

## Product Properties for Pairwise Lindelöf Spaces

<sup>1</sup>ADEM KILIÇMAN AND <sup>2</sup>ZABIDIN SALLEH

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, University Putra Malaysia,  
43400, Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Universiti Malaysia Terengganu,  
21030 Kuala Terengganu, Terengganu, Malaysia

<sup>1</sup>akilicman@putra.upm.edu.my, <sup>2</sup>zabidin@umt.edu.my

**Abstract.** In this paper we study finite product of pairwise Lindelöf bitopological spaces. We show that the product properties for pairwise Lindelöf spaces are not preserved. Further, we provide some necessary conditions for these spaces to be preserved under a finite product.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 54E55

Keywords and phrases: Bitopological space,  $i$ -Lindelöf, Lindelöf,  $(i, j)$ -Lindelöf,  $B$ -Lindelöf,  $s$ -Lindelöf,  $p$ -Lindelöf, product bitopology.

### 1. Introduction

The Cartesian product of a collection of sets is one of the most important and widely used ideas in mathematics. The theory of product spaces constitutes a very interesting and complex part of set-theoretic topology. The Cartesian product of arbitrarily many topological spaces was defined by Tychonoff in 1930. Then almost 33 years later in 1963 the idea of bitopological spaces was initiated by J. C. Kelly, see [10] and thereafter a large number of papers have been produced in order to generalize the topological concepts to bitopological setting. In 1972, Datta [3] defined the Cartesian product of arbitrarily many bitopological spaces. It is also well-known that, the Tychonoff Product Theorem plays an important role for general product of compact topological spaces.

Recently, the authors studied pairwise Lindelöfness in [14], introduced and studied the notion of pairwise weakly Lindelöfness in bitopological spaces, see [13], weakly regular Lindelöf [12] and almost Lindelöf bitopological spaces, see [11] where the authors extended some results that were due to Cammaroto and Santoro [2], Kılıçman and Fawakhreh [5, 6, 7]. In [15], the authors also studied the mappings and pairwise continuity on pairwise Lindelöf bitopological spaces.

---

*Communicated by Lee See Keong.*

*Received:* October 12, 2008; *Revised:* November 10, 2009.

The purpose of the present paper is to study the product properties on pairwise Lindelöf bitopological spaces. In this paper we consider four kinds of pairwise Lindelöf spaces namely known as Lindelöf,  $B$ -Lindelöf,  $s$ -Lindelöf and  $p$ -Lindelöf spaces, for details we refer to [9]. So we shall study the product properties for every kinds of pairwise Lindelöf spaces which are mentioned. Although the compactness is preserved for finite products, the Lindelöfness may not be productive unless one or more factors are assumed to satisfy additional conditions.

The similar results yield for every kind of pairwise Lindelöf spaces that we investigate in this paper. We give some counter-examples to show that the product properties are negative. We also provide some necessary conditions for these spaces to be preserved under a finite product.

## 2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, all spaces  $(X, \tau)$  and  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  (or simply  $X$ ) are always topological spaces and bitopological spaces, respectively unless explicitly stated. In this paper, we shall use  $p$ - and  $s$ - to denote pairwise and semi-respectively. For instance,  $p$ -Lindelöf and  $s$ -Lindelöf stands for pairwise Lindelöf and semi-Lindelöf respectively (see [9]). Also  $B$ -Lindelöf stands for another type of pairwise. If  $\mathcal{P}$  is a topological property, then  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\mathcal{P}$  denotes an analogue of this property for  $\tau_i$  has property  $\mathcal{P}$  with respect to  $\tau_j$ , and  $p$ - $\mathcal{P}$  denotes the conjunction  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $\mathcal{P} \wedge (\tau_2, \tau_1)$ - $\mathcal{P}$ , i.e.,  $p$ - $\mathcal{P}$  denotes an absolute bitopological analogue of  $\mathcal{P}$ . As we shall see below, sometimes  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $\mathcal{P} \iff (\tau_2, \tau_1)$ - $\mathcal{P}$  (and thus  $\iff p$ - $\mathcal{P}$ ) so that it suffices to consider one of these three bitopological analogue. Also sometimes  $\tau_1$ - $\mathcal{P} \iff \tau_2$ - $\mathcal{P}$  and thus  $\mathcal{P} \iff \tau_1$ - $\mathcal{P} \wedge \tau_2$ - $\mathcal{P}$ , i.e.,  $(X, \tau_i)$  has property  $\mathcal{P}$  for each  $i = 1, 2$ .

We also note that  $(X, \tau_i)$  has a property  $\mathcal{P} \iff (X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  has a property  $\tau_i$ - $\mathcal{P}$ . Sometimes the prefixes  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - or  $\tau_i$ - will be replaced by  $(i, j)$ - or  $i$ - respectively, if there is no chance for confusion. By  $i$ -open cover of  $X$ , we mean that the cover of  $X$  by  $i$ -open sets in  $X$ ; similar for the  $(i, j)$ -regular open cover of  $X$ , etc. In this paper always  $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$  and  $i \neq j$ . For details notation we refer to [4].

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space. A subset  $F$  of  $X$  is said to be

- (1)  $i$ -open if  $F$  is open with respect to  $\tau_i$  in  $X$ ,  $F$  is said open in  $X$  if it is both 1-open and 2-open in  $X$ , or equivalently,  $F = U$  for  $U \in (\tau_1 \cap \tau_2)$  in  $X$ ;
- (2)  $i$ -closed if  $F$  is closed with respect  $\tau_i$  in  $X$ ,  $F$  is said closed in  $X$  if it is both 1-closed and 2-closed in  $X$ , or equivalently,  $F = V$  and  $U \in (\tau_1 \cap \tau_2)$  for  $F \in V$  and  $U \subseteq \tau_1 \cap \tau_2$ , respectively.

**Definition 2.2.** [3] Let  $\{(X_\alpha, \tau_\alpha, \sigma_\alpha) : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  be a family of bitopological spaces. On the product set  $X = \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta} X_\alpha$ , we define a bitopological structure  $(\tau, \sigma)$  by taking  $\tau$  as the product topology generated by the projections which  $(\tau, \tau_\alpha)$ -continuous and  $\sigma$  as the product topology generated by the projections which  $(\sigma, \sigma_\alpha)$ -continuous for every  $\alpha \in \Delta$ . The product set  $X$  with the product bitopology  $(\tau, \sigma)$ , i.e.,  $(X, \tau, \sigma)$  is called product bitopological space. The product bitopology  $(\tau, \sigma)$  also can be denoted by  $(\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta} \tau_\alpha, \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta} \sigma_\alpha)$ .

### 3. Product of Lindelöf bitopological spaces

**Definition 3.1.** [9, 14] A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be  $i$ -Lindelöf (resp.  $i$ -compact) if the topological space  $(X, \tau_i)$  is Lindelöf (resp. compact).  $X$  is said Lindelöf (resp. compact) if it is  $i$ -Lindelöf (resp.  $i$ -compact) for each  $i = 1, 2$ . Equivalently,  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is Lindelöf (resp. compact) if every  $i$ -open cover of  $X$  has a countable (resp. finite) subcover for each  $i = 1, 2$ .

It is well-known that the product of any two Lindelöf topological spaces need not be Lindelöf. In general the product of any two  $i$ -Lindelöf spaces need not be  $i$ -Lindelöf or the product of any two Lindelöf bitopological spaces need not be Lindelöf as the following example below shows.

**Example 3.1.** Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a collection of closed-open intervals in the real line  $\mathbb{R}$  :

$$\mathcal{B} = \{[a, b) : a, b \in \mathbb{R}, a < b\}.$$

Hence  $\mathcal{B}$  is a base for the lower limit topology (or Sorgenfrey topology)  $\tau_1$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Choose usual topology as topology  $\tau_2$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Thus  $(\mathbb{R}, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a Lindelöf bitopological space (see [17]). So it is clear that  $(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \tau_1 \times \tau_1, \tau_2 \times \tau_2)$  is not  $(\tau_1 \times \tau_1)$ -Lindelöf for the  $(\tau_1 \times \tau_1)$ -closed subspace  $L = \{(x, y) : y = -x\}$  is not  $(\tau_1 \times \tau_1)$ -Lindelöf for it is a discrete subspace (see [17]). Thus  $(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \tau_1 \times \tau_1, \tau_2 \times \tau_2)$  is not a Lindelöf bitopological space.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a  $\tau_i$ -Lindelöf space and  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  a  $\sigma_i$ -compact space. Then  $(X \times Y, \rho_1, \rho_2)$  is  $\rho_i$ -Lindelöf where  $\rho_i$  is a product topology.

