

Regular OS-rpp Semigroups

¹JUNQI WANG, ²XIAOJIANG GUO AND ³XIAOWEI QIU

^{1,2,3}Department of Mathematics, Jiangxi Normal University, Nanchang, Jiangxi, 330022, China
¹w060700081@163.com, ²xjguo1967@sohu.com, ³fengliangyushui@163.com

Abstract. OS-rpp semigroups are analogies of orthogroups in the range of rpp semigroups. The aim of this paper is to study OS-rpp semigroups whose band of idempotents is a regular band, named regular OS-rpp semigroups. Many characterizations of regular OS-rpp semigroups are obtained. In particular, Yamada's construction of regular OS-rpp semigroups is given.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 20M10

Keywords and phrases: Super rpp semigroup, left cancellative monoid, OS-rpp semigroup, regular band.

1. Introduction

Let S be a semigroup. S is called *right principally projective*, in short, *rpp*, if for any $a \in S$, aS^1 , regarded as an S^1 -system, is projective. Dually, we can define *left principally projective (lpp) semigroup*. In [1], Fountain pointed out that a semigroup S is rpp if and only if each \mathcal{L}^* -class of S contains at least one idempotent. Following Fountain [3], we call S an *abundant semigroup* if each \mathcal{L}^* -class and each \mathcal{R}^* -class of S contains at least one idempotent. It is not difficult to see that S is abundant if and only if S is both rpp and lpp. Regular semigroups are abundant semigroups. There are many authors having been investigating such semigroups, for example, you can see [23, 24, 27].

An element a of S is called *completely regular* if there exists an idempotent e of S such that $a\mathcal{H}e$. Moreover, if every element of S is completely regular, then we call S a *completely regular semigroup*. Completely regular semigroups are early known as unions of groups (see [22]). Completely regular semigroups form an important class of semigroups. There are many authors having been investigating such semigroups (for the studies on completely regular semigroups, see the monograph [22]).

As generalizations of completely regular semigroups in the range of rpp semigroups, Y. Q. Guo, K. P. Shum and P. Y. Zhu [18] introduced strongly rpp semigroups. So-called a *strongly rpp semigroup* is an rpp semigroup S in which for any $a \in S$, there exists a unique idempotent a^\diamond such that $a\mathcal{L}^*a^\diamond$ and $a^\diamond a = a$. In [12], Shum and Guo proved that a semigroup is completely regular if and only if it is a regular strongly rpp semigroup.

Cancellative monoids are strongly rpp semigroups. In 1976, Fountain [1] researched rpp semigroups with central idempotents, called usually *Clifford rpp (C-rpp) semigroups*. It is proved that a semigroup is C-rpp if and only if it is a semilattice of left cancellative monoids. In [18], Y. Q. Guo, Shum and Zhu investigated left C-rpp semigroups and obtained the construction of such semigroups. Guo and Wu [14], and Guo, Zhao and Shum [15] obtained some characterizations of left C-rpp semigroups in terms of C-rpp semigroups. Left C-a semigroups are defined as left C-rpp semigroups that are abundant. In [6], X. J. Guo, Y. Q. Guo and Shum studied left C-a semigroups and established the structure of this kind of semigroups. As a dual of left C-rpp semigroups, Guo [16] defined right C-rpp semigroups. Since then, Guo, C. C. Ren and Shum [11], and Shum and X. M. Ren [26] considered this kind of strongly rpp semigroups. Left GC-lpp semigroups are another generalizations of left C-rpp semigroups in the range of lpp semigroups (for details, see [7]). In [5], Guo researched strongly rpp semigroups satisfying permutation identities and determined the classification of this kind of semigroups. Guo, Shum and Y. Q. Guo [13], and Shum, Guo and X. M. Ren [25] researched perfect rpp semigroups. Perfect rpp semigroups are strongly rpp semigroups whose idempotents form a normal band. Indeed, strongly rpp semigroups satisfying permutation identities are perfect rpp semigroups. It is interesting that Guo, Y. Q. Guo and Shum [8] have proved that any strongly rpp semigroup is indeed a disjoint union of Rees matrix semigroups over a left cancellative monoid. Recently, Guo, Jun and Zhao [10] probed pseudo-C-rpp semigroups. Such a semigroup is a strongly rpp semigroup whose idempotents form a right quasi-normal band.

To study strongly rpp semigroups, Guo, Y. Q. Guo and K. P. Shum in [8] and [9] defined $\overline{\mathcal{R}}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ on a strongly rpp semigroup. Let S be a strongly rpp semigroup. On S , define: for any $a, b \in S$,

$$a\overline{\mathcal{R}}b \text{ if and only if } a^\diamond \mathcal{R} b^\diamond,$$

and $\overline{\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{L}^* \cap \overline{\mathcal{R}}$. In general, $\overline{\mathcal{R}}$ is not a left congruence on S (for details, see [8, 9]). We call S a *super rpp semigroup* if $\overline{\mathcal{R}}$ is a left congruence on S . Completely regular semigroups and superabundant semigroups (see [3]) are both super rpp semigroups. Indeed, left C-rpp semigroups, right C-rpp semigroups, perfect rpp semigroups and pseudo-C-rpp semigroups are all super rpp semigroups. In [8], it is proved that a super rpp semigroup is a semilattice of Rees matrix semigroups over a left cancellative monoid. Also, they established a construction of super rpp semigroups whose idempotents constitute a band, called *OS-rpp semigroups*. In addition, He, Y. Q. Guo and Shum [19] investigated OS-rpp semigroups.

A band B is called *regular* if it satisfies the identity: $axya = axaya$ (for bands, see [21]). The aim of this paper is to study OS-rpp semigroups whose band of idempotents is a regular band. For simplicity, we shall call an OS-rpp semigroup whose band of idempotents is regular a *regular OS-rpp semigroup*. Indeed, we have proved that

- Left C-rpp semigroups are super rpp semigroups whose idempotents form a left regular band (see [9, Theorem 4.3]).
- Right C-rpp semigroups are super rpp semigroups whose idempotents form a right regular band (see [9, Theorem 4.5]).
- Perfect rpp semigroups are super rpp semigroups whose idempotents form a normal band (see [13]).
- Pseudo-C-rpp semigroups are super rpp semigroups whose idempotents form a right quasi-normal band (see [10]).

It is well known that left regular bands, right regular bands and normal bands are all regular. So, left C-rpp semigroups, right C-rpp semigroups, perfect rpp semigroups and pseudo-C-rpp semigroups are regular OS-rpp semigroups.

In this paper we study regular OS-rpp semigroups. Any OS-rpp semigroups are semi-lattices of direct products of a left cancellative monoid and a rectangular band. Indeed, OS-rpp semigroups are just ortho-lc-monoids, in other words, ortho-lc-monoids are OS-rpp semigroups under another viewpoint (see [17]). Of course, regular OS-rpp semigroups are regular ortho-u-monoids under another viewpoint (see [4]). We establish a construction of regular OS-rpp semigroups in terms of left regular bands, C-rpp semigroups and right regular bands (Theorem 3.2). Gong, Guo and Shum [4] also obtained a construction of regular ortho-u-monoids (in fact, regular OS-rpp semigroups). Our construction refines theirs in the following aspects: the construction of Gong, Guo and Shum also depends on a left regular band L , a C-rpp semigroup M and a right regular band R as well as two structure mappings $\varphi : L \times M \rightarrow \text{End}(L)$ and $\psi : M \times R \rightarrow \text{End}(R)$; but in our construction, the structure mappings are $\varphi : M \rightarrow \text{End}(L)$ and $\psi : M \rightarrow \text{End}(R)$ and the compatible conditions are more natural. In Section 4, we obtain some characterizations of regular OS-rpp semigroups.

