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#### Abstract

In this paper we introduce Cauchy means for signed measures of the Boas type. We show that these means are monotonic.
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## 1. Introduction

The following generalization of the Jensen-Steffensen inequality was obtained by Boas [4] (see also, [9, p.59]).

Theorem 1.1. If $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and monotonic (either increasing or decreasing) and $\lambda$ be either continuous or of bounded variation satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda(a) \leq \lambda(t) \leq \lambda(b) \text { for all } t \in[a, b], \quad \lambda(b)>\lambda(a), \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then for a convex function $\phi: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where $I$ is the range of function $f$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(\frac{1}{\lambda(b)-\lambda(a)} \int_{a}^{b} f(x) d \lambda(x)\right) \leq \frac{1}{\lambda(b)-\lambda(a)} \int_{a}^{b} \phi(f(x)) d \lambda(x) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following result states the Jensen-Boas inequality (see [9, p.59]).
Theorem 1.2. If $\lambda$ is continuous or of bounded variation satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda(a) \leq \lambda\left(x_{1}\right) \leq \lambda\left(y_{1}\right) \leq \lambda\left(x_{2}\right) \leq \ldots \leq \lambda\left(y_{n-1}\right) \leq \lambda\left(x_{n}\right) \leq \lambda(b), \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x_{k} \in\left(y_{k-1}, y_{k}\right), y_{0}=a, y_{n}=b$ and $\lambda(b)>\lambda(a)$ and if $f$ is continuous and monotonic (either increasing or decreasing) in each of the $n-1$ intervals $\left(y_{k-1}, y_{k}\right)$, then the inequality (1.2) is still valid under the same conditions on $\phi$.

[^0]Let $[a, b]$ be an interval equipped with a positive measure $\lambda$. Then for a positive function $f$ for which $f^{r}$ is integrable, the integral power mean of $f$ of order $r \in \mathbb{R}$, is defined as follows:

$$
M_{r}(f, \lambda)= \begin{cases}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda(b)-\lambda(a)} \int_{a}^{b}(f(u))^{r} d \lambda(u)\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}, & r \neq 0  \tag{1.4}\\ \exp \left(\frac{1}{\lambda(b)-\lambda(a)} \int_{a}^{b} \log (f(u)) d \lambda(u)\right), & r=0\end{cases}
$$

Throughout our present investigation, we tacitly assume, without further comment, that all the integrals involved in our results exists. In [3] we define new Cauchy's means $M_{r, l}^{s}$ as (special case of our results in [3]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{r, l}^{s}(f, \lambda)=\left(\frac{l(l-s) M_{r}^{r}(f, \lambda)-M_{s}^{r}(f, \lambda)}{r(r-s) M_{l}^{l}(f, \lambda)-M_{s}^{l}(f, \lambda)}\right)^{\frac{1}{r-l}} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this paper we define Cauchy's type means by using Jensen-Steffensen's and JensenBoas's inequalities.

Let us note that power means related to (1.4) in the case when the weights satisfies Jensen-Steffensen's conditions are given in [1]. In this paper we introduce means of the Cauchy type similar to (1.5) for signed measures.

## 2. Main results

For our results in this section we need the following useful lemma.
Lemma 2.1. Let $f \in C^{2}(I)$ be such that $f^{\prime \prime}$ is bounded (if I is compact interval, then this is superfluous) and $m=\min f^{\prime \prime}\left(\inf f^{\prime \prime}\right.$ if $I$ is not a compact interval), $M=\max f^{\prime \prime}\left(\sup f^{\prime \prime}\right.$ if $I$ is not a compact interval). Consider the functions $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$ defined as,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi_{1}(t)=\frac{M}{2} t^{2}-f(t),  \tag{2.1}\\
& \phi_{2}(t)=f(t)-\frac{m}{2} t^{2}, \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

