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#### Abstract

The second Zagreb index of a graph $G$ is an adjacency-based topological index, which is defined as $\sum_{u v \in E(G)}(d(u) d(v))$, where $u v$ is an edge of $G, d(u)$ is the degree of vertex $u$ in $G$. In this paper, we consider the second Zagreb index for bipartite graphs. Firstly, we present a new definition of ordered bipartite graphs, and then give a necessary condition for a bipartite graph to attain the maximal value of the second Zagreb index. We also present an algorithm for transforming a bipartite graph to an ordered bipartite graph, which can be done in $O\left(n_{2}+n_{1}^{2}\right)$ time for a bipartite graph $B$ with a partition $|X|=n_{1}$ and $|Y|=n_{2}$.
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## 1. Introduction

The topological index can reflect the property of a molecular graph, so there has been rising interest in it since Wiener put forward the Wiener index in [14]. The Zagreb indices are important indices which were introduced by Gutman et al. in [5, 6]. For a graph $G=$ $(V, E)$, the first Zagreb index $Z_{1}(G)$ and the second Zagreb index $Z_{2}(G)$ are defined as $Z_{1}(G)=\sum_{u \in V(G)}\left(d(u)^{2}\right), Z_{2}(G)=\sum_{u v \in E(G)}(d(u) d(v))$. In the early work, the Zagreb indices appeared in the formulation of computing $\pi$-electron energy. They are later used separately as topological indices in QSPR/QSAR [12]. Main properties of Zagreb indices can be found in $[3,7,8]$. The problem of finding the graphs with extreme values of the Zagreb indices was studied by many researchers and the extreme values were gotten over some significant classes of graphs, see [13, 4, 11, 16, 10, 15]. Especially, the maximal value of $Z_{2}(G)$ of trees was obtained by Damir et al. [13]; the extreme values of $Z_{2}(G)$ of graphs with connectivity at most $k$ were obtained in [16, 10]; the bounds of $Z_{2}(G)$ of unicyclic graphs were obtained by Yan et al.[15]. The extreme values of $Z_{1}(G)$ of bipartite graphs were obtained in [2]. The sharp bounds for $Z_{2}(G)$ of bipartite graphs with a given diameter were obtained in [9].

[^0]In this paper we consider the second Zagreb index $Z_{2}(G)$ of bipartite graphs with a given number of vertices and edges. The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 , we present a new definition of ordered bipartite graphs and discuss the main character of ordered bipartite graphs. We also give a necessary condition for the bipartite graphs attaining the maximal value of $Z_{2}(G)$ in Section 2.

All graphs considered in this paper are undirected, simple and connected, and the notation not defined here can be found in [1].

## 2. Results

Let $G=(V, E)$ be a graph. For $v \in G$, let $N_{G}(v)$ be the set of all neighbors of $v$ in $G$. We use $B(X, Y: E)$ to denote a connected bipartite graph with a bipartition $(X, Y)$ and $\mathscr{B}(X, Y: E)$ to denote the set of bipartite graphs $B(X, Y: E)$. Let $x$ be a real number. We use $\lfloor x\rfloor$ to represent the largest integer not greater than $x$ and $\lceil x\rceil$ to represent the smallest integer not less than $x$.

Definition 2.1 (Ordered sets). Let $\{u, v\} \subset V$. The pair of vertices $\{u, v\}$ is called ordered if $d(u) \geq d(v)$ implies $N_{G}(v) \subseteq N_{G}(u)$. A subset $S \subset V$ is called an ordered set of vertices if any pair of vertices of $S$ is ordered.

Definition 2.2 (Ordered bipartite graphs). $B(X, Y: E)$ is called an ordered bipartite graph if $X$ and $Y$ are all ordered sets of vertices. Otherwise, the $\operatorname{graph} B(X, Y: E)$ is called an unordered bipartite graph.