*Proof.* The proof is similar with the well-known result, the Tychonoff Product Theorem, so we omit the details. ■

In general, the converse of Theorem 3.1 is not true and we provide the following counter-example.

**Example 3.2.** Let  $\tau_{coc}$  and  $\tau_u$  denotes the cocountable topology and usual topology on  $\mathbb{R}$  respectively. Then the bitopological space  $(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \tau_{coc} \times \tau_{coc}, \tau_u \times \tau_u)$  is Lindelöf. However  $(\mathbb{R}, \tau_{coc}, \tau_u)$  is Lindelöf space but not compact (see [17]).

The above result still holds if we consider an  $i$ -Lindelöf space and a collection of finite  $i$ -compact spaces as stated in the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.1.** Let  $(X_m, \tau_1^m, \tau_2^m)$  be a  $\tau_i^m$ -Lindelöf space and

$$\{(X_k, \tau_1^k, \tau_2^k) : k = 1, \dots, n, k \neq m, m \leq n\}$$

a collection of  $\tau_i^k$ -compact spaces. Then  $(\prod_{k=1}^n X_k, \rho_1, \rho_2)$  is  $\rho_i$ -Lindelöf where  $\rho_i$  is a product topology.

*Proof.* It follows immediately on using the fact that the topological product is commutative, associative (see [16], p. 132) and the well-known result, the Tychonoff Product Theorem. ■

The result also holds if a collection of finite  $i$ -compact spaces is replaced by arbitrary collection of  $i$ -compact spaces since the Tychonoff Product Theorem is true for any collection of  $i$ -compact spaces.

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $(X_\beta, \tau_1^\beta, \tau_2^\beta)$  be a  $\tau_i^\beta$ -Lindelöf space and  $\{(X_\alpha, \tau_1^\alpha, \tau_2^\alpha) : \alpha \in \Delta, \alpha \neq \beta\}$  a collection of  $\tau_i^\alpha$ -compact spaces. Then  $(\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta} X_\alpha, \rho_1, \rho_2)$  is  $\rho_i$ -Lindelöf where  $\rho_i$  is a product topology.*

**Definition 3.2.** *A bitopological space  $X$  is said to be  $i$ - $P$ -space if countable intersection of  $i$ -open sets in  $X$  is  $i$ -open.  $X$  is said  $P$ -space if it is  $i$ - $P$ -space for each  $i = 1, 2$ .*

Although the product of  $\tau_i$ -Lindelöf and  $\sigma_i$ -Lindelöf spaces need not be  $(\tau_i \times \sigma_i)$ -Lindelöf, if we consider an additional condition such as  $\tau_i$ - $P$ -space to one of the space we will obtain that the product is  $(\tau_i \times \sigma_i)$ -Lindelöf.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a  $\tau_i$ -Lindelöf  $\tau_i$ - $P$ -space and  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  a  $\sigma_i$ -Lindelöf space. Then  $(X \times Y, \rho_1, \rho_2)$  is  $\rho_i$ -Lindelöf where  $\rho_i$  is a product topology.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  be a  $\rho_i$ -open cover of  $X \times Y$ . Then each member of  $\mathcal{U}$  is a union of  $\rho_i$ -basis elements of the form  $V \times W$  with  $V$  is  $\tau_i$ -open set in  $X$  and  $W$  is  $\sigma_i$ -open set in  $Y$ . We may restrict our attention to the cover  $\{V_\alpha \times W_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  of  $X \times Y$  which consists of  $\rho_i$ -basis elements where each  $V_\alpha \times W_\alpha$  is contained in some member of  $\mathcal{U}$ , since any subcover of this basic  $\rho_i$ -open cover will lead immediately to a subcover chosen from the original cover  $\mathcal{U}$ . For each  $x \in X$ , let  $Y_x = \{x\} \times Y$  which is  $i$ -homeomorphic to  $Y$  and hence  $Y_x$  is Lindelöf with respect to the inducted topology from  $\rho_i$ . So  $Y_x$  is  $\rho_i$ -Lindelöf relative to  $X \times Y$  and since  $\{V_\alpha \times W_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  also covers  $Y_x$ , there must exists a countable subcover  $\{V_{x, \alpha_n} \times W_{x, \alpha_n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of  $Y_x$  by sets which have a nonempty intersection with  $Y_x$ . Letting  $H_x = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V_{x, \alpha_n}$ ,

we see that  $H_x$  is a  $\tau_i$ -open set of  $X$  containing  $x$  since  $X$  is a  $\tau_i$ - $P$ -space. The above countable subcover  $\{V_{x, \alpha_n} \times W_{x, \alpha_n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  actually covers  $H_x \times Y$ . Now  $\{H_x : x \in X\}$  is a  $\tau_i$ -open cover of  $X$ . Since  $X$  is  $\tau_i$ -Lindelöf, there exists a countable subcover  $\{H_{x_k} : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . But then  $\{\{V_{x_k, \alpha_n} \times W_{x_k, \alpha_n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\} : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$  covers  $X \times Y$ . Since  $\{\{V_{x_k, \alpha_n} \times W_{x_k, \alpha_n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\} : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a countable subcover, we have that  $X \times Y$  is  $\rho_i$ -Lindelöf. ■

**Corollary 3.3.** *Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a Lindelöf  $P$ -space and  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  a Lindelöf space. Then  $(X \times Y, \rho_1, \rho_2)$  is Lindelöf where  $\rho_i$  is a product topology.*

We note that the above result is also true if we take a collection of finite  $i$ -Lindelöf  $i$ - $P$ -spaces and an  $i$ -Lindelöf space. By using the fact that the topological product is commutative and associative, the result will then follow by induction. We need the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a  $\tau_i$ - $P$ -space and  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  a  $\sigma_i$ - $P$ -space. Then  $(X \times Y, \rho_1, \rho_2)$  is  $\rho_i$ - $P$ -space where  $\rho_i$  is a product topology.*

*Proof.* Let  $\{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a countable collection of  $\rho_i$ -open sets in  $X \times Y$ . Then each  $U_n$  is a union of  $\rho_i$ -basis elements of the form  $V \times W$  where  $V$  and  $W$  are  $\tau_i$ -open set and  $\sigma_i$ -open set of  $X$  and  $Y$  respectively. We may restrict our attention to the countable collection of  $\rho_i$ -basis element  $\{V_n \times W_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of  $X \times Y$  because any  $\rho_i$ -open set is a union of  $\rho_i$ -basis elements. Now

$$\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (V_n \times W_n) = \left( \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V_n \right) \times \left( \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} W_n \right)$$

is a  $\rho_i$ -basis element since  $X$  is  $\tau_i$ - $P$ -space and  $Y$  is  $\sigma_i$ - $P$ -space. Therefore  $X \times Y$  is  $\rho_i$ - $P$ -space. ■

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $\{(X_k, \tau_1^k, \tau_2^k) : k = 1, \dots, n\}$  be a collection of  $\tau_i^k$ - $P$ -spaces. Then  $(\prod_{k=1}^n X_k, \rho_1, \rho_2)$  is  $\rho_i$ - $P$ -space where  $\rho_i$  is a product topology.*

*Proof.* It follows by induction on  $k$ . ■

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $\{(X_\alpha, \tau_1^\alpha, \tau_2^\alpha) : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  be a collection of  $\tau_i^\alpha$ - $P$ -spaces. Then*

$$\left(\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta} X_\alpha, \rho_1, \rho_2\right)$$