then $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ are convex functions.
Theorem 2.1. Let $I$ be a compact real interval and $f \in C^{2}(I)$. Let $\lambda$ be either continuous or of bounded variation satisfying $\lambda(a) \leq \lambda(t) \leq \lambda(b)$ for all $t \in[a, b]$, $\lambda(b)>\lambda(a)$, and let $h:[a, b] \rightarrow I$ be continuous and monotonic (either increasing or decreasing). Then there exists $\xi \in I$ such that,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{\lambda(b)-\lambda(a)} \int_{a}^{b} f(h(t)) d \lambda(t)-f\left(\frac{1}{\lambda(b)-\lambda(a)} \int_{a}^{b} h(t) d \lambda(t)\right) \\
& =\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(\xi)}{2}\left[\frac{1}{\lambda(b)-\lambda(a)} \int_{a}^{b}(h(t))^{2} d \lambda(t)-\left(\frac{1}{\lambda(b)-\lambda(a)} \int_{a}^{b} h(t) d \lambda(t)\right)^{2}\right] \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Since $f^{\prime \prime}$ is continuous on $I$ ( $I$ is compact interval), it is bounded, let max $f^{\prime \prime}=$ $M, \min f^{\prime \prime}=m$. Then in Theorem 1.1, by setting $\phi=\phi_{1}$ and $\phi=\phi_{2}$ respectively as defined in Lemma 2.1, we get the following inequalities

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{\lambda(b)-\lambda(a)} \int_{a}^{b} f(h(t)) d \lambda(t)-f\left(\frac{1}{\lambda(b)-\lambda(a)} \int_{a}^{b} h(t) d \lambda(t)\right) \\
& \leq \frac{M}{2}\left[\frac{1}{\lambda(b)-\lambda(a)} \int_{a}^{b}(h(t))^{2} d \lambda(t)-\left(\frac{1}{\lambda(b)-\lambda(a)} \int_{a}^{b} h(t) d \lambda(t)\right)^{2}\right],  \tag{2.4}\\
& \frac{1}{\lambda(b)-\lambda(a)} \int_{a}^{b} f(h(t)) d \lambda(t)-f\left(\frac{1}{\lambda(b)-\lambda(a)} \int_{a}^{b} h(t) d \lambda(t)\right) \\
& \geq \frac{m}{2}\left[\frac{1}{\lambda(b)-\lambda(a)} \int_{a}^{b}(h(t))^{2} d \lambda(t)-\left(\frac{1}{\lambda(b)-\lambda(a)} \int_{a}^{b} h(t) d \lambda(t)\right)^{2}\right] \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Now by combining both inequalities and by applying the mean value theorem to the function $f \in C^{2}(I)$ there exists $\xi \in I$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\lambda(b)-\lambda(a)} \int_{a}^{b} f(h(t)) d \lambda(t)-f\left(\frac{1}{\lambda(b)-\lambda(a)} \int_{a}^{b} h(t) d \lambda(t)\right) \\
& =\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(\xi)}{2}\left[\frac{1}{\lambda(b)-\lambda(a)} \int_{a}^{b}(h(t))^{2} d \lambda(t)-\left(\frac{1}{\lambda(b)-\lambda(a)} \int_{a}^{b} h(t) d \lambda(t)\right)^{2}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 2.2. Let $I$ be a compact real interval and $f, g \in C^{2}(I)$. Let $\lambda$ be either continuous or of bounded variation satisfying $\lambda(a) \leq \lambda(t) \leq \lambda(b)$ for all $t \in[a, b]$, $\lambda(b)>\lambda(a)$, let $h:[a, b] \rightarrow I$ be continuous and monotonic (either increasing or decreasing) and let

$$
\frac{1}{\lambda(b)-\lambda(a)} \int_{a}^{b}(h(t))^{2} d \lambda(t)-\left(\frac{1}{\lambda(b)-\lambda(a)} \int_{a}^{b} h(t) d \lambda(t)\right)^{2} \neq 0
$$

