

The Borel Radius and the S Radius of the K -Quasimeromorphic Mapping in the Unit Disc

^{1,2}YINYING KONG AND ¹HUILIN GAN

¹School of Mathematics and Computational Science,

Guangdong University of Business Studies, Guangzhou, 510320, China

²LMAM, Université de Bretagne-Sud, Campus de Tohanic, 56017 Vannes, France;

Université Européenne de Bretagne, France

kongcoco@hotmail.com

Abstract. By using Ahlfors' theory of covering surface, a fundamental inequality for the K -quasimeromorphic mapping in the unit disc is established. As an application, some results on the Borel radius and the S radius dealing with multiple values of the K -quasimeromorphic mapping in the unit disc are obtained.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C62, 30D60, 30D35

Keywords and phrases: K -quasimeromorphic mapping, in the unit disc, Borel radius, S radius.

1. Introduction

In 1997, the value distribution theory of meromorphic functions due to Nevanlinna (see [11, 12, 15] for standard references) has been extended to the corresponding theory of the K -quasimeromorphic mapping by Sun and Yang [10]. The K -quasimeromorphic mapping is a more widespread function than the meromorphic function, but it has no derivative, even the partial derivative does not exist everywhere. They established a fundamental inequality on the complex plane and used it to prove the existence theorem of the Borel direction and the filling disc theorem of the K -quasimeromorphic mapping. In 1999, Gao [5] established a fundamental inequality dealing with multiple values on the complex plane and improved some results of [10].

Recently, the singular direction is one of the interesting topics studied in the theory of value distribution of the K -quasimeromorphic mapping on the complex plane such as Julia direction, Borel direction, Nevanlinna direction and S direction, see [2, 4, 8, 13, 14, 16]. Their existence theorems and some connections between them have also been established, which extends the relative properties of meromorphic function on the complex plane. In 2004, Yang and Liu [16] used a fundamental inequality of an angular domain on the complex plane to confirm the existence of a Borel direction of the K -quasimeromorphic mappings

Communicated by Rosihan M. Ali, Dato'.

Received: March 30, 2011; Revised: June 9, 2011.

of the zero order. Later, Wu and Sun [14] proved the existence of a S direction for the K -quasimeromorphic mapping on the complex plane, which was inspired by the idea of the T -direction [6] for the meromorphic function.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $f(z)$ be K -quasimeromorphic mapping on the complex plane and satisfy $\overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} (S(r, f)) / (\ln r)^2 = +\infty$, then there exists a ray $\arg z = \theta$ (namely S direction) such that for any $\varepsilon > 0$,*

$$\overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\bar{n}(\Omega(\theta - \varepsilon, \theta + \varepsilon), r, a)}{S(r, f)} > 0$$

holds for all $a \in \mathbb{C}_\infty := \mathbb{C} \cup \infty$, except for two possible exceptional values.

It is well known that if f is a transcendental meromorphic function defined in $|z| < 1$, it will share some properties with the one on the complex plane, see [1, 7, 11]. Thus a natural question is: Is there a Borel radius or a S radius for the K -quasimeromorphic mapping in $|z| < 1$? However, until now only a few results on the singular radius of the K -quasimeromorphic mapping have been discussed, see [3, 9]. So in this paper, we establish a more precise fundamental inequality for the K -quasimeromorphic mapping in the unit disc and confirm the existence of the Borel radius and the S radius (dealing with multiple values) for the K -quasimeromorphic mapping in the unit disc, which develop some results of [4, 10, 13]. To do so, we recall some definitions and notations, which can be found in [8, 10].

Definition 1.1. [10] *Let $f(s)$ be a homeomorphism from D to D' . If for any rectangle $\{z = x + iy; a < x < b, c < y < d\}$ in D ,*

- (i) *$f(x + iy)$ is absolutely continuous of y for almost every fixed $x \in (a, b)$ and $f(x + iy)$ is absolutely continuous of x for almost every fixed $y \in (c, d)$;*
- (ii) *there exists a constant $K \geq 1$ such that*

$$|f_z(z)| + |f_{\bar{z}}(z)| \leq K(|f_z(z)| - |f_{\bar{z}}(z)|)$$

holds almost everywhere in D ; then f is named an univalent K -quasimeromorphic mapping in D .