*is  $\rho_i$ - $P$ -space where  $\rho_i$  is a product topology.*

*Proof.* Let  $\{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a countable collection of  $\rho_i$ -open sets in  $\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta} X_\alpha$ . Then as in the proof of Lemma 3.1, we may restrict our attention to the countable collection of  $\rho_i$ -basis element  $\left\{\prod \{X_\alpha : \alpha \neq \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m\} \times V_{\beta_1}^n \times \dots \times V_{\beta_m}^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$  of  $\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta} X_\alpha$  where  $V_{\beta_k}^n$  is a  $\tau_i^{\beta_k}$ -open set of  $X_{\beta_k}, k = 1, \dots, m$ . It can be done because any  $\rho_i$ -open set is a union of  $\rho_i$ -basis elements. Now

$$\begin{aligned} & \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\prod \{X_\alpha : \alpha \neq \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m\} \times V_{\beta_1}^n \times \dots \times V_{\beta_m}^n\right) \\ &= \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\prod \{X_\alpha : \alpha \neq \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m\}\right) \times \left(\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V_{\beta_1}^n\right) \times \dots \times \left(\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V_{\beta_m}^n\right) \\ &= \prod \left\{\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_\alpha : \alpha \neq \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m\right\} \times \left(\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V_{\beta_1}^n\right) \times \dots \times \left(\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V_{\beta_m}^n\right) \\ &= \prod \{X_\alpha : \alpha \neq \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m\} \times \left(\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V_{\beta_1}^n\right) \times \dots \times \left(\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V_{\beta_m}^n\right) \end{aligned}$$

is a  $\rho_i$ -basis element since  $X_{\beta_k}$  is  $\tau_i^{\beta_k}$ - $P$ -spaces. Therefore  $\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta} X_\alpha$  is  $\rho_i$ - $P$ -space. ■

The Proposition 3.2 leads to the following corollaries.

**Corollary 3.5.** *Let  $\{(X_k, \tau_1^k, \tau_2^k) : k = 1, \dots, n, k \neq m, m \leq n\}$  be a collection of  $\tau_i^k$ -Lindelöf  $\tau_i^k$ - $P$ -spaces and  $(X_m, \tau_1^m, \tau_2^m)$  a  $\tau_i^m$ -Lindelöf space. Then  $(\prod_{k=1}^n X_k, \rho_1, \rho_2)$  is  $\rho_i$ -Lindelöf where  $\rho_i$  is a product topology.*

*Proof.* It follows by induction of  $k$ , and noting the fact that the topological product is commutative, associative and using the Corollary 3.4. ■

**Corollary 3.6.** *Let  $\{(X_k, \tau_1^k, \tau_2^k) : k = 1, \dots, n, k \neq m, m \leq n\}$  be a collection of Lindelöf  $P$ -spaces and  $(X_m, \tau_1^m, \tau_2^m)$  a Lindelöf space. Then  $(\prod_{k=1}^n X_k, \rho_1, \rho_2)$  is Lindelöf where  $\rho_i$  is a product topology.*

Recall that a function  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is called  $i$ -closed if  $f(U)$  is  $\sigma_i$ -closed set in  $Y$  for every  $\tau_i$ -closed set  $U$  in  $X$ ,  $f$  is said closed if it is  $i$ -closed for each  $i = 1, 2$ . From elementary general topology it is well-known that, if  $X$  is a topological space and suppose a neighbourhood base has been fixed at each  $x \in X$ , then  $F \subseteq X$  is closed if and only if each point  $x \notin F$  has a basic neighbourhood disjoint from  $F$  (see [19]). Now we can prove the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a  $\tau_i$ -Lindelöf space and  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  a  $\sigma_i$ - $P$ -space. Then the projection  $\pi_Y : (X \times Y, \rho_1, \rho_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is  $i$ -closed where  $\rho_i$  is a product topology.*

*Proof.* Let  $U$  be a  $\rho_i$ -closed set in  $X \times Y$  and let  $y_0 \notin \pi_Y(U)$ . Clearly  $X \times \{y_0\} \cap U = \emptyset$ , so the point  $(x, y_0) \notin U$  has a  $\rho_i$ -basic neighbourhood  $V_x \times W_{x,y_0}$  disjoint from  $U$  where  $V_x$  is  $\tau_i$ -open set in  $X$  containing  $x$  and  $W_{x,y_0}$  is  $\sigma_i$ -open set in  $Y$  containing  $y_0$ . Now  $\{V_x \times W_{x,y_0} : x \in X\}$  forms a  $\rho_i$ -open cover of  $X \times \{y_0\}$  by  $\rho_i$ -open sets in  $X \times Y$ . Since  $X \times \{y_0\}$  is  $i$ -homeomorphic to  $X$ , then  $X \times \{y_0\}$  is  $\rho_i$ -Lindelöf with respect to the inducted bitopology from  $(\rho_1, \rho_2)$ . So  $X \times \{y_0\}$  is  $\rho_i$ -Lindelöf relative to  $X \times Y$  and hence there exists a countable subfamily  $\{V_{x_n} \times W_{x_n,y_0} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  such that  $X \times \{y_0\} \subseteq \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (V_{x_n} \times W_{x_n,y_0}) = \left( \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V_{x_n} \right) \times \left( \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} W_{x_n,y_0} \right)$ . Set  $W = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} W_{x_n,y_0}$  and since  $Y$  is a  $\sigma_i$ - $P$ -space,  $W$  is a  $\sigma_i$ -open neighbourhood of  $y_0$ . We need to prove that  $W \cap \pi_Y(U) = \emptyset$ . Now suppose that  $W \cap \pi_Y(U) \neq \emptyset$ , then there exists a point  $y_1 \in W$  and  $y_1 \in \pi_Y(U)$ . Hence  $y_1 \in W_{x_n,y_0}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and therefore  $(x_n, y_1) \in V_{x_n} \times W_{x_n,y_0}$ . On the other hand,  $X \times \{y_1\} \cap U \neq \emptyset$  and this implies that  $(x_n, y_1) \in U$  which is a contradiction. Thus  $\pi_Y(U)$  is  $\sigma_i$ -closed set in  $Y$ . This implies that  $\pi_Y$  is  $i$ -closed. ■

We can extend this result to arbitrary product space thus we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let  $\{(X_\alpha, \tau_1^\alpha, \tau_2^\alpha) : \alpha \in \Delta, \alpha \neq \beta\}$  be a collection of  $\tau_i^\alpha$ -Lindelöf space and  $(X_\beta, \tau_1^\beta, \tau_2^\beta)$  a  $\tau_i^\beta$ - $P$ -space. Then the projection  $\pi_\beta : \left(\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta} X_\alpha, \rho_1, \rho_2\right) \rightarrow (X_\beta, \tau_1^\beta, \tau_2^\beta)$  is  $i$ -closed where  $\rho_i$  is a product topology.*

*Proof.* Let  $U$  be a  $\rho_i$ -closed set in  $\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta} X_\alpha$  and let  $y_0 \notin \pi_\beta(U)$ . Clearly  $\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta, \alpha \neq \beta} X_\alpha \times \{y_0\} \cap U = \emptyset$ , so the point  $(x_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta)$  where  $x_\beta = y_0$  does not belong to  $U$  has a  $\rho_i$ -basic neighbourhood  $\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta, \alpha \neq \beta} V_{x_\alpha} \times W_{x_\alpha,y_0}$  disjoint from  $U$  where  $V_{x_\alpha}$  is  $\tau_i^\alpha$ -open set in  $X_\alpha$  containing  $x_\alpha, \alpha \neq \beta$  and  $W_{x_\alpha,y_0}$  is  $\tau_i^\beta$ -open set in  $X_\beta$  containing  $y_0$ . Now  $\left\{ \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta, \alpha \neq \beta} V_{x_\alpha} \times W_{x_\alpha,y_0} : x_\alpha \in X_\alpha \right\}$  form a  $\rho_i$ -open cover of  $\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta, \alpha \neq \beta} X_\alpha \times \{y_0\}$  by  $\rho_i$ -open sets in  $\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta} X_\alpha$ . Since  $\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta, \alpha \neq \beta} X_\alpha \times \{y_0\}$  is  $i$ -homeomorphic to  $\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta, \alpha \neq \beta} X_\alpha$ , then  $\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta, \alpha \neq \beta} X_\alpha \times \{y_0\}$  is  $\rho_i$ -Lindelöf with respect to the inducted bitopology from  $(\rho_1, \rho_2)$ . So  $\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta, \alpha \neq \beta} X_\alpha \times \{y_0\}$  is  $\rho_i$ -Lindelöf relative to  $\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta} X_\alpha$  and hence there exists a countable subfamily  $\left\{ \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta, \alpha \neq \beta} V_{x_\alpha^n} \times W_{x_\alpha^n,y_0} : n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta, \alpha \neq \beta} X_\alpha \times \{y_0\} &\subseteq \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left( \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta, \alpha \neq \beta} V_{x_\alpha^n} \times W_{x_\alpha^n,y_0} \right) \\ &= \left( \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left( \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta, \alpha \neq \beta} V_{x_\alpha^n} \right) \right) \times \left( \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} W_{x_\alpha^n,y_0} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Set  $W = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} W_{x_\alpha^n,y_0}$  and since  $X_\beta$  is a  $\tau_i^\beta$ - $P$ -space,  $W$  is a  $\tau_i^\beta$ -open neighbourhood of  $y_0$ . We need to prove that  $W \cap \pi_\beta(U) = \emptyset$ . Now suppose that  $W \cap \pi_\beta(U) \neq \emptyset$ ,

