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

where (and throughout this paper unless otherwise mentioned) the parameters  $s$ ,  $b$ ,  $\mu$  and  $\lambda$  are constrained as follows:

$$s \in \mathbb{C}; \quad b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-; \quad \mu > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda > -1.$$

We note that  $\mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda,2}$  is the Srivastava-Attiya operator, and  $\mathcal{J}_{0,b}^{\lambda,\mu}$  is the well-known Choi-Saigo-Srivastava operator (see [8, 15, 28]).

It is readily verified from (1.4) that

$$(1.5) \quad z \left( \mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda+1,\mu} f \right)'(z) = (\lambda+1) \mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda,\mu} f(z) - \lambda \mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda+1,\mu} f(z),$$

$$(1.6) \quad z \left( \mathcal{J}_{s+1,b}^{\lambda,\mu} f \right)'(z) = (b+1) \mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda,\mu} f(z) - b \mathcal{J}_{s+1,b}^{\lambda,\mu} f(z),$$

and

$$(1.7) \quad z \left( \mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda,\mu+1} f \right)'(z) = \mu \mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda,\mu+1} f(z) - (\mu-1) \mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda,\mu} f(z).$$

In the present paper, we aim at proving some subordination-preserving and superordination-preserving properties associated with the operator  $\mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda,\mu}$ . Several sandwich-type results involving this operator are also derived (some recent sandwich-type results in analytic function theory can be found in [1–3, 5–7, 22, 26, 27, 31] and the references cited therein).

## 2. Preliminary results

To derive our main results, we need the following definitions and lemmas.

**Definition 2.1.** [21] *A function  $\mathbb{P}(z, t)$  ( $z \in \mathbb{U}$ ;  $t \geq 0$ ) is said to be a subordination chain if  $\mathbb{P}(\cdot, t)$  is analytic and univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$  for all  $t \geq 0$ ,  $\mathbb{P}(z, 0)$  is continuously differentiable on  $[0, \infty)$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{U}$  and  $\mathbb{P}(z, t_1) \prec \mathbb{P}(z, t_2)$  for all  $0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2$ .*

**Definition 2.2.** [19] *Denote by  $Q$  the set of all functions  $f$  that are analytic and injective on  $\bar{\mathbb{U}} - E(f)$ , where*

$$E(f) = \left\{ \varepsilon \in \partial\mathbb{U} : \lim_{z \rightarrow \varepsilon} f(z) = \infty \right\},$$

*and such that  $f'(\varepsilon) \neq 0$  for  $\varepsilon \in \partial\mathbb{U} - E(f)$ . The subclass of  $Q$  for which  $f(0) = a$  ( $a \in \mathbb{C}$ ) is denoted by  $Q(a)$ .*

**Lemma 2.1.** [23] *The function  $\mathbb{P}(z, t) : \mathbb{U} \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  of the form*

$$\mathbb{P}(z, t) = a_1(t)z + a_2(t)z^2 + \cdots \quad (a_1(t) \neq 0; t \geq 0),$$

and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |a_1(t)| = \infty$  is a subordination chain if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( z \frac{\partial \mathbb{P} / \partial z}{\partial \mathbb{P} / \partial t} \right) > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}; t \geq 0).$$

**Lemma 2.2.** [18] Suppose that the function  $H : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfies the condition

$$\operatorname{Re}(H(is, t)) \leq 0$$

for all real  $s$  and for all

$$t \leq -\frac{n(1+s^2)}{2} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

If the function

$$p(z) = 1 + p_n z^n + p_{n+1} z^{n+1} + \dots$$

is analytic in  $\mathbb{U}$  and

$$\operatorname{Re}(H(p(z), zp'(z))) > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

then

$$\operatorname{Re}(p(z)) > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

**Lemma 2.3.** [19] Let  $\kappa, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\kappa \neq 0$  and let  $h \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{U})$  with  $h(0) = c$ . If

$$\operatorname{Re}(\kappa h(z) + \gamma) > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

then the solution of the following differential equation:

$$q(z) + \frac{zq'(z)}{\kappa q(z) + \gamma} = h(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}; q(0) = c)$$

is analytic in  $\mathbb{U}$  and satisfies the inequality given by

$$\operatorname{Re}(\kappa q(z) + \gamma) > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