Then there exists $\xi \in I$ such that,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(\xi)}{g^{\prime \prime}(\xi)}=\frac{\frac{1}{\lambda(b)-\lambda(a)} \int_{a}^{b} f(h(t)) d \lambda(t)-f\left(\frac{1}{\lambda(b)-\lambda(a)} \int_{a}^{b} h(t) d \lambda(t)\right)}{\frac{1}{\lambda(b)-\lambda(a)} \int_{a}^{b} g(h(t)) d \lambda(t)-g\left(\frac{1}{\lambda(b)-\lambda(a)} \int_{a}^{b} h(t) d \lambda(t)\right)} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided that denominators are non-zero.
Proof. Consider the function $k \in C^{2}(I)$ defined as:

$$
k=c_{1} f-c_{2} g
$$

where $c_{1}, c_{2}$ are defined as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}=\frac{1}{\lambda(b)-\lambda(a)} \int_{a}^{b} g(h(t)) d \lambda(t)-g\left(\frac{1}{\lambda(b)-\lambda(a)} \int_{a}^{b} h(t) d \lambda(t)\right) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{2}=\frac{1}{\lambda(b)-\lambda(a)} \int_{a}^{b} f(h(t)) d \lambda(t)-f\left(\frac{1}{\lambda(b)-\lambda(a)} \int_{a}^{b} h(t) d \lambda(t)\right) . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Theorem 2.1 with $f=k$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(c_{1} \frac{f^{\prime \prime}(\xi)}{2}-c_{2} \frac{g^{\prime \prime}(\xi)}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\lambda(b)-\lambda(a)} \int_{a}^{b}(h(t))^{2} d \lambda(t)\right. \\
& \left.-\left(\frac{1}{\lambda(b)-\lambda(a)} \int_{a}^{b} h(t) d \lambda(t)\right)^{2}\right)=0 \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Since

$$
\frac{1}{\lambda(b)-\lambda(a)} \int_{a}^{b}(h(t))^{2} d \lambda(t)-\left(\frac{1}{\lambda(b)-\lambda(a)} \int_{a}^{b} h(t) d \lambda(t)\right)^{2} \neq 0
$$

from (2.9) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{c_{2}}{c_{1}}=\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(\xi)}{g^{\prime \prime}(\xi)} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

After putting values from (2.7) and (2.8) we get (2.6).
Let $\lambda$ be either continuous or of bounded variation satisfying $\lambda(a) \leq \lambda(t) \leq \lambda(b)$ for all $t \in[a, b]$ and $\lambda(b)>\lambda(a)$. Then for a strictly monotone continuous function $\alpha$ the quasi arithmetic mean is defined as follows [1]:

$$
M_{\alpha}(t, \lambda)=\alpha^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda(b)-\lambda(a)} \int_{a}^{b} \alpha(t) d \lambda(t)\right) .
$$