then there exists a point  $y_1 \in W$  and  $y_1 \in \pi_\beta(U)$ . Hence  $y_1 \in W_{x_\alpha^n, y_0}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and therefore  $(x_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta)$  where  $x_\beta = y_1$  belong to  $\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta, \alpha \neq \beta} V_{x_\alpha^n} \times W_{x_\alpha^n, y_0}$ . On the other hand,  $\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta, \alpha \neq \beta} X_\alpha \times \{y_1\} \cap U \neq \emptyset$  and this implies that  $(x_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta)$  where  $x_\beta = y_1$  belong to  $U$  which is a contradiction. Thus  $\pi_Y(U)$  is  $\tau_i^\beta$ -closed set in  $X_\beta$ . This implies that  $\pi_\beta$  is  $i$ -closed. ■

#### 4. Product of $B$ -Lindelöf spaces

**Definition 4.1.** A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called  $(i, j)$ -compact [1] (resp.  $(i, j)$ -Lindelöf [9, 14]) if for every  $i$ -open cover of  $X$  there is a finite (resp. countable)  $j$ -open subcover. Similarly,  $X$  is called  $B$ -compact [1] (resp.  $B$ -Lindelöf [9, 14]) if it is both  $(1, 2)$ -compact (resp.  $(1, 2)$ -Lindelöf) and  $(2, 1)$ -compact (resp.  $(2, 1)$ -Lindelöf).

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -compact space and  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  a  $(\sigma_i, \sigma_j)$ -compact space. Then  $(X \times Y, \rho_1, \rho_2)$  is  $(\rho_i, \rho_j)$ -compact where  $\rho_i$  is a product topology.

*Proof.* The proof of this theorem is similar to the Theorem 3.1, so we omit the details. ■

It is clear that if  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $B$ -Lindelöf, then  $(X, \tau_i)$  must be a Lindelöf space for each  $i = 1, 2$ , i.e.,  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a Lindelöf space. In general, the product of any two  $(i, j)$ -Lindelöf spaces need not be  $(i, j)$ -Lindelöf or the product of any two  $B$ -Lindelöf spaces need not be  $B$ -Lindelöf as the following example show.

**Example 4.1.** Let  $\tau_s$  denotes the Sorgenfrey topology on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then the bitopological space  $(\mathbb{R}, \tau_s, \tau_s)$  is  $B$ -Lindelöf. However  $(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \tau_s \times \tau_s, \tau_s \times \tau_s)$  is not  $B$ -Lindelöf, for the topological space  $(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \tau_s \times \tau_s)$  is not Lindelöf (see [17]).

The following example gives further explanation for the  $B$ -Lindelöf spaces and to show that some of them satisfying the product invariant property.

**Example 4.2.** Let  $\mathcal{B}_1 = \{\mathbb{R}, \{x\} : x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}\}$  and  $\mathcal{B}_2 = \{\mathbb{R}, \{x\} : x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{1\}\}$ . Let  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  are the topologies on  $\mathbb{R}$  which are generated by the bases  $\mathcal{B}_1$  and  $\mathcal{B}_2$  respectively. Then  $(\mathbb{R}, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $B$ -Lindelöf, for any  $\tau_i$ -open cover of  $\mathbb{R}$  must contain  $\mathbb{R}$  as a member [9]. We obtain that  $(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \tau_1 \times \tau_1, \tau_2 \times \tau_2)$  is  $B$ -Lindelöf since for any  $(\tau_i \times \tau_i)$ -open cover of  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$  must contain  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$  as a member. So the bitopological space  $(\mathbb{R}, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is satisfying the product invariant property.

**Theorem 4.2.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -Lindelöf space and  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  a  $(\sigma_i, \sigma_j)$ -compact space. Then  $(X \times Y, \rho_1, \rho_2)$  is  $(\rho_i, \rho_j)$ -Lindelöf where  $\rho_i$  is a product topology.

*Proof.* The proof is straightforward on following the Theorems 3.1 and 4.1, so we omit the details. ■

**Definition 4.2.** A bitopological space  $X$  is said to be  $(i, j)$ - $P$ -space if countable intersection of  $i$ -open sets in  $X$  is  $j$ -open.  $X$  is said  $B$ - $P$ -space if it is  $(1, 2)$ - $P$ -space and  $(2, 1)$ - $P$ -space.

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -Lindelöf  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ - $P$ -space and  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  a  $(\sigma_i, \sigma_j)$ -Lindelöf space. Then  $(X \times Y, \rho_1, \rho_2)$  is  $(\rho_i, \rho_j)$ -Lindelöf where  $\rho_i$  is a product topology.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  be a  $\rho_i$ -open cover of  $X \times Y$ . Then as in proof of Proposition 3.1, we may restrict our attention to the cover  $\{V_\alpha \times W_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  of  $X \times Y$  by the  $\rho_i$ -basis elements where each  $V_\alpha \times W_\alpha$  is contained in some member of  $\mathcal{U}$ . For each  $x \in X$ , let  $Y_x = \{x\} \times Y$  which is  $i$ -homeomorphic to  $Y$  and hence  $Y_x$  is  $(\rho_i, \rho_j)$ -Lindelöf with respect to the inducted bitopology from  $(\rho_1, \rho_2)$ . So  $Y_x$  is  $(\rho_i, \rho_j)$ -Lindelöf relative to  $X \times Y$  and since  $\{V_\alpha \times W_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  also covers  $Y_x$ , there must exist a countable  $\rho_j$ -open subcover  $\{V_{x, \alpha_n} \times W_{x, \alpha_n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of  $Y_x$  by sets which have a nonempty intersection with  $Y_x$ . Letting  $H_x = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V_{x, \alpha_n}$ , we see that  $H_x$  is a  $\tau_i$ -open set of  $X$  containing  $x$  since  $X$  is a  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ - $P$ -space. The above countable  $\rho_j$ -open subcover  $\{V_{x, \alpha_n} \times W_{x, \alpha_n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  actually covers  $H_x \times Y$ . Now  $\{H_x : x \in X\}$  is a  $\tau_i$ -open cover of  $X$ . Since  $X$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -Lindelöf, there exists a countable  $\tau_j$ -open subcover  $\{H_{x_k} : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . But then  $\{\{V_{x_k, \alpha_n} \times W_{x_k, \alpha_n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\} : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$  covers  $X \times Y$ . Since  $\{\{V_{x_k, \alpha_n} \times W_{x_k, \alpha_n} : k \in \mathbb{N}\} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a countable  $\rho_j$ -open subcover, we have that  $X \times Y$  is  $(\rho_i, \rho_j)$ -Lindelöf. ■

**Corollary 4.1.** *Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a  $B$ -Lindelöf  $B$ - $P$ -space and  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  a  $B$ -Lindelöf space. Then  $(X \times Y, \rho_1, \rho_2)$  is  $B$ -Lindelöf where  $\rho_i$  is a product topology.*

Now if we take a collection of finite  $(i, j)$ -Lindelöf  $(j, i)$ - $P$ -spaces and an  $(i, j)$ -Lindelöf space then the above result is still true. One can easily see this on noting that the topological product is commutative and associative, the result will then follow by induction. We state the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $P$ -space and  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  a  $(\sigma_i, \sigma_j)$ - $P$ -space. Then  $(X \times Y, \rho_1, \rho_2)$  is  $(\rho_i, \rho_j)$ - $P$ -space where  $\rho_i$  is a product topology.*