Definition 2.3 (Ordered translations). Let $\{u, v\} \subset V(G)$ such that $u v \notin E(G), d(u) \geq d(v)$, $N_{G}(u) \bigcap N_{G}(v) \neq \emptyset, N_{G}(v) \nsubseteq N_{G}(u)$. Then the ordered translation $F(G: u, v)$ is defined as

$$
F(G: u, v)=G-\left\{v v_{k} \mid v_{k} \in S\right\}+\left\{u v_{k} \mid v_{k} \in S\right\}
$$

where $S=N_{G}(v) \backslash N_{G}(u)$.
In the following, we discuss the main character of ordered translations and ordered bipartite graphs. We also give an algorithm for transforming a bipartite graph to an ordered bipartite graph. A necessary condition for the bipartite graphs attaining the maximal value of $Z_{2}(G)$ is given. In the end of this section, the bipartite graphs $B(X, Y: E)$ with $|X|=n_{1},|Y|=n_{2}$ and $\Delta=\left\{v \in X \mid d(v)=n_{2}\right\}=p_{x}$ or $\Delta=\left\{v \in Y \mid d(v)=n_{1}\right\}=p_{y}$ are considered.

Theorem 2.1. Let $\{u, v\} \subset V(G)$ such that $u v \notin E(G), d(u) \geq d(v), N_{G}(u) \cap N_{G}(v) \neq \emptyset$, $N_{G}(v) \nsubseteq N_{G}(u)$. Then $G^{\prime}=F(G: u, v)$ is connected, where $F(G: u, v)$ is an ordered translation.

Proof. Since $N_{G}(v) \nsubseteq N_{G}(u), N_{G}(v) \backslash N_{G}(u) \neq \emptyset$. Let $v_{k} \in N_{G}(v) \backslash N_{G}(u)$. $v v_{k}$ is replaced by $u v_{k}$ after the ordered translation $F(G: u, v)$. Therefore the ordered translation $F(G: u, v)$ only effects the path passing the edge $v v_{k}$.

Since $N_{G}(u) \bigcap N_{G}(v) \neq \emptyset, N_{G^{\prime}}(u) \bigcap N_{G^{\prime}}(v) \neq \emptyset$. We take a vertex $w \in N_{G^{\prime}}(u) \bigcap N_{G^{\prime}}(v)$. Namely there is a path $u w v$ between $u$ and $v$ in $G^{\prime}$. On the other hand, it is obvious that $u v_{k} \in G^{\prime}$ and $v v_{k} \notin G^{\prime}$. Therefore in $G^{\prime}$, the path passing $v v_{k}$ can be obtained by linking $u v_{k}$ and the path between $u$ and $v$.

Theorem 2.2. Let $\{u, v\} \subset V(G)$ such that $u v \notin E(G), d(u) \geq d(v), N_{G}(u) \cap N_{G}(v) \neq \emptyset$, $N_{G}(v) \nsubseteq N_{G}(u)$. Then $Z_{2}\left(G^{\prime}\right)>Z_{2}(G)$, where $G^{\prime}=F(G: u, v)$.

Proof. Let $N_{G}(v) \backslash N_{G}(u)=S$ and $|S|=\lambda$. It is clear that $\lambda>0$. By Definition 2.3,