Theorem 2.3. Let $I$ be a compact real interval and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in C^{2}(I)$ be strictly monotonic functions. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\alpha\left(M_{\alpha}(t, \lambda)\right)-\alpha\left(M_{\gamma}(t, \lambda)\right)}{\beta\left(M_{\beta}(t, \lambda)\right)-\beta\left(M_{\gamma}(t, \lambda)\right)}=\frac{\alpha^{\prime \prime}(\eta) \gamma^{\prime}(\eta)-\alpha^{\prime}(\eta) \gamma^{\prime \prime}(\eta)}{\beta^{\prime \prime}(\eta) \gamma^{\prime}(\eta)-\beta^{\prime}(\eta) \gamma^{\prime \prime}(\eta)} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\eta$ in I provided that the denominators are non-zero.
Proof. Choosing $f=\alpha \circ \gamma^{-1}, g=\beta \circ \gamma^{-1}$ and $h(t)=\gamma(\mu(t))$, from (2.6) we get, for some $\xi$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\alpha\left(M_{\alpha}(t, \lambda)\right)-\alpha\left(M_{\gamma}(t, \lambda)\right)}{\beta\left(M_{\beta}(t, \lambda)\right)-\beta\left(M_{\gamma}(t, \lambda)\right)} \\
& =\frac{\alpha^{\prime \prime}\left(\gamma^{-1}(\xi)\right) \gamma^{\prime}\left(\gamma^{-1}(\xi)\right)-\alpha^{\prime}\left(\gamma^{-1}(\xi)\right) \gamma^{\prime \prime}\left(\gamma^{-1}(\xi)\right)}{\beta^{\prime \prime}\left(\gamma^{-1}(\xi)\right) \gamma^{\prime}\left(\gamma^{-1}(\xi)\right)-\beta^{\prime}\left(\gamma^{-1}(\xi)\right) \gamma^{\prime \prime}\left(\gamma^{-1}(\xi)\right)} \tag{2.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus by setting $\gamma^{-1}(\xi)=\eta$ for some $\eta$ in $I$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\alpha\left(M_{\alpha}(t, \lambda)\right)-\alpha\left(M_{\gamma}(t, \lambda)\right)}{\beta\left(M_{\beta}(t, \lambda)\right)-\beta\left(M_{\gamma}(t, \lambda)\right)}=\frac{\alpha^{\prime \prime}(\eta) \gamma^{\prime}(\eta)-\alpha^{\prime}(\eta) \gamma^{\prime \prime}(\eta)}{\beta^{\prime \prime}(\eta) \gamma^{\prime}(\eta)-\beta^{\prime}(\eta) \gamma^{\prime \prime}(\eta)} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2.1. In the case $\lambda$ is a nonnegative measure, the related results are given in [3], by A. Mercer in [5, 6] and by J. Pečarić, I. Perić and H. M. Srivastava in [8].

Corollary 2.1. Consider the function:

$$
\chi(\eta)=\frac{\alpha\left(M_{\alpha}(t, \lambda)\right)-\alpha\left(M_{\gamma}(t, \lambda)\right)}{\beta\left(M_{\beta}(t, \lambda)\right)-\beta\left(M_{\gamma}(t, \lambda)\right)}
$$

for $t \in[a, b]$. If $\chi$ has an inverse $\chi^{-1}$, then

$$
a \leq \eta=\chi^{-1}\left(\frac{\alpha\left(M_{\alpha}(t, \lambda)\right)-\alpha\left(M_{\gamma}(t, \lambda)\right)}{\beta\left(M_{\beta}(t, \lambda)\right)-\beta\left(M_{\gamma}(t, \lambda)\right)}\right) \leq b
$$

that is,

$$
M_{\alpha, \beta}=\chi^{-1}\left(\frac{\alpha\left(M_{\alpha}(t, \lambda)\right)-\alpha\left(M_{\gamma}(t, \lambda)\right)}{\beta\left(M_{\beta}(t, \lambda)\right)-\beta\left(M_{\gamma}(t, \lambda)\right)}\right)
$$

is a mean.
Now, from the results given above, we deduce the corresponding results for integral power means. Indeed for $r \in \mathbb{R}$, the integral power mean is defined as follows:

$$
M_{r}(g, \lambda)= \begin{cases}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda(b)-\lambda(a)} \int_{a}^{b} g(t) d \lambda(t)\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}, & r \neq 0  \tag{2.14}\\ \exp \left(\frac{1}{\lambda(b)-\lambda(a)} \int_{a}^{b} \log g(t) d \lambda(t)\right), & r=0\end{cases}
$$

where $g$ is positive function for which $g^{r}$ and $\log g$ are integrable.
Corollary 2.2. Let $r, s, l \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\lambda$ be either continuous or of bounded variation satisfying $\lambda(a) \leq \lambda(t) \leq \lambda(b)$ for all $t \in[a, b]$ and $\lambda(b)>\lambda(a)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{M_{r}^{r}(g, \lambda)-M_{s}^{r}(g, \lambda)}{M_{l}^{l}(g, \lambda)-M_{s}^{l}(g, \lambda)}=\frac{r(r-s)}{l(l-s)} \eta^{r-l} . \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. If we set $\alpha(t)=t^{r}, \beta(t)=t^{l}, \gamma(t)=t^{s}$ in equation (2.11) we get the required result.