*Proof.* Let  $\{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a countable collection of  $\rho_i$ -open sets in  $X \times Y$ . Then as in the proof of Lemma 3.1, we may restrict our attention to the countable collection of  $\rho_i$ -basis element  $\{V_n \times W_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of  $X \times Y$  because any  $\rho_i$ -open set is a union of  $\rho_i$ -basis elements. Now  $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (V_n \times W_n) = \left(\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V_n\right) \times \left(\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} W_n\right)$  is a  $\rho_j$ -basis element since  $X$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $P$ -space and  $Y$  is  $(\sigma_i, \sigma_j)$ - $P$ -space. Therefore  $X \times Y$  is  $(\rho_i, \rho_j)$ - $P$ -space. ■

**Corollary 4.2.** *Let  $\{(X_k, \tau_1^k, \tau_2^k) : k = 1, \dots, n\}$  be a collection of  $(\tau_i^k, \tau_j^k)$ - $P$ -spaces. Then  $(\prod_{k=1}^n X_k, \rho_1, \rho_2)$  is  $(\rho_i, \rho_j)$ - $P$ -space where  $\rho_i$  is a product topology.*

*Proof.* It follows by induction of  $k$ . ■

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let  $\{(X_\alpha, \tau_1^\alpha, \tau_2^\alpha) : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  be a collection of  $(\tau_i^\alpha, \tau_j^\alpha)$ - $P$ -spaces. Then  $(\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta} X_\alpha, \rho_1, \rho_2)$  is  $(\rho_i, \rho_j)$ - $P$ -space where  $\rho_i$  is a product topology.*

*Proof.* Let  $\{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a countable collection of  $\rho_i$ -open sets in  $\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta} X_\alpha$ . Then as in the proof of Lemma 3.1, we may restrict our attention to the countable collection of  $\rho_i$ -basis element  $\left\{\prod \{X_\alpha : \alpha \neq \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m\} \times V_{\beta_1}^n \times \dots \times V_{\beta_m}^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$  of



$\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta} X_\alpha$  where  $V_{\beta_k}^n$  is a  $\tau_i^{\beta_k}$ -open set of  $X_{\beta_k}$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, m$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} & \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left( \prod \{X_\alpha : \alpha \neq \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m\} \times V_{\beta_1}^n \times \dots \times V_{\beta_m}^n \right) \\ &= \prod \{X_\alpha : \alpha \neq \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m\} \times \left( \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V_{\beta_1}^n \right) \times \dots \times \left( \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V_{\beta_m}^n \right) \end{aligned}$$

is a  $\rho_j$ -basis element since  $X_{\beta_k}$  is  $(\tau_i^{\beta_k}, \tau_j^{\beta_k})$ - $P$ -spaces. Therefore  $\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta} X_\alpha$  is  $(\rho_i, \rho_j)$ - $P$ -space.  $\blacksquare$

**Corollary 4.3.** Let  $\{(X_k, \tau_1^k, \tau_2^k) : k = 1, \dots, n, k \neq m, m \leq n\}$  be a collection of  $(\tau_i^k, \tau_j^k)$ -Lindelöf  $(\tau_j^k, \tau_i^k)$ - $P$ -spaces and  $(X_m, \tau_1^m, \tau_2^m)$  a  $(\tau_i^m, \tau_j^m)$ -Lindelöf space. Then

$$\left( \prod_{k=1}^n X_k, \rho_1, \rho_2 \right)$$

is  $(\rho_i, \rho_j)$ -Lindelöf where  $\rho_i$  is a product topology.

*Proof.* It follows by induction of  $k$  and the Corollary 4.2.  $\blacksquare$

**Corollary 4.4.** Let  $\{(X_k, \tau_1^k, \tau_2^k) : k = 1, \dots, n, k \neq m, m \leq n\}$  be a collection of  $B$ -Lindelöf  $B$ - $P$ -spaces and  $(X_m, \tau_1^m, \tau_2^m)$  a  $B$ -Lindelöf space. Then  $(\prod_{k=1}^n X_k, \rho_1, \rho_2)$  is  $B$ -Lindelöf where  $\rho_i$  is a product topology.

**Proposition 4.3.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -Lindelöf  $\tau_j$ - $P$ -space and  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  a  $(\sigma_i, \sigma_j)$ -Lindelöf space. Then  $(X \times Y, \rho_1, \rho_2)$  is  $(\rho_i, \rho_j)$ -Lindelöf where  $\rho_i$  is a product topology.

*Proof.* Similar with the proof of the Proposition 4.1.  $\blacksquare$

**Corollary 4.5.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a  $B$ -Lindelöf  $P$ -space and  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  a  $B$ -Lindelöf space. Then  $(X \times Y, \rho_1, \rho_2)$  is  $B$ -Lindelöf where  $\rho_i$  is a product topology.

**Corollary 4.6.** Let  $\{(X_k, \tau_1^k, \tau_2^k) : k = 1, \dots, n, k \neq m, m \leq n\}$  be a collection of  $(\tau_j^k, \tau_i^k)$ -Lindelöf  $\tau_j^k$ - $P$ -spaces and  $(X_m, \tau_1^m, \tau_2^m)$  a  $(\tau_i^m, \tau_j^m)$ -Lindelöf space. Then  $(\prod_{k=1}^n X_k, \rho_1, \rho_2)$  is  $(\rho_i, \rho_j)$ -Lindelöf where  $\rho_i$  is a product topology.

*Proof.* It follows by induction of  $k$  and the Corollary 3.4.  $\blacksquare$

**Corollary 4.7.** Let  $\{(X_k, \tau_1^k, \tau_2^k) : k = 1, \dots, n, k \neq m, m \leq n\}$  be a collection of  $B$ -Lindelöf  $P$ -spaces and  $(X_m, \tau_1^m, \tau_2^m)$  a  $B$ -Lindelöf space. Then  $(\prod_{k=1}^n X_k, \rho_1, \rho_2)$  is  $B$ -Lindelöf where  $\rho_i$  is a product topology.

**Definition 4.3.** A function  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is called  $(i, j)$ -closed if  $f(U)$  is  $\sigma_j$ -closed set in  $Y$  for every  $\tau_i$ -closed set  $U$  in  $X$ ,  $f$  is said pairwise closed if it is both  $(1, 2)$ -closed and  $(2, 1)$ -closed.

**Proposition 4.4.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -Lindelöf space and  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  a  $\sigma_j$ - $P$ -space. Then the projection  $\pi_Y : (X \times Y, \rho_1, \rho_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is  $(i, j)$ -closed where  $\rho_i$  is a product topology.

*Proof.* The proof is similar with the proof of the Proposition 3.3 and thus we omit the details.  $\blacksquare$

We proceed this result to the arbitrary product spaces and we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 4.5.** *Let  $\{(X_\alpha, \tau_1^\alpha, \tau_2^\alpha) : \alpha \in \Delta, \alpha \neq \beta\}$  be a collection of  $(\tau_i^\alpha, \tau_j^\alpha)$ -Lindelöf space and  $(X_\beta, \tau_1^\beta, \tau_2^\beta)$  a  $\tau_j^\beta$ - $P$ -space. Then the projection  $\pi_\beta : (\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta} X_\alpha, \rho_1, \rho_2) \rightarrow (X_\beta, \tau_1^\beta, \tau_2^\beta)$  is  $(i, j)$ -closed where  $\rho_i$  is a product topology.*

*Proof.* Let  $U$  be a  $\rho_i$ -closed set in  $\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta} X_\alpha$  and let  $y_0 \notin \pi_\beta(U)$ . Following the proof of Proposition 3.4,  $\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta, \alpha \neq \beta} X_\alpha \times \{y_0\}$  is  $(\rho_i, \rho_j)$ -Lindelöf relative to  $\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta} X_\alpha$  and hence there exists a countable  $\rho_j$ -open subfamily  $\left\{ \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta, \alpha \neq \beta} V_{x_\alpha^n} \times W_{x_\alpha^n, y_0} : n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta, \alpha \neq \beta} X_\alpha \times \{y_0\} &\subseteq \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left( \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta, \alpha \neq \beta} V_{x_\alpha^n} \times W_{x_\alpha^n, y_0} \right) \\ &= \left( \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left( \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta, \alpha \neq \beta} V_{x_\alpha^n} \right) \right) \times \left( \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} W_{x_\alpha^n, y_0} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Set  $W = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} W_{x_\alpha^n, y_0}$  and since  $X_\beta$  is a  $\tau_j^\beta$ - $P$ -space,  $W$  is a  $\tau_j^\beta$ -open neighbourhood of  $y_0$  such that  $W \cap \pi_\beta(U) = \emptyset$ . Thus  $\pi_\beta(U)$  is  $\tau_j^\beta$ -closed set in  $X_\beta$ . This implies that  $\pi_\beta$  is  $(i, j)$ -closed. ■