Definition 1.2. [10] *Let f be a complex and continuous function in the region D . For a point z_0 in D , if there is a neighborhood $U (\subset D)$ and a positive integer n depending on z_0 , such that*

$$F(z) = \begin{cases} (f(z))^{1/n}, & f(z_0) = \infty \\ (f(z) - f(z_0))^{1/n} + f(z_0), & f(z_0) \neq \infty \end{cases}$$

is an univalent K -quasimeromorphic mapping, then f is named n -valent K -quasimeromorphic mappings at point z_0 . If f is n -valent K -quasimeromorphic at every point of D , then f is called a K -quasimeromorphic mapping in D .

It is obvious that a meromorphic function is a 1-quasimeromorphic mapping. The composition function $g \circ f$ of a meromorphic function g and a K -quasimeromorphic mapping f is still a K -quasimeromorphic mapping. Let $n(r, a)$ be the number of zero points of $f(z) - a$ in disc $|z| \leq r$. If the multiple zeros are counted only once, then we use $\bar{n}(r, a)$. Let $n(\Omega(\varphi - \varepsilon, \varphi + \varepsilon), r, a)$ be the number of zero points $f(z) - a$ in $\{\varphi - \varepsilon < \arg z < \varphi + \varepsilon\} \cap \{|z| \leq r\}$. If the multiple zeros are counted only once, we use $\bar{n}(\Omega(\varphi - \varepsilon, \varphi + \varepsilon), r, a)$. Let $\bar{n}^{(l)}(\Omega(\varphi - \varepsilon, \varphi + \varepsilon), r, a)$ be the number of distinct roots with the multiplicity $\leq l$ of $f(z) = a$ in the same region.

Let \mathbf{V} be a Riemann sphere whose diameter is 1. $f(z) = u(x, y) + iv(x, y)$ is a K -quasimeromorphic mapping in the angular domain $E = \Omega(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \cap \{|z| \leq r\}$. Set

$$S(E, f) = S(\Omega(\varphi_1, \varphi_2), r) = \frac{|F_r|}{|V|} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int \int_{z \in E} \frac{u_x v_y - v_x u_y}{(1 + |f(z)|^2)^2} r dr d\theta.$$

where $|F_r|$ is the area of the image of E on \mathbf{V} and $|V|$ is the area of \mathbf{V} . If $E = \{|z| \leq r\}$, then $S(E, f)$ can be replaced by $S(r, f)$.

Definition 1.3. Let $f(z)$ be a K -quasimeromorphic mapping defined in the unit disc. If $S(r, f) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $r \rightarrow 1^-$, then we call $f(z)$ transcendental. The order of the transcendental K -quasimeromorphic mapping in the unit disc is defined by

$$\rho = \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{\ln S(r, f)}{-\ln(1-r)}.$$

If $\rho = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} (\ln S(r, f)) / (-\ln(1-r))$, then $f(z)$ is of regular growth. Especially, when $K = 1$, if $S(r, f)$ is replaced by $T(r, f) = \int_0^r S(t, f) / t dt$, then ρ is called the order of the meromorphic function $f(z)$.

Definition 1.4. Let $f(z)$ be a transcendental K -quasimeromorphic mapping defined in the unit disc. A radius $\Delta(\varphi) = \{z : \arg z = \varphi, |z| < 1\}$ is called a Borel radius of the order $\rho \in (0, +\infty)$ for the K -quasimeromorphic mapping $f(z)$ in the unit disc, provided that for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \pi)$,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{\ln \bar{n}(\Omega(\varphi - \varepsilon, \varphi + \varepsilon), r, a)}{\ln \frac{1}{1-r}} \geq \rho$$

holds for all $a \in \mathbf{C}_\infty$, except for two possible exceptional values.

Note that this definition of Borel radius meaningfully characterizes the growth of $f(z)$ only when $0 < \rho < \infty$. Inspired by the idea of [14], we give a definition of the S radius in the unit disc.

Definition 1.5. Let $f(z)$ be a transcendental K -quasimeromorphic mapping defined in the unit disc. A radius $\Delta(\varphi)$ is called a S radius of $f(z)$ dealing with multiple values $l (\geq 3)$, provided that for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{\bar{n}^{(l)}(\Omega(\varphi - \varepsilon, \varphi + \varepsilon), r, a)}{S(r, f)} > 0$$

holds for all $a \in \mathbf{C}_\infty$, except for two possible exceptional values. If $l \rightarrow +\infty$, $\Delta(\varphi)$ is called the S radius of $f(z)$ in the unit disc (S direction in the case of the complex plane).

