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#### Abstract

The present work is concerned with the oscillation and asymptotic properties of the third-order mixed neutral differential equation


$\left(a(t)\left(x(t)+p_{1}(t) x\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)+p_{2}(t) x\left(t+\tau_{2}\right)\right)^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime}+q_{1}(t) x\left(t-\tau_{3}\right)+q_{2}(t) x\left(t+\tau_{4}\right)=0, \quad t \geq t_{0}$.
We establish two theorems which guarantee that every solution $x$ of the above equation oscillates or $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t)=0$. These results complement some known results obtained in the literature. Some examples are considered to illustrate the main results.
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## 1. Introduction

In recent years, there has been increasing interest in obtaining sufficient conditions for the oscillation and nonoscillation of solutions of the third-order differential equations, we refer the reader to the papers $[1,2,5,8,15,18-20]$ and $[3,4,6,7,9,10,12-14,16,17,21]$. To the best of our knowledge, it seems to have few results for the oscillation of third-order mixed neutral differential equations, see the articles [ $7,9,10,21]$. We note that neutral differential equations have various applications in problems dealing with vibrating masses attached to an elastic bar and in some variational problems. For further applications and questions concerning the existence and uniqueness of solutions of neutral differential equations, see Hale [11].

[^0]This paper is concerned with the following third-order mixed neutral functional differential equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(a(t)\left(x(t)+p_{1}(t) x\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)+p_{2}(t) x\left(t+\tau_{2}\right)\right)^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime}  \tag{1.1}\\
& +q_{1}(t) x\left(t-\tau_{3}\right)+q_{2}(t) x\left(t+\tau_{4}\right)=0, \quad t \geq t_{0}
\end{align*}
$$

Throughout this paper, we will assume the following assumptions hold.
$\left(h_{1}\right) a \in C^{1}\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right), \mathbb{R}\right), a(t)>0$ for $t \geq t_{0}$;
( $h_{2}$ ) $p_{i} \in C\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right),\left[0, a_{i}\right]\right)$, where $a_{i}$ are constants for $i=1,2$, and $a_{1}+a_{2}<1$;
$\left(h_{3}\right) q_{j} \in C\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right),(0, \infty)\right)$, for $j=1,2$;
(h4) $\tau_{i} \geq 0$ are constants, for $i=1,2,3,4$.
Regarding third-order differential equations, Hanan [15] studied the third order linear differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)+p(t) x(t)=0, \quad t \geq t_{0} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and established some sufficient conditions for oscillation and nonoscillation of equation (1.2). He showed that if

$$
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{3} p(t)>\frac{2}{3 \sqrt{3}}
$$

then every solution of equation (1.2) is oscillatory. Baculíková and Džurina [3] obtained some sufficient conditions which ensure that all nonoscillatory solutions of

$$
\left[a(t)\left([x(t)+p(t) x(\delta(t))]^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\gamma}\right]^{\prime}+q(t) x^{\gamma}(\tau(t))=0, \quad t \geq t_{0}
$$

tend to zero when $t \rightarrow \infty$. Han et al. [12] considered the oscillation of $n$ th-order neutral delay differential equation

$$
(x(t)-p(t) x(\tau(t)))^{\prime \prime \prime}+q(t) f(x(\boldsymbol{\delta}(t)))=0, t \geq t_{0}
$$

and the authors established some oscillation criteria for the above equation which generalized the results given in [15].

For the oscillation of $n$ th-order mixed neutral functional differential equations, Grace [9] obtained some oscillation theorems for the odd order neutral differential equation

$$
\left(x(t)+p_{1} x\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)+p_{2} x\left(t+\tau_{2}\right)\right)^{(n)}=q_{1} x\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right)+q_{2} x\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right), \quad t \geq t_{0}
$$

where $n \geq 1$ is odd. Grace [10] and Yan [21] established several sufficient conditions for the oscillation of higher order neutral functional differential equation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x(t)+c x(t-h)+C x(t+H))^{(n)}+q x(t-g)+Q x(t+G)=0, \quad t \geq t_{0} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q$ and $Q$ are nonnegative real constants.
The aim of this paper is to examine the oscillatory behavior of equation (1.1). By a solution of equation (1.1), we mean a function $x \in C\left(\left[T_{x}, \infty\right), \mathbb{R}\right)$ for some $T_{x} \geq t_{0}$ which has the properties $\left[x(t)+p_{1}(t) x\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)+p_{2}(t) x\left(t+\tau_{2}\right)\right] \in C^{2}\left(\left[T_{x}, \infty\right), \mathbb{R}\right)$ and $a(t)[x(t)+$ $\left.p_{1}(t) x\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)+p_{2}(t) x\left(t+\tau_{2}\right)\right] \in C^{1}\left(\left[T_{x}, \infty\right), \mathbb{R}\right)$ and satisfying equation (1.1) on $\left[T_{x}, \infty\right)$. As is customary, a solution of equation (1.1) is called oscillatory if it has arbitrarily large zeros on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$, otherwise, it is called nonoscillatory. Equation (1.1) is said to be oscillatory if all its solutions oscillate.

