BULLETIN of the MALAYSIAN MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES SOCIETY http://math.usm.my/bulletin

Existence and Properties of Solutions of a Boundary Problem for a Love's Equation

¹LE THI PHUONG NGOC, ²NGUYEN TUAN DUY AND ³NGUYEN THANH LONG

¹Nhatrang Educational College, 01 Nguyen Chanh Str., Nhatrang City, Vietnam ²Department of Fundamental Sciences, University of Finance and Marketing, 306 Nguyen Trong Tuyen Str., Dist.

Tan Binh, HoChiMinh City, Vietnam

³Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Natural Science, Vietnam National University Ho Chi Minh City, 227 Nguyen Van Cu Str., Dist.5, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam ¹ngoc1966@gmail.com, ²tuanduy2312@gmail.com, ³longnt2@gmail.com

Abstract. In this paper, we use the Faedo-Galerkin method, compactness method and monotone method in order to study a nonlinear Love's equation with mixed nonhomogeneous conditions. The results obtained here are existence of a weak solution, uniqueness, regularity and asymptotic behavior of solutions.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35L05, 35L15, 35L70, 37B25

Keywords and phrases: Faedo-Galerkin method, global existence, nonlinear Love's equation, mixed nonhomogeneous conditions.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider the following equation with initial conditions and mixed nonhomogeneous conditions

(1.1)
$$u_{tt} - u_{xx} - \varepsilon u_{xxtt} + \lambda |u_t|^{q-2} u_t + K |u|^{p-2} u = F(x,t), x \in \Omega = (0,1), 0 < t < T,$$

(1.2)
$$\varepsilon u_{xtt}(0,t) + u_x(0,t) = hu(0,t) + g(t),$$

(1.3)
$$u(1,t) = 0,$$

(1.4)
$$u(x,0) = \tilde{u}_0(x), \ u_t(x,0) = \tilde{u}_1(x),$$

where p > 1, q > 1, $\varepsilon > 0$, $\lambda > 0$, K > 0, $h \ge 0$ are constants and \tilde{u}_0 , \tilde{u}_1 , *F*, *g* are given functions satisfying conditions specified later.

When F = 0, $\lambda = K = 0$, $\Omega = (0, L)$, Equation (1.1) is related to the Love's equation

(1.5)
$$u_{tt} - \frac{E}{\rho} u_{xx} - 2\mu^2 k^2 u_{xxtt} = 0,$$

Communicated by V. Ravichandran.

Received: July 3, 2012; Revised: September 28, 2012.

presented by V. Radochová in 1978 (see [8]). This equation describes the vertical oscillations of a rod, which was established from Euler's variational equation of an energy function

(1.6)
$$\int_0^T dt \int_0^L \left[\frac{1}{2} F \rho \left(u_t^2 + \mu^2 k^2 u_{tx}^2 \right) - \frac{1}{2} F \left(E u_x^2 + \rho \mu^2 k^2 u_x u_{xtt} \right) \right] dx,$$

the parameters in (1.6) have the following meanings: u is the displacement, L is the length of the rod, F is the area of cross-section, k is the cross-section radius, E is the Young modulus of the material and ρ is the mass density. By using the Fourier method, Radochová [8] obtained a classical solution of problem (1.5) associated with initial conditions (1.4) and boundary conditions

(1.7)
$$u(0,t) = u(L,t) = 0,$$

or

(1.8)
$$\begin{cases} u(0,t) = 0, \\ \varepsilon u_{xtt}(L,t) + c^2 u_x(L,t) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $c^2 = \frac{E}{\rho}$, $\varepsilon = 2\mu^2 k^2$. On the other hand, the asymptotic behaviour of the solution of problem (1.4), (1.5), (1.7) or (1.8) as $\varepsilon \to 0_+$ are also established by the method of small parameter.

Equations of Love waves or equations for waves of Love types have been studied by many authors, we refer to [3, 4, 7] and references therein.