2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper we will use the terminologies and notation of [2] and [20]. We recall some known results which are used in the sequel. We begin by giving some elementary facts about \mathcal{L}^* ; dual for \mathcal{R}^* .

Lemma 2.1. *Let S be a semigroup and $a, b \in S$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) $a\mathcal{L}^*b$.
- (2) For all $x, y \in S^1$, $ax = ay$ if and only if $bx = by$.

The following is an easy consequence of Lemma 2.1, due to [1].

Lemma 2.2. *Let S be a semigroup and $a, e^2 = e \in S$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) $a\mathcal{L}^*e$.
- (2) $ae = a$ and for all $x, y \in S^1$, $ax = ay$ implies that $ex = ey$.

It is well known that \mathcal{L}^* is a right congruence while \mathcal{R}^* is a left congruence. In general, $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{L}^*$ and $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{R}^*$. But when a and b are regular elements of S , $a\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{L})b$ if and only if $a\mathcal{R}^*(\mathcal{L}^*)b$. In particular, when S is regular, in this case $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}^*$ and $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}^*$. For convenience, we use $E(S)$ to denote the set of idempotents of S ; a^* to denote an idempotent \mathcal{L}^* -related to a and a^\dagger to denote an idempotent \mathcal{R}^* -related to a .

In order to research rpp semigroups, Guo, Shum and Zhu [18] introduced the so-called (ℓ) -Green's relations:

$$a\mathcal{L}^{(\ell)}b \Leftrightarrow \text{Ker}a_l = \text{Ker}b_l, \text{ i.e., } \mathcal{L}^{(\ell)} = \mathcal{L}^*;$$

$$a\mathcal{R}^{(\ell)}b \Leftrightarrow \text{Im}a_r = \text{Im}b_r, \text{ i.e., } \mathcal{R}^{(\ell)} = \mathcal{R};$$

$$\mathcal{D}^{(\ell)} = \mathcal{L}^{(\ell)} \vee \mathcal{R}^{(\ell)};$$

$$\mathcal{H}^{(\ell)} = \mathcal{L}^{(\ell)} \cap \mathcal{R}^{(\ell)};$$

$$a \mathcal{J}^{(\ell)} b \Leftrightarrow J^{(\ell)}(a) = J^{(\ell)}(b),$$

where $a_l(a_r)$ is the inner left(right) translation on S^1 ; $J^{(\ell)}(a)$ is the smallest ideal of S containing $a \in S$ and that is a union of some $\mathcal{L}^{(\ell)}$ -classes. In fact, $\mathcal{D}^{(\ell)} = \mathcal{L}^{(\ell)} \circ \mathcal{R}^{(\ell)} = \mathcal{R}^{(\ell)} \circ \mathcal{L}^{(\ell)}$ (see [8]); moreover, in a strongly rpp semigroup, $\mathcal{D}^{(\ell)} = \overline{\mathcal{R}} \circ \mathcal{L}^{(\ell)}$ (see [9]).

The following lemma gives some properties of super rpp semigroups.

Lemma 2.3. [9] *The following statements are equivalent for a strongly rpp semigroup S :*

- (1) S is a super rpp semigroup.
- (2) For every $a \in S$, $J^{(\ell)}(a) = Sa^\circ S$.
- (3) $\mathcal{J}^{(\ell)}|_{E(S) \times E(S)} = \mathcal{J}|_{E(S) \times E(S)}$.
- (4) $\mathcal{J}^{(\ell)} = \mathcal{D}^{(\ell)}$.
- (5) $\mathcal{D}^{(\ell)}$ is a semilattice congruence.

Let I and Λ be nonempty sets, and M a monoid. Assume $P = (p_{\lambda i})$ is a $\Lambda \times I$ matrix whose entries are units of M . Form the set $T = I \times M \times \Lambda$. On T , define a multiplication by

$$(i, x, \lambda)(j, y, \mu) = (i, xp_{\lambda j}y, \mu).$$

With the above multiplication, T is a semigroup. We call the semigroup T the *Rees matrix semigroup over the monoid M* , in notation, $\mathcal{M}(M, I, \Lambda; P)$. Moreover, when M is a left cancellative monoid, $\mathcal{M}(M, I, \Lambda; P)$ is a $\mathcal{D}^{(\ell)}$ -simple strongly rpp semigroup; and vice versa (see [8]). It is easy to check that $\text{Reg}(\mathcal{M}(M, I, \Lambda; P))$ (the set of regular elements of $\mathcal{M}(M, I, \Lambda; P)$) = $\mathcal{M}(\text{Reg}(M), I, \Lambda; P)$ is a completely simple semigroup. Note that $\mathcal{M}(M, I, \Lambda; P)$ is OS-rpp if and only if $\text{Reg}(\mathcal{M}(M, I, \Lambda; P))$ is an orthodox semigroup. Also, by [22, Theorem III.5.2], $\text{Reg}(\mathcal{M}(M, I, \Lambda; P))$ is orthodox if and only if it is isomorphic to $\text{Reg}(M) \times R$, where R is a rectangular band isomorphic to $I \times \Lambda$. So, $\mathcal{M}(M, I, \Lambda; P)$ is an OS-rpp semigroup if and only if it is isomorphic to $M \times R$.

Recall from [13], a semigroup is called a *left (right) cancellative plank* if it is isomorphic to the direct product of a left (right) cancellative monoid and a rectangular band. By [9, Theorem 2.4], we have that a strongly rpp semigroup is super rpp if and only if it is a semilattice of Rees matrix semigroups over a left cancellative monoid. By the arguments in the last paragraph, this easily derives the following lemma.

Lemma 2.4. *An rpp semigroup is an OS-rpp semigroup if and only if it is a semilattice of left cancellative planks.*

A band is called *left (right) regular* if it satisfies the identity: $xy = xyx$ ($yz = zyz$). Obviously, left regular bands and right regular bands are regular bands. Moreover, we have

Lemma 2.5. [22] *The following statements are equivalent for a band B :*

- (1) B is regular.
- (2) \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{R} are congruences on B .
- (3) B is a spined product of a left regular band and a right regular band.

Lemma 2.6. [8] *If S is a strongly rpp semigroup and x is a regular element of S , then $x^\circ \mathcal{H} x$. Moreover, all regular elements of S are completely regular.*

Y. Q. Guo, Shum and Zhu [18] proved that a strongly rpp semigroup is a left C-rpp semigroup if and only if $\mathcal{L}^{(\ell)}$ is a semilattice congruence on S . In the literature, right C-rpp semigroup is the dual of a left C-rpp semigroup. In fact, the former is neither dual to the

latter in sense of Green's $*$ -relations nor in sense of Green's (ℓ) -relations. But it is pointed out, by Guo, Y. Q. Guo and Shum in [9], that a strongly rpp semigroup S is a right C-rpp semigroup if and only if $\overline{\mathcal{R}}$ is a semilattice congruence on S .

