

## Central Automorphisms of Semidirect Products

<sup>1</sup>HAMID MOUSAVI AND <sup>2</sup>AMIR SHOMALI

<sup>1,2</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of Tabriz, P. O. Box 51666-17766, Tabriz, Iran

<sup>1</sup>hmousavi@tabrizu.ac.ir, <sup>2</sup>a\_shomali@tabrizu.ac.ir

**Abstract.** In this paper we describe the structure of  $\text{Aut}_N^Z(G)$  for a group  $G = HK$ , where  $K$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$  and  $N = H \cap K$  is  $\text{Aut}^Z(G)$ -invariant, in particular, if  $N = 1$ , this amounts to a description of the central automorphism group of the semi-direct product  $G = K \rtimes H$ . We also show that if  $N \trianglelefteq G$  and  $\mathcal{C}_K(H/N) = N$ , then  $\text{Aut}_N^Z(G)$  is a split extension. Particular if  $G$  is solvable, then  $\text{Aut}_N^Z(G)$  is an abelian by abelian split extension. This description of the group of central automorphisms of semidirect products is of great importance, because any solvable group has a splitting quotient.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 20D15, 20D45

Keywords and phrases: Central automorphism, solvable group, semidirect.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $G$  be a group and let  $M$  and  $N$  be normal subgroups of  $G$ . By  $\text{Aut}^N(G)$  we mean the subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(G)$  consisting of all automorphisms centralizing  $G/N$  and by  $\text{Aut}_M(G)$  we mean the subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(G)$  consisting of all automorphisms centralizing  $M$ . We denote  $\text{Aut}^N(G) \cap \text{Aut}_M(G)$  by  $\text{Aut}_M^N(G)$ . Clearly  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}^N(G)$  if and only if  $g^{-1}\alpha(g) \in N$  for all  $g$  in  $G$ .

Given a group  $G$ , the subgroup  $\text{Aut}^Z(G)$  is called the central automorphism group of  $G$  when  $Z = Z(G)$ . Hence if  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}^Z(G)$  then  $g^{-1}\sigma(g)$  lies in the center  $Z(G)$  of  $G$  for all  $g$  in  $G$ . It is easily seen that  $\text{Aut}^Z(G) = \mathcal{C}_{\text{Aut}(G)}(\text{Inn}(G))$ . The group of central automorphisms of a finite group  $G$  is of great importance in investigating of  $\text{Aut}(G)$ , and has been studied by several authors (see, for example, [1–7]).

A non-abelian group  $G$  that has no non-trivial abelian direct factor is said to be purely non-abelian. In [1] Adney and Yen has shown that if  $G$  is a finite purely non-abelian group, then  $|\text{Aut}^Z(G)| = |\text{Hom}(G/G', Z(G))|$ . In [6] Jamali and Jafari introduced some special subgroups of  $\text{Aut}^Z(G)$  in order to find the structure of  $\text{Aut}^Z(G)$  for a group  $G = K \rtimes H$ , where  $K$  is purely non-abelian and  $H$  an abelian subgroup of  $G$ . Also in [5] they investigated the nilpotency and solubility of the central automorphisms group of a finite group.

Let  $G = HK$ , where  $K$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$  and  $N = H \cap K$  is invariant under the central automorphism of  $G$ . We shall show that if  $N \triangleleft G$  and  $\mathcal{C}_K(H/N) = N$  then  $\text{Aut}_N^Z(G)$

---

Communicated by Shum Kar Ping.

Received: July 7, 2011; Revised: October 13, 2011.

is a split extension. The significance of this result is that every finite solvable group has a splitting quotient. Let  $G$  be a group and let  $N$  be an  $\text{Aut}^Z(G)$ -invariant subgroup of  $G$ . Then the natural action of  $\text{Aut}^Z(G)$  on  $N$  has  $\text{Aut}_N^Z(G)$  as its kernel and  $\text{Aut}^Z(G)/\text{Aut}_N^Z(G)$  can be embedded in  $\text{Aut}^Z(N)$ . Now according to the exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \text{Aut}_N^Z(G) \longrightarrow \text{Aut}^Z(G) \longrightarrow \text{Aut}^Z(G)/\text{Aut}_N^Z(G) \longrightarrow 1,$$

the study of structure of  $\text{Aut}_N^Z(G)$  becomes more important. Clearly if all central automorphisms of  $G$  fix  $N$  pointwise, then  $\text{Aut}_N^Z(G) = \text{Aut}^Z(G)$ .

For an especial example, let

$$G = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times S_3,$$

the group 48#51 as quoted in "Small Group" library of GAP [10]. Then (by using GAP),

$$\text{Aut}^Z(G) \cong (\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2) \rtimes PSL(3, 2).$$

Consider the maximal subgroup  $M = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  of  $G$  and set  $N = \text{Core}_G(M) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ . Then  $\text{Aut}_N^Z(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  and

$$\text{Aut}^Z(G)/\text{Aut}_N^Z(G) \cong PSL(3, 2) \cong \text{Aut}^Z(N).$$

Throughout the paper our notation is standard, and can be found in [9], for example.