2. Preliminary lemmas

Lemma 2.1. [5] Let $f(z)$ be a K -quasimeromorphic mapping in $|z| < R$ and $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_q\}$ be $q (q \geq 3)$ distinct points with the mutual spherical distance no less than $\delta \in (0, 1/2)$, then for any $r \in (0, R)$, we have

$$\left(q - 2 - \frac{2}{l}\right) S(r, f) \leq \sum_{v=1}^q \bar{n}^{(l)}(R, a_v) + \frac{(960 + 2q\pi)^2}{\delta^6} \cdot \frac{2^5 \pi^2 K}{q - 2 - \frac{2}{l}} \cdot \frac{R}{R - r}.$$

Lemma 2.2. [7] *Let $f(z)$ be a meromorphic function defined in $|z| < 1$, then*

$$\overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{\ln T(r, f)}{-\ln(1-r)} = \rho \iff \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{\ln S(r, f)}{-\ln(1-r)} = \rho + 1.$$

3. Main results and their proofs

Theorem 3.1. *Let $f(z)$ be a K -quasimeromorphic mapping in $|z| < 1$. Δ and Δ_0 are two angular domains with the common vertex on the center of the unit disc, where*

$$\Delta := \Omega(\varphi - \eta, \varphi + \eta) \subset \Delta_0 := \Omega(\varphi - \eta_0, \varphi + \eta_0), \quad 0 < \eta < \eta_0, \quad 0 \leq \varphi < 2\pi.$$

Then,

$$(3.1) \quad \left(q - 2 - \frac{2}{l}\right) S(\Delta, r) \leq \left(1 + \frac{2 \ln 2}{\ln \frac{1}{1-r}}\right) \sum_{v=1}^q \bar{n}^{(l)} \left(\Delta_0, \frac{3+r}{4}, a_v\right) + O\left(\ln \frac{1}{1-r}\right),$$

$$(3.2) \quad (q-2)S(\Delta, r) \leq \left(1 + \frac{2 \ln 2}{\ln \frac{1}{1-r}}\right) \sum_{v=1}^q \bar{n} \left(\Delta_0, \frac{3+r}{4}, a_v\right) + O\left(\ln \frac{1}{1-r}\right),$$

where a_1, a_2, \dots, a_q are q distinct points in \mathbf{V} with the mutual spherical distance not less than $\delta \in (0, 1/2)$, $r_0 \in (1/2, 1)$ and $r \in (r_0, 1)$.

Proof. Let $r_i = 1 - (1 - r_0)/2^i$ ($i = 0, 1, \dots$), then $r_1 = (1 + r_0)/2$. For any $r \in (r_1, 1)$, there exists $n \in N_+$ such that $r_n \leq r \leq r_{n+1}$. So we set

$$r_{i,j} = r_i + \frac{j(r_{i+1} - r_i)}{n} \quad (j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1),$$

then $r_{i,0} = r_i$ and $r_{i,n} = r_{i+1}$. For any positive integer $i \geq 2$, we set

$$\Delta_0(r_{i,j}, r_{i+1,j+1}) := \Delta_0 \cap \{r_{i,j} \leq |z| \leq r_{i+1,j+1}\}.$$

So we can easily see that there exists an integer $j_0 \in [0, n-1]$ such that

$$\sum_{v=1}^q \bar{n}^{(l)} \left(\bigcup_{i=0}^{n+1} \Delta_0(r_{i,j_0}, r_{i,j_0+1}), a_v\right) \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{v=1}^q \bar{n}^{(l)} (\Delta_0, r_{n+2}, a_v),$$

where $\Delta_0(r_{i,j_0}, r_{i,j_0+1}) = \Delta_0 \cap \{r_{i,j_0} \leq |z| \leq r_{i,j_0+1}\}$. Then, we set

$$\Delta(r'_i, r'_{i+1}) := \Delta \cap \{r'_i \leq |z| \leq r'_{i+1}\} \subset \Delta_0(r_{i,j_0}, r_{i+1,j_0+1}),$$

where

$$r'_i = \frac{r_{i,j_0} + r_{i,j_0+1}}{2}, \quad r'_{i+1} = \frac{r_{i+1,j_0} + r_{i+1,j_0+1}}{2}.$$