**Lemma 2.4.** [20] Let  $p \in Q(a)$  and

$$q(z) = a + a_n z^n + a_{n+1} z^{n+1} + \dots \quad (q \neq a; n \in \mathbb{N})$$

be analytic in  $\mathbb{U}$ . If  $q$  is not subordinate to  $p$ , then there exists two points

$$z_0 = r_0 e^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{U} \quad \text{and} \quad \xi_0 \in \partial\mathbb{U} \setminus E(f)$$

such that

$$q(\mathbb{U}_{r_0}) \subset p(\mathbb{U}), \quad q(z_0) = p(\xi_0) \quad \text{and} \quad z_0 q'(z_0) = m \xi_0 p'(\xi_0) \quad (m \geq n).$$

**Lemma 2.5.** [21] Let  $q \in \mathcal{H}[a, 1]$  and  $\phi : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . Also set

$$\phi(q(z), zq'(z)) \equiv h(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Let

$$\mathbb{P}(z, t) := \phi(q(z), tzq'(z))$$

be a subordination chain and  $p \in \mathcal{H}[a, 1] \cap Q(a)$ . Then

$$h(z) \prec \phi(p(z), zp'(z))$$

implies that

$$q(z) \prec p(z).$$

Furthermore, if  $\phi(q(z), zq'(z)) = h(z)$  has a univalent solution  $q \in Q(a)$ , then  $q$  is the best subordinant.

### 3. Main results

We begin by proving our first subordination property given by Theorem 3.1 below.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\mu > 0$ . Further let*

$$(3.1) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left( 1 + \frac{z\varphi''(z)}{\varphi'(z)} \right) > -\varrho \quad \left( z \in \mathbb{U}; \varphi(z) := \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda, \mu+1} g(z)}{z} \right),$$

where

$$(3.2) \quad \varrho := \frac{1 + \mu^2 - |1 - \mu^2|}{4\mu}.$$

Then the subordination

$$\frac{\mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda, \mu+1} f(z)}{z} \prec \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda, \mu+1} g(z)}{z}$$

implies that

$$\frac{\mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda, \mu} f(z)}{z} \prec \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda, \mu} g(z)}{z}.$$

Furthermore, the function  $(\mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda, \mu} g(z))/z$  is the best dominant.

*Proof.* Let the functions  $\mathcal{F}$ ,  $\mathcal{G}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$  be defined by

$$(3.3) \quad \mathcal{F} := \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda, \mu} f(z)}{z}, \quad \mathcal{G} := \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda, \mu} g(z)}{z} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{Q} := 1 + \frac{z\mathcal{G}''(z)}{\mathcal{G}'(z)}.$$

We assume here, without loss of generality, that  $\mathcal{G}$  is analytic and univalent on  $\overline{\mathbb{U}}$  and

$$\mathcal{G}'(\zeta) \neq 0 \quad (|\zeta| = 1).$$

If not, then we replace  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  by  $\mathcal{F}(\rho z)$  and  $\mathcal{G}(\rho z)$ , respectively, with  $0 < \rho < 1$ . These new functions have the desired properties on  $\overline{\mathbb{U}}$ , and we can use them in the proof of our result. Therefore, the result would follow by letting  $\rho \rightarrow 1$ .