Lemma 2.7. [18] *Let S be an rpp semigroup. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) S is a left C-rpp semigroup.
- (2) S is a strongly rpp semigroup in which $\mathcal{L}^{(\ell)}$ is a semilattice congruence on S .
- (3) S is a semilattice of direct products of left zero bands I_α and left cancellative monoids M_α .

Lemma 2.8. [9, 11] *Let S be an rpp semigroup. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) S is a right C-rpp semigroup.
- (2) S is a strongly rpp semigroup in which $\overline{\mathcal{R}}$ is a semilattice congruence on S .
- (3) S is a semilattice of direct products of left cancellative monoids M_α and right zero bands I_α .

3. Yamada's structure

The aim of this section is to establish the structure of regular OS-rpp semigroups. We shall construct a regular OS-rpp semigroup by means of a left regular band, a C-rpp semigroup and a right regular band, and then prove that any regular OS-rpp semigroup is isomorphic to some semigroup constructed in this way.

Consider

Y	a semilattice
$L = (Y; L_\alpha)$	the semilattice decomposition of the left regular band L into left zero bands L_α with $\alpha \in Y$.
$R = (Y; R_\alpha)$	the semilattice decomposition of the right regular band R into right zero bands R_α with $\alpha \in Y$.
$M = (Y; M_\alpha)$	the semilattice decomposition of the C-rpp semigroup M into left cancellative monoids M_α with $\alpha \in Y$.

Denote by $End_\ell(L)$ the semigroup of endomorphisms (on the left) of L and by $End_r(R)$ the semigroup of endomorphisms (on the right) of R . Let

$$\varphi : M \rightarrow End_\ell(L); \quad m \mapsto \varphi_m$$

and

$$\psi : M \rightarrow End_r(R); \quad m \mapsto \psi_m.$$

If the following three conditions hold:

- (RO1) : $\varphi_m L_\beta \subseteq L_{\alpha\beta}$ and $R_\beta \psi_m \subseteq R_{\alpha\beta}$ if $m \in M_\alpha$;
- (RO2) : for all $u \in M_\alpha, x \in L_\alpha, y \in L_\beta$ and $z \in L_\gamma$, if $x(\varphi_u y) = x(\varphi_u z)$, then $x(\varphi_{1_\alpha} y) = x(\varphi_{1_\alpha} z)$, where 1_α is the identity of the monoid M_α ;
- (RO3) : $\lambda_a \varphi_m \varphi_n = \lambda_a \varphi_{mn}$ and $\psi_m \psi_n \rho_u = \psi_{mn} \rho_u$ if $m \in M_\alpha, n \in M_\beta, a \in L_{\alpha\beta}, u \in R_{\alpha\beta}$,

then we call $(M, L, R; \varphi, \psi)$ an *RO-system*, in notation, $RO(M, L, R; \varphi, \psi)$.

Given an $RO(M, L, R; \varphi, \psi)$. We form the set

$$RO(M, L, R; \varphi, \psi) = \{(a, m, u) : a \in L_\alpha, u \in R_\alpha, m \in M_\alpha \text{ for some } \alpha \in Y\}$$

and define a multiplication by

$$(a, m, u) \circ (b, n, v) = (a(\varphi_m b), mn, (u\psi_n)v).$$

Now let $m \in M_\alpha$ and $n \in M_\beta$. Then $a \in L_\alpha, u \in R_\alpha$ and $b \in L_\beta, v \in R_\beta$. By Condition (RO1), $\varphi_m b \in L_{\alpha\beta}$ and $a(\varphi_m b) \in L_{\alpha\beta}$; similarly, $(u\psi_n)v \in R_{\alpha\beta}$. Obviously, $mn \in M_{\alpha\beta}$. Thus

$$(a(\varphi_m b), mn, (u\psi_n)v) \in RO(M, L, R; \varphi, \psi).$$

Therefore \circ is well defined. Moreover, we may prove

Lemma 3.1. $(RO(M, L, R; \varphi, \psi), \circ)$ is a semigroup.

Proof. Let $(a, m, u) \in L_\alpha \times M_\alpha \times R_\alpha$, $(b, n, v) \in L_\beta \times M_\beta \times R_\beta$, $(c, k, w) \in L_\gamma \times M_\gamma \times R_\gamma$, then

$$\begin{aligned} [(a, m, u) \circ (b, n, v)] \circ (c, k, w) &= (a(\varphi_m b), mn, (u\psi_n)v) \circ (c, k, w) \\ &= (a(\varphi_m b)(\varphi_{mn}c), mnk, [(u\psi_n)v\psi_k]w) \\ &= (a(\varphi_m b)(\varphi_m \varphi_n c), mnk, (u\psi_n \psi_k)(v\psi_k)w) \\ &= (a(\varphi_m b)(\varphi_m \varphi_n c), mnk, (u\psi_n \psi_k)(v\psi_k)w) \\ &= (a[\varphi_m(b(\varphi_n c))], mnk, (u\psi_{nk})(v\psi_k)w) \\ &= (a[\varphi_m(b(\varphi_n c))], mnk, (u\psi_{nk})(v\psi_k)w) \\ &= (a, m, u) \circ (b(\varphi_n c), nk, (v\psi_k)w) \\ &= (a, m, u) \circ [(b, n, v) \circ (c, k, w)] \end{aligned}$$

therefore, we have $(RO(M, L, R; \varphi, \psi), \circ)$ is a semigroup. ■

Lemma 3.2.

- (1) $E(RO(M, L, R; \varphi, \psi)) = \{(a, 1_\alpha, u) \mid a \in L_\alpha, u \in R_\alpha, 1_\alpha \in M_\alpha, \alpha \in Y\}$.
- (2) $(RO(M, L, R; \varphi, \psi), \circ)$ is an rpp semigroup.

Proof. (1) Let $(a, m, u) \in L_\alpha \times M_\alpha \times R_\alpha$. If

$$(a, m, u) = (a, m, u)(a, m, u) = (a(\varphi_m a), m^2, (u\psi_m)u) = (a, m^2, u),$$

then we get $m^2 = m$, so that $m = 1_\alpha$ since M_α is a left cancellative monoid. Thus

$$E(S) = \{(a, 1_\alpha, u) \mid a \in L_\alpha, u \in R_\alpha, 1_\alpha \in M_\alpha, \alpha \in Y\}.$$

(2) For any $(b, n, v) \in L_\beta \times M_\beta \times R_\beta$, $(c, k, w) \in L_\gamma \times M_\gamma \times R_\gamma$, if $(a, m, u)(b, n, v) = (a, m, u)(c, k, w)$, then $(a(\varphi_m b), mn, (u\psi_n)v) = (a(\varphi_m c), mk, (u\psi_k)w)$, so we have $a(\varphi_m b) = a(\varphi_m c)$, $mn = mk$ and $(u\psi_n)v = (u\psi_k)w$. For $mn = mk$, we have $mn \in M_{\alpha\beta}$, $mk \in M_{\alpha\gamma}$ and $\alpha\beta = \alpha\gamma$. On the other hand, since $M_{\alpha\beta}$ is a left cancellative monoid, $mn = mk$ implies that $1_\alpha n = 1_\alpha k$. For $a(\varphi_m b) = a(\varphi_m c)$, by (RO2), we have $a(\varphi_{1_\alpha} b) = a(\varphi_{1_\alpha} c)$. Hence we obtain $(a(\varphi_{1_\alpha} b), 1_\alpha n, (u\psi_n)v) = (a(\varphi_{1_\alpha} c), 1_\alpha k, (u\psi_k)w)$, that is, $(a, 1_\alpha, u)(b, n, v) = (a, 1_\alpha, u)(c, k, w)$. In addition, $(a, m, u)(a, 1_\alpha, u) = (a, m, u)$, therefore by Lemma 2.2, $(a, m, u)\mathcal{L}^*(a, 1_\alpha, u)$, consequently, $(RO(M, L, R; \varphi, \psi), \circ)$ is an rpp semigroup. ■