## 2. Preliminaries

The aim of this section is to collect several facts and basic results that will be used in the rest of the paper. Let  $\sigma$  be a central automorphism of  $G$ . Clearly the map  $f_\sigma : x \mapsto x^{-1}\sigma(x)$  defines a homomorphism from  $G$  into  $Z(G)$ . On the other hand, the map  $\sigma_f : x \mapsto xf(x)$  defines an endomorphism of  $G$  for all  $f$  in  $\text{Hom}(G, Z(G))$ . This endomorphism is a central automorphism if and only if  $f(x) \neq x^{-1}$  for every  $x$  in  $G - \{1\}$ , because,  $x \in \text{Ker}(\sigma_f)$  if and only if  $xf(x) = 1$  or  $f(x) = x^{-1}$ , so  $x = 1$ . Also  $xf(x) = yf(y)$  implies the contradictory equality  $f(x^{-1}y) = (x^{-1}y)^{-1}$ , and so the set  $\{xf(x) \mid x \in G\}$  is  $G$ . As any homomorphism  $f : G \longrightarrow Z(G)$  induces a homomorphism  $f : G/G' \longrightarrow Z(G)$ , and vice versa, we see that

$$|\text{Hom}(G, Z(G))| = |\text{Hom}(G/G', Z(G))|.$$

Throughout the paper  $G$  is a finite group, and  $\pi_H, \pi_K$  are the projection maps from  $G = K \rtimes H$  into  $H$  and  $K$ , respectively. Also  $\sigma_H, \sigma_K$  are corresponding restrictions of  $\sigma$  on  $H$  and  $K$ , where  $\sigma_H : H \longrightarrow G$  and  $\sigma_K : K \longrightarrow G$ . Now we set

$$\begin{aligned} R &= \{\sigma_H \mid \sigma \in \text{Aut}^Z(G)\}, & S &= \{\sigma_K \mid \sigma \in \text{Aut}^Z(G)\}, \\ T &= \{\pi_K \sigma_H \mid \sigma \in \text{Aut}^Z(G)\}, & U &= \{\pi_H \sigma_K \mid \sigma \in \text{Aut}^Z(G)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $N$  be a normal subgroup of  $G$  such that  $G/N = K/N \rtimes H/N$  for some subgroups  $K$  and  $H$  of  $G$ , and let  $L \leq Z(G)$ . If  $N \leq \text{Ker } f$  then every element  $f$  of  $\text{Hom}(H, L)$  induces an element  $\hat{f}$  of  $\text{Hom}(G, L)$ , where  $\hat{f}(kh) = f(h)$ . Also if  $N[H, K] \leq \text{Ker } f$ , then every element  $f$  of  $\text{Hom}(K, L)$  induces an element  $\hat{f}$  of  $\text{Hom}(G, L)$ , in the case we have

$$\hat{f}(h_1 k_1 h_2 k_2) = \hat{f}(h_1 h_2 [h_2, k_1^{-1}] k_1 k_2) = f([h_2, k_1^{-1}] k_1 k_2) = f(k_1) f(k_2) = \hat{f}(k_1 h_1) \hat{f}(k_2 h_2).$$

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $N \trianglelefteq G$  such that  $G/N = K/N \rtimes H/N$ . For any subgroup  $L \leq Z(G)$  we set*

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \{f \in \text{Hom}(H, L), N \leq \text{Ker } f\}, \\ B &= \{f \in \text{Hom}(K, L), N[H, K] \leq \text{Ker } f\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$A' = \{\sigma_{\hat{f}} \mid f \in \text{Hom}(H, L), N \leq \text{Ker } f\},$$

$$B' = \{\sigma_{\hat{f}} \mid f \in \text{Hom}(K, L), N[H, K] \leq \text{Ker } f\}.$$

Then we have

- (i) if  $G$  is purely non-abelian then  $A'$  and  $B'$  are subgroups of  $\text{Aut}^Z(G)$  of order  $|A|$  and  $|B|$  respectively,
- (ii) if  $L \leq K \cap Z(G)$  then  $A'$  is a subgroup of  $\text{Aut}^Z(G)$  isomorphic to  $A$ ,
- (iii) if  $L \leq H \cap Z(G)$  then  $B'$  is a subgroup of  $\text{Aut}^Z(G)$  isomorphic to  $B$ .

*Proof.* (i) Since  $G$  is a purely non-abelian, then  $\sigma_{\hat{f}} \in \text{Aut}^Z(G)$  in all cases. So we can easily see that  $A'$  and  $B'$  are subgroups of  $\text{Aut}^Z(G)$  of order  $|A|$  and  $|B|$  respectively.

(ii) Let  $g = kh$  for some  $k \in K$  and  $h \in H$ . Then  $\hat{f}(g) = g^{-1}$  implies that  $f(h) = h^{-1}k^{-1}$ , so  $h \in N$  and  $f(h) = 1$ , therefore  $g = 1$ . Hence we can assume that  $\hat{f}(g) \neq g^{-1}$  for all  $1 \neq g \in G$ , then  $\sigma_{\hat{f}} \in \text{Aut}^Z(G)$ . Also

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{\hat{f}_1} \sigma_{\hat{f}_2}(g) &= \sigma_{\hat{f}_1}(g\hat{f}_2(g)) = g\hat{f}_2(g)\hat{f}_1(g)\hat{f}_1(\hat{f}_2(g)) \\ &= g\hat{f}_1(g)\hat{f}_2(g), \quad (\hat{f}_1(hk) = f_1(h) \ \& \ \hat{f}_2(g) \in L \leq K) \\ &= g(\hat{f}_1 \cdot \hat{f}_2)(g), \end{aligned}$$

hence  $\sigma_{\hat{f}_1} \sigma_{\hat{f}_2} = \sigma_{\hat{f}_1 \cdot \hat{f}_2} \in A'$  for all  $\sigma_{\hat{f}_1}, \sigma_{\hat{f}_2} \in A'$ , therefore  $A'$  is a subgroup of  $\text{Aut}^Z(G)$ . Now the mapping  $f \mapsto \sigma_{\hat{f}}$  is an isomorphism from  $A$  into  $A'$ , and the result follows.