We first show that

$$\operatorname{Re}(\mathcal{Q}(z)) > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

By virtue of (1.7) and the definitions of  $\mathcal{G}$  and  $\varphi$ , we know that

$$(3.4) \quad \varphi(z) = \mathcal{G}(z) + \frac{1}{\mu} z\mathcal{G}'(z).$$

Differentiating both sides of (3.4) with respect to  $z$  yields

$$(3.5) \quad \varphi'(z) = \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\mu} \right) \mathcal{G}'(z) + \frac{1}{\mu} z\mathcal{G}''(z).$$

Combining (3.3) and (3.5), we easily get

$$(3.6) \quad 1 + \frac{z\varphi''(z)}{\varphi'(z)} = \mathcal{Q}(z) + \frac{z\mathcal{Q}'(z)}{\mathcal{Q}(z) + \mu} := \mathfrak{h}(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

It follows from (3.1) and (3.6) that

$$(3.7) \quad \operatorname{Re}(\mathfrak{h}(z) + \mu) > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Moreover, by Lemma 2.3, we conclude that the differential equation (3.6) has a solution  $\mathcal{Q} \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{U})$  with  $\mathfrak{h}(0) = \mathcal{Q}(0) = 1$ .

Let

$$H(u, v) := u + \frac{v}{u + \mu} + \varrho,$$

where  $\varrho$  is given by (3.2). From (3.6) and (3.7), we obtain

$$\operatorname{Re}(H(\mathcal{Q}(z), z\mathcal{Q}'(z))) > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

To verify the condition that

$$(3.8) \quad \operatorname{Re}(H(is, t)) \leq 0 \quad \left( s \in \mathbb{R}; t \leq -\frac{1+s^2}{2} \right),$$

we proceed it as follows:

$$\operatorname{Re}(H(is, t)) = \operatorname{Re} \left( is + \frac{t}{is + \mu} + \varrho \right) = \frac{t\mu}{|\mu + is|^2} + \varrho \leq -\frac{\Psi(\mu, s)}{2|\mu + is|^2},$$

where

$$(3.9) \quad \Psi(\mu, s) := (\mu - 2\varrho)s^2 - 4\varrho\mu s - 2\varrho\mu^2 + \mu.$$

For  $\varrho$  given by (3.2), we note that the coefficient of  $s^2$  in the quadratic expression  $\Psi(\mu, s)$  given by (3.9) is positive or equal to zero. Furthermore, we observe that the quadratic expression  $\Psi(\mu, s)$  by  $s$  in (3.9) is a perfect square, which implies that (3.8) holds. Thus, by Lemma 2.2, we conclude that

$$\operatorname{Re}(\mathcal{Q}(z)) > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

By the definition of  $\mathcal{Q}$ , we know that  $\mathcal{G}$  is convex. To prove  $\mathcal{F} \prec \mathcal{G}$ , let the function  $\mathbb{P}$  be defined by

$$(3.10) \quad \mathbb{P}(z, t) := \mathcal{G}(z) + \left( \frac{1+t}{\mu} \right) z\mathcal{G}'(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}; 0 \leq t < \infty).$$

Since  $\mathcal{G}$  is convex and  $\mu > 0$ , then

$$\frac{\partial \mathbb{P}(z, t)}{\partial z} \Big|_{z=0} = \mathcal{G}'(0) \left( 1 + \frac{1+t}{\mu} \right) \neq 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}; 0 \leq t < \infty)$$

and

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{z \partial \mathbb{P}(z, t) / \partial z}{\partial \mathbb{P}(z, t) / \partial t} \right) = \operatorname{Re}(\mu + (1+t)\mathcal{Q}(z)) > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Therefore, by Lemma 2.1, we deduce that  $\mathbb{P}$  is a subordination chain. It follows from the definition of subordination chain that

$$\varphi(z) = \mathcal{G}(z) + \frac{1}{\mu} z\mathcal{G}'(z) = \mathbb{P}(z, 0),$$

and

$$\mathbb{P}(z, 0) \prec \mathbb{P}(z, t) \quad (0 \leq t < \infty),$$

which implies that

$$(3.11) \quad \mathbb{P}(\zeta, t) \notin \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{U}, 0) = \varphi(\mathbb{U}) \quad (\zeta \in \partial \mathbb{U}; 0 \leq t < \infty).$$

If  $\mathcal{F}$  is not subordinate to  $\mathcal{G}$ , by Lemma 2.4, we know that there exist two points  $z_0 \in \mathbb{U}$  and  $\zeta_0 \in \partial\mathbb{U}$  such that

$$(3.12) \quad \mathcal{F}(z_0) = \mathcal{G}(\zeta_0) \quad \text{and} \quad z_0 \mathcal{F}'(z_0) = (1+t)\zeta_0 \mathcal{G}'(\zeta_0) \quad (0 \leq t < \infty).$$