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we give some sufficient conditions which guarantee that every solution $x$ of equation (1.1) is either oscillatory or $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t)=0$. In Section 3, two examples are considered to illustrate the main results.

## 2. Main results

In this section we give some new oscillation criteria for equation (1.1). For the sake of convenience, when we write a functional inequality without specifying its domain of validity we assume that it holds for all sufficiently large $t$. Before stating our main results, we begin with the following lemmas which are crucial in the proofs of the main results. In the following, we use the notations

$$
\begin{gathered}
z(t)=x(t)+p_{1}(t) x\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)+p_{2}(t) x\left(t+\tau_{2}\right), \quad Q(t)=Q_{1}(t)+Q_{2}(t), \\
Q_{1}(t)=\min \left\{q_{1}(t), q_{1}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right), q_{1}\left(t+\tau_{2}\right)\right\}, \quad Q_{2}(t)=\min \left\{q_{2}(t), q_{2}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right), q_{2}\left(t+\tau_{2}\right)\right\}, \\
\eta(t)=\frac{k\left(t-\tau_{3}\right)}{2} Q(t), \text { for some } k \in(0,1), \quad \text { and } \quad\left(\rho^{\prime}(t)\right)_{+}=\max \left\{0, \rho^{\prime}(t)\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Lemma 2.1. Assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(t)} \mathrm{d} t=\infty . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, assume that $x$ is a positive solution of equation (1.1). Then there are only the following two cases for $t \geq t_{1}$ sufficiently large:
(1) $z(t)>0, z^{\prime}(t)>0, z^{\prime \prime}(t)>0,\left(a(t) z^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}<0$, or
(2) $z(t)>0, z^{\prime}(t)<0, z^{\prime \prime}(t)>0,\left(a(t) z^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}<0$.

Proof. Let $x$ be a positive solution of equation (1.1). Then there exists a $t_{1} \geq t_{0}$ such that $x(t)>0, x\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)>0, x\left(t+\tau_{2}\right)>0, x\left(t-\tau_{3}\right)>0$ and $x\left(t+\tau_{4}\right)>0$ for all $t \geq t_{1}$. Then $z(t)>0$ for all $t \geq t_{1}$. It follows from equation (1.1) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a(t) z^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}=-q_{1}(t) x\left(t-\tau_{3}\right)-q_{2}(t) x\left(t+\tau_{4}\right)<0, t \geq t_{1} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, $a(t) z^{\prime \prime}(t)$ is strictly decreasing on $\left[t_{1}, \infty\right)$. We claim that $z^{\prime \prime}(t)>0$ for $t \geq t_{1}$. If not, then there exist $t_{2} \geq t_{1}$ and $c_{1}<0$ such that

$$
a(t) z^{\prime \prime}(t) \leq a\left(t_{2}\right) z^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{2}\right) \leq c_{1}, t \geq t_{2}
$$

Integrating the above inequality from $t_{2}$ to $t$, we have

$$
z^{\prime}(t) \leq z^{\prime}\left(t_{2}\right)+c_{1} \int_{t_{2}}^{t} \frac{1}{a(s)} \mathrm{d} s
$$

Letting $t \rightarrow \infty$, then $z^{\prime}(t) \rightarrow-\infty$. Thus, there exist $t_{3} \geq t_{2}$ and $c_{2}<0$ such that for $t \geq t_{3}$,

$$
z^{\prime}(t) \leq c_{2}
$$

Integrating the above inequality from $t_{3}$ to $t$, we obtain

$$
z(t)-z\left(t_{3}\right) \leq c_{2}\left(t-t_{3}\right)
$$

Then $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} z(t)=-\infty$, which is a contradiction. The proof is complete.

Lemma 2.2. [3, Lemma 4] Let $z(t)>0, z^{\prime}(t)>0, z^{\prime \prime}(t)>0, z^{\prime \prime \prime}(t) \leq 0$ on $\left(T_{l}, \infty\right)$. Then, for any $k \in(0,1)$, and for some $t_{k}$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z(t)}{z^{\prime}(t)} \geq \frac{t-T_{l}}{2} \geq \frac{k t}{2} \quad \text { for } \quad t \geq t_{k} \geq T_{l} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.3. Let $x$ be a positive solution of equation (1.1) and the corresponding $z$ satisfy (2) in Lemma 2.1. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} \int_{v}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(u)} \int_{u}^{\infty}\left[q_{1}(s)+q_{2}(s)\right] \mathrm{d} s \mathrm{~d} u \mathrm{~d} v=\infty \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds, then $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t)=0$.
Proof. Note that $a_{1}+a_{2}<1$. The proof of Lemma 2.3 is similar to that of [3, Lemma 2].
Next, we will give some oscillation results which guarantee that every solution $x$ of equation (1.1) oscillates or $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t)=0$.
Theorem 2.1. Assume that (2.1) holds. Suppose further that (2.4) holds, $a^{\prime}(t) \geq 0$ and $\tau_{3} \geq \tau_{1}$. Assume also that there exists $\rho \in C^{1}\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right),(0, \infty)\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{t \rightarrow \infty}{\limsup } \int_{t_{1}}^{t}\left[\rho(s) \eta(s)-\frac{1+a_{1}+a_{2}}{4} \frac{a\left(s-\tau_{3}\right)\left(\left(\rho^{\prime}(s)\right)_{+}\right)^{2}}{\rho(s)}\right] \mathrm{d} s=\infty \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all sufficiently large $t_{1}$. Then every solution $x$ of equation (1.1) oscillates or $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t)=0$.