Lemma 3.3. Let $S = (RO(M, L, R; \varphi, \psi), \circ)$ and $(a, m, u) \in L_\alpha \times M_\alpha \times R_\alpha$, $(b, n, v) \in L_\beta \times M_\beta \times R_\beta$. Then $(a, m, u)\mathcal{L}^*(b, n, v)$ if and only if $u = v$.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Suppose that $(a, m, u) \mathcal{L}^*(b, n, v)$. By the proof of Lemma 3.2, we know $(a, 1_\alpha, u) \mathcal{L}^*(b, 1_\beta, v)$, so

$$(a, 1_\alpha, u) = (a, 1_\alpha, u)(b, 1_\beta, v) = (a(\varphi_{1_\alpha}b), 1_{\alpha\beta}, (u\psi_{1_\beta})v)$$

and

$$(b, 1_\beta, v) = (b, 1_\beta, v)(a, 1_\alpha, u) = (b(\varphi_{1_\beta}a), 1_{\beta\alpha}, (v\psi_{1_\alpha})u).$$

It follows that $1_\alpha = 1_{\alpha\beta} = 1_{\beta\alpha} = 1_\beta$ which implies that $\alpha = \beta$ and $u = (u\psi_{1_\beta})v$, thus $u = v$ since R_α is a right zero band.

(\Leftarrow) Assume that $u = v$. Obviously, $\alpha = \beta$. Compute

$$(a, 1_\alpha, u) = (a, 1_\alpha, u)(b, 1_\beta, v) \text{ and } (b, 1_\beta, v) = (b, 1_\beta, v)(a, 1_\alpha, u).$$

Now, $(a, 1_\alpha, u) \mathcal{L}^*(b, 1_\beta, v)$. But, by the proof of Lemma 3.2, $(a, m, u) \mathcal{L}^*(a, 1_\alpha, u)$ and $(b, 1_\beta, v) \mathcal{L}^*(b, n, v)$, thus $(a, m, u) \mathcal{L}^*(b, n, v)$. \blacksquare

Lemma 3.4. $(RO(M, L, R; \varphi, \psi), \circ)$ is a strongly rpp semigroup and $(a, m, u)^\diamond = (a, 1_\alpha, u)$ for any $(a, m, u) \in L_\alpha \times M_\alpha \times R_\alpha$ with $\alpha \in Y$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.2, $(a, m, u) \mathcal{L}^*(a, 1_\alpha, u)$ and $(a, 1_\alpha, u)(a, m, u) = (a(\varphi_{1_\alpha}a), 1_\alpha m, (u\psi_m)u) = (a, m, u)$. If $(a', 1_\beta, u') \in E(S)$, and $(a, m, u) \mathcal{L}^*(a', 1_\beta, u')$ and

$$(a', 1_\beta, u')(a, m, u) = (a, m, u),$$

then by Lemma 3.3, $\alpha = \beta$ and $u' = u$. Now,

$$(a, m, u) = (a', 1_\beta, u')(a, m, u) = (a'(\varphi_{1_\alpha}a), 1_\alpha m, (u\psi_m)u) = (a', m, u),$$

so $a = a'$. Thus $(a', 1_\beta, u') = (a, 1_\alpha, u)$. Consequently, $(RO(M, L, R; \varphi, \psi), \circ)$ is a strongly rpp semigroup. Obviously, $(a, m, u)^\diamond = (a, 1_\alpha, u)$. \blacksquare

Lemma 3.5. Let $S = (RO(M, L, R; \varphi, \psi), \circ)$. For any $(a, m, u) \in L_\alpha \times M_\alpha \times R_\alpha$ and $(b, n, v) \in L_\beta \times M_\beta \times R_\beta$, we have

- (1) $(a, m, u) \overline{\mathcal{H}}(b, n, v)$ if and only if $a = b$;
- (2) $(a, m, u) \overline{\mathcal{H}}(b, n, v)$ if and only if $a = b$ and $u = v$.

Proof. (1) Suppose $(a, m, u) \overline{\mathcal{H}}(b, n, v)$. Then by Lemma 3.4,

$$(a, 1_\alpha, u) = (a, m, u)^\diamond \mathcal{H}(b, n, v)^\diamond = (b, 1_\beta, v),$$

it follows that

$$(a, 1_\alpha, u) = (b, 1_\beta, v)(a, 1_\alpha, u) = (b(\varphi_{1_\beta}a), 1_{\beta\alpha}, (v\psi_{1_\alpha})u)$$

and

$$(b, 1_\beta, v) = (a, 1_\alpha, u)(b, 1_\beta, v) = (a(\varphi_{1_\alpha}b), 1_{\alpha\beta}, (u\psi_{1_\beta})v),$$

hence $1_\alpha = 1_{\beta\alpha} = 1_{\alpha\beta} = 1_\beta$, thereby $\alpha = \beta$ and $a = b(\varphi_{1_\beta}a)$, thus $a = b$ since L_α is a left zero band.

Conversely, if $a = b$, then

$$(a, 1_\alpha, u) = (b, 1_\beta, v)(a, 1_\alpha, u) \text{ and } (b, 1_\beta, v) = (a, 1_\alpha, u)(b, 1_\beta, v),$$

hence $(a, m, u)^\diamond = (a, 1_\alpha, u) \mathcal{H}(b, 1_\beta, v) = (b, n, v)^\diamond$, thus $(a, m, u) \overline{\mathcal{H}}(b, n, v)$.

- (2) It is immediate from (1) and Lemma 3.3. \blacksquare

Lemma 3.6. $(RO(M, L, R; \varphi, \psi), \circ)$ is a super rpp semigroup.

Proof. By Lemma 3.4, it remains to show that $\overline{\mathcal{R}}$ is a left congruence on S . To the end, let $(a, m, u) \in L_\alpha \times M_\alpha \times R_\alpha$, $(b, n, v) \in L_\beta \times M_\beta \times R_\beta$ and $(a, m, u) \overline{\mathcal{R}} (b, n, v)$, then by Lemma 3.5, $a = b$ and $\alpha = \beta$. For any $(c, k, w) \in L_\gamma \times M_\gamma \times R_\gamma$, compute

$$(c, k, w)(a, m, u) = (c(\varphi_k a), km, (w\psi_m)u)$$

and

$$(c, k, w)(b, n, v) = (c(\varphi_k b), kn, (w\psi_n)v) = (c(\varphi_k a), kn, (w\psi_n)v).$$

Now, by Lemma 3.5(1), $(c, k, w)(a, m, u) \overline{\mathcal{R}} (c, k, w)(b, n, v)$ and whence $\overline{\mathcal{R}}$ is a left congruence on S , as required. \blacksquare

Theorem 3.1. $(RO(M, L, R; \varphi, \psi), \circ)$ is a regular OS-rpp semigroup.