Hence, by virtue of (1.7) and (3.12), we have

$$\mathbb{P}(\zeta_0, t) = \mathcal{G}(\zeta_0) + \frac{1+t}{\mu} \zeta_0 \mathcal{G}'(\zeta_0) = \mathcal{F}(z_0) + \frac{1}{\mu} z_0 \mathcal{F}'(z_0) = \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda, \mu+1} f(z_0)}{z_0} \in \varphi(\mathbb{U}).$$

This contradicts to (3.11). Thus, we deduce that  $\mathcal{F} \prec \mathcal{G}$ . Considering  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{G}$ , we see that the function  $\mathcal{G}$  is the best dominant. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.  $\blacksquare$

By similarly applying the method of proof of Theorem 3.1 as well as (1.5) and (1.6), we easily get the following results.

**Corollary 3.1.** *Let  $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\lambda > -1$ . Further let*

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( 1 + \frac{z\chi''(z)}{\chi'(z)} \right) > -\varpi \quad \left( z \in \mathbb{U}; \chi(z) := \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda, \mu} g(z)}{z} \right),$$

where

$$(3.13) \quad \varpi := \frac{1 + (\lambda + 1)^2 - |1 - (\lambda + 1)^2|}{4(\lambda + 1)}.$$

Then the subordination

$$\frac{\mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda, \mu} f(z)}{z} \prec \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda, \mu} g(z)}{z}$$

implies that

$$\frac{\mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda+1, \mu} f(z)}{z} \prec \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda+1, \mu} g(z)}{z}.$$

Furthermore, the function  $(\mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda+1, \mu} g(z))/z$  is the best dominant.

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $b \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-$  with  $b > -1$ . Further let*

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( 1 + \frac{z\chi''(z)}{\chi'(z)} \right) > -\vartheta \quad \left( z \in \mathbb{U}; \chi(z) := \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda, \mu} g(z)}{z} \right),$$

where

$$(3.14) \quad \vartheta := \frac{1 + (b + 1)^2 - |1 - (b + 1)^2|}{4(b + 1)}.$$

Then the subordination

$$\frac{\mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda, \mu} f(z)}{z} \prec \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda, \mu} g(z)}{z}$$

implies that

$$\frac{\mathcal{J}_{s+1,b}^{\lambda, \mu} f(z)}{z} \prec \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s+1,b}^{\lambda, \mu} g(z)}{z}.$$

Furthermore, the function  $(\mathcal{J}_{s+1,b}^{\lambda, \mu} g(z))/z$  is the best dominant.

If  $f$  is subordinate to  $F$ , then  $F$  is superordinate to  $f$ . We now derive the following superordination result.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $f, g \in \mathcal{A}_p$  and  $\mu > 0$ . Further let*

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( 1 + \frac{z\varphi''(z)}{\varphi'(z)} \right) > -\varrho \quad \left( z \in \mathbb{U}; \varphi(z) := \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda, \mu+1} g(z)}{z} \right),$$

where  $\varrho$  is given by (3.2). If the function  $(\mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda, \mu+1} f)/z$  is univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$  and  $(\mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda, \mu} f)/z \in Q$ , then the subordination

$$\frac{\mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda, \mu+1} g(z)}{z} \prec \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda, \mu+1} f(z)}{z}$$

implies that

$$\frac{\mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda, \mu} g(z)}{z} \prec \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda, \mu} f(z)}{z}.$$

Furthermore, the function  $(\mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda, \mu} g(z))/z$  is the best subordinated.