Without loss of generality, we suppose that $\varphi = 0$. Since

$$r_{i+1,j_0+1} - r_{i,j_0} = \frac{1-r_0}{2^{i+2}} \left(2 + \frac{1-j_0}{n}\right), \quad r'_{i+1} - r'_i = \frac{1-r_0}{2^{i+2}} \left(2 - \frac{2j_0+1}{2n}\right),$$

then $\Delta_0(r_{i,j_0}, r_{i+1,j_0+1})$ ($i = 2, 3, \dots$) can map mutually by some transforms such that their sub domains $\Delta(r'_i, r'_{i+1})$ and whose centers $((r'_i + r'_{i+1})/2, 0)$ map each other, respectively. Through the Riemann mapping theorem, for any fixed i , we can map the $\Delta_0(r_{i,j_0}, r_{i+1,j_0+1})$ on $|\xi| < 1$ by a conformal mapping g such that the point $((r'_i + r'_{i+1})/2, 0)$ of $\Delta_0(r_{i,j_0}, r_{i+1,j_0+1})$

becomes $\xi = 0$, then the image of $\Delta(r'_i, r'_{i+1})$ is contained in $|\xi| \leq c < 1$, where $c(> 0)$ is a constant defined by η, η_0 and r_0 , independent of i . Hence by Lemma 2.1, we have

$$(q - 2 - \frac{2}{l})S(c, f \circ g^{-1}) \leq \sum_{v=1}^q \bar{n}^{(l)}(1, f \circ g^{-1} = a_v) + \frac{H}{1-c},$$

where H is a constant. Then,

$$\left(q - 2 - \frac{2}{l}\right) S(\Delta(r'_i, r'_{i+1}), f) \leq \sum_{v=1}^q \bar{n}^{(l)}(\Delta_0(r_{i,j_0}, r_{i+1,j_0+1}), a_v) + \frac{H}{1-c}, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, n.$$

Adding two sides of the above expression from $i = 0$ to n , we obtain

$$\left(q - 2 - \frac{2}{l}\right) \sum_{i=0}^n S(\Delta(r'_i, r'_{i+1}), f) \leq \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{v=1}^q \bar{n}^{(l)}(\Delta_0(r_{i,j_0}, r_{i+1,j_0+1}), a_v) + \frac{H}{1-c}(n+1).$$

Since $r_n \leq r \leq r_{n+1}$, then

$$r_{n+2} = \frac{3+r_n}{4} \leq \frac{3+r}{4}, \quad \frac{1-r}{4} \leq 1-r_{n+2} \leq \frac{1}{2^{n+2}}, \quad \frac{1}{1-r} \leq \frac{1}{1-r_{n+1}} = \frac{2^{n+1}}{1-r_0}.$$

Hence

$$2^n \leq \frac{1}{1-r}, \quad n+1 \leq 1 + \frac{1}{\ln 2} \ln \frac{1}{1-r}, \quad \frac{1}{n} \leq \frac{2 \ln 2}{\ln \frac{1}{1-r}} \quad (r \rightarrow 1^-).$$

When r is sufficiently close to 1^- , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(q - 2 - \frac{2}{l}\right) S(\Delta, r) &\leq \left(q - 2 - \frac{2}{l}\right) \sum_{i=0}^n S(\Delta(r'_i, r'_{i+1}), f) + \left(q - 2 - \frac{2}{l}\right) S(\Delta, r_1) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{v=1}^q \bar{n}^{(l)}(\Delta_0(r_{i,j_0}, r_{i+1,j_0+1}), a_v) + \frac{H(n+1)}{1-c} + \left(q - 2 - \frac{2}{l}\right) S(\Delta, r_1) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{v=1}^q \bar{n}^{(l)}(\Delta_0(r_{i,j_0}, r_{i,j_0+1}), a_v) + \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{v=1}^q \bar{n}^{(l)}(\Delta_0(r_{i,j_0+1}, r_{i+1,j_0+1}), a_v) + \frac{2H(n+1)}{1-c} \\ &\leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right) \sum_{v=1}^q \bar{n}^{(l)}(\Delta_0, r_{n+2}, a_v) + \frac{2H(n+1)}{1-c} \\ &\leq \left(1 + \frac{2 \ln 2}{\ln \frac{1}{1-r}}\right) \sum_{v=1}^q \bar{n}^{(l)}\left(\Delta_0, \frac{3+r}{4}, a_v\right) + O\left(\ln \frac{1}{1-r}\right). \end{aligned}$$

So (3.1) follows, the second inequality (3.2) can be obtained by the similar proof. ■

Remark 3.1. Theorem 3.1 gives a fundamental inequality for the K -quasimeromorphic mapping in an angular domain of the unit disc, which is more precise than that of [3]. If $K = 1$, it is also better than [11, Theorem VII.14, P.291] and [7, Lemma 3].

