

On a Cauchy-Jensen Functional Inequality

¹ABBAS NAJATI, ²JUNG-RYE LEE AND ³CHOONKIL PARK

¹Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, University of
Mohaghegh Ardabili, Ardabil, Iran

²Department of Mathematics, Daejin University, Kyenggi
487-711, Republic of Korea

³Department of Mathematics, Hanyang University, Seoul,
133–791, Republic of Korea

¹a.nejati@yahoo.com, ²jrlee@daejin.ac.kr, ³baak@hanyang.ac.kr

Abstract. In this paper, we investigate the following functional inequality

$$\left\| f(x) + f(y) + 2f\left(\frac{x+y}{2} + z\right) \right\| \leq 2\|f(x+y+z)\|$$

in Banach modules over a C^* -algebra, and prove the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of additive mappings in Banach modules over a C^* -algebra to approximate homomorphisms.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary: 39B72; Secondary: 46L05

Key words and phrases: Generalized Hyers-Ulam stability, functional inequality, additive mapping in Banach modules over a C^* -algebra.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

The stability problem of functional equations originated from a question of Ulam [42] concerning the stability of group homomorphisms: *Let $(G_1, *)$ be a group and let (G_2, \diamond, d) be a metric group with the metric $d(\cdot, \cdot)$. Given $\epsilon > 0$, does there exist a $\delta(\epsilon) > 0$ such that if a mapping $h : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ satisfies the inequality*

$$d(h(x * y), h(x) \diamond h(y)) < \delta$$

for all $x, y \in G_1$, then there is a homomorphism $H : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ with

$$d(h(x), H(x)) < \epsilon$$

for all $x \in G_1$?

In other words, we are looking for situations when the homomorphisms are stable, i.e., if a mapping is almost a homomorphism, then there exists a true homomorphism

Communicated by Sriwulan Adji.

Received: July 9, 2008; Revised: March 24, 2009.

near it. Hyers [17] gave a first affirmative answer to the question of Ulam for Banach spaces. Let X and Y be Banach spaces. Assume that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies

$$\|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \varepsilon$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and some $\varepsilon \geq 0$. Then there exists a unique additive mapping $T : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - T(x)\| \leq \varepsilon$$

for all $x \in X$. Aoki [2] and Rassias [38] provided a generalization of Hyers' theorem for additive mappings and linear mappings, respectively, which allows the *Cauchy difference to be unbounded* (see also [5] and [12]).

Theorem 1.1. [38] *Let $f : E \rightarrow E'$ be a mapping from a normed vector space E into a Banach space E' subject to the inequality*

$$(1.1) \quad \|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \epsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$$

for all $x, y \in E$, where ϵ and p are constants with $\epsilon > 0$ and $p < 1$. Then the limit

$$L(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n}$$

exists for all $x \in E$ and $L : E \rightarrow E'$ is the unique additive mapping which satisfies

$$(1.2) \quad \|f(x) - L(x)\| \leq \frac{2\epsilon}{2 - 2^p} \|x\|^p$$

for all $x \in E$. If $p < 0$ then inequality (1.1) holds for $x, y \neq 0$ and (1.2) for $x \neq 0$. Also, if for each $x \in E$ the mapping $t \mapsto f(tx)$ is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{R}$, then L is linear.

For the case $p = 1$, a counter example has been given by Gajda [11] (see also [40]). The generalized Hyers-Ulam stability mentioned in Theorem 1.1 is known as Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability (cf. the books of Czerwik [10], Hyers, Isac and Rassias [18]).

Theorem 1.2. [35, 36, 37] *Let X be a real normed linear space and Y a real Banach space. Assume that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a mapping for which there exist constants $\theta \geq 0$ and $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $r = p + q \neq 1$ and f satisfies the functional inequality (Cauchy-Găvruta-Rassias inequality)*

$$\|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \theta \|x\|^p \|y\|^q$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then there exists a unique additive mapping $L : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying

$$\|f(x) - L(x)\| \leq \frac{\theta}{|2^r - 2|} \|x\|^r$$

for all $x \in X$. If, in addition, $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a mapping such that the transformation $t \mapsto f(tx)$ is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ for each fixed $x \in X$, then L is linear.