Proof. Let $x$ be a nonoscillatory solution of (1.1). Without loss of generality, we assume that there exists a $t_{1} \geq t_{0}$ such that $x(t)>0, x\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)>0, x\left(t+\tau_{2}\right)>0, x\left(t-\tau_{3}\right)>0$ and $x\left(t+\tau_{4}\right)>0$ for all $t \geq t_{1}$. Then we have $z(t)>0$ and (2.2) for $t \geq t_{1}$. By applying (1.1), for all sufficiently large $t$, we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(a(t) z^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+q_{1}(t) x\left(t-\tau_{3}\right)+q_{2}(t) x\left(t+\tau_{4}\right) \\
+a_{1}\left(a\left(t-\tau_{1}\right) z^{\prime \prime}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)\right)^{\prime}+a_{1} q_{1}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right) x\left(t-\tau_{1}-\tau_{3}\right)+a_{1} q_{2}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right) x\left(t+\tau_{4}-\tau_{1}\right) \\
+a_{2}\left(a\left(t+\tau_{2}\right) z^{\prime \prime}\left(t+\tau_{2}\right)\right)^{\prime}+a_{2} q_{1}\left(t+\tau_{2}\right) x\left(t+\tau_{2}-\tau_{3}\right)+a_{2} q_{2}\left(t+\tau_{2}\right) x\left(t+\tau_{2}+\tau_{4}\right)=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left(a(t) z^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+a_{1}\left(a\left(t-\tau_{1}\right) z^{\prime \prime}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)\right)^{\prime}+a_{2}\left(a\left(t+\tau_{2}\right) z^{\prime \prime}\left(t+\tau_{2}\right)\right)^{\prime} \\
&+Q_{1}(t) z\left(t-\tau_{3}\right)+Q_{2}(t) z\left(t+\tau_{4}\right) \leq 0 . \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

By Lemma 2.1, there are two cases for $z$.
Assume that case (1) holds for $t \geq t_{2} \geq t_{1}$. It follows from $z^{\prime}(t)>0$ that $z\left(t+\tau_{4}\right) \geq$ $z\left(t-\tau_{3}\right)$. Thus, by (2.6), we obtain
(2.7) $\left(a(t) z^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+a_{1}\left(a\left(t-\tau_{1}\right) z^{\prime \prime}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)\right)^{\prime}+a_{2}\left(a\left(t+\tau_{2}\right) z^{\prime \prime}\left(t+\tau_{2}\right)\right)^{\prime}+Q(t) z\left(t-\tau_{3}\right) \leq 0$.

Using the Riccati transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{1}(t)=\rho(t) \frac{a(t) z^{\prime \prime}(t)}{z^{\prime}\left(t-\tau_{3}\right)}, t \geq t_{2} . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\omega_{1}(t)>0$ for $t \geq t_{2}$. Differentiating (2.8), we see that

$$
\omega_{1}^{\prime}(t)=\rho^{\prime}(t) \frac{a(t) z^{\prime \prime}(t)}{z^{\prime}\left(t-\tau_{3}\right)}+\rho(t) \frac{\left(a(t) z^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}}{z^{\prime}\left(t-\tau_{3}\right)}-\rho(t) \frac{a(t) z^{\prime \prime}(t) z^{\prime \prime}\left(t-\tau_{3}\right)}{\left(z^{\prime}\left(t-\tau_{3}\right)\right)^{2}} .
$$