Theorem 3.2. Let $f(z)$ be a K -quasimeromorphic mapping in the unit disc with the order $\rho \in (0, +\infty)$, then $f(z)$ has a Borel radius of the order ρ .

Proof. Otherwise, for any $\varphi \in [0, 2\pi)$, there exists $\varepsilon_\varphi > 0$ and three distinct complex numbers $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathbf{C}_\infty$ such that

$$(3.3) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{\ln \bar{n}(\Omega(\varphi - \varepsilon_\varphi, \varphi + \varepsilon_\varphi), r, a_i)}{\ln \frac{1}{1-r}} = \rho_0 < \rho, \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

It is obvious that the open sets $\{(\varphi - \varepsilon_\varphi/4, \varphi + \varepsilon_\varphi/4) | \varphi \in [0, 2\pi)\}$ cover the unit disc. From the finite covering theorem, there exists a subsequence

$$\left(\varphi_1 - \frac{\varepsilon_{\varphi_1}}{4}, \varphi_1 + \frac{\varepsilon_{\varphi_1}}{4}\right), \dots, \left(\varphi_n - \frac{\varepsilon_{\varphi_n}}{4}, \varphi_n + \frac{\varepsilon_{\varphi_n}}{4}\right)$$

lying in $(\varphi_k - \varepsilon_{\varphi_k}, \varphi_k + \varepsilon_{\varphi_k})$ ($k = 1, \dots, n$), such that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and each k

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 \bar{n}(\Omega(\varphi - \varepsilon_\varphi, \varphi + \varepsilon_\varphi), r, a_i) < 3 \left(\frac{1}{1-r}\right)^{\rho_0 + \varepsilon}.$$

By (3.2) of Theorem 3.1, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} S(r, f) &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n S(\Omega(\varphi_k - \frac{\varepsilon_{\varphi_k}}{4}, \varphi_k + \frac{\varepsilon_{\varphi_k}}{4})) \\ &\leq \left(1 + \frac{2\ln 2}{\ln \frac{1}{1-r}}\right) \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^3 \bar{n}(\Omega(\varphi_k - \frac{\varepsilon_{\varphi_k}}{2}, \varphi_k + \frac{\varepsilon_{\varphi_k}}{2}), \frac{r+3}{4}, a_i) + O\left(\ln \frac{1}{1-r}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Then, there is a positive constant C such that

$$S(r, f) \leq C \left(1 + \frac{2\ln 2}{\ln \frac{1}{1-r}}\right) \left(\frac{1}{1-r}\right)^{\rho_0 + \varepsilon} + O\left(\ln \frac{1}{1-r}\right),$$

This is in contradiction to that $f(z)$ is of the order ρ . ■

Corollary 3.1. *Let $f(z)$ be a meromorphic function in the unit disc with the order $\rho \in (0, +\infty)$, then $f(z)$ has a Borel radius of the order $\rho + 1$.*

Remark 3.2. Why the Borel radius is of the order $\rho + 1$? In fact, from Lemma 2.2

$$\bar{n}(r, a) = O(S(r, f)) = O\left(\frac{1}{1-r} T(r, f)\right) = O\left(\left(\frac{1}{1-r}\right)^{1+\rho+\varepsilon}\right)$$

in general comes into existence when $r \rightarrow 1^-$. Hence for some ray to be a Borel radius for a function f , it means that the function f has a maximal number (relative to its growth) of a -points in an ε -neighborhood of that ray.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $f(z)$ be a K -quasimeromorphic mapping defined in $|z| < 1$ and satisfy*

$$(3.4) \quad \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{S(r, f)}{\ln \frac{1}{1-r}} = +\infty,$$

then $f(z)$ can take any complex number infinite times, except for two possible exceptional values.