Proof. It suffices to prove that $E(RO(M, L, R; \varphi, \psi))$ is a regular band. By Lemma 3.2(1), it is easy to check that $E(RO(M, L, R; \varphi, \psi))$ is a band. If $(a, 1_\alpha, u) \mathcal{L} (b, 1_\alpha, u)$, then $(a, 1_\alpha, u), (b, 1_\alpha, u) \in L_\alpha \times M_\alpha \times R_\alpha$. For any $(c, 1_\beta, w) \in L_\beta \times M_\beta \times R_\beta$, since

$$(c, 1_\beta, w)(a, 1_\alpha, u) = (c(\varphi_{1_\beta} a), 1_{\beta\alpha}, (w\psi_{1_\alpha})u),$$

and

$$(c, 1_\beta, w)(b, 1_\alpha, u) = (c(\varphi_{1_\beta} b), 1_{\beta\alpha}, (w\psi_{1_\alpha})u),$$

and by Lemma 3.3, we have $(c, 1_\beta, w)(a, 1_\alpha, u) \mathcal{L} (c, 1_\beta, w)(b, 1_\alpha, u)$, whence \mathcal{L} is a left congruence on S . Note that the restriction of $\overline{\mathcal{R}}$ to idempotents is just \mathcal{R} and by a similar argument as those on \mathcal{L} , we can prove \mathcal{R} is a congruence on $E(RO(M, L, R; \varphi, \psi))$. Thus, by Lemma 2.5, $E(RO(M, L, R; \varphi, \psi))$ is a regular band. The proof is completed. \blacksquare

Now we are devoted to prove the converse of Theorem 3.1. In the rest part of this section we assume S is always a regular OS-rpp semigroup. Then by Lemma 2.4, we may suppose that S is a semilattice Y of left cancellative planks $S_\alpha = I_\alpha \times M_\alpha \times \Lambda_\alpha$ with $\alpha \in Y$, left zero band I_α and right zero band Λ_α . Then $E(S) = \cup_{\alpha \in Y} E(S_\alpha)$, where $E(S_\alpha) = I_\alpha \times \{1_\alpha\} \times \Lambda_\alpha$ and 1_α is the identity of M_α .

Lemma 3.7. Let $\alpha, \beta \in Y$, $\alpha \leq \beta$. Then $E(S_\alpha)$ is a rectangular band and for any $a, b \in S_\alpha$, $e \in E(S_\beta)$, we have $ab = aeb$.

Proof. Note that $E(S_\alpha) \cong I_\alpha \times \Lambda_\alpha$. It is obvious that $E(S_\alpha)$ is a rectangular band. For any $a, b \in S_\alpha$, $e \in E(S_\beta)$, then by hypothesis, $a^\diamond e \in E(S_\alpha)$, hence $ab = a(a^\diamond b^\diamond)b = a(a^\diamond(a^\diamond e)b^\diamond)b = aeb$ since $E(S_\alpha)$ is a rectangular band. \blacksquare

Now, we fix the elements $c_\alpha = (k_\alpha, 1_\alpha, \xi_\alpha)$ in every S_α . For any $\alpha, \beta \in Y$, $\alpha \geq \beta$, define a mapping $\phi_{\alpha, \beta}$ by the requirement

$$c_\beta(k_\alpha, m, \xi_\alpha)c_\beta = (k_\beta, m\phi_{\alpha, \beta}, \xi_\beta).$$

By the similar arguments as in the proof of [22, Lemma V.2.2, p.210–211], we can define a strong semilattice $M = [Y; M_\alpha; \phi_{\alpha, \beta}]$ such that for any $\alpha, \beta \in Y$, $(i, m, \lambda) \in S_\alpha$ and $(j, n, \mu) \in S_\beta$, we have

$$(3.1) \quad (i, m, \lambda)(j, n, \mu) = (k, m\phi_{\alpha, \beta}n\phi_{\alpha, \beta}, \nu).$$

Consider the bijection

$$\eta : (i, 1_\alpha, \lambda) \mapsto (i, \lambda)$$

from the regular band $E(S) = \cup_{\alpha \in Y} (I_\alpha \times \{1_\alpha\} \times \Lambda_\alpha)$ into the set $E = \cup_{\alpha \in Y} (I_\alpha \times \Lambda_\alpha)$. We now translate the operation of $E(S)$ onto E by

$$(3.2) \quad (i, \lambda)(j, \mu) = (l, \kappa) \Leftrightarrow (i, 1_\alpha, \lambda)(j, 1_\beta, \mu) = (l, 1_{\alpha\beta}, \kappa)$$

such that η becomes a semigroup isomorphism and so E is a regular band. However, by Lemma 2.5, we can easily verify that $l[\kappa]$ in (3.2) depends only on i and j [λ and μ]. Now, by the same method as in the proof of [22, Lemma V.2.3, p.211-212], $I = \cup_{\alpha \in Y} I_\alpha$ becomes a left regular band under the operation

$$ij = l \Leftrightarrow (i, \lambda)(j, \mu) = (l, \kappa)$$

while $\Lambda = \cup_{\alpha \in Y} \Lambda_\alpha$ a right regular band under the operation

$$\lambda\mu = \kappa \Leftrightarrow (i, \lambda)(j, \mu) = (l, \kappa).$$

It is easy to see that E is the spined product of I and Λ with respect to Y .

Lemma 3.8. *For any $\alpha \in Y$ and $m \in M_\alpha$, define a mapping $\varphi_m : I \rightarrow I$ by the requirement*

$$(k_\alpha, m, \xi_\alpha)(b, 1_\beta, \xi_\beta) = (\varphi_m b, -, -) \quad (\beta \in Y, b \in I_\beta).$$

Then $\varphi_m \in \text{End}_l(I)$ such that

- (1) $\varphi_m I_\beta \subseteq I_{\alpha\beta}$ if $m \in M_\alpha$;
- (2) for all $u \in M_\alpha, x \in I_\alpha, y \in I_\beta$ and $z \in I_\gamma$, if $x(\varphi_u y) = x(\varphi_u z)$, then $x(\varphi_{1_\alpha} y) = x(\varphi_{1_\alpha} z)$, where 1_α is the identity of the monoid M_α ;
- (3) $\lambda_a \varphi_m \varphi_n = \lambda_a \varphi_{mn}$ if $m \in M_\alpha, n \in M_\beta, a \in I_{\alpha\beta}$;
- (4) $(a, m, u)(b, n, v) = (a(\varphi_m b), -, -)$ if $(a, m, u), (b, n, v) \in S$.

Proof. (1), (3) and (4) can be obtained by similar arguments as in [22, Lemma V.2.4, p.212–214]. Here we omit the detail.