By (2.2), we have $a\left(t-\tau_{3}\right) z^{\prime \prime}\left(t-\tau_{3}\right) \geq a(t) z^{\prime \prime}(t)$. Thus, from (2.8), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{1}^{\prime}(t) \leq \frac{\left(\rho^{\prime}(t)\right)_{+}}{\rho(t)} \omega_{1}(t)+\rho(t) \frac{\left(a(t) z^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}}{z^{\prime}\left(t-\tau_{3}\right)}-\frac{\left(\omega_{1}(t)\right)^{2}}{\rho(t) a\left(t-\tau_{3}\right)} . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, define the function $\omega_{2}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{2}(t)=\rho(t) \frac{a\left(t-\tau_{1}\right) z^{\prime \prime}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)}{z^{\prime}\left(t-\tau_{3}\right)}, t \geq t_{2} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\omega_{2}(t)>0$ for $t \geq t_{2}$. Differentiating (2.10), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega_{2}^{\prime}(t)= & \rho^{\prime}(t) \frac{a\left(t-\tau_{1}\right) z^{\prime \prime}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)}{z^{\prime}\left(t-\tau_{3}\right)}+\rho(t) \frac{\left(a\left(t-\tau_{1}\right) z^{\prime \prime}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)\right)^{\prime}}{z^{\prime}\left(t-\tau_{3}\right)} \\
& -\rho(t) \frac{a\left(t-\tau_{1}\right) z^{\prime \prime}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right) z^{\prime \prime}\left(t-\tau_{3}\right)}{\left(z^{\prime}\left(t-\tau_{3}\right)\right)^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $\tau_{3} \geq \tau_{1}$. By (2.2), we find $a\left(t-\tau_{3}\right) z^{\prime \prime}\left(t-\tau_{3}\right) \geq a\left(t-\tau_{1}\right) z^{\prime \prime}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)$. Hence, by (2.10), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{2}^{\prime}(t) \leq \frac{\left(\rho^{\prime}(t)\right)_{+}}{\rho(t)} \omega_{2}(t)+\rho(t) \frac{\left(a\left(t-\tau_{1}\right) z^{\prime \prime}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)\right)^{\prime}}{z^{\prime}\left(t-\tau_{3}\right)}-\frac{\left(\omega_{2}(t)\right)^{2}}{\rho(t) a\left(t-\tau_{3}\right)} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the following, we define another function $\omega_{3}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{3}(t)=\rho(t) \frac{a\left(t+\tau_{2}\right) z^{\prime \prime}\left(t+\tau_{2}\right)}{z^{\prime}\left(t-\tau_{3}\right)}, t \geq t_{2} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\omega_{3}(t)>0$ for $t \geq t_{2}$. Differentiating (2.12), we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega_{3}^{\prime}(t)= & \rho^{\prime}(t) \frac{a\left(t+\tau_{2}\right) z^{\prime \prime}\left(t+\tau_{2}\right)}{z^{\prime}\left(t-\tau_{3}\right)}+\rho(t) \frac{\left(a\left(t+\tau_{2}\right) z^{\prime \prime}\left(t+\tau_{2}\right)\right)^{\prime}}{z^{\prime}\left(t-\tau_{3}\right)} \\
& -\rho(t) \frac{a\left(t+\tau_{2}\right) z^{\prime \prime}\left(t+\tau_{2}\right) z^{\prime \prime}\left(t-\tau_{3}\right)}{\left(z^{\prime}\left(t-\tau_{3}\right)\right)^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By (2.2), we obtain $a\left(t-\tau_{3}\right) z^{\prime \prime}\left(t-\tau_{3}\right) \geq a\left(t+\tau_{2}\right) z^{\prime \prime}\left(t+\tau_{2}\right)$. Then, by (2.12), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{3}^{\prime}(t) \leq \frac{\left(\rho^{\prime}(t)\right)_{+}}{\rho(t)} \omega_{3}(t)+\rho(t) \frac{\left(a\left(t+\tau_{2}\right) z^{\prime \prime}\left(t+\tau_{2}\right)\right)^{\prime}}{z^{\prime}\left(t-\tau_{3}\right)}-\frac{\left(\omega_{3}(t)\right)^{2}}{\rho(t) a\left(t-\tau_{3}\right)} . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, by (2.9), (2.11) and (2.13), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \omega_{1}^{\prime}(t)+a_{1} \omega_{2}^{\prime}(t)+a_{2} \omega_{3}^{\prime}(t) \\
& \leq \\
& \leq(t)\left[\frac{\left(a(t) z^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+a_{1}\left(a\left(t-\tau_{1}\right) z^{\prime \prime}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)\right)^{\prime}+a_{2}\left(a\left(t+\tau_{2}\right) z^{\prime \prime}\left(t+\tau_{2}\right)\right)^{\prime}}{z^{\prime}\left(t-\tau_{3}\right)}\right]  \tag{2.14}\\
& \quad+\frac{\left(\rho^{\prime}(t)\right)_{+}}{\rho(t)} \omega_{1}(t)-\frac{\left(\omega_{1}(t)\right)^{2}}{\rho(t) a\left(t-\tau_{3}\right)}+a_{1} \frac{\left(\rho^{\prime}(t)\right)_{+}}{\rho(t)} \omega_{2}(t)-a_{1} \frac{\left(\omega_{2}(t)\right)^{2}}{\rho(t) a\left(t-\tau_{3}\right)} \\
& \quad+a_{2} \frac{\left(\rho^{\prime}(t)\right)_{+}}{\rho(t)} \omega_{3}(t)-a_{2} \frac{\left(\omega_{3}(t)\right)^{2}}{\rho(t) a\left(t-\tau_{3}\right)} .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, from (2.7) and (2.14), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \omega_{1}^{\prime}(t)+a_{1} \omega_{2}^{\prime}(t)+a_{2} \omega_{3}^{\prime}(t) \\
& \leq-\rho(t) Q(t) \frac{z\left(t-\tau_{3}\right)}{z^{\prime}\left(t-\tau_{3}\right)}+\frac{\left(\rho^{\prime}(t)\right)_{+}}{\rho(t)} \omega_{1}(t)-\frac{\left(\omega_{1}(t)\right)^{2}}{\rho(t) a\left(t-\tau_{3}\right)}+a_{1} \frac{\left(\rho^{\prime}(t)\right)_{+}}{\rho(t)} \omega_{2}(t)  \tag{2.15}\\
& \quad-a_{1} \frac{\left(\omega_{2}(t)\right)^{2}}{\rho(t) a\left(t-\tau_{3}\right)}+a_{2} \frac{\left(\rho^{\prime}(t)\right)_{+}}{\rho(t)} \omega_{3}(t)-a_{2} \frac{\left(\omega_{3}(t)\right)^{2}}{\rho(t) a\left(t-\tau_{3}\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, using $a^{\prime}(t) \geq 0, z^{\prime \prime}(t)>0$ for $t \geq t_{2}$, and