(iii) Follows similarly. ■

The following lemma is similar to that of [6, lemma 2.4]

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $G$  be a finite group with a normal subgroup  $N$  such that  $G/N = K/N \rtimes H/N$ . Suppose that  $A \leq H \cap Z(G)$  and  $B \leq K \cap Z(G)$  with  $A \cap B = 1$ . We set*

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 &= \{\sigma_{\hat{f}} \mid f \in \text{Hom}(H, A), N \leq \text{Ker } f, f(x) \neq x^{-1}, x \in H \setminus \{1\}\}, \\ S_1 &= \{\sigma_{\hat{f}} \mid f \in \text{Hom}(K, B), N[H, K] \leq \text{Ker } f, f(x) \neq x^{-1}, x \in K \setminus \{1\}\}, \\ T_1 &= \{\sigma_{\hat{f}} \mid f \in \text{Hom}(H, B), N \leq \text{Ker } f\}, \\ U_1 &= \{\sigma_{\hat{f}} \mid f \in \text{Hom}(K, A), N[H, K] \leq \text{Ker } f\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

- (i)  $R_1, S_1, T_1$  and  $U_1$  are all subgroups of  $\text{Aut}^Z(G)$  having mutually trivial intersections,
- (ii)  $T_1$  and  $U_1$  are abelian and  $[R_1, S_1] = 1$ ,
- (iii)  $R_1 \cup S_1 \subseteq \mathcal{N}_{\text{Aut}^Z(G)}(T_1) \cap \mathcal{N}_{\text{Aut}^Z(G)}(U_1)$ .

*Proof.* (i) We only show that  $R_1 \leq \text{Aut}^Z(G)$ , others are similar. At first, we prove that  $\hat{f}(g) \neq g^{-1}$ , which implies  $\sigma_{\hat{f}} \in \text{Aut}^Z(G)$ . If  $\hat{f}(g) = g^{-1}$  for some  $1 \neq g = hk$ , then  $f(h) = k^{-1}h^{-1}$  which implies  $hf(h) = k^{-1} \in N$ . Now, from  $f(hf(h)) = 1$  we have  $f(f(h)) = (f(h))^{-1}$ , which contradicts  $1 \neq f(h) \in A \leq H$ . Now we show that  $R_1$  is closed under composition. To see that, let  $\tau = \sigma_{\hat{f}_1} \sigma_{\hat{f}_2}$ . So  $\tau(x) = x\hat{f}_1(x)\hat{f}_2(x)\hat{f}_1(\hat{f}_2(x))$ , hence  $x^{-1}\tau(x) \in A$ . Now, define  $\hat{f} : G \rightarrow A$  with  $\hat{f}(x) = x^{-1}\tau(x)$  and let  $f$  be the restriction of  $\hat{f}$  to  $H$ . Since for all  $n \in N$ ,  $\tau(n) = n$ , then  $f(n) = \hat{f}(n) = n^{-1}\tau(n) = 1$ , and so  $N \leq \text{Ker}(f)$ . Also, if  $h^{-1} = f(h)$  then  $h^{-1} = \hat{f}(h) = h^{-1}\tau(h)$  hence  $h = 1$ . Therefore  $\tau = \sigma_{\hat{f}} \in R_1$ .

For the second part, let  $X \in \{A, B\}$  and  $f \in \text{Hom}(H, X)$ , then  $\sigma_{\hat{f}}(k) = kf(1) = k$ . Also for any  $f \in \text{Hom}(K, X)$ ,  $\sigma_{\hat{f}}(h) = hf(1) = h$ . So for all  $\sigma$  in  $R_1 \cap S_1$ ,  $R_1 \cap U_1$  or  $S_1 \cap T_1$ ,  $\sigma(hk) = \sigma(h)\sigma(k) = hk$ . Now, for  $\sigma_{\hat{f}} \in R_1 \cap T_1$ ,  $f(h) \in A \cap B = 1$ . Thus,  $\sigma(h) = h$  and  $\sigma_{\hat{f}}(k) = kf(1) = k$ , hence  $\sigma_{\hat{f}}$  is an identity map. Similarly, one can show that  $S_1 \cap U_1 = 1$ .

(ii) Let  $f_1, f_2 \in \text{Hom}(H, B)$ . Since  $B \leq K$  then for all  $x \in G$ ,  $\hat{f}_1(\hat{f}_2(x)) = \hat{f}_2(\hat{f}_1(x)) = 1$ . Hence,

$$\sigma_{\hat{f}_1} \sigma_{\hat{f}_2}(x) = x\hat{f}_1(x)\hat{f}_2(x) = x\hat{f}_2(x)\hat{f}_1(x) = \sigma_{\hat{f}_2} \sigma_{\hat{f}_1}(x).$$

So  $T_1$  is abelian. Similarly one can see that  $U_1$  is abelian. Let  $f \in \text{Hom}(H, A)$  and  $g \in \text{Hom}(K, B)$ . Then,  $\hat{f}(\hat{g}(hk)) = \hat{f}(g(k)) = 1$  and  $\hat{g}(\hat{f}(hk)) = \hat{g}(f(h)) = 1$ . Therefore,  $\sigma_{\hat{f}} \sigma_{\hat{g}}(x) = x\hat{g}(x)\hat{f}(x) = \sigma_{\hat{g}} \sigma_{\hat{f}}(x)$ . Now, we have  $\sigma_{\hat{f}} \sigma_{\hat{g}} = \sigma_{\hat{g}} \sigma_{\hat{f}}$ .

(iii) Let  $\sigma_{\hat{f}} \in R_1, \sigma_{\hat{\gamma}} \in T_1$ , and set  $\mu = \sigma_{\hat{f}}^{-1} \sigma_{\hat{\gamma}} \sigma_{\hat{f}}$ . We have  $K \leq \text{Ker } f_{\mu}$ , because  $\sigma_{\hat{f}}$  and  $\sigma_{\hat{\gamma}}$  fix  $K$  elementwise. Now  $\mu(x) = \sigma_{\hat{f}}^{-1}(\hat{\gamma}(\sigma_{\hat{f}}(x)))x = \hat{\gamma}(\sigma_{\hat{f}}(x))x$  for all  $x \in G$ , and  $f_{\mu}(x) = x^{-1}\mu(x) \in B$ . Thus  $f_{\mu} \in \text{Hom}(H, B)$  and  $N \leq \text{Ker } f_{\mu}$ . Hence  $\mu = \sigma_{\hat{f}_{\mu}} \in T_1$  and so  $R_1$  normalizes  $T_1$ . Next let  $\sigma_{\hat{f}} \in R_1, \sigma_{\hat{\gamma}} \in U_1$ , and set  $\mu = \sigma_{\hat{f}} \sigma_{\hat{\gamma}} \sigma_{\hat{f}}^{-1}$ . We find that  $\mu(h) = h$  for all  $h \in H$  because  $\sigma_{\hat{\gamma}}$  fixes  $H$  elementwise. Therefore  $H \leq \text{Ker } f_{\mu}$ . Now  $\mu(x) = f(\gamma(\sigma_{\hat{f}}^{-1}(x)))\gamma(\sigma_{\hat{f}}^{-1}(x))x$  for all  $x \in G$ , and  $f_{\mu}(x) = x^{-1}\mu(x) \in A$ . Thus  $f_{\mu} \in \text{Hom}(K, A)$  and  $N[H, K] \leq \text{Ker } f_{\mu}$ . Hence  $\mu = \sigma_{\hat{f}_{\mu}} \in U_1$  and so  $R_1$  normalizes  $U_1$ , which completes the proof.  $\blacksquare$