Remark 2.2. Let us note that (2.15) in the case of positive measure is obtained in [3].

Now from (2.15) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta=\left(\frac{l(l-s)}{r(r-s)} \frac{M_{r}^{r}(g, \lambda)-M_{s}^{r}(g, \lambda)}{M_{l}^{l}(g, \lambda)-M_{s}^{l}(g, \lambda)}\right)^{\frac{1}{r-l}} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

so we can again introduce new means similar to (1.5) but for negative measure $\lambda$.

## 3. Cauchy's means

Similarly to (2.4) in [3] for positive measure $\lambda$, we define, by using (2.1) a new mean $M_{r, l}^{s}$ for signed measure as follows:

$$
M_{r, l}^{s}(f, \lambda)=\left(\frac{l(l-s)}{r(r-s)} \frac{M_{r}^{r}(f, \lambda)-M_{s}^{r}(f, \lambda)}{M_{l}^{l}(f, \lambda)-M_{s}^{l}(f, \lambda)}\right)^{\frac{1}{r-l}}, \quad l \neq r \neq s, l, r \neq 0
$$

under the same conditions as in Corollary 2.2.
By calculating appropriate limits the complete definition of $M_{r, l}^{s}(f, \lambda)$ is as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{r, l}^{s}(f, \lambda)=\left(\frac{l(l-s)}{r(r-s)} \frac{M_{r}^{r}(f, \lambda)-M_{s}^{r}(f, \lambda)}{M_{l}^{l}(f, \lambda)-M_{s}^{l}(f, \lambda)}\right)^{\frac{1}{r-l}}, \\
& l \neq r \neq s, l, r \neq 0 ; \\
& M_{r, 0}^{s}(f, \lambda)=M_{0, r}^{s}(f, \lambda)=\left(\frac{s\left[M_{r}^{r}(f, \lambda)-M_{s}^{r}(f, \lambda)\right]}{r(r-s)\left[\log M_{s}(f, \lambda)-\log M_{0}(f, \lambda)\right]}\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}, \\
& r \neq s, r, s \neq 0 \text {; } \\
& M_{s, l}^{s}(f, \lambda)=M_{l, s}^{s}(f, \lambda) \\
& =\left(\frac{l(l-s)}{s} \frac{\frac{1}{\lambda(b)-\lambda(a)} \int f(u)^{s} \log f(u) d \lambda(u)-M_{s}^{s}(f, \lambda) \log M_{s}(f, \lambda)}{M_{l}^{l}(f, \lambda)-M_{s}^{l}(f, \lambda)}\right)^{\frac{1}{s-l}}, \\
& l \neq s, l, s \neq 0 \text {; } \\
& M_{s, 0}^{s}(f, \lambda)=M_{0, s}^{s}(f, \lambda) \\
& =\left(\frac{\frac{1}{\lambda(b)-\lambda(a)} \int f(u)^{s} \log f(u) d \lambda(u)-M_{s}^{s}(f, \lambda) \log M_{s}(f, \lambda)}{\log M_{s}(f, \lambda)-\log M_{0}(f, \lambda)}\right)^{\frac{1}{s}}, s \neq 0 ; \\
& M_{r, l}^{0}(f, \lambda)=\left(\frac{l^{2}\left(M_{r}^{r}(f, \lambda)-M_{0}^{r}(f, \lambda)\right)}{r^{2}\left(M_{l}^{1}(f, \lambda)-M_{0}^{L}(f, \lambda)\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{r-l}}, \quad l, r \neq 0 ; \\
& M_{r, 0}^{0}(f, \lambda)=M_{0, r}^{0}(f, \lambda)=\left(\frac{2\left[M_{r}^{r}(f, \lambda)-M_{0}^{r}(f, \lambda)\right]}{r^{2}\left[M_{2}^{2}(\log f, \lambda)-M_{1}^{2}(\log f, \lambda)\right]}\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}, \quad r \neq 0 . \\
& M_{t, t}^{s}=\exp \left(-\frac{2 t-s}{t(t-s)}+\frac{\frac{1}{\lambda(b)-\lambda(a)} \int f^{t} \log f d \lambda(u)-M_{s}^{t}(f, \lambda) \log M_{s}(f, \lambda)}{M_{t}^{t}(f, \lambda)-M_{s}^{t}(f, \lambda)}\right), \quad t \neq s ; \\
& M_{t, t}^{0}=\exp \left(-\frac{2}{t}+\frac{\frac{1}{\lambda(b)-\lambda(a)} \int f^{t} \log f d \lambda(u)-M_{0}^{t}(f, \lambda) \log M_{0}(f, \lambda)}{M_{t}^{t}(f, \lambda)-M_{0}^{t}(f, \lambda)}\right), t \neq 0 ; \\
& M_{0,0}^{0}=\exp \left(\frac{1}{3} \frac{\int(\log f)^{3} d \lambda(u)-\left(\log M_{0}(f, \lambda)\right)^{3}}{\int(\log f)^{2} d \lambda(u)-\left(\log M_{0}(f, \lambda)\right)^{2}}\right) \text {, } \\
& M_{s, s}^{s}=\exp \left(-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{\frac{1}{\lambda(b)-\lambda(a)} \int f^{s}(\log f)^{2} d \lambda(u)-M_{s}^{s}(f, \lambda)\left(\log M_{s}(f, \lambda)\right)^{2}}{2\left(\int f^{s} \log f d \lambda(u)-\left(M_{s}^{s}(f, \lambda) \log M_{s}(f, \lambda)\right)\right)}\right), \quad s \neq 0 ; \\
& M_{0,0}^{s}=\exp \left(\frac{1}{s}+\frac{\frac{1}{\lambda(b)-\lambda(a)} \int(\log f)^{2} d \lambda(u)-\left(\log M_{s}(f, \lambda)\right)^{2}}{\left.2\left(f \log f d \lambda(u)-\log M_{s}(f, \lambda)\right)\right)}\right), \quad s \neq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 4. Monotonicity