(2) Let $u \in M_\alpha, x \in I_\alpha, y \in I_\beta$ and $z \in I_\gamma$ such that $x(\varphi_u y) = x(\varphi_u z)$. It follows that $\alpha\gamma = \alpha\beta$ since $x(\varphi_u y) \in I_{\alpha\beta}, x(\varphi_u z) \in I_{\alpha\gamma}$. Note that $u1_\beta = (u1_\beta)1_{\alpha\beta} = u(1_\beta 1_{\alpha\beta}) = u1_{\alpha\beta}$ and similarly, $u1_\gamma = u1_{\alpha\gamma}$. We observe that $u1_\beta = u1_\gamma$. Compute

$$\begin{aligned} (x, u, \xi_\alpha)(y, 1_\beta, \xi_\beta) &= (x(\varphi_u y), u1_\beta, a) \quad \text{and} \\ (x, u, \xi_\alpha)(z, 1_\gamma, \xi_\gamma) &= (x(\varphi_u z), u1_\gamma, b) \quad (a, b \in \Lambda_{\alpha\beta}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} &(x, u, \xi_\alpha)(y, 1_\beta, \xi_\beta)(x(\varphi_u y), 1_{\alpha\beta}, ab) \\ &= (x(\varphi_u y), u1_\beta, a)(x(\varphi_u y), 1_{\alpha\beta}, ab) \\ &= ((x(\varphi_u y))^2, u1_\beta 1_{\alpha\beta}, aab) \\ &= (x(\varphi_u y), u1_\beta, ab) \\ &= (x(\varphi_u z), u1_\gamma, ab) \\ &= ((x(\varphi_u z))^2, u1_\gamma 1_{\alpha\gamma}, bab) \quad (\text{since } \Lambda_{\alpha\gamma} \text{ is a right zero band}) \\ &= (x(\varphi_u z), u1_\gamma, b)(x(\varphi_u z), 1_{\alpha\gamma}, ab) \\ &= (x, u, \xi_\alpha)(z, 1_\gamma, \xi_\gamma)(x(\varphi_u y), 1_{\alpha\gamma}, ab), \end{aligned}$$

thereby

$$(x, 1_\alpha, \xi_\alpha)(y, 1_\beta, \xi_\beta)(x(\varphi_u y), 1_{\alpha\beta}, ab) = (x, 1_\alpha, \xi_\alpha)(z, 1_\gamma, \xi_\gamma)(x(\varphi_u y), 1_{\alpha\gamma}, ab)$$

since $(x, u, \xi_\alpha) \mathcal{L}^*(x, 1_\alpha, \xi_\alpha)$, thus

$$\begin{aligned} (x(\varphi_{1_\alpha} y), 1_{\alpha\beta}, ab) &= (x(\varphi_{1_\alpha} y), 1_{\alpha\beta}, a)(x(\varphi_u y), 1_{\alpha\beta}, ab) \\ &= (x, 1_\alpha, \xi_\alpha)(y, 1_\beta, \xi_\beta)(x(\varphi_u y), 1_{\alpha\beta}, ab) \\ &= (x, 1_\alpha, \xi_\alpha)(z, 1_\gamma, \xi_\gamma)(x(\varphi_u y), 1_{\alpha\gamma}, ab) \\ &= (x(\varphi_{1_\alpha} z), 1_{\alpha\gamma}, ab), \end{aligned}$$

therefore by comparing the components, $x(\varphi_{1_\alpha} y) = x(\varphi_{1_\alpha} z)$. ■

Dually, we have the following lemma:

Lemma 3.9. *For any $\alpha \in Y$ and $m \in M_\alpha$, define a mapping $\psi_m : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$ by the requirement*

$$(k_\beta, 1_\beta, v)(k_\alpha, m, \xi_\alpha) = (-, -, v\psi_m)(\beta \in Y, v \in \Lambda_\beta).$$

Then $\psi_m \in \text{End}_r(\Lambda)$ such that

- (1) $\psi_m \Lambda_\beta \subseteq \Lambda_{\alpha\beta}$ if $m \in M_\alpha$;
- (2) $\psi_m \psi_n \rho_u = \psi_{mn} \rho_u$ if $m \in M_\alpha, n \in M_\beta, u \in \Lambda_{\alpha\beta}$;
- (3) $(b, n, v)(a, m, u) = (-, -, (v\psi_m)u)$ if $(b, n, v), (a, m, u) \in S$.

Define

$$\varphi : M \rightarrow \text{End}(I); m \mapsto \varphi_m$$

and

$$\psi : M \rightarrow \text{End}(\Lambda); m \mapsto \psi_m.$$

By Lemmas 3.8 and 3.9, $(M, I, \Lambda; \varphi, \psi)$ is an RO-system. By the same reason, it is not difficult to check that the identity mapping is an isomorphism of S onto $RO(M, I, \Lambda; \varphi, \psi)$.

We arrive at the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.2. (Yamada structure theorem) *If $(M, L, R; \varphi, \psi)$ is an RO-system, then the semigroup $(RO(M, L, R; \varphi, \psi), \circ)$ is a regular OS-rpp semigroup. Conversely, any regular OS-rpp semigroup can be constructed in this manner.*

Left C-rpp semigroups are regular OS-rpp semigroups whose band of idempotents is a left regular band. So, for the semigroup $(RO(M, L, R; \varphi, \psi), \circ)$, it is a left C-rpp semigroup if and only if R is a semilattice. It is not difficult to see that $R \cong Y$. In this case, if we identify R with Y , then $\alpha\psi_m = \alpha\beta$ for $m \in M_\beta$. So, we have

- any element of the semigroup $(RO(M, L, R; \varphi, \psi), \circ)$ is of the form: (x, m, α) with $x \in L_\alpha, m \in M_\alpha$;
- $(x, m, \alpha)(y, n, \beta) = (x(\varphi_m y), mn, \alpha\beta)$.

It is not difficult to see that for the element (x, m, α) the third component α can be determined by the second component m . Based on these arguments, we can obtain the structure of left C-rpp semigroups as follows, which follows from Theorem 3.2 and is essential [15, Theorem 3.1].

Corollary 3.1. *Let Y be a semilattice, $L = (Y; L_\alpha)$ the semilattice decomposition of the left regular band L into left zero bands L_α with $\alpha \in Y$ and $M = (Y; M_\alpha)$ the semilattice decomposition of the C-rpp semigroup M into left cancellative monoids M_α with $\alpha \in Y$. Let*

$$\varphi : M \rightarrow \text{End}_\ell(L); m \mapsto \varphi_m$$

satisfy the following conditions:

(LC1) : $\varphi_m L_\beta \subseteq L_{\alpha\beta}$ if $m \in M_\alpha$;

(LC2) : for all $u \in M_\alpha, x \in L_\alpha, y \in L_\beta$ and $z \in L_\gamma$, if $x(\varphi_u y) = x(\varphi_u z)$, then $x(\varphi_{1_\alpha} y) = x(\varphi_{1_\alpha} z)$, where 1_α is the identity of the monoid M_α ;

(LC3) : $\lambda_a \varphi_m \varphi_n = \lambda_a \varphi_{mn}$ if $m \in M_\alpha, n \in M_\beta, a \in L_{\alpha\beta}$.

On $LC = \cup_{\alpha \in Y} L_\alpha \times M_\alpha$ define a multiplication by

$$(a, m) \circ (b, n) = (a(\varphi_m b), mn).$$

Then S is a left C-rpp semigroup. Conversely, any left C-rpp semigroup can be obtained in this way.