**Lemma 2.3.** *If  $G = K \rtimes H$  then  $Z(G) \leq \mathcal{C}_K(H)Z(H)$ . Furthermore, if  $Z(H)$  acts trivially on  $K$  then  $Z(H) \leq Z(G)$  and  $Z(G) = \mathcal{C}_{Z(K)}(H) \times Z(H)$ .*

The proof of above Lemma is obvious. Note that since  $Z(H)$  acts trivially on  $K$ , we have  $\mathcal{C}_{Z(K)}(H) = K \cap Z(G)$  and  $Z(H) = H \cap Z(G)$ .

### 3. Main results

In this section we give the order and the structure of  $\text{Aut}^Z(G)$ , where  $G$  is a semidirect product.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $G = K \rtimes H$  be a semidirect product of groups  $H$  and  $K$ , where  $(|H|, |K|) = 1$ . Then  $\sigma_H \in \text{Aut}^Z(H)$  and  $\sigma_K \in \text{Aut}^Z(K)$  for all  $\sigma$  in  $\text{Aut}^Z(G)$  and  $\text{Aut}^Z(G) \cong R \times S$  (defined as in page 3). Furthermore, if  $Z(H)$  acts trivially on  $K$  then  $\text{Aut}^Z(G) \cong \text{Aut}^Z(H) \times S$ . In particular, if  $G = K \times H$  then  $\text{Aut}^Z(G) \cong \text{Aut}^Z(H) \times \text{Aut}^Z(K)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}^Z(G)$  and  $k \in K$ . Then  $\sigma_k \in \text{Aut}(K)$  and  $k^{-1}\sigma(k) \in Z(G) \cap K \leq Z(K)$ . Hence  $\sigma_k \in \text{Aut}^Z(K)$ . Let  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}^Z(G)$  and  $h \in H$ . We may write  $\sigma(h) = h'k$ , where  $h' \in H$  and  $k \in K$ . Then  $h^{-1}h' \in Z(H)$  and  $k \in \mathcal{C}_K(H)$ . On letting  $|K| = t$ , we see that  $\sigma(h') = h'^t$  for some  $h' \in H$ . Since for all  $h \in H$  there exists an element  $h_1 \in H$  such that  $h = h_1^t$ , we have  $\sigma(h) \in H$ . Hence  $H$  is an invariant subgroup under the central automorphism  $\sigma$  of  $G$ . Then  $\sigma_H \in \text{Aut}(H)$  and  $h^{-1}\sigma(h) \in Z(G) \cap H \leq Z(H)$ , hence  $\sigma_H \in \text{Aut}^Z(H)$ .

On taking  $A = Z(G) \cap H$ ,  $B = Z(G) \cap K$ ,  $N = 1$  and using Lemma 2.2, we find that  $T_1 = 1$  and  $U_1 = 1$ . Also the subgroups  $R_1$  and  $S_1$  of  $\text{Aut}^Z(G)$  have trivial intersection. Now we show that  $R \cong R_1$  and  $S \cong S_1$ . We define a map  $\varphi : R_1 \rightarrow R$  by  $\varphi(\sigma_{\hat{f}}) = \sigma_{\hat{f}}|_H$ . Then  $\varphi$  is well-defined and is a homomorphism. Also if  $\varphi(\sigma_{\hat{f}}) = 1$  then  $\sigma_{\hat{f}}(hk) = \sigma_{\hat{f}}(h)\sigma_{\hat{f}}(k) = hk$  and

$\sigma_{\hat{f}} = 1$ . Furthermore,  $\varphi$  is surjective, because if  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}^Z(G)$ , then on setting  $\tau = \sigma_H \in R$ , we have  $f_\tau \in \text{Hom}(H, Z(G) \cap H)$  and  $f_\tau(h) \neq h^{-1}$  for every  $h \in H - \{1\}$ . Thus  $\sigma_{f_\tau} \in R_1$  and  $\varphi(\sigma_{f_\tau}) = \sigma_{f_\tau}|_H = \tau$ . It follows that  $\varphi$  is an isomorphism. Similarly we can see that  $S \cong S_1$ . Now it is easy to observe that the map  $\theta : \text{Aut}^Z(G) \rightarrow R \times S$  defined by  $\theta(\sigma) = (\sigma_H, \sigma_K)$  is a monomorphism. We show that  $\theta$  is surjective. To do this, we consider  $\delta, \tau \in \text{Aut}^Z(G)$  for which we have  $\delta_H \in \text{Aut}^Z(H)$  and  $\tau_K \in \text{Aut}^Z(K)$ , since  $(|H|, |K|) = 1$ . Therefore  $\delta_H \in R$  and  $\tau_K \in S$ . Now we define  $\sigma(hk) = \delta(h)\tau(k)$ , we can easily see that  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}^Z(G)$  and  $\theta(\sigma) = (\delta, \tau)$ . So  $\theta$  is an isomorphism.