In this section we prove the monotonicity of (3.1). The following two lemmas can be obtained similar to Theorem 1 in [2] (see also Remark 2 in [2]):

Lemma 4.1. Let $\Lambda_{t}$ be defined as:

$$
\Lambda_{t}(g, \lambda)= \begin{cases}\frac{M_{t}^{t}(g, \lambda)-M_{1}^{t}(g, \lambda)}{t(t-1)}, & t \neq 0,1 ;  \tag{4.1}\\ \log M_{1}(g, \lambda)-M_{1}(\log g, \lambda), & t=0 ; \\ M_{1}(g \log g, \lambda)-M_{1}(g, \lambda) M_{1}(\log g, \lambda), & t=1,\end{cases}
$$

and let $\Lambda_{t}$ be positive. Then $\Lambda_{t}$ is a log-convex function.
Lemma 4.2. Let $\Omega_{t}$ be defined as

$$
\Omega_{t}= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{t^{2}}\left(M_{t}^{t}(f, \lambda)-M_{0}^{t}(f, \lambda)\right), & t \neq 0 ;  \tag{4.2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\left(M_{2}^{2}(\log f, \lambda)-M_{1}^{2}(\log f, \lambda)\right), & t=0,\end{cases}
$$

and let $\Omega_{t}$ be positive. Then $\Omega_{t}$ is a log-convex function.
We need the following lemma (see [3]).