Găvruta [14] showed that Theorem 1.2 is not true when $r = 1$. The stability in Theorem 1.2 involving a product of different powers of norms is called Ulam-Găvruta-Rassias stability (see [4, 29, 30]). During the last two decades, a number of papers and research monographs have been published on various generalizations and applications of Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability and Ulam-Găvruta-Rassias stability to

a number of functional equations and mappings (see [3, 6–9, 13–16, 20–22, 24–34]. We also refer the readers to the books [1, 10, 18, 41, 39].

Park, Cho and Han [33] investigated the functional inequality

$$(1.3) \quad \|f(x) + f(y) + f(z)\| \leq \|f(x + y + z)\|$$

in Banach spaces, and proved the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of the functional inequality (1.3) in Banach spaces.

Throughout this paper, let A be a unital C^* -algebra with unit e , unitary group $U(A)$ and norm $|\cdot|$. Assume that X is a normed A -module with norm $\|\cdot\|_X$ and that Y is a Banach A -module with norm $\|\cdot\|_Y$. For $a \in A$, let $a^\dagger = a, a^*$ or $(a + a^*)/2$. An additive mapping $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is called A -additive if $T(ax) = a^\dagger T(x)$ for all $a \in A$ and all $x \in X$.

In this paper, we investigate an A -additive mapping associated with the functional inequality

$$(1.4) \quad \left\| f(x) + f(y) + 2f\left(\frac{x+y}{2} + z\right) \right\| \leq 2\|f(x + y + z)\|$$

and prove the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of A -additive mappings in Banach A -modules associated with the functional inequality (1.4).

For convenience, we use the following abbreviation for a given $a \in A$ and a mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$

$$D_a f(x, y, z) := f(ax) + f(ay) + 2a^\dagger f\left(\frac{x+y}{2} + z\right)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$.

2. Functional inequalities in Banach modules over a C^* -algebra

Lemma 2.1. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping such that*

$$(2.1) \quad \|D_a f(x, y, z)\|_Y \leq 2\|f(ax + ay + az)\|_Y$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and all $a \in U(A)$. Then $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is A -additive.

Proof. Letting $x = y = z = 0$ and $a = e \in U(A)$ in (2.1), we get that $f(0) = 0$. Letting $z = 0, y = -x$ and $a = e \in U(A)$ in (2.1), we get

$$\|f(x) + f(-x)\|_Y \leq 2\|f(0)\|_Y = 0$$

for all $x \in X$. Hence $f(-x) = -f(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

Letting $z = -x - y$ and $a = e \in U(A)$ in (2.1) and using the oddness of f , we get

$$\left\| 2f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - f(x) - f(y) \right\|_Y \leq 2\|f(0)\|_Y = 0$$

for all $x, y \in X$. So

$$(2.2) \quad 2f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) = f(x) + f(y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Letting $y = 0$ in (2.2), we get $2f(x/2) = f(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Thus (2.2) implies that

$$f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Hence $f(rx) = rf(x)$ for all $x \in X$ and all $r \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Letting $z = -x$ and $y = 0$ in (2.1) and using the oddness of f , we get

$$\|f(ax) - a^\dagger f(x)\|_Y \leq 2\|f(0)\|_Y = 0$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $a \in U(A)$. Thus

$$(2.3) \quad f(ax) = a^\dagger f(x)$$

for all $a \in U(A)$ and all $x \in X$. It is clear that (2.3) holds for $a = 0$.

Now let $a \in A$ ($a \neq 0$) and m be an integer greater than $4|a|$. Then $|a/m| < 1/4 < 1 - 2/3 = 1/3$. By Theorem 1 of [23], there exist three elements $u_1, u_2, u_3 \in U(A)$ such that $(3/m)a = u_1 + u_2 + u_3$. So

$$\frac{3}{m}a^\dagger = \left(\frac{3}{m}a\right)^\dagger = u_1^\dagger + u_2^\dagger + u_3^\dagger.$$

Hence by (2.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(ax) &= \frac{m}{3}f\left(\frac{3}{m}ax\right) = \frac{m}{3}f(u_1x + u_2x + u_3x) = \frac{m}{3}[f(u_1x) + f(u_2x) + f(u_3x)] \\ &= \frac{m}{3}(u_1^\dagger + u_2^\dagger + u_3^\dagger)f(x) = \frac{m}{3} \cdot \frac{3}{m}a^\dagger f(x) = a^\dagger f(x) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$. So $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is A -additive, as desired. ■