Proof. Otherwise, for any $\varphi \in [0, 2\pi)$ and $r \in (0, 1)$, there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and three distinct complex numbers $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathbb{C}_\infty$ such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^3 \bar{n}(\Omega(\varphi - 2\varepsilon_0, \varphi + 2\varepsilon_0), r, a_j) \leq \sum_{j=1}^3 n(r, a_j) = O(1).$$

By (3.2) of Theorem 3.1, we have

$$S(\Omega(\varphi - \varepsilon_0, \varphi + \varepsilon_0), r) \leq O\left(1 + \frac{2\ln 2}{\ln \frac{1}{1-r}}\right) + O\left(\ln \frac{1}{1-r}\right).$$

Since φ is arbitrary, from the similar proof of Theorem 3.2, we have

$$S(r, f) \leq C \left(1 + \frac{2 \ln 2}{\ln \frac{1}{1-r}} \right) + O \left(\ln \frac{1}{1-r} \right),$$

where C is a positive constant. This is in contradiction to the hypothesis (3.4). ■

Corollary 3.2. *Let $f(z)$ be a meromorphic function defined in $|z| < 1$ and satisfy (3.4), then $f(z)$ can take any complex number infinite times with at most two exceptional values.*

Theorem 3.4. *Let $f(z)$ be a K -quasimeromorphic mapping defined in $|z| < 1$. If $f(z)$ satisfies (3.4) and*

$$(3.5) \quad \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{S(\frac{3+r}{4}, f)}{S(r, f)} < +\infty,$$

then $f(z)$ has a S radius (dealing with multiple values $l(\geq 3)$).

Proof. From the condition of (3.4), there exists an increasing sequence $\{r_n\} \uparrow 1$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S(r_n, f) / (\ln \frac{1}{1-r_n}) = +\infty$. Using the finite covering theorem on $[0, 2\pi)$, there must be some $\varphi_0 \in [0, 2\pi)$ such that for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \pi/4)$,

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S((\varphi_0 - \varepsilon, \varphi_0 + \varepsilon), r_n)}{S(r_n, f)} > 0.$$

Now we can predicatively say that the radius $\Delta(\varphi_0) = \{z : \arg z = \varphi_0, |z| < 1\}$ is a S radius of $f(z)$ dealing with multiple values l . Otherwise, there are three distinct complex numbers $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathcal{C}_\infty$ and a positive δ such that

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^3 \bar{n}^l (\Omega(\varphi_0 - \delta, \varphi_0 + \delta), r_n, a_j)}{S(r_n, f)} = 0.$$

By (3.1) of Theorem 3.1, when $q = 3$, for any $0 < \varepsilon < \delta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(1 - \frac{2}{l}\right) S(\Omega(\varphi_0 - \varepsilon, \varphi_0 + \varepsilon), r_n) \\ & \leq \left(1 + \frac{2 \ln 2}{\ln \frac{1}{1-r_n}}\right) \sum_{j=1}^3 \bar{n}^l (\Omega(\varphi_0 - \delta, \varphi_0 + \delta), \frac{3+r_n}{4}, a_j) + O\left(\ln \frac{1}{1-r_n}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(1 - \frac{2}{l}\right) \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S(\Omega(\varphi_0 - \varepsilon, \varphi_0 + \varepsilon), r_n)}{S(r_n, f)} \\ & \leq \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 + \frac{2 \ln 2}{\ln \frac{1}{1-r_n}}\right) \frac{\sum_{j=1}^3 \bar{n}^l (\Omega(\varphi_0 - \delta, \varphi_0 + \delta), \frac{3+r_n}{4}, a_j)}{S(\frac{3+r_n}{4}, f)} \frac{S(\frac{3+r_n}{4}, f)}{S(r_n, f)} + \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{O(\ln \frac{1}{1-r_n})}{S(r_n, f)}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (3.4) and (3.5) that $1 - \frac{2}{l} \leq 0$, we get a contradiction. Hence the radius $\Delta(\varphi_0)$ is a S radius of $f(z)$ dealing with multiple values l . By the similar proof, the radius $\Delta(\varphi_0)$ is also a S radius. ■

Corollary 3.3. *Let $f(z)$ be a meromorphic function defined in $|z| < 1$ and satisfy the conditions of (3.4) and (3.5), then $f(z)$ has a S radius (dealing with multiple values $l(\geq 3)$).*