**5. Product of  $s$ -Lindelöf spaces**

**Definition 5.1.** *A cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open if  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \tau_1 \cup \tau_2$  [18]. If, in addition,  $\mathcal{U}$  contains at least one nonempty member of  $\tau_1$  and at least one nonempty member of  $\tau_2$ , it is called  $p$ -open [8].*

**Definition 5.2.** *A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called  $s$ -compact [3] (resp.  $s$ -Lindelöf [9]) if every  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open cover of  $X$  has a finite (resp. countable) subcover.*

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  and  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  are  $s$ -compact spaces. Then  $(X \times Y, \rho_1, \rho_2)$  is  $s$ -compact where  $\rho_i$  is a product topology.*

*Proof.* The proof is similar with the previous Theorems 3.1 and 4.1. ■

The product is still invariant if we take a finite collection of  $s$ -compact spaces as stated in the following corollary. The result will then follow by induction.

**Corollary 5.1.** *Let  $\{(X_k, \tau_1^k, \tau_2^k) : k = 1, \dots, n\}$  be a collection of  $s$ -compact spaces. Then  $(\prod_{k=1}^n X_k, \rho_1, \rho_2)$  is  $s$ -compact where  $\rho_i$  is a product topology.*

The product of any two  $s$ -Lindelöf spaces also need not be  $s$ -Lindelöf as the following counter-example shows.

**Example 5.1.** Let  $\tau_u$  and  $\tau_s$  denotes the usual topology and Sorgenfrey topology on  $\mathbb{R}$  respectively. Then the bitopological space  $(\mathbb{R}, \tau_u, \tau_s)$  is  $s$ -Lindelöf. However

$$(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \tau_u \times \tau_u, \tau_s \times \tau_s)$$

is not  $s$ -Lindelöf, for it follows immediately from the observation that any  $(\tau_s \times \tau_s)$ -open cover of  $(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \tau_u \times \tau_u, \tau_s \times \tau_s)$  is  $(\tau_u \times \tau_u)(\tau_s \times \tau_s)$ -open and the topological space  $(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \tau_s \times \tau_s)$  is not Lindelöf (see [17]).

**Theorem 5.2.** *Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be an  $s$ -Lindelöf space and  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  an  $s$ -compact space. Then  $(X \times Y, \rho_1, \rho_2)$  is  $s$ -Lindelöf where  $\rho_i$  is a product topology.*

*Proof.* The proof is straightforward thus we omit the details. ■

The above result is still hold if we take an  $s$ -Lindelöf space and a collection of finite  $s$ -compact spaces as stated in the following corollary.

**Corollary 5.2.** *Let  $(X_m, \tau_1^m, \tau_2^m)$  be an  $s$ -Lindelöf space and*

$$\{(X_k, \tau_1^k, \tau_2^k) : k = 1, \dots, n, k \neq m, m \leq n\}$$

*a collection of  $s$ -compact spaces. Then  $(\prod_{k=1}^n X_k, \rho_1, \rho_2)$  is  $s$ -Lindelöf where  $\rho_i$  is a product topology.*

*Proof.* It follows immediately by the fact that the product of topological space is commutative, associative in the Corollary 5.1. ■

**Definition 5.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  be a  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open cover of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Then  $X$  is said to be  $s$ - $P$ -space if for each  $x \in X$  there exists a countable subfamily  $\{U_{x, \alpha_n} : x \in X\}$  where  $x \in U_{x, \alpha_n}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that*

$$\left\{ \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_{x, \alpha_n} : x \in X \right\} \subseteq \tau_1 \cup \tau_2.$$

**Proposition 5.1.** *Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be an  $s$ -Lindelöf space  $s$ - $P$ -space and  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  an  $s$ -Lindelöf space. Then  $(X \times Y, \rho_1, \rho_2)$  is  $s$ -Lindelöf where  $\rho_i$  is a product topology.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  be a  $\rho_1\rho_2$ -open cover of  $X \times Y$ . Then  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \rho_1 \cup \rho_2$ . We may restrict our attention to a cover  $\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W}$  of  $X \times Y$  with  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  and  $\mathcal{W} = \{W_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  are  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open cover and  $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ -open cover of  $X$  and  $Y$  respectively where  $\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W}$  is contained in  $\mathcal{U}$ , since any subcover of this cover will lead immediately to a subcover chosen from the original cover  $\mathcal{U}$ . Hence  $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \tau_1 \cup \tau_2$  and  $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \sigma_1 \cup \sigma_2$ . Now for each  $x \in X$ , let  $Y_x = \{x\} \times Y$  which is homeomorphic to  $Y$  and hence  $Y_x$  is  $s$ -Lindelöf with respect to the inducted bitopology from  $(\rho_1, \rho_2)$ . So  $Y_x$  is  $s$ -Lindelöf relative to  $X \times Y$  and since  $\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\} \times \{W_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  also covers  $Y_x$ , there must exist a countable  $\rho_1\rho_2$ -open subcover  $\{\{V_{x, \alpha_k}\} \times \{W_{x, \alpha_k}\} : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of  $Y_x$  by sets which have a nonempty intersection with  $Y_x$ . Letting  $H_x = \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} V_{x, \alpha_k}$ , we see that  $H_x$  contains  $x$  and hence  $\{H_x : x \in X\} \subseteq \tau_1 \cup \tau_2$  since  $X$  is  $s$ - $P$ -space. The above countable  $\rho_1\rho_2$ -open subcover  $\{\{V_{x, \alpha_k}\} \times \{W_{x, \alpha_k}\} : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$  actually covers  $H_x \times Y$ . Now the family  $\{H_x : x \in X\}$  is a  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open cover of  $X$ . Since  $X$  is  $s$ -Lindelöf, there exists a countable subcover  $\{H_{x_n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . But then  $\{\{\{V_{x_n, \alpha_k}\} \times \{W_{x_n, \alpha_k}\} : k \in \mathbb{N}\} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  covers  $X \times Y$ . Since  $\{\{\{V_{x_n, \alpha_k}\} \times \{W_{x_n, \alpha_k}\} : k \in \mathbb{N}\} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a countable  $\rho_1\rho_2$ -open subcover, we have that  $X \times Y$  is  $s$ -Lindelöf. ■

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  and  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  are  $s$ - $P$ -spaces. Then  $(X \times Y, \rho_1, \rho_2)$  is  $s$ - $P$ -space where  $\rho_i$  is a product topology.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ ,  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  and  $\mathcal{W} = \{W_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  are  $\rho_1\rho_2$ -open cover of  $X \times Y$ ,  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open cover of  $X$  and  $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ -open cover of  $Y$  respectively. For each  $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ , let  $\{U_{(x, y), \alpha_n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a countable subfamily of  $\mathcal{U}$  containing  $(x, y)$ . We may restrict our attention to a countable subfamily

$\{V_{x,\alpha_n} \times W_{y,\alpha_n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of  $\mathcal{U}$  containing  $(x, y)$  where  $\{V_{x,\alpha_n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a countable subfamily of  $\mathcal{V}$  containing  $x$ ,  $\left\{ \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V_{x,\alpha_n} : x \in X \right\} \subseteq \tau_1 \cup \tau_2$ ; and  $\{W_{y,\alpha_n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a countable subfamily of  $\mathcal{W}$  containing  $y$ ,  $\left\{ \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} W_{y,\alpha_n} : y \in Y \right\} \subseteq \sigma_1 \cup \sigma_2$ , since any countable subfamily of this form will lead immediately to a countable subfamily chosen from the original cover  $\mathcal{U}$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (V_{x,\alpha_n} \times W_{y,\alpha_n}) : (x, y) \in X \times Y \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \left( \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V_{x,\alpha_n} \right) \times \left( \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} W_{y,\alpha_n} \right) : x \in X, y \in Y \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V_{x,\alpha_n} : x \in X \right\} \times \left\{ \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} W_{y,\alpha_n} : y \in Y \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\left\{ \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V_{x,\alpha_n} : x \in X \right\} \subseteq \tau_1 \cup \tau_2$$

and

$$\left\{ \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} W_{y,\alpha_n} : y \in Y \right\} \subseteq \sigma_1 \cup \sigma_2,$$

then

$$\left\{ \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (V_{x,\alpha_n} \times W_{y,\alpha_n}) : (x, y) \in X \times Y \right\} \subseteq \rho_1 \cup \rho_2.$$

Therefore  $X \times Y$  is  $s$ - $P$ -space. ■

**Corollary 5.3.** *Let  $\{(X_k, \tau_1^k, \tau_2^k) : k = 1, \dots, n\}$  be a collection of  $s$ - $P$ -spaces. Then  $(\prod_{k=1}^n X_k, \rho_1, \rho_2)$  is  $s$ - $P$ -space where  $\rho_i$  is a product topology.*

*Proof.* It follows by induction of  $k$ . ■

The result of Proposition 5.1 can also be extended to a collection of finite  $s$ -Lindelöf  $s$ - $P$ -space and an  $s$ -Lindelöf space as follows.