$$
F(G: u, v)=G-\left\{v v_{k} \mid v_{k} \in S\right\}+\left\{u v_{k} \mid v_{k} \in S\right\} .
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z_{2}\left(G^{\prime}\right)= & \sum_{\substack{x y \in E(G), x, y \neq u, v}} d(x) d(y)+[d(u)+\lambda]\left(\sum_{v_{k} \in N_{G}(u)} d\left(v_{k}\right)+\sum_{v_{k} \in S} d\left(v_{k}\right)\right) \\
& +[d(v)-\lambda] \sum_{\substack{v_{k} \in N_{G}(v), v_{k} \notin S}} d\left(v_{k}\right) \\
= & Z_{2}(G)+\lambda\left(\sum_{\substack{v_{k} \in N_{G}(u)}} d\left(v_{k}\right)+\sum_{v_{k} \in S} d\left(v_{k}\right)\right)+d(u) \sum_{v_{k} \in S} d\left(v_{k}\right) \\
& -\lambda \sum_{\substack{v_{k} \in N_{G}(v), v_{k} \notin S}} d\left(v_{k}\right)-d(v) \sum_{v_{k} \in S} d\left(v_{k}\right) \\
= & Z_{2}(G)+\lambda\left(\sum_{v_{k} \in N_{G}(u)} d\left(v_{k}\right)+\sum_{v_{k} \in S} d\left(v_{k}\right)\right) \\
& \left.+(d(u)-d(v)) \sum_{v_{k} \in S} d\left(v_{k}\right)-\lambda \sum_{v_{k} \in N_{G}(v),}^{v_{k} \in S}\right\} \\
= & Z_{2}(G)+\lambda\left(\sum_{v_{k} \in N_{G^{\prime}}(u)} d\left(v_{k}\right)-\sum_{v_{k} \in N_{G^{\prime}}(v)} d\left(v_{k}\right)\right)+(d(u)-d(v)) \sum_{v_{k} \in S} d\left(v_{k}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $N_{G^{\prime}}(u) \supset N_{G^{\prime}}(v), \lambda\left(\sum_{v_{k} \in N_{G^{\prime}}(u)} d\left(v_{k}\right)-\sum_{v_{k} \in N_{G^{\prime}}(v)} d\left(v_{k}\right)\right)>0$. Therefore $Z_{2}\left(G^{\prime}\right)>$ $Z_{2}(G)$.
Theorem 2.3. If one set of $\{X, Y\}$ of a bipartite graph $B(X, Y: E)$ is ordered, then $B(X, Y$ : $E)$ is an ordered bipartite graph.

Proof. We prove this by contradiction. Without loss of generality, suppose $X$ is ordered.
We assume that $Y$ is not ordered. There is at least a pair of vertices $\{u, v\} \subset Y$ such that $d(u) \geq d(v)$ and $N_{B}(v) \nsubseteq N_{B}(u)$. Therefore, we have that $N_{B}(u) \backslash N_{B}(v) \neq \emptyset$ and $N_{B}(v) \backslash$ $N_{B}(u) \neq \emptyset$. Let $x \in N_{B}(u) \backslash N_{B}(v)$ and $y \in N_{B}(v) \backslash N_{B}(u)$. It is clear that $u x \in E(B), u y \notin$ $E(B), v y \in E(B), v x \notin E(B)$. This implies that $u \in N_{B}(x), u \notin N_{B}(y), v \in N_{B}(y), v \notin N_{B}(x)$, so $N_{B}(x) \nsubseteq N_{B}(y)$ and $N_{B}(y) \nsubseteq N_{B}(x)$. Therefore $\{x, y\}$ must be not ordered. It contradicts $X$ being ordered.

Theorem 2.4. If $B(X, Y: E)$ is an ordered bipartite graph with $|X|=n_{1}$ and $|Y|=n_{2}$, then there is at least a pair of vertices $u \in X$ and $v \in Y$ such that $d(u)=n_{2}$ and $d(v)=n_{1}$.

Proof. Let $u \in X$ with $d(u)=\max \left\{d\left(u_{i}\right) \mid u_{i} \in X\right\}$. Since $B(X, Y: E)$ is an ordered bipartite graph, $N_{B}(x) \subseteq N_{B}(u)$ for any $x \in X$. This implies that $\bigcup_{x \in X} N_{B}(x) \subseteq N_{B}(u)$. On the other hand, $N_{B}(u) \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in X} N_{B}(x)$, by $u \in X$. Therefore $\bigcup_{x \in X} N_{B}(x)=N_{B}(u)$. Since $B(X, Y: E)$ is a simple connected graph, $\bigcup_{x \in X} N_{B}(x)=Y$. We have that $d(u)=n_{2}$. By a similar way, we can find a vertex $v \in Y$ such that $d(v)=n_{1}$.