Now we prove the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of A -additive mappings in Banach A -modules.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $r_i > 1$ and θ_i be non-negative real numbers for all $1 \leq i \leq 3$, and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping such that*

$$(2.4) \quad \|D_a f(x, y, z)\|_Y \leq 2\|f(ax + ay + az)\|_Y + \theta_1\|x\|_X^{r_1} + \theta_2\|y\|_X^{r_2} + \theta_3\|z\|_X^{r_3}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and all $a \in U(A)$. Then there exists a unique A -additive mapping $L : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$(2.5) \quad \|f(x) - L(x)\|_Y \leq \frac{2^{r_1} + 2}{2^{r_1} - 2}\theta_1\|x\|_X^{r_1} + \frac{2\theta_2}{2^{r_2} - 2}\|x\|_X^{r_2} + \frac{2^{r_3}\theta_3}{2^{r_3} - 2}\|x\|_X^{r_3}$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. Letting $x = y = z = 0$ and $a = e \in U(A)$ in (2.4), we get that $f(0) = 0$. Letting $a = e \in U(A)$, $y = -x$ and $z = 0$ in (2.4), we get

$$(2.6) \quad \|f(x) + f(-x)\|_Y \leq \theta_1\|x\|_X^{r_1} + \theta_2\|x\|_X^{r_2}$$

for all $x \in X$. Letting $a = e \in U(A)$, $y = 0$ and $z = -x$ in (2.4), we get

$$(2.7) \quad \left\|f(x) + 2f\left(\frac{-x}{2}\right)\right\|_Y \leq \theta_1\|x\|_X^{r_1} + \theta_3\|x\|_X^{r_3}$$

for all $x \in X$. It follows from (2.6) and (2.7) that

$$\left\|2f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - f(x)\right\|_Y \leq \frac{2 + 2^{r_1}}{2^{r_1}}\theta_1\|x\|_X^{r_1} + \frac{2\theta_2}{2^{r_2}}\|x\|_X^{r_2} + \theta_3\|x\|_X^{r_3}$$

for all $x \in X$. Hence

$$\left\|2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) - 2^m f\left(\frac{x}{2^m}\right)\right\|_Y \leq \sum_{j=m}^{n-1} \left\|2^{j+1} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{j+1}}\right) - 2^j f\left(\frac{x}{2^j}\right)\right\|_Y$$

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{2 + 2^{r_1}}{2^{r_1}} \theta_1 \|x\|_X^{r_1} \sum_{j=m}^{n-1} \left(\frac{2}{2^{r_1}}\right)^j + \theta_2 \|x\|_X^{r_2} \sum_{j=m}^{n-1} \left(\frac{2}{2^{r_2}}\right)^{j+1} \\ &\quad + \theta_3 \|x\|_X^{r_3} \sum_{j=m}^{n-1} \left(\frac{2}{2^{r_3}}\right)^j \end{aligned}$$

for all non-negative integers m and n with $n > m$ and all $x \in X$. It follows from (2.8) that the sequence $\{2^n f(x/2^n)\}$ is Cauchy for all $x \in X$. Since Y is complete, the sequence $\{2^n f(x/2^n)\}$ converges. So one can define the mapping $L : X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$L(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)$$

for all $x \in X$. Moreover, letting $m = 0$ and passing the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.8), we get (2.5). It follows from (2.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|D_a L(x, y, z)\|_Y &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n \left\| D_a f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{2^n}, \frac{z}{2^n}\right) \right\|_Y \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{n+1} \left\| f\left(\frac{ax}{2^n} + \frac{ay}{2^n} + \frac{az}{2^n}\right) \right\|_Y \\ &\quad + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n \left[\frac{\theta_1}{2^{nr_1}} \|x\|_X^{r_1} + \frac{\theta_2}{2^{nr_2}} \|y\|_X^{r_2} + \frac{\theta_3}{2^{nr_3}} \|z\|_X^{r_3} \right] \\ &= 2 \|L(ax + ay + az)\|_Y \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and all $a \in U(A)$. So by Lemma 2.1, the mapping $L : X \rightarrow Y$ is A -additive.