*Proof.* Suppose that the functions  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$  are defined by (3.3). By applying the similar method as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we get

$$\operatorname{Re}(\mathcal{Q}(z)) > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Next, to arrive at our desired result, we show that  $\mathcal{G} \prec \mathcal{F}$ . For this, we suppose that the function  $\mathbb{P}$  be defined by (3.10). Since  $\mu > 0$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  is convex, by applying a similar method as in Theorem 3.1, we deduce that  $\mathbb{P}$  is subordination chain. Therefore, by Lemma 2.5, we conclude that  $\mathcal{G} \prec \mathcal{F}$ . Moreover, since the differential equation

$$\varphi(z) = \mathcal{G}(z) + \frac{1}{\mu} z\mathcal{G}'(z) := \phi(\mathcal{G}(z), z\mathcal{G}'(z))$$

has a univalent solution  $\mathcal{G}$ , it is the best subordinated. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.2. ■

Applying a similar proof as in Theorem 3.2 and using (1.5) and (1.6), the following results are easily obtained.

**Corollary 3.3.** *Let  $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\lambda > -1$ . Further let*

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( 1 + \frac{z\chi''(z)}{\chi'(z)} \right) > -\varpi \quad \left( z \in \mathbb{U}; \chi(z) := \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda, \mu} g(z)}{z} \right),$$

where  $\varpi$  is given by (3.13). If the function  $(\mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda, \mu} f)/z$  is univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$  and  $(\mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda+1, \mu} f)/z \in Q$ , then the subordination

$$\frac{\mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda, \mu} g(z)}{z} \prec \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda, \mu} f(z)}{z}$$

implies that

$$\frac{\mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda+1, \mu} g(z)}{z} \prec \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda+1, \mu} f(z)}{z}.$$

Furthermore, the function  $(\mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda+1, \mu} g(z))/z$  is the best subordinated.



**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $b \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-$  with  $b > -1$ . Further let*

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( 1 + \frac{z\chi''(z)}{\chi'(z)} \right) > -\vartheta \quad \left( z \in \mathbb{U}; \chi(z) := \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda, \mu} g(z)}{z} \right),$$

where  $\vartheta$  is given by (3.14). If the function  $(\mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda, \mu} f)/z$  is univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$  and  $(\mathcal{J}_{s+1,b}^{\lambda, \mu} f)/z \in Q$ , then the subordination

$$\frac{\mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda, \mu} g(z)}{z} \prec \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda, \mu} f(z)}{z}$$

implies that

$$\frac{\mathcal{J}_{s+1,b}^{\lambda, \mu} g(z)}{z} \prec \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s+1,b}^{\lambda, \mu} f(z)}{z}.$$

Furthermore, the function  $(\mathcal{J}_{s+1,b}^{\lambda, \mu} g(z))/z$  is the best subordinant.

Combining the above-mentioned subordination and superordination results involving the operator  $\mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda, \mu}$ , the following “sandwich-type results” are derived.

**Corollary 3.5.** *Let  $f, g_k \in \mathcal{A}$  ( $k = 1, 2$ ) and  $\mu > 0$ . Further let*

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( 1 + \frac{z\varphi_k''(z)}{\varphi_k'(z)} \right) > -\varrho \quad \left( z \in \mathbb{U}; \varphi_k(z) := \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda, \mu+1} g_k(z)}{z} \quad (k = 1, 2) \right),$$

where  $\varrho$  is given by (3.2). If the function  $(\mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda, \mu+1} f)/z$  is univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$  and  $(\mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda, \mu} f)/z \in Q$ , then the subordination chain

$$\frac{\mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda, \mu+1} g_1(z)}{z} \prec \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda, \mu+1} f(z)}{z} \prec \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda, \mu+1} g_2(z)}{z}$$

implies that

$$\frac{\mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda, \mu} g_1(z)}{z} \prec \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda, \mu} f(z)}{z} \prec \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda, \mu} g_2(z)}{z}.$$

Furthermore, the functions  $(\mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda, \mu} g_1)/z$  and  $(\mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda, \mu} g_2)/z$  are, respectively, the best subordinant and the best dominant.