If  $Z(H)$  acts trivially on  $K$  then  $Z(H) \leq Z(G)$  and  $R = \text{Aut}^Z(H)$ , so  $\text{Aut}^Z(G) \cong \text{Aut}^Z(H) \times S$ . In particular, if  $G = K \rtimes H$  then  $R = \text{Aut}^Z(H)$ ,  $S = \text{Aut}^Z(K)$  and  $\text{Aut}^Z(G) \cong \text{Aut}^Z(H) \times \text{Aut}^Z(K)$ . ■

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $G = K \rtimes H$  and  $\mathcal{C}_K(H) = 1$ . Then  $\sigma_H \in \text{Aut}^Z(H)$  and  $\pi_H \sigma_K \in \text{Hom}(K, Z(G) \cap H)$  for all  $\sigma$  in  $\text{Aut}^Z(G)$ . Moreover,  $\text{Aut}^Z(G) \cong U \rtimes R$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}^Z(G)$  and  $h \in H$ . Then  $\sigma(h) = h_1 k_1$  and  $h^{-1} \sigma(h) = h^{-1} h_1 k_1 \in Z(G)$ . Therefore from Lemma 2.3,  $h^{-1} h_1 \in Z(H)$  and  $k_1 \in \mathcal{C}_K(H) = 1$ , and hence  $\sigma_H \in \text{Aut}^Z(H)$ . Let  $k \in K$ , since  $\mathcal{C}_K(H) = 1$ , we have  $\sigma(k) = hk$  and  $\pi_H \sigma_K \in \text{Hom}(K, Z(G) \cap H)$ , where  $h \in H$ .

By taking  $A = Z(G) \cap H$ ,  $N = 1$  and using Lemma 2.2, we find that  $T_1 = 1$  and  $S_1 = 1$ . Also the subgroups  $R_1$  and  $U_1$  of  $\text{Aut}^Z(G)$  have trivial intersection and  $R_1 \leq \mathcal{N}_{\text{Aut}^Z(G)}(U_1)$ . By a similar argument given for Theorem 3.1, we have  $R \cong R_1$ . It is now sufficient to show  $U \cong U_1$ . We define the map  $\varphi : U_1 \rightarrow U$  by  $\varphi(\sigma_{\hat{f}}) = \pi_H \sigma_{\hat{f}}|_K$ . Clearly  $\varphi$  is well-defined. Furthermore,  $\varphi$  is a homomorphism because

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\sigma_{\hat{f}_1} \sigma_{\hat{f}_2})(k) &= \pi_H(\sigma_{\hat{f}_1} \sigma_{\hat{f}_2})(k) = \pi_H(k \hat{f}_1(k) \hat{f}_2(k) \hat{f}_1(\hat{f}_2(k))) \\ &= \hat{f}_1(k) \hat{f}_2(k) = (\varphi(\sigma_{\hat{f}_1}) \varphi(\sigma_{\hat{f}_2}))(k). \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that  $\varphi$  is one-to-one. Also  $\varphi$  is surjective because if  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}^Z(G)$ , on setting  $g = \pi_H \sigma_K \in U$ , we have  $g \in \text{Hom}(K, Z(G))$  and  $[H, K] \leq \text{Ker } g$ . Thus  $\sigma_{\hat{g}} \in U_1$  and  $\varphi(\sigma_{\hat{g}}) = \pi_H \sigma_{\hat{g}}|_K = g$ . Therefore  $\varphi$  is an isomorphism.

Now the map  $\psi : U_1 \rtimes R_1 \rightarrow \text{Aut}^Z(G)$  defined by  $(\sigma_{\hat{\gamma}}, \sigma_{\hat{\beta}}) \mapsto \sigma_{\hat{\beta}} \sigma_{\hat{\gamma}}$  is one-to-one, and so  $|R_1||U_1| \leq |\text{Aut}^Z(G)|$ .

Next we consider the map  $\phi : \text{Aut}^Z(G) \rightarrow U \rtimes R$  defined by  $\sigma \mapsto (\pi_H \sigma_K, \sigma_H)$ . If  $\phi(\sigma) = \phi(\tau)$  then  $\pi_H \sigma_K = \pi_H \tau_K$  and  $\sigma_H = \tau_H$ . Thus for any  $h \in H$  and  $k \in K$ , we have  $\sigma(h) = \tau(h)$  and  $\sigma(k) = \tau(k)$ . Therefore  $\phi$  is one-to-one and so  $|\text{Aut}^Z(G)| \leq |R||U| = |R_1||U_1|$ . Hence  $|\text{Aut}^Z(G)| = |R||U|$  and  $\text{Aut}^Z(G) \cong U \rtimes R$ . ■

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $G = K \rtimes H$ , where  $K$  is purely non-abelian and  $Z(H)$  acts trivially on  $K$ . Then  $\text{Aut}^Z(G) \cong RTUS$ .*

*Proof.* We only show that  $\pi_H \sigma_H \in \text{Aut}^Z(H)$  and  $\pi_K \sigma_K \in \text{Aut}^Z(K)$ , similarly we can see that  $\pi_H \sigma_K \in \text{Hom}(K, Z(H))$  and  $\pi_K \sigma_H \in \text{Hom}(H, Z(G) \cap K)$ .