Now, let $T : X \rightarrow Y$ be another A -additive mapping satisfying (2.5). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|L(x) - T(x)\|_Y &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n \left\| f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) - T\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right\|_Y \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n \left[\frac{(2^{r_1} + 2)\theta_1}{2^{nr_1}(2^{r_1} - 2)} \|x\|_X^{r_1} + \frac{2\theta_2}{2^{nr_2}(2^{r_2} - 2)} \|x\|_X^{r_2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{2^{r_3}\theta_3}{2^{nr_3}(2^{r_3} - 2)} \|x\|_X^{r_3} \right] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$. So we can conclude that $L(x) = T(x)$ for all $x \in X$. This proves the uniqueness of L . Thus the mapping $L : X \rightarrow Y$ is a unique A -additive mapping satisfying (2.5). ■

Theorem 2.2. *Let $0 < r_i < 1$ and θ_i, δ be non-negative real numbers for all $1 \leq i \leq 3$, and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying $f(0) = 0$ and the inequality*

$$(2.9) \quad \|D_a f(x, y, z)\|_Y \leq 2 \|f(ax + ay + az)\|_Y + \delta + \theta_1 \|x\|_X^{r_1} + \theta_2 \|y\|_X^{r_2} + \theta_3 \|z\|_X^{r_3}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and all $a \in U(A)$. Then there exists a unique A -additive mapping $L : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - L(x)\|_Y \leq 3\delta + \frac{2 + 2^{r_1}}{2 - 2^{r_1}} \theta_1 \|x\|_X^{r_1} + \frac{2\theta_2}{2 - 2^{r_2}} \|x\|_X^{r_2} + \frac{2^{r_3}\theta_3}{2 - 2^{r_3}} \|x\|_X^{r_3}$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. Similarly to the proof of Theorem 2.1, it follows from (2.9) that

$$\left\| 2f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - f(x) \right\|_Y \leq 3\delta + \frac{2 + 2^{r_1}}{2^{r_1}} \theta_1 \|x\|_X^{r_1} + \frac{2\theta_2}{2^{r_2}} \|x\|_X^{r_2} + \theta_3 \|x\|_X^{r_3}$$

for all $x \in X$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n x) - \frac{1}{2^m} f(2^m x) \right\|_Y &\leq \sum_{j=m}^{n-1} \left\| \frac{1}{2^{j+1}} f(2^{j+1} x) - \frac{1}{2^j} f(2^j x) \right\|_Y \\ &\leq 3\delta \sum_{j=m+1}^n \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^j + \frac{2 + 2^{r_1}}{2^{r_1}} \theta_1 \|x\|_X^{r_1} \sum_{j=m+1}^n \left(\frac{2^{r_1}}{2}\right)^j \\ &\quad + \frac{2\theta_2}{2^{r_2}} \|x\|_X^{r_2} \sum_{j=m+1}^n \left(\frac{2^{r_2}}{2}\right)^j + \theta_3 \|x\|_X^{r_3} \sum_{j=m+1}^n \left(\frac{2^{r_3}}{2}\right)^j \end{aligned}$$

for all non-negative integers m and n with $n > m$ and all $x \in X$. The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1 and we omit the details. ■

Theorem 2.3. *Let $\{r_i\}_{i=1}^3$ and θ be non-negative real numbers such that $\lambda := r_1 + r_2 + r_3 \in (0, 1) \cup (1, +\infty)$, $r_1 + r_2 > 0$, $r_3 > 0$, and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping such that*

$$(2.10) \quad \|D_a f(x, y, z)\|_Y \leq 2\|f(ax + ay + az)\|_Y + \theta \cdot \|x\|_X^{r_1} \cdot \|y\|_X^{r_2} \cdot \|z\|_X^{r_3}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and all $a \in U(A)$ (by letting $\|\cdot\|_X^0 = 1$). Then $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is A -additive.