Theorem 3.5. *Let $f(z)$ be a K -quasimeromorphic mapping in the unit disc with order $\rho \in [0, +\infty)$ and of regular growth, then every S radius (dealing with multiple values) is a Borel radius of the order ρ .*

Proof. Let $\Delta(\varphi_0) = \{z : \arg z = \varphi_0, |z| < 1\}$ be a S radius dealing with multiple values for $f(z)$ in the unit disc, then for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \pi/2)$ and each a (except for two possible exceptional values), we have

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{\bar{n}^l(\Omega(\varphi_0 - \varepsilon, \varphi_0 + \varepsilon), r, a)}{S(r, f)} > \delta > 0.$$

Then, there exist $\{r_n\}$ for a sufficiently large n we have

$$\bar{n}^l(\Omega(\varphi_0 - \varepsilon, \varphi_0 + \varepsilon), r_n, a) > \frac{\delta}{2} S(r_n, f).$$

Since $f(z)$ is of regular growth, it follows that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{\ln \bar{n}^l(\Omega(\varphi_0 - \varepsilon, \varphi_0 + \varepsilon), r, a)}{\ln \frac{1}{1-r}} \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln \bar{n}^l(\Omega(\varphi_0 - \varepsilon, \varphi_0 + \varepsilon), r_n, a)}{\ln \frac{1}{1-r_n}} \geq \rho$$

holds for all $a \in \mathbf{C}_\infty$, except for two possible exceptional values. Hence every S radius (dealing with multiple values) is a Borel radius of the order ρ . ■

Acknowledgement. The author Kong would like to express his sincere thanks to Professor Quansheng Liu for his valuable advice during his post-doctoral research at LMAM of Université de Bretagne-Sud in France. The research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.11101096).

References

- [1] T.-B. Cao, The growth, oscillation and fixed points of solutions of complex linear differential equations in the unit disc, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **352** (2009), no. 2, 739–748.
- [2] H. Chen, Some new results on planar harmonic mappings, *Sci. China Math.* **53** (2010), no. 3, 597–604.
- [3] F. W. Deng, On the Borel points of quasi-meromorphic mappings in the unit circle, *Acta Math. Sci. Ser. A Chin. Ed.* **20** (2000), no. 4, 562–567.
- [4] F. Deng, Some remarks on quasimeromorphic mappings, *Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B Engl. Ed.* **23** (2003), no. 3, 419–425.
- [5] Z. S. Gao, Multiple values of quasiconformal mappings, *J. Math. (Wuhan)* **19** (1999), no. 2, 121–126.
- [6] H. Guo, J. H. Zheng and T. W. Ng, On a new singular direction of meromorphic functions, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **69** (2004), no. 2, 277–287.
- [7] Y. Kong, On filling discs in Borel radius of meromorphic mapping with finite order in the unit circle, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **344** (2008), no. 2, 1158–1164.
- [8] Y. Y. Kong and Y. Hong, *On the Growth of Laplace-Stieltjes Transforms and the Singular Direction of Complex Analysis*, Jinan University Press, Guangzhou, 2010.
- [9] Y. Y. Kong, A singular radius of quasimeromorphic mappings with respect to some type-functions in the unit disc, *Acta Math. Sci. Ser. A Chin. Ed.* **30** (2010), no. 6, 1640–1647.
- [10] D. C. Sun and L. Yang, Value distribution of quasiconformal mappings, *Sci. China (Ser. A)* **27** 1997, no. 2, 132–139.
- [11] M. Tsuji, *Potential Theory in Modern Function Theory*, Maruzen, Tokyo, 1959.
- [12] J. Xu and H. Yi, On uniqueness of meromorphic functions with shared four values in some angular domains, *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. (2)* **31** (2008), no. 1, 57–65.
- [13] H.-Y. Xu and T.-S. Zhan, On the existence of T -direction and Nevanlinna direction of K -quasi-meromorphic mapping dealing with multiple values, *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. (2)* **33** (2010), no. 2, 281–294.

- [14] Z. J. Wu and D. C. Sun, On a new singular direction of quasiconformal mappings, *Acta Mathematica Scientia* **29B** (2009), 1453–1460.
- [15] L. Yang, *Value Distribution Theory*, translated and revised from the 1982 Chinese original, Springer, Berlin, 1993.
- [16] Y. Yang and M. Liu, On the Borel direction of K -quasimeromorphic mappings, *Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B Engl. Ed.* **24** (2004), no. 1, 75–82.