The following is an algorithm for transforming one set of vertices of a bipartite graph to an ordered set of vertices. Let $B(X, Y: E)$ be a bipartite graph with $|X|=n_{1}$ and $|Y|=n_{2}$. Let $S=\left\{u \mid u \in X, d(u)=n_{2}\right\}$ and $v \in Y$ such that $d(v)=\max \left\{d\left(v_{i}\right) \mid v_{i} \in Y\right\}$. Let $H=$
$\left\{y \mid y \in Y, d(y) \leq d(v), N_{B}(y) \bigcap N_{B}(v) \neq \emptyset, N_{B}(y) \backslash N_{B}(v) \neq \emptyset\right\}$. If $d(v)<n_{1}$, then $H \neq \emptyset$, since $B(X, Y: E)$ is connected.

## Algorithm 1.

Input: $B(X, Y: E)$ with $|X|=n_{1}$ and $|Y|=n_{2}$.
Output: $B^{\prime}(X, Y: E)$ with $|X|=n_{1}$ and $|Y|=n_{2}$ such that $X$ being ordered.
Step 1: If $d(v)<n_{1}$, then take $y \in H$ and do the ordered translation $F(B: v, y)$. Go to step 2. Otherwise, go to Step 3.
Step 2: Let $H=H-\{y\}$, go to Step 1.
Step 3: Let $S_{1}=X \backslash S$. If $S_{1} \neq \emptyset$, then take a vertex u such that $u \in S_{1}$ and $d(u)=$ $\max \left\{d\left(u_{i}\right) \mid u_{i} \in S_{1}\right\}$. Let $S_{2}=S_{1}-\{u\}$ and go to Step 4. Otherwise, stop.
Step 4: If $S_{2} \neq \emptyset$, go to Step 5. Otherwise, go to Step 6.
Step 5: Take a vertex $v \in S_{2}$. If $v$ satisfies $N_{G}(v) \nsubseteq N_{G}(u)$, then we do ordered translation $F(B, u, v)$. Let $S_{2}=S_{2} \backslash\{v\}$ and go to Step 4 .
Step 6: Let $S=S \bigcup\{u\}$ and go to Step 3.
Obviously, Algorithm 1 can be done in $O\left(n_{2}+n_{1}^{2}\right)$ time. On the other hand, according to Theorem 2.3, when a bipartite graph need to be transformed to an ordered bipartite graph, it is sufficient to transform one set of $\{X, Y\}$ to be ordered. Hence, we have the following result.

Theorem 2.5. Any simple connected bipartite graph $B(X, Y: E)$ can be translated into an ordered bipartite graph by a finite number of ordered translations.

Theorem 2.6. Let $m$ and $n$ be two integers with $n-1 \leq m \leq\lfloor n / 2\rfloor\lceil n / 2\rceil$. If $B(X, Y: E)$ attains the maximum value of the second Zagreb index among $\mathscr{B}(X, Y: E)$ with $n$ vertices and $m$ edges, then $B(X, Y: E)$ must be an ordered bipartite graph.

Proof. We prove this by contradiction. Suppose $B_{1}$ is a bipartite graph with $n$ vertices and $m$ edges such that $Z_{2}\left(B_{1}\right)=\max \left\{Z_{2}(B) \mid B \in \mathscr{B}(X, Y: E)\right\}$, but $B_{1}$ isn't an ordered bipartite graph. It follows from Theorem 2.5 that $B_{1}$ can be translated into an ordered bipartite graph $B^{\prime}$. By Theorem 2.2, $Z_{2}\left(B^{\prime}\right)>Z_{2}\left(B_{1}\right)$. This contradicts that $B_{1}$ attains the maximal value of the second Zagreb index.