Lemma 4.3. Let $f$ be a log-convex function. Then for any $x_{1} \leq y_{1}, x_{2} \leq y_{2}, x_{1} \neq$ $x_{2}, y_{1} \neq y_{2}$ the following inequality is valid:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{f\left(x_{2}\right)}{f\left(x_{1}\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{x_{2}-x_{1}}} \leq\left(\frac{f\left(y_{2}\right)}{f\left(y_{1}\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{y_{2}-y_{1}}} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 4.1. Let $t, r, u, v \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that, $t \leq v, r \leq u$. Then for (3.1) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{t, r}^{s} \leq M_{v, u}^{s} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof.
Case I: $(s \neq 0)$. Consider $\Lambda_{t}$ defined as in Lemma 4.1. $\Lambda_{t}$ is continuous and logconvex. So Lemma 4.3 implies that for $t, r, u, v \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $t \leq v, r \leq u, t \neq r, v \neq$ $u$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\Lambda_{t}}{\Lambda_{r}}\right)^{\frac{1}{t-r}} \leq\left(\frac{\Lambda_{v}}{\Lambda_{u}}\right)^{\frac{1}{v-u}} . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $s>0$, by substituting $g=f^{s}, t=t / s, r=r / s, u=u / s, v=v / s \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $t / s \leq v / s, r / s \leq u / s, t \neq r, v \neq u$ in (4.1) we get

$$
\Lambda_{t, s}(f, \lambda)= \begin{cases}\frac{s^{2}}{t(t-s)}\left[M_{t}^{t}(f, \lambda)-M_{s}^{t}(f, \lambda)\right], & t \neq 0, s  \tag{4.6}\\ \log M_{s}(f, \lambda)-M_{s}(\log f, \lambda), & t=0 \\ \left.M_{s}(f \log f, \lambda)-M_{s}(f, \lambda) \log M_{s}(\log f, \lambda)\right), & t=s\end{cases}
$$

and (4.5) becomes,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\Lambda_{t, s}}{\Lambda_{r, s}}\right)^{\frac{1}{t-r}} \leq\left(\frac{\Lambda_{v, s}}{\Lambda_{u, s}}\right)^{\frac{1}{v-u}} . \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.7) we get the required result.
Now when $s<0$, by substituting $g=f^{s}, t=t / s, r=r / s, u=u / s, v=v / s \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $v / s \leq t / s, u / s \leq r / s, t \neq r, v \neq u$ in (4.1) we get (4.6), and (4.5) becomes,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\Lambda_{v, s}}{\Lambda_{u, s}}\right)^{\frac{s}{v-u}} \leq\left(\frac{\Lambda_{t, s}}{\Lambda_{r, s}}\right)^{\frac{s}{t-r}} . \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.8), by raising to the power of $-\frac{1}{s}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\Lambda_{t, s}}{\Lambda_{r, s}}\right)^{\frac{1}{t-r}} \leq\left(\frac{\Lambda_{v, s}}{\Lambda_{u, s}}\right)^{\frac{1}{v-u}} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.9) we get the required result.
Case II: $(s=0)$. In this case we can get our result by taking limit $s \rightarrow 0$ in (4.6) and also in this case we can consider $\Omega_{t}$ defined as in Lemma 4.2.
$\Omega_{t}$ is log-convex function. So Lemma 4.3 implies that for $t, r, u, v \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $t \leq v, r \leq u, t \neq r, v \neq u$, we have

$$
\left(\frac{\Omega_{t}}{\Omega_{r}}\right)^{\frac{1}{t-r}} \leq\left(\frac{\Omega_{v}}{\Omega_{u}}\right)^{\frac{1}{v-u}}
$$

Therefore we have for $t, r, u, v \in \mathbb{R}$ such that, $t \leq v, r \leq u, t \neq r, v \neq u$,

$$
M_{t, r}^{0} \leq M_{v, u}^{0}
$$

This completes the proof.
Remark 4.1. Similar results can be proved by using Theorem 1.2 instead of Theorem 1.1.
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