**Corollary 3.6.** *Let  $f, g_k \in \mathcal{A}$  ( $k = 1, 2$ ) and  $\lambda > -1$ . Further let*

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( 1 + \frac{z\chi_k''(z)}{\chi_k'(z)} \right) > -\varpi \quad \left( z \in \mathbb{U}; \chi_k(z) := \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda, \mu} g_k(z)}{z} \quad (k = 1, 2) \right),$$

where  $\varpi$  is given by (3.13). If the function  $(\mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda, \mu} f)/z$  is univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$  and  $(\mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda+1, \mu} f)/z \in Q$ , then the subordination chain

$$\frac{\mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda, \mu} g_1(z)}{z} \prec \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda, \mu} f(z)}{z} \prec \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda, \mu} g_2(z)}{z}$$

implies that

$$\frac{\mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda+1, \mu} g_1(z)}{z} \prec \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda+1, \mu} f(z)}{z} \prec \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda+1, \mu} g_2(z)}{z}.$$

Furthermore, the functions  $(\mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda+1, \mu} g_1)/z$  and  $(\mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda+1, \mu} g_2)/z$  are, respectively, the best subordinant and the best dominant.

**Corollary 3.7.** Let  $f, g_k \in \mathcal{A}$  ( $k = 1, 2$ ) and  $b \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-$  with  $b > -1$ . Further let

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( 1 + \frac{z\chi_k''(z)}{\chi_k'(z)} \right) > -\vartheta \quad \left( z \in \mathbb{U}; \chi_k(z) := \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda, \mu} g_k(z)}{z} \quad (k = 1, 2) \right),$$

where  $\vartheta$  is given by (3.14). If the function  $(\mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda, \mu} f)/z$  is univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$  and  $(\mathcal{J}_{s+1,b}^{\lambda, \mu} f)/z \in \mathcal{Q}$ , then the subordination chain

$$\frac{\mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda, \mu} g_1(z)}{z} \prec \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda, \mu} f(z)}{z} \prec \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s,b}^{\lambda, \mu} g_2(z)}{z}$$

implies that

$$\frac{\mathcal{J}_{s+1,b}^{\lambda, \mu} g_1(z)}{z} \prec \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s+1,b}^{\lambda, \mu} f(z)}{z} \prec \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s+1,b}^{\lambda, \mu} g_2(z)}{z}.$$

Furthermore, the functions  $(\mathcal{J}_{s+1,b}^{\lambda, \mu} g_1)/z$  and  $(\mathcal{J}_{s+1,b}^{\lambda, \mu} g_2)/z$  are, respectively, the best subordinant and the best dominant.

**Acknowledgement.** The authors would like to thank Prof. R. M. Ali and the referees for their careful reading and making some valuable comments which have essentially improved the presentation of this paper.

## References

- [1] R. M. Ali, V. Ravichandran and N. Seenivasagan, Subordination and superordination on Schwarzian derivatives, *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2008**, Art. ID 712328, 18 pp.
- [2] R. M. Ali, V. Ravichandran and N. Seenivasagan, Subordination and superordination of the Liu-Srivastava linear operator on meromorphic functions, *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. (2)* **31** (2008), no. 2, 193–207.
- [3] R. M. Ali, V. Ravichandran and N. Seenivasagan, Differential subordination and superordination of analytic functions defined by the multiplier transformation, *Math. Inequal. Appl.* **12** (2009), no. 1, 123–139.
- [4] K. Al-Shaqsi and M. Darus, On certain subclasses of analytic functions defined by a multiplier transformation with two parameters, *Appl. Math. Sci.* **3** (2009), no. 36, 1799–1810.
- [5] T. Bulboacă, Sandwich-type theorems for a class of integral operators, *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin* **13** (2006), no. 3, 537–550.
- [6] N. E. Cho and S. Owa, Double subordination-preserving properties for certain integral operators, *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2007**, Art. ID 83073, 10 pp.
- [7] N. E. Cho and H. M. Srivastava, A class of nonlinear integral operators preserving subordination and superordination, *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.* **18** (2007), no. 1-2, 95–107.
- [8] J. H. Choi, M. Saigo and H. M. Srivastava, Some inclusion properties of a certain family of integral operators, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **276** (2002), no. 1, 432–445.
- [9] J. Choi and H. M. Srivastava, Certain families of series associated with the Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function, *Appl. Math. Comput.* **170** (2005), no. 1, 399–409.
- [10] M. Darus and K. Al-Shaqsi, On subordinations for certain analytic functions associated with generalized integral operator, *Lobachevskii J. Math.* **29** (2008), no. 2, 90–97.
- [11] C. Ferreira and J. L. López, Asymptotic expansions of the Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **298** (2004), no. 1, 210–224.