In fact, Theorem 2.6 gives a necessary condition, that is not sufficient for a bipartite graph attaining the maximal value of $Z_{2}(G)$, since the ordered bipartite graphs with $|X|=n_{1},|Y|=$ $n_{2}$ and $m$ edges are not unique. In the following, we discuss the bipartite graphs $B(X, Y: E)$ with $|X|=n_{1},|Y|=n_{2}$ and $\Delta=\left\{v \in X \mid d(v)=n_{2}\right\}=p_{x}$ or $\Delta=\left\{v \in Y \mid d(v)=n_{1}\right\}=p_{y}$.
Theorem 2.7. Let $m, n$ and $p$ be three integers with $m=(n-1)+(p-1)\left(n_{2}-1\right)+k$, where $p \geq 1, k \leq n_{2}-1$. If $B(X, Y: E)$ with $|X|=n_{1},|Y|=n_{2}$ satisfies $\Delta=\left|\left\{v \in X \mid d(v)=n_{2}\right\}\right|=p$, then

$$
Z_{2}(B) \leq p n_{1} n_{2}+p^{2} n_{2}^{2}+n_{1}^{2}+(k-p) n_{1}+p(k-p) n_{2}+(p+1) k(k+1)
$$

Proof. We prove this by induction. Suppose $B$ is a bipartite graph with $n$ vertices and $m$ edges such that $m=(n-1)+(p-1)\left(n_{2}-1\right)+k$.
(1) when $k=0$,

$$
Z_{2}(B)=p n_{1} n_{2}+p^{2} n_{2}^{2}+n_{1}^{2}-n_{1} p-p^{2} n_{2} .
$$

Therefore, when $k=0$,

$$
Z_{2}(B) \leq p n_{1} n_{2}+p^{2} n_{2}^{2}+n_{1}^{2}+(k-p) n_{1}+p(k-p) n_{2}+(p+1) k(k+1)
$$

(2) Suppose when $k=r, r \leq n_{2}-2$,

$$
Z_{2}(B) \leq p n_{1} n_{2}+p^{2} n_{2}^{2}+n_{1}^{2}+(r-p) n_{1}+p(r-p) n_{2}+(p+1) r(r+1) .
$$

In the following, we prove

$$
Z_{2}(B) \leq p n_{1} n_{2}+p^{2} n_{2}^{2}+n_{1}^{2}+(k-p) n_{1}+p(k-p) n_{2}+(p+1) k(k+1),
$$

when $k=r+1$.
We prove this by contradiction. Suppose $B_{1}(X, Y: E)$ is a bipartite graph with $n$ vertices and $m$ edges such that $m=(n-1)+(p-1)\left(n_{2}-1\right)+(r+1)$ and $\Delta=\mid\{v \in X \mid d(v)=$ $\left.n_{2}\right\} \mid=p$, which attains the maximum value of the second Zagreb index, but $Z_{2}\left(B_{1}\right)>$ $p n_{1} n_{2}+p^{2} n_{2}^{2}+n_{1}^{2}+(r+1-p) n_{1}+p[(r+1)-p] n_{2}+(p+1)(r+1)(r+2)$. Let $\eta \in Y$ such that $d(\eta)=\min \{d(v) \mid v \in Y, d(v) \neq p)\}=\delta$, and $\xi \eta \in E$ such that $d(\xi)=\min \{d(u) \mid u \in$ $\left.N_{B_{1}}(\eta)\right\}$. Since $B_{1}$ attains the maximum value of the second Zagreb index, according to Theorem 2.6, $B_{1}$ is an ordered bipartite graph.

In the following, we distinguish two cases.
Case 1: $\delta-p=1$
Since $\delta-p=1$, only $\xi$ is adjacent to $\eta$ except the vertices in $\Delta$. This implies that

$$
d(\xi) \leq r+2, \quad \sum_{u_{i} \in N_{B_{1}}(\eta), u_{i} \neq \xi} d\left(u_{i}\right)=p n_{2},
$$

and

$$
\sum_{v_{i} \in N_{B_{1}}(\xi), v_{i} \neq \eta} d\left(v_{i}\right) \leq n_{1}+(d(\xi)-2) p+[(r+1)-(\delta-p)] .
$$