Let  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}^Z(G)$  and  $k \in K$ . We may write  $\sigma(k) = k_1 h_1$ , where  $k_1 \in K$  and  $h_1 \in H$ . Hence  $k^{-1} k_1 h_1 \in Z(G)$ . We have by Lemma 2.3,  $k^{-1} k_1 \in Z(K)$  and  $h_1 \in Z(H)$ . We have  $\pi_K \sigma_K \in \text{Hom}(K, K)$  and  $k^{-1} \pi_K \sigma(k) \in Z(G) \cap K \leq Z(K)$ . Now define  $f_\sigma : K \rightarrow Z(G) \cap K$  by

$f_\sigma(k) = k^{-1}\pi_K\sigma(k)$ . Clearly  $f_\sigma$  is well-defined. Furthermore,  $f_\sigma$  is a homomorphism since

$$\begin{aligned} f_\sigma(kk') &= k'^{-1}k^{-1}\pi_K\sigma(kk') = k'^{-1}k^{-1}\pi_K(\sigma(k)\sigma(k')) \\ &= k'^{-1}k^{-1}\pi_K(h_1k_1h_2k_2), \quad (\text{where } \sigma(k) = k_1h_1 \text{ and } \sigma(k') = k_2h_2), \\ &= k'^{-1}k^{-1}\pi_K(h_1h_2k_1^{h_2}k_2) = k'^{-1}k^{-1}k_1^{h_2}k_2 \\ &= k'^{-1}k^{-1}k_1k_2, \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.3 and this fact } h_2 \in Z(H)), \\ &= k^{-1}k_1k'^{-1}k_2 = k^{-1}\pi_K\sigma(k)k'^{-1}\pi_K\sigma(k') = f_\sigma(k)f_\sigma(k'). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\sigma_{f_\sigma} \in \text{Aut}^Z(K)$  because  $K$  is purely non-abelian. Thus  $\pi_K\sigma_K = \sigma_{f_\sigma} \in \text{Aut}^Z(K)$ . Next let  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}^Z(G)$  and  $h \in H$ . We may write  $\sigma(h) = h_1k_1$ , where  $k_1 \in K$  and  $h_1 \in H$ . Then  $h^{-1}h_1 \in Z(H)$ , and so  $h^{-1}\pi_H\sigma(h) \in Z(H)$ . Suppose that  $\text{Ker } \pi_H\sigma_H \neq 1$ ; so  $\pi_H\sigma(h) = 1$ , for some  $h \in H - \{1\}$ . Therefore, there is a non-trivial element  $k$  in  $K$ , such that  $\sigma(h) = k$ . It follows that  $k$  belongs to the kernel of  $\pi_K\sigma^{-1}_K$  contrary to the first part of the proof. Hence  $\pi_H\sigma_H \in \text{Aut}^Z(H)$ . Similarly  $\pi_H\sigma_K \in \text{Hom}(K, Z(H))$  and  $\pi_K\sigma_H \in \text{Hom}(H, Z(G) \cap K)$ .

By taking  $A = Z(H)$ ,  $B = Z(G) \cap K$ ,  $N = 1$  and using Lemma 2.2, we find that  $R_1, S_1, T_1$  and  $U_1$  being subgroups of  $\text{Aut}^Z(G)$  have mutually trivial intersections. Also  $R_1 \cup S_1 \subseteq \mathcal{N}_{\text{Aut}^Z(G)}(T_1) \cap \mathcal{N}_{\text{Aut}^Z(G)}(U_1)$ . It is easy to see that  $R \cong R_1, S \cong S_1, T \cong T_1$  and  $U \cong U_1$ .

We now consider the map  $\phi : R_1 \times T_1 \times U_1 \times S_1 \longrightarrow \text{Aut}^Z(G)$  defined by

$$(\sigma_{\hat{f}}, \sigma_{\hat{g}}, \sigma_u, \sigma_v) \mapsto \sigma_{\hat{f}}\sigma_{\hat{g}}\sigma_u\sigma_v.$$

Then  $\phi$  is one-to-one. To see this, let  $h \in H$  and  $\sigma_{\hat{f}}\sigma_{\hat{g}}\sigma_u\sigma_v(h) = \sigma_{\hat{f}'}\sigma_{\hat{g}'}\sigma_{u'}\sigma_{v'}(h)$ . Then we have  $\sigma_{\hat{f}}(h\sigma_{\hat{g}}(h)) = \sigma_{\hat{f}'}(h\sigma_{\hat{g}'}(h))$ , which implies that

$$\hat{f}^{-1}(h)\hat{f}(h) = \hat{g}(h)\hat{g}'^{-1}(h) \in H \cap K = 1.$$

Hence  $\hat{f} = \hat{f}'$  and  $\hat{g} = \hat{g}'$ . Therefore  $\sigma_{\hat{f}} = \sigma_{\hat{f}'}$  and  $\sigma_{\hat{g}} = \sigma_{\hat{g}'}$ . Now let  $k \in K$  and  $\sigma_{\hat{f}}\sigma_{\hat{g}}\sigma_u\sigma_v(k) = \sigma_{\hat{f}'}\sigma_{\hat{g}'}\sigma_{u'}\sigma_{v'}(k)$ . It follows that  $\sigma_{\hat{u}}\sigma_{\hat{v}}(k) = \sigma_{\hat{u}'}\sigma_{\hat{v}'}(k)$ . So

$$\hat{v}(k)\hat{v}^{-1}(k) = \hat{u}'(k)\hat{u}'(\hat{v}(k))\hat{u}(\hat{v}(k))^{-1}\hat{u}^{-1}(k) \in H \cap K = 1.$$

Therefore,  $\sigma_{\hat{u}} = \sigma_{\hat{u}'}$  and  $\sigma_{\hat{v}} = \sigma_{\hat{v}'}$ , so  $|R_1||T_1||U_1||S_1| \leq |\text{Aut}^Z(G)|$ .

Now we define a map  $\varphi : \text{Aut}^Z(G) \longrightarrow R \times T \times U \times S$  by

$$\sigma \mapsto (\pi_H\sigma_H, \pi_K\sigma_H, \pi_H\sigma_K, \pi_K\sigma_K).$$

Clearly  $\varphi$  is well-defined. Also if  $\varphi(\sigma) = \varphi(\tau)$  then

$$(\pi_H\sigma_H, \pi_K\sigma_H, \pi_H\sigma_K, \pi_K\sigma_K) = (\pi_H\tau_H, \pi_K\tau_H, \pi_H\tau_K, \pi_K\tau_K).$$