Proof. Since $r_1 + r_2 > 0$, $r_j > 0$ for some $1 \leq j \leq 2$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $r_2 > 0$. Letting $x = y = z = 0$ and $a = e \in U(A)$ in (2.10), we get that $f(0) = 0$. Letting $a = e \in U(A)$, $y = -x$ and $z = 0$ in (2.10), we get

$$\|f(x) + f(-x)\|_Y \leq 2\|f(0)\|_Y = 0$$

for all $x \in X$. So the mapping f is odd. Letting $a = e \in U(A)$, $y = 0$ and $z = -x$ in (2.10) and using the oddness of f , we get

$$\left\| 2f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - f(x) \right\|_Y \leq 2\|f(0)\|_Y = 0$$

for all $x \in X$. Hence $2f(x/2) = f(x)$ and so

$$(2.11) \quad 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) = f(x)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and all $x \in X$. Let $\lambda > 1$ (we have a similar proof when $0 < \lambda < 1$). It follows from (2.10) and (2.11) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|D_a f(x, y, z)\|_Y &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n \left\| D_a f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{2^n}, \frac{z}{2^n}\right) \right\|_Y \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{n+1} \left\| f\left(\frac{ax}{2^n} + \frac{ay}{2^n} + \frac{az}{2^n}\right) \right\|_Y + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n \frac{\theta}{2^{n\lambda}} \|x\|_X^{r_1} \|y\|_X^{r_2} \|z\|_X^{r_3} \\ &= 2\|f(ax + ay + az)\|_Y \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. By Lemma 2.1, the mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is A -additive. ■

Theorem 2.4. Let $\{r_i\}_{i=1}^3$ and θ, δ be non-negative real numbers such that $\lambda := r_1 + r_2 + r_3 \in (0, 1), r_1 + r_2 > 0, r_3 > 0$, and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying $f(0) = 0$ and the inequality

$$(2.12) \quad \|D_a f(x, y, z)\|_Y \leq 2\|f(ax + ay + az)\|_Y + \delta + \theta \cdot \|x\|_X^{r_1} \cdot \|y\|_X^{r_2} \cdot \|z\|_X^{r_3}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and all $a \in U(A)$ (by letting $\|\cdot\|_X^0 = 1$). Then there exists a unique A -additive mapping $L : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - L(x)\|_Y \leq 2\delta$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $r_2 > 0$. Similarly to the proof of Theorem 2.1, letting $a = e \in U(A), y = -x$ and $z = 0$ in (2.12), we get

$$(2.13) \quad \|f(x) + f(-x)\|_Y \leq \delta$$

for all $x \in X$. Letting $a = e \in U(A), y = 0$ and $z = -x$ in (2.12), we get

$$(2.14) \quad \left\| f(x) + 2f\left(\frac{-x}{2}\right) \right\|_Y \leq \delta$$

for all $x \in X$. It follows from (2.13) and (2.14) that

$$\left\| 2f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - f(x) \right\|_Y \leq 2\delta$$

for all $x \in X$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n x) - \frac{1}{2^m} f(2^m x) \right\|_Y &\leq \sum_{j=m}^{n-1} \left\| \frac{1}{2^{j+1}} f(2^{j+1} x) - \frac{1}{2^j} f(2^j x) \right\|_Y \\ &\leq 2\delta \sum_{j=m+1}^n \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^j \end{aligned}$$

for all non-negative integers m and n with $n > m$ and all $x \in X$. The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1 and we omit the details. ■

Theorem 2.5. Let $\{r_i\}_{i=1}^2$ and θ, δ be non-negative real numbers and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying $f(0) = 0$ and the inequality

$$(2.15) \quad \|D_a f(x, y, z)\|_Y \leq \begin{cases} 2\|f(ax + ay + az)\|_Y + \delta \\ \quad + \theta \cdot \|x\|_X^{r_1} \cdot \|y\|_X^{r_2} & \text{if } 0 < \lambda < 1, \\ 2\|f(ax + ay + az)\|_Y \\ \quad + \theta \cdot \|x\|_X^{r_1} \cdot \|y\|_X^{r_2} & \text{if } \lambda > 1 \end{cases}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and all $a \in U(A)$ (by letting $\|\cdot\|_X^0 = 1$), where $\lambda := r_1 + r_2$. Then there exists a unique A -additive mapping $L : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$(2.16) \quad \|f(x) - L(x)\|_Y \leq \begin{cases} 3\delta + \frac{2\theta}{2-\lambda} \|x\|_X^\lambda & \text{if } 0 < \lambda < 1, \\ \frac{2\theta}{2^\lambda-2} \|x\|_X^\lambda & \text{if } \lambda > 1 \end{cases}$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. Since $r_1 + r_2 > 0$, without loss of generality, we may assume that $r_2 > 0$. Letting $a = e \in U(A)$, $y = -x$ and $z = 0$ in (2.15), we get