Therefore, we can obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z_{2}\left(B_{1}-\xi \eta\right) & =Z_{2}\left(B_{1}\right)-\left[d(\xi) d(\eta)+\sum_{u_{i} \in N_{B_{1}}(\eta), u_{i} \neq \xi} d\left(u_{i}\right)+\sum_{v_{i} \in N_{B_{1}}(\xi), v_{i} \neq \eta} d\left(v_{i}\right)\right. \\
& \geq Z_{2}\left(B_{1}\right)-\left[\delta(r+2)+p n_{2}+n_{1}+r p+r\right] \\
& >p n_{1} n_{2}+p^{2} n_{2}^{2}+n_{1}^{2}+(r-p) n_{1}+p(r-p) n_{2}+(p+1) r(r+1)
\end{aligned}
$$

This contradicts that when $k=r$,

$$
Z_{2}(B) \leq p n_{1} n_{2}+p^{2} n_{2}^{2}+n_{1}^{2}+(r-p) n_{1}+p(r-p) n_{2}+(p+1) r(r+1) .
$$

Case 2: $\delta-p \geq 2$
Since $d(\xi)=\min \left\{d(u) \mid u \in N_{B_{1}}(\eta)\right\}$, and $B_{1}$ is an ordered bipartite graph,

$$
d(\xi) \leq\left\lfloor\frac{r+1}{\delta-p}\right\rfloor+1, \quad \sum_{v_{i} \in N_{B_{1}}(\xi), v_{i} \neq \eta} d\left(v_{i}\right) \leq n_{1}+(d(\xi)-2) p+[(r+1)-(\delta-p)] .
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
Z_{2}\left(B_{1}-\xi \eta\right) & =Z_{2}\left(B_{1}\right)-\left[d(\xi) d(\eta)+\sum_{u_{i} \in N_{B_{1}}(\eta), u_{i} \neq \xi} d\left(u_{i}\right)+\sum_{v_{i} \in N_{B_{1}}(\xi), v_{i} \neq \eta} d\left(v_{i}\right)\right. \\
& \geq Z_{2}\left(B_{1}\right)-\left[p n_{2}+n_{1}+2 r+1+(\delta+p)\left[\frac{r+1}{\delta-p}\right]\right] \\
& \geq Z_{2}\left(B_{1}\right)-\left[p n_{2}+n_{1}+3(r+1)-1+2 p \frac{r+1}{\delta-p}\right] \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\delta-p \geq 2$, from equation (2.1), we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z_{2}\left(B_{1}-\xi \eta\right) \geq & Z_{2}\left(B_{1}\right)-\left[p n_{2}+n_{1}+3(r+1)-1+p(r+1)\right] \\
> & p n_{1} n_{2}+p^{2} n_{2}^{2}+n_{1}^{2}+(r+1-p) n_{1}+p[(r+1)-p] n_{2}+(p+1)(r+1)(r+2) \\
& \quad-\left[p n_{2}+n_{1}+3(r+1)-1+p(r+1)\right] \\
> & p n_{1} n_{2}+p^{2} n_{2}^{2}+n_{1}^{2}+(r-p) n_{1}+p(r-p) n_{2}+(p+1) r(r+1)
\end{aligned}
$$

This contradicts that when $k=r$,

$$
Z_{2}(B) \leq p n_{1} n_{2}+p^{2} n_{2}^{2}+n_{1}^{2}+(r-p) n_{1}+p(r-p) n_{2}+(p+1) r(r+1) .
$$

From the above discussion, we can get that

$$
Z_{2}(B) \leq p n_{1} n_{2}+p^{2} n_{2}^{2}+n_{1}^{2}+(k-p) n_{1}+p(k-p) n_{2}+(p+1) k(k+1),
$$

when $k=r+1$.
Although only one set of bipartite graphs is considered in Theorem 2.7, the same result is correct for the other set of bipartite graphs according to the proof of the Theorem 2.7.
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