- [12] M. Garg, K. Jain and H. M. Srivastava, Some relationships between the generalized Apostol-Bernoulli polynomials and Hurwitz-Lerch zeta functions, *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.* **17** (2006), no. 11, 803–815.
- [13] S.-D. Lin and H. M. Srivastava, Some families of the Hurwitz-Lerch zeta functions and associated fractional derivative and other integral representations, *Appl. Math. Comput.* **154** (2004), no. 3, 725–733.
- [14] S.-D. Lin, H. M. Srivastava and P.-Y. Wang, Some expansion formulas for a class of generalized Hurwitz-Lerch zeta functions, *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.* **17** (2006), no. 11, 817–827.
- [15] Y. Ling and F. Liu, The Choi-Saigo-Srivastava integral operator and a class of analytic functions, *Appl. Math. Comput.* **165** (2005), no. 3, 613–621.
- [16] J.-L. Liu, Subordinations for certain multivalent analytic functions associated with the generalized Srivastava-Attiya operator, *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.* **19** (2008), no. 11-12, 893–901.
- [17] Q.-M. Luo and H. M. Srivastava, Some generalizations of the Apostol-Bernoulli and Apostol-Euler polynomials, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **308** (2005), no. 1, 290–302.
- [18] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, Differential subordinations and univalent functions, *Michigan Math. J.* **28** (1981), no. 2, 157–172.
- [19] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, Univalent solutions of Briot-Bouquet differential equations, *J. Differential Equations* **56** (1985), no. 3, 297–309.
- [20] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, *Differential Subordinations*, Dekker, New York, 2000.
- [21] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, Subordinants of differential superordinations, *Complex Var. Theory Appl.* **48** (2003), no. 10, 815–826.
- [22] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, Briot-Bouquet differential superordinations and sandwich theorems, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **329** (2007), no. 1, 327–335.
- [23] C. Pommerenke, *Univalent Functions*, Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, Göttingen, 1975.
- [24] J. K. Prajapat and S. P. Goyal, Applications of Srivastava-Attiya operator to the classes of strongly starlike and strongly convex functions, *J. Math. Inequal.* **3** (2009), no. 1, 129–137.
- [25] D. Răducanu and H. M. Srivastava, A new class of analytic functions defined by means of a convolution operator involving the Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function, *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.* **18** (2007), no. 11-12, 933–943.
- [26] T. N. Shanmugam, V. Ravichandran and S. Sivasubramanian, Differential sandwich theorems for some subclasses of analytic functions, *Aust. J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **3** (2006), no. 1, Art. 8, 11 pp. (electronic).
- [27] T. N. Shanmugam, S. Sivasubramanian and H. M. Srivastava, Differential sandwich theorems for certain subclasses of analytic functions involving multiplier transformations, *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.* **17** (2006), no. 12, 889–899.
- [28] J. Sokół, Classes of analytic functions associated with the Choi-Saigo-Srivastava operator, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **318** (2006), no. 2, 517–525.
- [29] H. M. Srivastava and A. A. Attiya, An integral operator associated with the Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function and differential subordination, *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.* **18** (2007), no. 3-4, 207–216.
- [30] H. M. Srivastava and J. Choi, *Series Associated with the Zeta and Related Functions*, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2001.
- [31] Z.-G. Wang, R. Aghalary, M. Darus and R. W. Ibrahim, Some properties of certain multivalent analytic functions involving the Cho-Kwon-Srivastava operator, *Math. Comput. Modelling* **49** (2009), no. 9–10, 1969–1984.