$$(2.17) \quad \|f(x) + f(-x)\|_Y \leq \begin{cases} \delta + \theta \|x\|_X^\lambda & \text{if } 0 < \lambda < 1, \\ \theta \|x\|_X^\lambda & \text{if } \lambda > 1 \end{cases}$$

for all $x \in X$. Letting $a = e \in U(A)$, $y = 0$ and $z = -x$ in (2.15), we get

$$(2.18) \quad \left\| f(x) + 2f\left(\frac{-x}{2}\right) \right\|_Y \leq \begin{cases} \delta & \text{if } 0 < \lambda < 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } \lambda > 1 \end{cases}$$

for all $x \in X$. It follows from (2.17) and (2.18) that

$$\left\| 2f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - f(x) \right\|_Y \leq \begin{cases} 3\delta + \frac{2\theta}{2^\lambda} \|x\|_X^\lambda & \text{if } 0 < \lambda < 1, \\ \frac{2\theta}{2^\lambda} \|x\|_X^\lambda & \text{if } \lambda > 1 \end{cases}$$

for all $x \in X$. Hence we have the following cases:

Case I. Let $0 < \lambda < 1$. In this case, we get

$$(2.19) \quad \begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n x) - \frac{1}{2^m} f(2^m x) \right\|_Y &\leq \sum_{j=m}^{n-1} \left\| \frac{1}{2^{j+1}} f(2^{j+1} x) - \frac{1}{2^j} f(2^j x) \right\|_Y \\ &\leq 3\delta \sum_{j=m+1}^n \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^j + \theta \|x\|_X^\lambda \sum_{j=m}^{n-1} \left(\frac{2^\lambda}{2}\right)^j \end{aligned}$$

for all non-negative integers m and n with $n > m$ and all $x \in X$.

Case II. Let $\lambda > 1$. In this case, we get

$$(2.20) \quad \begin{aligned} \left\| 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) - 2^m f\left(\frac{x}{2^m}\right) \right\|_Y &\leq \sum_{j=m}^{n-1} \left\| 2^{j+1} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{j+1}}\right) - 2^j f\left(\frac{x}{2^j}\right) \right\|_Y \\ &\leq \theta \|x\|_X^\lambda \sum_{j=m}^{n-1} \left(\frac{2}{2^\lambda}\right)^{j+1} \end{aligned}$$

for all non-negative integers m and n with $n > m$ and all $x \in X$. It follows from (2.19) (respectively, (2.20)) that the sequence $\{1/2^n f(2^n x)\}$ (respectively, $\{2^n f(x/2^n)\}$) is Cauchy for all $x \in X$. Since Y is complete, the sequence $\{1/2^n f(2^n x)\}$ (respectively, $\{2^n f(x/2^n)\}$) converges. So one can define the mapping $L : X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$L(x) := \begin{cases} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n x) & \text{if } 0 < \lambda < 1, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) & \text{if } \lambda > 1 \end{cases}$$

for all $x \in X$. Moreover, letting $m = 0$ and passing the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.19) and (2.20), we get (2.16). The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1. ■

Theorem 2.6. *Let r, δ and θ be non-negative real numbers and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying $f(0) = 0$ and the inequality*

$$(2.21) \quad \|D_a f(x, y, z)\|_Y \leq \begin{cases} 2\|f(ax + ay + az)\|_Y + \delta + \theta \cdot \|z\|_X^r & \text{if } 0 < r < 1, \\ 2\|f(ax + ay + az)\|_Y + \theta \cdot \|z\|_X^r & \text{if } r > 1 \end{cases}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and all $a \in U(A)$. Then there exists a unique A -additive mapping $L : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - L(x)\|_Y \leq \begin{cases} 2\delta + \frac{2^r \theta}{2-2^r} \|x\|_X^r & \text{if } 0 < r < 1, \\ \frac{2^r \theta}{2^r - 2} \|x\|_X^r & \text{if } r > 1 \end{cases}$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. Letting $a = e \in U(A)$, $y = -x$ and $z = 0$ in (2.21), we get

$$(2.22) \quad \|f(x) + f(-x)\|_Y \leq \begin{cases} \delta & \text{if } 0 < r < 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } r > 1 \end{cases}$$

for all $x \in X$. Letting $a = e \in U(A)$, $y = 0$ and $z = -x$ in (2.21), we get