$$
\left(a(t) z^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}=a^{\prime}(t) z^{\prime \prime}(t)+a(t) z^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)<0
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)<0 \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t \geq t_{2}$. Then, by Lemma 2.2, we find, for any $k \in(0,1)$, and for $t$ sufficiently large,

$$
\frac{z\left(t-\tau_{3}\right)}{z^{\prime}\left(t-\tau_{3}\right)} \geq \frac{k\left(t-\tau_{3}\right)}{2}
$$

due to (2.3). Combining the above inequality with (2.15), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \omega_{1}^{\prime}(t)+a_{1} \omega_{2}^{\prime}(t)+a_{2} \omega_{3}^{\prime}(t) \\
& \leq-\rho(t) \frac{k\left(t-\tau_{3}\right)}{2} Q(t)+\frac{\left(\rho^{\prime}(t)\right)_{+}}{\rho(t)} \omega_{1}(t)-\frac{\left(\omega_{1}(t)\right)^{2}}{\rho(t) a\left(t-\tau_{3}\right)}+a_{1} \frac{\left(\rho^{\prime}(t)\right)_{+}}{\rho(t)} \omega_{2}(t)  \tag{2.17}\\
& \quad-a_{1} \frac{\left(\omega_{2}(t)\right)^{2}}{\rho(t) a\left(t-\tau_{3}\right)}+a_{2} \frac{\left(\rho^{\prime}(t)\right)_{+}}{\rho(t)} \omega_{3}(t)-a_{2} \frac{\left(\omega_{3}(t)\right)^{2}}{\rho(t) a\left(t-\tau_{3}\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

for any $k \in(0,1)$. Then, by (2.17), we find that

$$
\omega_{1}^{\prime}(t)+a_{1} \omega_{2}^{\prime}(t)+a_{2} \omega_{3}^{\prime}(t) \leq-\rho(t) \eta(t)+\frac{1+a_{1}+a_{2}}{4} \frac{a\left(t-\tau_{3}\right)\left(\left(\rho^{\prime}(t)\right)_{+}\right)^{2}}{\rho(t)}
$$

Integrating the above inequality from $t_{3}\left(t_{3} \geq t_{2}\right)$ to $t$, we obtain

$$
\int_{t_{3}}^{t}\left[\rho(s) \eta(s)-\frac{1+a_{1}+a_{2}}{4} \frac{a\left(s-\tau_{3}\right)\left(\left(\rho^{\prime}(s)\right)_{+}\right)^{2}}{\rho(s)}\right] \mathrm{d} s \leq \omega_{1}\left(t_{3}\right)+a_{1} \omega_{2}\left(t_{3}\right)+a_{2} \omega_{3}\left(t_{3}\right)
$$

which contradicts (2.5).
Assume that case (2) holds. Then, by Lemma 2.3, we can obtain $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t)=0$. The proof is complete.

Let $\rho(t)=t$. Then we can obtain the following corollary by Theorem 2.4.
Corollary 2.1. Assume that (2.1) holds. Suppose further that (2.4) holds, $a^{\prime}(t) \geq 0$ and $\tau_{3} \geq \tau_{1}$. If

$$
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_{1}}^{t}\left[s \eta(s)-\frac{1+a_{1}+a_{2}}{4} \frac{a\left(s-\tau_{3}\right)}{s}\right] \mathrm{d} s=\infty
$$

holds for all sufficiently large $t_{1}$, then every solution $x$ of equation (1.1) oscillates or $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t)=$ 0 .