On the other hand, it is well known that right C-rpp semigroups are regular OS-rpp semigroups whose band of idempotents is a right regular band. So, for the semigroup $(RO(M, L, R; \varphi, \psi), \circ)$, it is a right C-rpp semigroup if and only if L is a semilattice. It is not difficult to see that $L \cong Y$. In this case, if we identify L with Y , then $\varphi_m(\alpha) = \alpha\beta$ for $m \in M_\beta$. So, we have

- any element of the semigroup $(RO(M, L, R; \varphi, \psi), \circ)$ is of the form: (α, m, u) with $u \in R_\alpha, m \in M_\alpha$;
- $(\alpha, m, u)(\beta, n, v) = (\alpha\beta, mn, (u\psi_n)v)$.

It is not difficult to see that for the element (α, m, u) the first component can be determined by the second component m . Based on these arguments and by Theorem 3.2, we can obtain the structure of right C-rpp semigroups as follows, which is essential [11, Theorem 4.7].

Corollary 3.2. Let Y be a semilattice, $R = (Y; R_\alpha)$ the semilattice decomposition of the right regular band R into right zero bands R_α with $\alpha \in Y$, and $M = (Y; M_\alpha)$ the semilattice decomposition of the C-rpp semigroup M into left cancellative monoids M_α with $\alpha \in Y$. Let

$$\psi : M \rightarrow \text{End}_r(R); m \mapsto \psi_m.$$

such that

(RC1) : $R_\beta \psi_m \subseteq R_{\alpha\beta}$ if $m \in M_\alpha$;

(RC2) : $\psi_m \psi_n \rho_u = \psi_{mn} \rho_u$ if $m \in M_\alpha, n \in M_\beta, u \in R_{\alpha\beta}$.

On $T = \cup_{\alpha \in Y} M_\alpha \times R_\alpha$ define a multiplication by

$$(m, u) \circ (n, v) = (mn, (u\psi_n)v).$$

Then T is a right C-rpp semigroup. Conversely, any right C-rpp semigroup can be obtained in this way.

4. Characterizations

In this section, we manage the properties of regular OS-rpp semigroups.

To begin with, we have

Theorem 4.1. A semigroup is a regular OS-rpp semigroup if and only if it is isomorphic to the spined product [21] of a left C-rpp semigroup and a right C-rpp semigroup.

Proof. With notation of Theorem 3.2, it is clear that the mapping

$$\chi : (a, m, u) \mapsto ((a, m), (m, u)) \quad ((a, m, u) \in S)$$

is an isomorphism. The rest follows from Corollaries 3.1 and 3.2. ■

Lemma 4.1. *Let S be a super rpp semigroup. Then S is a left C-rpp semigroup if and only if S satisfies the identity: $ax = axa^\diamond$.*

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Assume S is a left C-rpp semigroup. For any $a, x \in S$, we have $a\mathcal{L}^{(\ell)}a^\diamond$ and $x\mathcal{L}^{(\ell)}x^\diamond$. Since S is a left C-rpp semigroup, we obtain that $\mathcal{L}^{(\ell)}$ is a semilattice congruence on S , hence $ax\mathcal{L}^{(\ell)}a^\diamond x^\diamond \mathcal{L}^{(\ell)}x^\diamond a^\diamond$, thereby $ax = axx^\diamond a^\diamond = axa^\diamond$.

(\Leftarrow) Suppose that S satisfies the identity: $ax = axa^\diamond$. Then for any $e, f \in E(S)$, we have $ef = efe$ by $e = e^\diamond$, whence $ef = (ef)^2$, thereby $E(S)$ is a left regular band. We have now proved that S is an OS-rpp semigroup whose band of idempotents is a left regular band, hence by [9, Theorem 4.3], S is a left C-rpp semigroup. \blacksquare

Lemma 4.2. *Let S be a super rpp semigroup. Then S is a right C-rpp semigroup if and only if S satisfies the identity: $ax = x^\diamond ax$.*

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Assume S is a right C-rpp semigroup. Then by Lemma 2.8, $\overline{\mathcal{R}}$ is a semilattice congruence. Now, for any $a, x \in S$, since $a\overline{\mathcal{R}}a^\diamond$ and $x\overline{\mathcal{R}}x^\diamond$, we have $ax\overline{\mathcal{R}}a^\diamond x^\diamond \overline{\mathcal{R}}x^\diamond a^\diamond$, hence by definition of $\overline{\mathcal{R}}$, $(ax)^\diamond \overline{\mathcal{R}} x^\diamond a^\diamond$. Thus

$$ax = (ax)^\diamond ax = x^\diamond a^\diamond (ax)^\diamond ax = x^\diamond a^\diamond ax = x^\diamond ax.$$

(\Leftarrow) Now let S satisfy the identity: $ax = x^\diamond ax$. Then for any $e, f \in E(S)$, since $f = f^\diamond$, we have $ef = fef$, whence $ef = (ef)^2$, that is, $E(S)$ is a right regular band. Now, S is an OS-rpp semigroup whose band of idempotents is a right regular band. It follows from [9, Theorem 4.5] that S is a right C-rpp semigroup. \blacksquare

Let ρ be an equivalence on S . It is easy to verify that the equivalence $\rho \cap (E(S) \times E(S))$ is an equivalence on $E(S)$. In [22], we call $\rho \cap (E(S) \times E(S))$ the *trace* of ρ and in notation, $tr\rho$ and for an endomorphism ϕ of S , if ϕ fixes every element of $S\phi$, then we say ϕ is a *retraction* and $S\phi$ is a *retract* of S .

Theorem 4.2. *The following conditions on an OS-rpp semigroup S are equivalent:*

- (1) S is a regular OS-rpp semigroup.
- (2) $tr\mathcal{L}^{(\ell)}$ and $tr\overline{\mathcal{R}}$ are congruences on $E(S)$.
- (3) S satisfies the identity $abca = aba^\diamond ca$.
- (4) For every $e \in E(S)$, the mapping ψ_e defined by

$$\psi_e : a \rightarrow eae \quad (a \in S)$$

is an endomorphism of S .

- (5) For every $e \in E(S)$, the semigroup eSe is a retract of S .

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) If S is a regular OS-rpp semigroup, then $E(S)$ is a regular band. By Lemma 2.5, $\mathcal{L}^{E(S)}$ and $\mathcal{R}^{E(S)}$ are congruences on $E(S)$. Note that $tr\mathcal{L}^{(\ell)} = \mathcal{L}^{E(S)}$ and $tr\overline{\mathcal{R}} = \mathcal{R}^{E(S)}$, whence the assertion.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) If (2) holds, then by $tr\mathcal{L}^{(\ell)} = \mathcal{L}^{E(S)}$ and $tr\overline{\mathcal{R}} = \mathcal{R}^{E(S)}$, \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{R} are congruence on the band $E(S)$, hence by Lemma 2.5, $E(S)$ is a regular band. Therefore, S is a regular OS-rpp semigroup.