$$(2.23) \quad \left\| f(x) + 2f\left(\frac{-x}{2}\right) \right\|_Y \leq \begin{cases} \delta + \theta \|x\|_X^r & \text{if } 0 < r < 1, \\ \theta \|x\|_X^r & \text{if } r > 1 \end{cases}$$

for all $x \in X$. It follows from (2.22) and (2.23) that

$$\left\| 2f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - f(x) \right\|_Y \leq \begin{cases} 2\delta + \theta \|x\|_X^r & \text{if } 0 < r < 1, \\ \theta \|x\|_X^r & \text{if } r > 1 \end{cases}$$

for all $x \in X$. Hence we have the following cases:

Case I. Let $0 < r < 1$. In this case, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n x) - \frac{1}{2^m} f(2^m x) \right\|_Y &\leq \sum_{j=m}^{n-1} \left\| \frac{1}{2^{j+1}} f(2^{j+1} x) - \frac{1}{2^j} f(2^j x) \right\|_Y \\ &\leq 2\delta \sum_{j=m+1}^n \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^j + \theta \|x\|_X^r \sum_{j=m+1}^n \left(\frac{2^r}{2}\right)^j \end{aligned}$$

for all non-negative integers m and n with $n > m$ and all $x \in X$.

Case II. Let $r > 1$. In this case, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) - 2^m f\left(\frac{x}{2^m}\right) \right\|_Y &\leq \sum_{j=m}^{n-1} \left\| 2^{j+1} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{j+1}}\right) - 2^j f\left(\frac{x}{2^j}\right) \right\|_Y \\ &\leq \theta \|x\|_X^r \sum_{j=m}^{n-1} \left(\frac{2}{2^r}\right)^j \end{aligned}$$

for all non-negative integers m and n with $n > m$ and all $x \in X$. The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.5 and we omit the details. ■

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to thank the referee for a number of valuable suggestions regarding a previous version of this paper. The second and corresponding author was supported by National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF-2009-0071229).

References

- [1] J. Aczél and J. Dhombres, *Functional Equations in Several Variables*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1989.
- [2] T. Aoki, On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach spaces, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **2** (1950), 64–66.
- [3] C. Baak, Cauchy-Rassias stability of Cauchy-Jensen additive mappings in Banach spaces, *Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.)* **22** (2006), no. 6, 1789–1796.
- [4] B. Bouikhalene and E. Elqorachi, Ulam-Găvruta-Rassias stability of the Pexider functional equation, *Int. J. Appl. Math. Stat.* **7** (2007), no. Fe07, 27–39.
- [5] D. G. Bourgin, Classes of transformations and bordering transformations, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **57** (1951), 223–237.
- [6] L. Cădariu and V. Radu, Fixed point methods for the generalized stability of functional equations in a single variable, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2008**, Art. ID 749392, 15 pp.
- [7] L. Cădariu and V. Radu, Remarks on the stability of monomial functional equations, *Fixed Point Theory* **8** (2007), no. 2, 201–218.
- [8] L. Cădariu and V. Radu, On the stability of the Cauchy functional equation: A fixed point approach, in *Iteration Theory (ECIT '02)*, (2002), 43–52, Karl-Franzens-Univ. Graz, Graz.
- [9] L. Cădariu and V. Radu, Fixed points and the stability of Jensen's functional equation, *JIPAM. J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.* **4** (2003), no. 1, Article 4, 7 pp.
- [10] S. Czerwik, *Functional Equations and Inequalities in Several Variables*, World Sci. Publishing, River Edge, NJ, 2002.
- [11] Z. Gajda, On stability of additive mappings, *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **14** (1991), no. 3, 431–434.
- [12] P. Găvruta, A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **184** (1994), no. 3, 431–436.
- [13] P. Găvruta, On the stability of some functional equations, in *Stability of Mappings of Hyers-Ulam Type*, (1994), 93–98, Hadronic Press, Palm Harbor, FL.
- [14] P. Găvruta, *An answer to a question of John M. Rassias concerning the stability of Cauchy equation*, in *Advances in Equations and Inequalities*, Hadronic Math. Ser. Hadronic Press, Palm Harbor, FL, (1999), 67–71.
- [15] P. Găvruta, On a problem of G. Isac and Th. M. Rassias concerning the stability of mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **261** (2001), no. 2, 543–553.
- [16] P. Găvruta, On the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of the quadratic mappings, *Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl.* **9** (2004), no. 3, 415–428.
- [17] D. H. Hyers, On the stability of the linear functional equation, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **27** (1941), 222–224.
- [18] D. H. Hyers, G. Isac and T. M. Rassias, *Stability of Functional Equations in Several Variables*, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1998.
- [19] K.-W. Jun and H.-M. Kim, Ulam stability problem for a mixed type of cubic and additive functional equation, *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin* **13** (2006), no. 2, 271–285.
- [20] K.-W. Jun, H.-M. Kim and J. M. Rassias, Extended Hyers-Ulam stability for Cauchy-Jensen mappings, *J. Difference Equ. Appl.* **13** (2007), no. 12, 1139–1153.
- [21] K.-W. Jun and Y.-H. Lee, A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of the Pexiderized quadratic equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **297** (2004), no. 1, 70–86.