**Corollary 5.4.** *Let  $\{(X_k, \tau_1^k, \tau_2^k) : k = 1, \dots, n, k \neq m, m \leq n\}$  be a collection of  $s$ -Lindelöf  $s$ - $P$ -spaces and  $(X_m, \tau_1^m, \tau_2^m)$  an  $s$ -Lindelöf space. Then  $(\prod_{k=1}^n X_k, \rho_1, \rho_2)$  is  $s$ -Lindelöf where  $\rho_i$  is a product topology.*

*Proof.* It follows by induction of  $k$  and Corollary 5.3. ■

### 6. Product of $p$ -Lindelöf spaces

**Definition 6.1.** *A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called  $p$ -compact [8] (resp.  $p$ -Lindelöf [9]) if every  $p$ -open cover of  $X$  has a finite (resp. countable) subcover.*

**Theorem 6.1.** *Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  and  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  are  $p$ -compact spaces. Then  $(X \times Y, \rho_1, \rho_2)$  is  $p$ -compact where  $\rho_i$  is a product topology.*

*Proof.* The proof is similar with the Proposition 5.1. ■

The product is still invariant if we take a finite collection of  $p$ -compact spaces thus we state the following corollary.

**Corollary 6.1.** *Let  $\{(X_k, \tau_1^k, \tau_2^k) : k = 1, \dots, n\}$  be a collection of  $p$ -compact spaces. Then  $(\prod_{k=1}^n X_k, \rho_1, \rho_2)$  is  $p$ -compact where  $\rho_i$  is a product topology.*

Fora and Hdeib [9] stated that every  $s$ -Lindelöf space is Lindelöf and  $p$ -Lindelöf. In the same paper, see Example 2.34, Fora and Hdeib showed that the product of any two  $p$ -Lindelöf spaces need not be  $p$ -Lindelöf. But the product of a  $p$ -Lindelöf space and  $p$ -compact space is always  $p$ -Lindelöf.

**Theorem 6.2.** *Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a  $p$ -Lindelöf space and  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  a  $p$ -compact space. Then  $(X \times Y, \rho_1, \rho_2)$  is  $p$ -Lindelöf where  $\rho_i$  is a product topology.*

*Proof.* The proof follows on using the Theorem 6.1. ■

The above result still holds if we take a  $p$ -Lindelöf space and a collection of finite  $p$ -compact spaces as in the following corollary.

**Corollary 6.2.** *Let  $(X_m, \tau_1^m, \tau_2^m)$  be a  $p$ -Lindelöf space and*

$$\{(X_k, \tau_1^k, \tau_2^k) : k = 1, \dots, n, k \neq m, m \leq n\}$$

*a collection of  $p$ -compact spaces. Then  $(\prod_{k=1}^n X_k, \rho_1, \rho_2)$  is  $p$ -Lindelöf where  $\rho_i$  is a product topology.*

*Proof.* It follows immediately by the fact that the topological product is commutative, associative and the Corollary 6.1. ■

**Definition 6.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  be a  $p$ -open cover of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Then  $X$  is said to be  $p$ - $P$ -space if for each  $x \in X$  and any countable subfamily  $\{U_{x, \alpha_n} : x \in X\}$  of  $\mathcal{U}$  where  $x \in U_{x, \alpha_n}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  satisfying the condition*

*$\left\{ \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_{x, \alpha_n} : x \in X \right\} \subseteq \tau_1 \cup \tau_2$ , the following hold:*

$$\left\{ \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_{x, \alpha_n} : x \in X \right\} \cap \tau_1 \neq \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad \left\{ \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_{x, \alpha_n} : x \in X \right\} \cap \tau_2 \neq \emptyset.$$

**Proposition 6.1.** *Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a  $p$ -Lindelöf space  $p$ - $P$ -space and  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  a  $p$ -Lindelöf space. Then  $(X \times Y, \rho_1, \rho_2)$  is  $p$ -Lindelöf where  $\rho_i$  is a product topology.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  be a  $p$ -open cover of  $X \times Y$ . Then  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \rho_1 \cup \rho_2$ ,  $\mathcal{U} \cap \rho_1$  contains a nonempty set and  $\mathcal{U} \cap \rho_2$  contains a nonempty set. We may restrict our attention to a cover  $\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W}$  of  $X \times Y$  with  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  and  $\mathcal{W} = \{W_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  are  $p$ -open covers of  $X$  and  $Y$  respectively where  $\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W}$  is contained in  $\mathcal{U}$ . Hence  $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \tau_1 \cup \tau_2$ ,  $\mathcal{V} \cap \tau_1$  contains a nonempty set and  $\mathcal{V} \cap \tau_2$  contains a nonempty set, and  $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \sigma_1 \cup \sigma_2$ ,  $\mathcal{W} \cap \sigma_1$  contains a nonempty set and  $\mathcal{W} \cap \sigma_2$  contains a nonempty set. Now for each  $x \in X$ , let  $Y_x = \{x\} \times Y$  which is homeomorphic to  $Y$  and hence  $Y_x$  is  $p$ -Lindelöf with respect to the inducted bitopology from  $(\rho_1, \rho_2)$ . So  $Y_x$  is  $p$ -Lindelöf relative to  $X \times Y$  and since  $\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\} \times \{W_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  also covers  $Y_x$ , there must exists a countable  $p$ -open subcover  $\{\{V_{x, \alpha_n}\} \times \{W_{x, \alpha_n}\} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of

$Y_x$  by sets which have a nonempty intersection with  $Y_x$ . Letting  $H_x = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V_{x, \alpha_n}$ , we see that  $H_x$  contains  $x$  and hence  $\{H_x : x \in X\} \subseteq \tau_1 \cup \tau_2, \{H_x : x \in X\} \cap \tau_1$  contains a nonempty set and  $\{H_x : x \in X\} \cap \tau_2$  contains a nonempty set since  $X$  is  $p$ - $P$ -space. The above countable  $p$ -open subcover  $\{\{V_{x, \alpha_n}\} \times \{W_{x, \alpha_n}\} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  actually covers  $H_x \times Y$ . Now the family  $\{H_x : x \in X\}$  is a  $p$ -open cover of  $X$ . Since  $X$  is  $p$ -Lindelöf, there exists a countable subcover  $\{H_{x_m} : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . But then  $\{\{V_{x_m, \alpha_n}\} \times \{W_{x_m, \alpha_n}\} : n \in \mathbb{N}\} : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$  covers  $X \times Y$ . Since

$$\{\{V_{x_m, \alpha_n}\} \times \{W_{x_m, \alpha_n}\} : n \in \mathbb{N}\} : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

is a countable  $p$ -open subcover, we have that  $X \times Y$  is  $p$ -Lindelöf. ■