Theorem 2.2. Assume that (2.1) holds. Suppose further that (2.4) holds, $a^{\prime}(t) \geq 0$ and $\tau_{1} \geq \tau_{3}$. Assume also that there exists $\rho \in C^{1}\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right),(0, \infty)\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{t \rightarrow \infty}{\limsup } \int_{t_{1}}^{t}\left[\rho(s) \eta(s)-\frac{1+a_{1}+a_{2}}{4} \frac{a\left(s-\tau_{1}\right)\left(\left(\rho^{\prime}(s)\right)_{+}\right)^{2}}{\rho(s)}\right] \mathrm{d} s=\infty \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all sufficiently large $t_{1}$. Then every solution of equation (1.1) oscillates or $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t)=$ 0.

Proof. Let $x$ be a nonoscillatory solution of (1.1). Without loss of generality, we assume that there exists a $t_{1} \geq t_{0}$ such that $x(t)>0, x\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)>0, x\left(t+\tau_{2}\right)>0, x\left(t-\tau_{3}\right)>0$ and $x\left(t+\tau_{4}\right)>0$ for all $t \geq t_{1}$. Then we have $z(t)>0$ and (2.2) for $t \geq t_{1}$. Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 2.4, we get (2.6).

By Lemma 2.1, there are two cases for $z$.
Assume that case (1) holds for $t \geq t_{2} \geq t_{1}$. Then, we obtain (2.7). Using the Riccati transformation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \omega_{1}(t)=\rho(t) \frac{a(t) z^{\prime \prime}(t)}{z^{\prime}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)}, \quad t \geq t_{2}, \\
& \omega_{2}(t)=\rho(t) \frac{a\left(t-\tau_{1}\right) z^{\prime \prime}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)}{z^{\prime}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)}, \quad t \geq t_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\omega_{3}(t)=\rho(t) \frac{a\left(t+\tau_{2}\right) z^{\prime \prime}\left(t+\tau_{2}\right)}{z^{\prime}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)}, \quad t \geq t_{2}
$$

respectively. Similar to the proof of Theorem 2.4, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \omega_{1}^{\prime}(t)+a_{1} \omega_{2}^{\prime}(t)+a_{2} \omega_{3}^{\prime}(t) \\
& \leq-\rho(t) Q(t) \frac{z\left(t-\tau_{3}\right)}{z^{\prime}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)}+\frac{\left(\rho^{\prime}(t)\right)_{+}}{\rho(t)} \omega_{1}(t)-\frac{\left(\omega_{1}(t)\right)^{2}}{\rho(t) a\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)}+a_{1} \frac{\left(\rho^{\prime}(t)\right)_{+}}{\rho(t)} \omega_{2}(t)  \tag{2.19}\\
& \quad-a_{1} \frac{\left(\omega_{2}(t)\right)^{2}}{\rho(t) a\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)}+a_{2} \frac{\left(\rho^{\prime}(t)\right)_{+}}{\rho(t)} \omega_{3}(t)-a_{2} \frac{\left(\omega_{3}(t)\right)^{2}}{\rho(t) a\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)} .
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, we have (2.16) for $t \geq t_{2}$. Then, by Lemma 2.2, for any $k \in(0,1)$, we find

$$
\frac{z\left(t-\tau_{3}\right)}{z^{\prime}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)}=\frac{z\left(t-\tau_{3}\right)}{z^{\prime}\left(t-\tau_{3}\right)} \frac{z^{\prime}\left(t-\tau_{3}\right)}{z^{\prime}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)} \geq \frac{k\left(t-\tau_{3}\right)}{2} \frac{z^{\prime}\left(t-\tau_{3}\right)}{z^{\prime}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)} \geq \frac{k\left(t-\tau_{3}\right)}{2}
$$

due to $\tau_{1} \geq \tau_{3}$ and $z^{\prime \prime}(t)>0$ for $t \geq t_{2}$. Combining the above inequality with (2.19), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \omega_{1}^{\prime}(t)+a_{1} \omega_{2}^{\prime}(t)+a_{2} \omega_{3}^{\prime}(t) \\
& \leq-\rho(t) \frac{k\left(t-\tau_{3}\right)}{2} Q(t)+\frac{\left(\rho^{\prime}(t)\right)_{+}}{\rho(t)} \omega_{1}(t)-\frac{\left(\omega_{1}(t)\right)^{2}}{\rho(t) a\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)}+a_{1} \frac{\left(\rho^{\prime}(t)\right)_{+}}{\rho(t)} \omega_{2}(t)  \tag{2.20}\\
& \quad-a_{1} \frac{\left(\omega_{2}(t)\right)^{2}}{\rho(t) a\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)}+a_{2} \frac{\left(\rho^{\prime}(t)\right)_{+}}{\rho(t)} \omega_{3}(t)-a_{2} \frac{\left(\omega_{3}(t)\right)^{2}}{\rho(t) a\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, by (2.20), we find that

$$
\omega_{1}^{\prime}(t)+a_{1} \omega_{2}^{\prime}(t)+a_{2} \omega_{3}^{\prime}(t) \leq-\rho(t) \eta(t)+\frac{1+a_{1}+a_{2}}{4} \frac{a\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)\left(\left(\rho^{\prime}(t)\right)_{+}\right)^{2}}{\rho(t)} .
$$