- [22] S.-M. Jung, *Hyers-Ulam-Rassias Stability of Functional Equations in Mathematical Analysis*, Hadronic Press, Palm Harbor, FL, 2001.
- [23] R. V. Kadison and G. K. Pedersen, Means and convex combinations of unitary operators, *Math. Scand.* **57** (1985), no. 2, 249–266.
- [24] M. S. Moslehian, Almost derivations on C^* -ternary rings, *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin* **14** (2007), no. 1, 135–142.
- [25] A. Najati, Hyers-Ulam stability of an n -Apollonius type quadratic mapping, *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin* **14** (2007), no. 4, 755–774.
- [26] A. Najati, On the stability of a quartic functional equation, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **340** (2008), no. 1, 569–574.
- [27] A. Najati and M. B. Moghimi, Stability of a functional equation deriving from quadratic and additive functions in quasi-Banach spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **337** (2008), no. 1, 399–415.
- [28] A. Najati and C. Park, Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of homomorphisms in quasi-Banach algebras associated to the Pexiderized Cauchy functional equation, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **335** (2007), no. 2, 763–778.
- [29] P. Nakmahachalasint, On the generalized Ulam-Gavruta-Rassias stability of mixed-type linear and Euler-Lagrange-Rassias functional equations, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **2007**, Art. ID 63239, 10 pp.
- [30] P. Nakmahachalasint, Hyers-Ulam-Rassias and Ulam-Gavruta-Rassias stabilities of an additive functional equation in several variables, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **2007**, Art. ID 13437, 6 pp.
- [31] C.-G. Park, On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach modules, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **275** (2002), no. 2, 711–720.
- [32] C.-G. Park, On the stability of the orthogonally quartic functional equation, *Bull. Iranian Math. Soc.* **31** (2005), no. 1, 63–70.
- [33] C.-G. Park, Y. S. Cho and M.-H. Han, Functional inequalities associated with Jordan-von Neumann-type additive functional equations, *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2007**, Art. ID 41820, 13 pp.
- [34] C.-G. Park, J. C. Hou and S. Q. Oh, Homomorphisms between JC^* -algebras and Lie C^* -algebras, *Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.)* **21** (2005), no. 6, 1391–1398.
- [35] J. M. Rassias, On approximation of approximately linear mappings by linear mappings, *J. Funct. Anal.* **46** (1982), no. 1, 126–130.
- [36] J. M. Rassias, On approximation of approximately linear mappings by linear mappings, *Bull. Sci. Math. (2)* **108** (1984), no. 4, 445–446.
- [37] J. M. Rassias, Solution of a problem of Ulam, *J. Approx. Theory* **57** (1989), no. 3, 268–273.
- [38] T. M. Rassias, On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **72** (1978), no. 2, 297–300.
- [39] T. M. Rassias (edited), *Functional Equations and Inequalities*, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2000.
- [40] T. M. Rassias and P. Šemrl, On the behavior of mappings which do not satisfy Hyers-Ulam stability, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **114** (1992), no. 4, 989–993.
- [41] T. M. Rassias and J. Tabor (edited), *Stability of Mappings of Hyers-Ulam Type*, Hadronic Press, Palm Harbor, FL, 1994.
- [42] S. M. Ulam, *A Collection of Mathematical Problems*, Interscience Publishers, New York, 1960.