**Lemma 6.1.** *Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  and  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be  $p$ - $P$ -spaces. Then  $(X \times Y, \rho_1, \rho_2)$  is  $p$ - $P$ -space where  $\rho_i$  is a product topology.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}, \mathcal{V} = \{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  and  $\mathcal{W} = \{W_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  be  $p$ -open cover of  $X \times Y, X$  and  $Y$  respectively. For each  $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ , let  $\{U_{(x,y), \alpha_n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a countable subfamily of  $\mathcal{U}$  containing  $(x, y)$ . We may restrict our attention to a countable subfamily  $\{V_{x, \alpha_n} \times W_{y, \alpha_n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of  $\mathcal{U}$  containing  $(x, y)$  where  $\{V_{x, \alpha_n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a countable subfamily of  $\mathcal{V}$  containing  $x$ , thus  $\left\{ \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V_{x, \alpha_n} : x \in X \right\} \subseteq \tau_1 \cup \tau_2$ , and  $\left\{ \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V_{x, \alpha_n} : x \in X \right\} \cap \tau_1$  contains a nonempty set, similarly,  $\left\{ \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V_{x, \alpha_n} : x \in X \right\} \cap \tau_2$  contains a nonempty set; and  $\{W_{y, \alpha_n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a countable subfamily of  $\mathcal{W}$  containing  $y$ , thus  $\left\{ \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} W_{y, \alpha_n} : y \in Y \right\} \subseteq \sigma_1 \cup \sigma_2, \left\{ \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} W_{y, \alpha_n} : y \in Y \right\} \cap \sigma_1$  contains a nonempty set, and  $\left\{ \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} W_{y, \alpha_n} : y \in Y \right\} \cap \sigma_2$  contains a nonempty set. Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (V_{x, \alpha_n} \times W_{y, \alpha_n}) : (x, y) \in X \times Y \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V_{x, \alpha_n} : x \in X \right\} \times \left\{ \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} W_{y, \alpha_n} : y \in Y \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and  $\left\{ \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V_{x, \alpha_n} : x \in X \right\} \subseteq \tau_1 \cup \tau_2$  and  $\left\{ \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} W_{y, \alpha_n} : y \in Y \right\} \subseteq \sigma_1 \cup \sigma_2$  satisfying the conditions stated above, then we have  $\left\{ \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (V_{x, \alpha_n} \times W_{y, \alpha_n}) : (x, y) \in X \times Y \right\} \subseteq \rho_1 \cup \rho_2, \left\{ \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (V_{x, \alpha_n} \times W_{y, \alpha_n}) : (x, y) \in X \times Y \right\} \cap \rho_1$  contains also a nonempty set and thus  $\left\{ \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (V_{x, \alpha_n} \times W_{y, \alpha_n}) : (x, y) \in X \times Y \right\}$  contains a nonempty set. Therefore  $X \times Y$  is  $p$ - $P$ -space. ■

**Corollary 6.3.** *Let  $\{(X_k, \tau_1^k, \tau_2^k) : k = 1, \dots, n\}$  be a collection of  $p$ - $P$ -spaces. Then  $(\prod_{k=1}^n X_k, \rho_1, \rho_2)$  is  $p$ - $P$ -space where  $\rho_i$  is a product topology.*

*Proof.* The proof follows easily by using the induction on  $k$ . ■

The result in the Proposition 6.1 can be extended to a collection of a finite  $p$ -Lindelöf  $p$ - $P$ -space and a  $p$ -Lindelöf space as we state in the following corollary.

**Corollary 6.4.** *Let  $\{(X_k, \tau_1^k, \tau_2^k) : k = 1, \dots, n, k \neq m, m \leq n\}$  be a collection of  $p$ -Lindelöf  $p$ - $P$ -spaces and  $(X_m, \tau_1^m, \tau_2^m)$  a  $p$ -Lindelöf space. Then  $(\prod_{k=1}^n X_k, \rho_1, \rho_2)$  is  $p$ -Lindelöf where  $\rho_i$  is a product topology.*

*Proof.* It follows by induction on  $k$  and using the Corollary 6.3. ■

The converse of corresponding theorems, propositions and corollaries above are also true as we state in the following theorem.

**Theorem 6.3.** *Suppose that  $\{(X_\alpha, \tau_1^\alpha, \tau_2^\alpha) : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  be a collection of nonempty bitopological spaces. If  $(\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta} X_\alpha, \rho_1, \rho_2)$  is  $\rho_i$ -Lindelöf (resp. Lindelöf,  $s$ -Lindelöf,  $p$ -Lindelöf,  $(\rho_i, \rho_j)$ -Lindelöf,  $B$ -Lindelöf,  $\rho_i$ -compact, compact,  $s$ -compact,  $p$ -compact,  $(\rho_i, \rho_j)$ -compact or  $B$ -compact), then each  $X_\alpha$  is  $\tau_i^\alpha$ -Lindelöf (resp. Lindelöf,  $s$ -Lindelöf,  $p$ -Lindelöf,  $(\tau_i^\alpha, \tau_j^\alpha)$ -Lindelöf,  $B$ -Lindelöf,  $\tau_i^\alpha$ -compact, compact,  $s$ -compact,  $p$ -compact,  $(\tau_i^\alpha, \tau_j^\alpha)$ -compact or  $B$ -compact) where  $\rho_i$  is a product topology.*

*Proof.* Since each projection map  $\pi_\alpha : \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta} X_\alpha \rightarrow X_\alpha$  is continuous open surjection, the theorem is clearly proved. ■

**Acknowledgement.** The authors gratefully acknowledge that this research was partially supported by Ministry of Science, Technology and Innovations (MOSTI), Malaysia under the e-Science Grant 06-01-04-SF0115. The authors also wish to thank the referees for their constructive comments and suggestions.

## References

- [1] T. Birsan, Compacité dans les espaces bitopologiques, *An. Şti. Univ. "Al. I. Cuza" Iaşi Sect. I a Mat. (N.S.)* **15** (1969), 317–328.
- [2] F. Cammaroto and G. Santoro, Some counterexamples and properties on generalizations of Lindelöf spaces, *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **19** (1996), no. 4, 737–746.
- [3] M. C. Datta, Projective bitopological spaces, *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* **13** (1972), 327–334.
- [4] B. P. Dvalishvili, *Bitopological Spaces: Theory, Relations with Generalized Algebraic Structures, and Applications*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, 199, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2005.
- [5] A. J. Fawakhreh and A. Kılıçman, Semiregular properties and generalized Lindelöf spaces, *Mat. Vesnik* **56** (2004), no. 3-4, 77–80.
- [6] A. J. Fawakhreh and A. Kılıçman, Mappings and some decompositions of continuity on nearly Lindelöf spaces, *Acta Math. Hungar.* **97** (2002), no. 3, 199–206.
- [7] A. J. Fawakhreh and A. Kılıçman, Mappings and decompositions of continuity on almost Lindelöf spaces, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **2006**, Art. ID 98760, 7 pp.
- [8] P. Fletcher, H. B. Hoyle, III and C. W. Patty, The comparison of topologies, *Duke Math. J.* **36** (1969), 325–331.
- [9] A. A. Fora and H. Z. Hdeib, On pairwise Lindelöf spaces, *Rev. Colombiana Mat.* **17** (1983), no. 1–2, 37–57.
- [10] J. C. Kelly, Bitopological spaces, *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)* **13** (1963), 71–89.
- [11] A. Kılıçman and Z. Salleh, Pairwise weakly regular-Lindelöf spaces, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* **2008**, Art. ID 184243, 13 pp.
- [12] A. Kılıçman and Z. Salleh, Pairwise almost Lindelöf bitopological spaces, *Journal of Malaysian Mathematical Sciences*, **1** (2007), no. 2, 227–238.

- [13] A. Kılıçman and Z. Salleh, On the pairwise weakly Lindelöf bitopological spaces, arXiv:0901.4405v1[math:GN] 28 Jan 2009.
- [14] A. Kılıçman and Z. Salleh, On pairwise Lindelöf bitopological spaces, *Topology Appl.* **154** (2007), no. 8, 1600–1607.
- [15] A. Kılıçman and Z. Salleh, Mappings and pairwise continuity on pairwise Lindelöf bitopological spaces, *Albanian J. Math.* **1** (2007), no. 2, 115–120 (electronic).
- [16] W. J. Pervin, *Foundations of General Topology*, Academic Press Textbooks in Mathematics Academic Press, New York, 1964.
- [17] L. A. Steen and J. A. Seebach, Jr., *Counterexamples in Topology*, second edition, Springer, New York, 1978.
- [18] J. Swart, Total disconnectedness in bitopological spaces and product bitopological spaces, *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A* **74**, *Indag. Math.* **33** (1971), 135–145.
- [19] S. Willard, *General Topology*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, MA, 1970.