Integrating the above inequality from $t_{2}$ to $t$, we obtain

$$
\int_{t_{2}}^{t}\left[\rho(s) \eta(s)-\frac{1+a_{1}+a_{2}}{4} \frac{a\left(s-\tau_{1}\right)\left(\left(\rho^{\prime}(s)\right)_{+}\right)^{2}}{\rho(s)}\right] \mathrm{d} s \leq \omega_{1}\left(t_{2}\right)+a_{1} \omega_{2}\left(t_{2}\right)+a_{2} \omega_{3}\left(t_{2}\right),
$$

which contradicts (2.18).
Assume that case (2) holds. Then, by Lemma 2.3, we can obtain $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t)=0$. This completes the proof.

Let $\rho(t)=t$. Then we can obtain the following corollary by Theorem 2.6.
Corollary 2.2. Assume that (2.1) holds. Suppose further that (2.4) holds, $a^{\prime}(t) \geq 0$ and $\tau_{1} \geq \tau_{3}$. If

$$
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_{1}}^{t}\left[s \eta(s)-\frac{1+a_{1}+a_{2}}{4} \frac{a\left(s-\tau_{1}\right)}{s}\right] \mathrm{d} s=\infty
$$

holds for all sufficiently large $t_{1}$, then every solution $x$ of equation (1.1) oscillates or $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t)=$ 0 .

Remark 2.1. Adding some restrictions on arguments $\tau_{i}(t)$, for $i=1,2,3,4$, our results can be extended to the third-order mixed neutral functional equation

$$
\left(a(t)\left(x(t)+p_{1}(t) x\left(t-\tau_{1}(t)\right)+p_{2}(t) x\left(t+\tau_{2}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime}+q_{1}(t) x\left(t-\tau_{3}(t)\right)+q_{2}(t) x\left(t+\tau_{4}(t)\right)=0
$$

for $t \geq t_{0}$, the details are left to the reader.

## 3. Examples

Grace [10] and Yan [21] considered the oscillation of equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x(t)+c x(t-h)+C x(t+H))^{\prime \prime \prime}+q x(t-g)+Q x(t+G)=0, \quad t \geq t_{0}, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c, C$ and $Q$ are nonnegative real constants, and $g, G, h, H$ and $Q$ are positive real constants. They obtained some oscillation theorems for equation (3.1). For example

Theorem 3.1. [10, Theorem 5] If $g>h$, and

$$
\left(\frac{q}{1+c+C}\right)^{1 / 3}\left(\frac{g-h}{3}\right) c>1
$$

then every solution of equation (3.1) is oscillatory.
Theorem 3.2. [21, Theorem 5] If $g>h$, and

$$
q\left(\frac{\mathrm{e}}{3}\right)^{3}(g-h)^{3}>\exp \left[-\left(\frac{q}{1+c+C}\right)^{1 / 3} h\right]+c+C \exp \left[-\left(\frac{q}{1+c+C}\right)^{1 / 3}(H+h)\right]
$$

then every solution of equation (3.1) is oscillatory.
We note that Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2 are based on the condition $g>h$, that is, these results cannot be applied to equation (3.1) for the case when $g \leq h$. Therefore, Theorem 2.6 obtained in this paper complements results of [10,21].

In the following, we will give two examples to illustrate the main results.

Example 3.1. Consider the third-order differential equation
(3.2)

$$
\left(x(t)+\frac{1}{3} x(t-1)+\frac{1}{3} x(t+1)\right)^{\prime \prime \prime}+\left(\mathrm{e}^{-2}+\frac{1}{3} \mathrm{e}^{-1}\right) x(t-2)+\frac{1}{3} x(t+1)=0, \quad t \geq t_{0}
$$

Let

$$
a(t)=1, p_{1}(t)=p_{2}(t)=\frac{1}{3}, q_{1}(t)=\mathrm{e}^{-2}+\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-1}}{3}, q_{2}(t)=\frac{1}{3}, \tau_{1}=\tau_{2}=\tau_{4}=1, \tau_{3}=2
$$

Clearly, (2.1) holds. Take $\rho(t)=1$. Then condition (2.5) holds. On the other hand, we have (2.4). Then, by Theorem 2.4, every solution $x$ of equation (3.2) oscillates or $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t)=$ 0 . It is easy to find that $x(t)=\mathrm{e}^{-t}$ is a solution of equation (3.2). However, the results established in $[10,21]$ do not apply to equation (3.2).
Example 3.2. Consider the third-order differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\alpha t\left(x(t)+p_{1}(t) x\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)+p_{2}(t) x\left(t+\tau_{2}\right)\right)^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{\beta}{t} x\left(t-\tau_{3}\right)+\frac{\gamma}{t} x\left(t+\tau_{4}\right)=0, \quad t \geq t_{0} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ are positive constants, $0 \leq p_{i}(t) \leq a_{i}$, for $i=1,2, a_{1}+a_{2}<1$.
Let

$$
a(t)=\alpha t, p_{1}(t)=p_{2}(t)=\frac{1}{3}, q_{1}(t)=\frac{\beta}{t}, q_{2}(t)=\frac{\gamma}{t} .
$$