(1) \Rightarrow (3) By Theorem 4.1, we assume S is a spined product of a left C-rpp semigroup S_1 and a right C-rpp semigroup S_2 . We first prove that for any $(x, m) \in S$ with $x \in S_1$ and $m \in S_2$, $(x, m)^\diamond = (x^\diamond, m^\diamond)$. To see the end, let $(y, n), (z, k) \in S$ and $(x, m)(y, n) = (x, m)(z, k)$, then $(xy, mn) = (xz, mk)$, hence by comparing the components, $xy = xz$ and $mn = mk$, thereby $x^\diamond y = x^\diamond z$ and $m^\diamond n = m^\diamond k$ since $x\mathcal{L}^{(\ell)}x^\diamond$ and $m\mathcal{L}^{(\ell)}m^\diamond$, thus $(x^\diamond, m^\diamond)(y, n) = (x^\diamond, m^\diamond)(z, k)$,

now associating with $(x, m)(x^\diamond, m^\diamond) = (x, m)$ and by Lemma 2.2, $(x^\diamond, m^\diamond)\mathcal{L}^{(\ell)}(x, m)$; on the other hand, since $(x^\diamond, m^\diamond)(x, m) = (x, m)$, consequently, $(x, m)^\diamond = (x^\diamond, m^\diamond)$ since S is strongly rpp.

If $a = (x, m), b = (y, n), c = (z, k) \in S$ with $x, y, z \in S_1$ and $m, n, k \in S_2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} abca &= (x, m)(y, n)(z, k)(x, m) = (xyzx, mnkm) \\ &= (xyx^\diamond zx, mnm^\diamond km) = (x, m)(y, n)(x^\diamond, m^\diamond)(z, k)(x, m) \quad (\text{by Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2}) \\ &= (x, m)(y, n)(x, m)^\diamond(z, k)(x, m) \\ &= aba^\diamond ca. \end{aligned}$$

(3) \Rightarrow (4) For any $a, b \in S$, since $e = e^\diamond$ and by (3), we have

$$(ab)\psi_e = eabe = eaebe = eaebe = (a\psi_e)(b\psi_e),$$

hence ψ_e is an endomorphism of S .

(4) \Rightarrow (5) Clearly, for every $e \in E(S)$, ψ_e is a retraction of S onto eSe . Therefore, the semigroup eSe is a retract of S .

(5) \Rightarrow (1) Let $e \in E(S)$ and ψ_e a retraction of S onto eSe . For any $e, g \in E(S)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} efge &= (efge)\psi_e \\ &= (e\psi_e)(f\psi_e)(g\psi_e)(e\psi_e) \\ &= (e\psi_e)(efe)(eee)(g\psi_e)(e\psi_e) \\ &= (e\psi_e)(f\psi_e)(e\psi_e)(g\psi_e)(e\psi_e) \\ &= (efege)\psi_e \\ &= efge. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore S is a regular OS-rpp semigroup. ■

Acknowledgement. This research is jointly supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant no.: 10961014, 11361027); the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangxi Province and the Science Foundation of the Education Department of Jiangxi Province, China.

References

- [1] J. Fountain, Right PP monoids with central idempotents, *Semigroup Forum* **13** (1976/77), no. 3, 229–237.
- [2] J. Fountain, Adequate semigroups, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* (2) **22** (1979), no. 2, 113–125.
- [3] J. Fountain, Abundant semigroups, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **44** (1982), no. 1, 103–129.
- [4] C. M. Gong, Y. Q. Guo and K. P. Shum, Ortho-u-monoids, *Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.)* **27** (2011), no. 5, 831–844.
- [5] X. Guo, The structure of PI-strong rpp semigroups, *Kexue Tongbao (Chinese)* **41** (1996), no. 18, 1647–1650.
- [6] X. Guo, Y. Q. Guo and K. P. Shum, Semispined product structure of left C-a semigroups, In: *Semigroups* (ed: K. P. Shum, Y. Q. Guo, Y. Fang and S. Ito), Proceedings of International Conference on Algebra and its related topics, Kunming, 1985, Springer-Verlag, 1998, 157–166.
- [7] X. Guo, Y. Guo and K. P. Shum, Left abundant semigroups, *Comm. Algebra* **32** (2004), no. 6, 2061–2085.
- [8] X. Guo, Y. Guo and K. P. Shum, Rees matrix theorem for $D^{(l)}$ -simple strongly rpp semigroups, *Asian-Eur. J. Math.* **1** (2008), no. 2, 215–223.
- [9] X. Guo, Y. Guo and K. P. Shum, Super rpp semigroups, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **41** (2010), no. 3, 505–533.
- [10] X. Guo, Y. B. Jun and M. Zhao, Pseudo-C-rpp semigroups, *Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.)* **26** (2010), no. 4, 629–646.

- [11] X. Guo, C. Ren and K. P. Shum, Dual wreath product structure of right C-rpp semigroups, *Algebra Colloq.* **14** (2007), no. 2, 285–294.
- [12] X. Guo and K. P. Shum, On left cyber groups, *Int. Math. J.* **5** (2004), no. 8, 705–717.
- [13] X. Guo, K. P. Shum and Y. Q. Guo, Perfect rpp semigroups, *Comm. Algebra* **29** (2001), no. 6, 2447–2459.
- [14] X. Guo and A. J. Wu, A note on left C-rpp semigroups, *J. Jiangxi Norm. Univ. Nat. Sci. Ed.* **29** (2005), no. 4, 283–286.
- [15] X. Guo, M. Zhao and K. P. Shum, Wreath product structure of left C-rpp semigroups, *Algebra Colloq.* **15** (2008), no. 1, 101–108.
- [16] Y. Guo, The right dual of left C-rpp semigroups, *Chinese Sci. Bull.* **42** (1997), no. 19, 1599–1603.
- [17] Y. Q. Guo, K. P. Shum and C. M. Gong, On $(*, \sim)$ -Green's relations and ortho-lc-monoids, *Comm. Algebra* **39** (2011), no. 1, 5–31.
- [18] Y. Q. Guo, K. P. Shum and P. Y. Zhu, The structure of left C-rpp semigroups, *Semigroup Forum* **50** (1995), no. 1, 9–23.
- [19] Y. He, Y. Guo and K. P. Shum, The construction of orthodox super rpp semigroups, *Sci. China Ser. A* **47** (2004), no. 4, 552–565.
- [20] J. M. Howie, *An Introduction to Semigroup Theory*, Academic Press, London, 1976.
- [21] M. Petrich, *Lectures in Semigroups*, Akademie Verlag, Berlin, 1977.
- [22] M. Petrich and N. R. Reilly, *Completely Regular Semigroups*, Canadian Mathematical Society Series of Monographs and Advanced Texts, 23, Wiley, New York, 1999.
- [23] K. P. Shum and Y. Guo, Regular semigroups and their generalizations, in *Rings, Groups, and Algebras*, 181–226, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., 181 Dekker, New York, 1996.
- [24] K. P. Shum, rpp semigroups, its generalizations and special subclasses, in *Advances in Algebra and Combinatorics*, 303–334, World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2008.
- [25] K. P. Shum, X. J. Guo and X. M. Ren, (l) -Green's relations and perfect rpp semigroups, in *Proceedings of the Third Asian Mathematical Conference, 2000 (Diliman)*, 604–613, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ.
- [26] K. P. Shum and X. M. Ren, The structure of right C-rpp semigroups, *Semigroup Forum* **68** (2004), no. 2, 280–292.
- [27] K. Xiang-Zhi and K. P. Shum, Regular cryptic super r -ample semigroups, *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. (2)* **35** (2012), no. 4, 859–868.