Let  $h \in H$ ,  $\sigma(h) = h_1k_1$  and  $\tau(h) = h_2k_2$ . Then  $h_1 = h_2, k_1 = k_2$  and  $\sigma(h) = \tau(h)$ . Similarly, we have  $\sigma(k) = \tau(k)$  for all  $k \in K$ . Thus  $\sigma = \tau$  and  $\varphi$  is one-to-one, and hence  $|\text{Aut}^Z(G)| \leq |R||T||U||S|$ . Therefore,  $|\text{Aut}^Z(G)| = |R||T||U||S|$  and  $\text{Aut}^Z(G) \cong RTUS$ .  $\blacksquare$

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $G = HK$ , where  $K$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$  and  $N = H \cap K$  is invariant under the central automorphisms of  $G$ . If  $N \trianglelefteq G$  and  $\mathcal{C}_K(H/N) = N$ , then  $\text{Aut}_N^Z(G) \cong U' \rtimes R'$ , where  $R' = \{\sigma_H \mid \sigma \in \text{Aut}_N^Z(G)\}$  and  $U' = \{f_{\sigma_K} \mid \sigma \in \text{Aut}_N^Z(G)\}$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\sigma(N) \leq N$ , every  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}^Z(G)$  induces an automorphism  $\sigma^* \in \text{Aut}^Z(G/N)$ . Also we have  $\mathcal{C}_{K/N}(H/N) = N$ . Now by Theorem 3.2,  $\sigma^*|_{H/N} \in \text{Aut}^Z(H/N)$ , and we see that  $\sigma_H \in \text{Aut}^Z(H)$  and  $\sigma^*(kN) = kNhn$ . So  $\sigma(k)N = khN$  and  $k^{-1}\sigma(k) = hn \in H$ . The map  $f_{\sigma_K} : K \rightarrow Z(G) \cap H$  defined by  $k \mapsto k^{-1}\sigma(k)$  is a homomorphism.

On taking  $A = Z(G) \cap H$  and using Lemma 2.2,  $R_1$  and  $U_1$  being subgroups of  $\text{Aut}^Z(G)$  have trivial intersection. We have  $R' \cong R_1$  and  $U' \cong U_1$ . It is easy to see that the map

$$\psi : U_1 \rtimes R_1 \rightarrow \text{Aut}_N^Z(G)$$

defined by  $(\sigma_{\hat{f}}, \sigma_{\hat{g}}) \mapsto \sigma_{\hat{f}}\sigma_{\hat{g}}$  is one-to-one, so that  $|R_1||U_1| \leq |\text{Aut}_N^Z(G)|$ . Next we consider the map  $\phi : \text{Aut}_N^Z(G) \rightarrow U' \rtimes R'$  defined by  $\sigma \mapsto (f_{\sigma_K}, \sigma_H)$ . If  $\phi(\sigma) = \phi(\tau)$ , then  $f_{\sigma_K} = f_{\tau_K}$  and  $\sigma_H = \tau_H$ . Thus for every  $h \in H$  and  $k \in K$ , we have  $\sigma(h) = \tau(h)$  and  $\sigma(k) = \tau(k)$ . Therefore,  $\phi$  is one-to-one, and hence  $|\text{Aut}_N^Z(G)| \leq |R'||U'| = |R_1||U_1|$ . Therefore,  $|\text{Aut}^Z(G)| = |R'||U'|$  and  $\text{Aut}_N^Z(G) \cong U' \rtimes R'$ . ■

**Corollary 3.1.** *Let  $N$  be a normal subgroup of  $G$  such that  $G/N = K/N \rtimes H/N$  and  $(|H/N|, |K/N|) = 1$ . If  $N$  is invariant under  $\text{Aut}^Z(G)$ , then  $\sigma_H \in \text{Aut}^Z(H)$  and  $\sigma_K \in \text{Aut}^Z(K)$  for all  $\sigma$  in  $\text{Aut}^Z(G)$ . Moreover,  $\text{Aut}_N^Z(G) \cong R' \times S'$ , where  $R' = \{\sigma_H | \sigma \in \text{Aut}_N^Z(G)\}$  and  $S' = \{\sigma_K | \sigma \in \text{Aut}_N^Z(G)\}$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $N$  is invariant under  $\text{Aut}^Z(G)$ , every  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}^Z(G)$  induces an automorphism  $\sigma^* \in \text{Aut}^Z(G/N)$ . We have  $(|H/N|, |K/N|) = 1$ , now by Theorem 3.1,  $\sigma^*|_{H/N} \in \text{Aut}^Z(H/N)$  and  $\sigma^*|_{K/N} \in \text{Aut}^Z(K/N)$ , and we see that  $\sigma_H \in \text{Aut}^Z(H)$  and  $\sigma_K \in \text{Aut}^Z(K)$ . By a similar argument given for Theorem 3.1, we have  $\text{Aut}_N^Z(G) \cong R' \times S'$ . ■

#### 4. Applications

Let  $G$  be a finite solvable group and  $M$  be a non-normal maximal subgroup of  $G$ . Then  $G/C = L/C \rtimes M/C$ , where  $C = \text{Core}_G(M)$  and  $L/C$  is an elementary abelian group. If  $(|M/C|, |C|) = 1$ , then  $G = L \rtimes M_1$ , where  $M_1 \leq M$ . Furthermore, if  $(|M/C|, |L/C|) = 1$ , then  $(|L|, |M_1|) = 1$ . Now by Theorem 3.1, we have  $\text{Aut}^Z(G) \cong R \times S$ .