It is easy to verify that all the conditions of Corollary 2.7 hold. Thus, from Corollary 2.7, every solution $x$ of equation (3.3) oscillates or $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t)=0$.
Remark 3.1. It remains an open problem to study equation (1.1) when $\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} 1 / a(t) \mathrm{d} t<\infty$ or $a^{\prime}(t) \leq 0$.

Acknowledgement. The authors sincerely thank the reviewers for their valuable suggestions and useful comments that have led to the present improved version of the original manuscript. This research is supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (11071143, 11026112, 60904024), China Postdoctoral Science Foundation Funded Project (20080441126, 200902564), Shandong Postdoctoral Funded Project (200802018) and supported by Shandong Research Funds (Y2008A28, ZR2009AL003, ZR2010AL002), also supported by University of Jinan Research Funds for Doctors (XBS0843).

## References

[1] R. P. Agarwal, S. R. Grace and D. O’Regan, Oscillation Theory for Difference and Functional Differential Equations, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2000.
[2] M. F. Aktaş, A. Tiryaki and A. Zafer, Oscillation criteria for third-order nonlinear functional differential equations, Appl. Math. Lett. 23 (2010), no. 7, 756-762.
[3] B. Baculíková and J. Džurina, Oscillation of third-order neutral differential equations, Math. Comput. Modelling 52 (2010), no. 1-2, 215-226
[4] B. Baculíková and J. Džurina, On the asymptotic behavior of a class of third order nonlinear neutral differential equations, Central European J. Math. (2010), 1-13, DOI: 10. 2478/s11533-010-0072-x.
[5] B. Baculíková and J. Džurina, Oscillation of third-order functional differential equations, Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ. 2010, no. 43, 10 pp.
[6] T. Candan, Oscillation behavior of solutions for even order neutral functional differential equations, Appl. Math. Mech. (English Ed.) 27 (2006), no. 10, 1311-1320.
[7] T. Candan and R. S. Dahiya, Oscillation theorems for $n$ th-order neutral functional differential equations, Math. Comput. Modelling 43 (2006), no. 3-4, 357-367.
[8] L. Erbe, Existence of oscillatory solutions and asymptotic behavior for a class of third order linear differential equations, Pacific J. Math. 64 (1976), no. 2, 369-385.
[9] S. R. Grace, On the oscillations of mixed neutral equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 194 (1995), no. 2, 377-388.
[10] S. R. Grace, Oscillations of mixed neutral functional-differential equations, Appl. Math. Comput. 68 (1995), no. 1, 1-13.
[11] J. Hale, Theory of Functional Differential Equations, second edition, Springer, New York, 1977.
[12] Z. Han, T. Li, S. Sun and C. Zhang, An oscillation criteria for third order neutral delay differential equations, J. Appl. Anal. 16 (2010), no. 2, 295-303.
[13] Z. Han, T. Li, S. Sun and F. Cao, Oscillation criteria for third order nonlinear delay dynamic equations on time scales, Ann. Polon. Math. 99 (2010), no. 2, 143-156.
[14] Z. Han, T. Li, S. Sun and C. Zhang, Oscillation behavior of third-order neutral Emden-Fowler delay dynamic equations on time scales, Adv. Difference Equ. 2010, Art. ID 586312, 23 pp.
[15] M. Hanan, Oscillation criteria for third-order linear differential equations, Pacific J. Math. 11 (1961), 919944.
[16] T. Li, Z. Han, P. Zhao and S. Sun, Oscillation of even-order neutral delay differential equations, Adv. Difference Equ. 2010, Art. ID 184180, 9 pp.
[17] T. Li, Z. Han, S. Sun and Y. Zhao, Oscillation results for third order nonlinear delay dynamic equations on time scales, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. (2) 34 (2011), no. 3, 639-648.
[18] B. Mehri, On the conditions for the oscillation of solutions of non-linear third order differential equations, Časopis Pěst. Mat. 101 (1976), no. 2, 124-129.
[19] N. Parhi and S. Padhi, Asymptotic behaviour of solutions of third order delay-differential equations, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 33 (2002), no. 10, 1609-1620.
[20] S. H. Saker, Oscillation criteria of third-order nonlinear delay differential equations, Math. Slovaca 56 (2006), no. 4, 433-450.
[21] J. Yan, Oscillations of higher order neutral differential equations of mixed type, Israel J. Math. 115 (2000), 125-136.


[^0]:    Communicated by Norhashidah Hj. Mohd. Ali.
    Received: September 7, 2010; Revised: December 30, 2010.