Let  $G$  be a finite solvable group. By [8, Proposition 4.9], we see that  $G$  has a maximal subgroup  $M$  such that in all must all cases,  $M/C$  becomes abelian, and hence  $(|M/C|, |L/C|) = 1$  holds.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $G$  be a finite solvable group and let  $M$  be a non-normal maximal subgroup of  $G$  such that  $M/C$  is abelian, where  $C = \text{Core}_G(M)$ . If  $(|L/C|, |C|) = 1$ , then  $\text{Aut}^Z(G) \cong R$ , where  $R = \{\sigma_M | \sigma \in \text{Aut}^Z(G)\}$ .*

*Proof.* We have  $G' \leq L$  because  $G/L \cong M/C$  is abelian, also  $L/C = [L/C, M/C]$  hence  $L = [L, M]C \leq G'C \leq L$ , therefore  $L = CG'$ . Since  $G' \cap M \leq G' \cap C$ ,

$$G/(G' \cap C) = (G'M)/(G' \cap C) \cong G'/(G' \cap C) \rtimes M/(G' \cap C).$$

Now since  $p$  does not divide  $|M/C|$  and  $|C|$ , so  $p \nmid |M|$  also  $G'/(G' \cap C) \cong L/C$  is of order of a power of  $p$ , therefore  $(|G'/(G' \cap C)|, |M/(G' \cap C)|) = 1$ , and we see that  $\text{Aut}^Z(G) \cong R$ . ■

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $G$  be a finite solvable group and let  $M$  be a non-normal maximal subgroup of index  $p^t$ . Then*

- (i)  $\text{Aut}_C^Z(G) \cong U' \rtimes R'$ , where  $C = \text{Core}_G(M)$ ,

- (ii) if  $M/C$  is abelian, then  $\text{Aut}_C^Z(G) \cong R' \times S'$ , where  $R'$  is abelian and  $S'$  is elementary abelian of order  $|\Omega_1(P)|^t$ , where  $P$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $Z(G)$ .

*Proof.* (i) We have  $G/C = L/C \times M/C$ , where  $C = \text{Core}_G(M)$  and  $L/C$  is elementary abelian. Thus  $C$  is invariant under  $\text{Aut}^Z(G)$  and  $\mathcal{C}_{L/C}(M/C) = C$ . On taking  $M = H$ ,  $L = K$  and  $C = N$ , now Theorem 3.4 completes the proof.

- (ii) Since  $M/C$  is abelian,  $(|M/C|, |L/C|) = 1$ . By taking

$$R_1 = \{\sigma_{\hat{f}} \mid f \in \text{Hom}(M, Z(G)), C \leq \text{Ker } f, f(m) \neq m^{-1}, m \in M \setminus \{1\}\},$$

$$S_1 = \{\sigma_{\hat{f}} \mid f \in \text{Hom}(L, Z(G)), C[M, L] \leq \text{Ker } f, f(l) \neq l^{-1}, l \in L \setminus \{1\}\},$$

and using Corollary 3.1, we have  $R_1 \cong R'$ ,  $S_1 \cong S'$  and  $\text{Aut}_C^Z(G) \cong R' \times S'$ . The subgroup  $R_1$  is abelian because for all  $\sigma_{\hat{f}}, \sigma_{\hat{h}} \in R_1$  and  $g = ml \in G$ , where  $m \in M$  and  $l \in L$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{\hat{f}} \sigma_{\hat{h}}(g) &= \sigma_{\hat{f}} \sigma_{\hat{h}}(ml) = \sigma_{\hat{f}}(ml h(m)) = ml h(m) f(m) \quad (\text{since } h(m) \in Z(G) \leq C) \\ &= \sigma_{\hat{h}} \sigma_{\hat{f}}(g). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly the subgroup  $S_1$  is abelian. Also for all  $\sigma_{\hat{f}} \in S_1$  and  $g = ml \in G$ , where  $m \in M$  and  $l \in L$ , we have  $(\sigma_{\hat{f}})^p(ml) = ml(\hat{f}(ml))^p = ml f(l^p) = ml$  because  $L/C$  is elementary abelian. Hence  $R'$  is abelian and  $S'$  is elementary abelian.  $\blacksquare$

**Example 4.1.** Let  $G = ((\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2) \times \mathbb{Z}_9) \times \mathbb{Z}_3$ , consider the maximal subgroup  $M = \mathbb{Z}_9 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$  of  $G$ . Then (by using GAP),

$$C = \text{Core}_G(M) = \mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3, \quad Z(G) = \mathbb{Z}_3, \quad M/C = \mathbb{Z}_3 \text{ and } L/C = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2.$$

Since  $(|L/C|, |Z(G)|) = 1$ ,  $S' \cong S_1 = \{1\}$ . Furthermore  $R' \cong R_1 \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$  because,

$$\text{Hom}(M/C, Z(G)) = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_3, \mathbb{Z}_3) \cong \mathbb{Z}_3.$$

The group  $G$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem 4.2(ii). That is  $\text{Aut}^Z(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$ ,  $\text{Aut}_C^Z(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$  and  $\text{Aut}^Z(G)/\text{Aut}_C^Z(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$ .

## References

- [1] J. E. Adney and T. Yen, Automorphisms of a  $p$ -group, *Illinois J. Math.* **9** (1965), 137–143.
- [2] M. S. Attar,  $c$ -characteristically simple groups, *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. (2)* **35** (2012), no. 1, 147–154.
- [3] M. J. Curran and D. J. McCaughan, Central automorphisms that are almost inner, *Comm. Algebra* **29** (2001), no. 5, 2081–2087.
- [4] W. Guo, X. Feng and J. Huang, New characterizations of some classes of finite groups, *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. (2)* **34** (2011), no. 3, 575–589.
- [5] M.-H. Jafari and A.-R. Jamali, On the nilpotency and solubility of the central automorphism group of a finite group, *Algebra Colloq.* **15** (2008), no. 3, 485–492.
- [6] M.-H. Jafari and A.-R. Jamali, On the occurrence of some finite groups in the central automorphism group of finite groups, *Math. Proc. R. Ir. Acad.* **106A** (2006), no. 2, 139–148 (electronic).
- [7] A.-R. Jamali and H. Mousavi, On the central automorphism groups of finite  $p$ -groups, *Algebra Colloq.* **9** (2002), no. 1, 7–14.
- [8] A.-R. Jamali and H. Mousavi, A note on the  $\sigma$ -covers of finite soluble groups, *Algebra Colloq.* **12** (2005), no. 4, 691–697.
- [9] D. J. S. Robinson, *A Course in the Theory of Groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 80, Springer, New York, 1982.
- [10] The GAP Group. GAP - Groups, Algorithms and Programming, Version 4.4; (2005).