In [1], Ang and Dinh established a uniqueness and global existence for the problem (1.1)-(1.4) with $\varepsilon = K = h = 0$, $\lambda = 1$, 1 < q < 2, F(x,t) = 0. In this latter case this problem governs the motion of a linear viscoelastic bar.

In this paper, we shall use the Faedo-Galerkin method, compactness method and monotone method in order to study problem (1.1)-(1.4). The results obtained are existence of a weak solution, uniqueness, regularity and asymptotic behavior of solutions.

The paper consists of four sections. Section 2 is devoted to the study of the existence a weak solution for problem (1.1)-(1.4) with $\tilde{u}_0, \tilde{u}_1 \in V = \{v \in H^1 : v(1) = 0\}, p > 1, q > 1$. Here, a energy lemma (as given in Lemma 2.3) is also established in order to pass the limit of a approximate problem and prove the uniqueness in case $p \ge 2$. In Section 3, we consider the regularity of solution for problem (1.1)-(1.4) with $\tilde{u}_0, \tilde{u}_1 \in V \cap H^2, p \ge 2, q \ge 2$ and some other conditions. In case p = q = 2, we show that the regularity of solutions depending on the regularity of data. Finally, the asymptotic behavior of solutions as $\varepsilon \to 0_+$ is discussed in Section 4. The results obtained here may be considered as the generalizations of those in [8].

2. Existence and uniqueness of a solution

First, we put $\Omega = (0,1)$; $Q_T = \Omega \times (0,T)$, T > 0 and we denote the usual function spaces used in this paper by the notations $C^m(\overline{\Omega})$, $W^{m,p} = W^{m,p}(\Omega)$, $L^p = W^{0,p}(\Omega)$, $H^m = W^{m,2}(\Omega)$, $1 \le p \le \infty$, m = 0, 1, ... Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be either the scalar product in L^2 or the dual pairing of a continuous linear functional and an element of a function space. The notation $\|\cdot\|$ stands for the norm in L^2 and we denote by $\|\cdot\|_X$ the norm in the Banach space X. We call X' the dual space of X. We denote by $L^p(0,T;X)$, $1 \le p \le \infty$ for the Banach space of the real functions $u: (0,T) \rightarrow X$ measurable, such that

$$||u||_{L^p(0,T;X)} = \left(\int_0^T ||u(t)||_X^p dt\right)^{1/p} < \infty \text{ for } 1 \le p < \infty,$$

and

$$||u||_{L^{\infty}(0,T;X)} = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{0 < t < T} ||u(t)||_{X} \text{ for } p = \infty.$$

Let u(t), $u'(t) = u_t(t)$, $u''(t) = u_{tt}(t)$, $u_x(t)$, $u_{xx}(t)$ denote u(x,t), $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x,t)$, $\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2}(x,t)$, $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x,t), \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}(x,t)$, respectively. On H^1 we shall use the following norm

$$\|v\|_{H^1} = \left(\|v\|^2 + \|v_x\|^2\right)^{1/2}$$

We put

(2.1)
$$V = \{ v \in H^1 : v(1) = 0 \}.$$

Then V is a closed subspace of H^1 and on $V, v \mapsto ||v||_{H^1}$ and $v \mapsto ||v_x||$ are equivalent norms.

Then the following lemmas are known as a standard one.

Lemma 2.1. The imbedding $H^1 \hookrightarrow C^0([0,1])$ is compact and

(2.2)
$$||v||_{C^0(\overline{\Omega})} \le \sqrt{2} ||v||_{H^1} \text{ for all } v \in H^1.$$

Lemma 2.2. The imbedding $V \hookrightarrow C^0([0,1])$ is compact and

$$(2.3) ||v||_{C^0(\overline{\Omega})} \le ||v_x|| \text{ for all } v \in V.$$

We remark that the weak formulation of the initial-boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.4) can be given in the following manner: Find $u \in L^{\infty}(0,T;V)$, with $u_t \in L^{\infty}(0,T;V)$, such that *u* satisfies the following variational equation

(2.4)
$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt} [\langle u_t(t), w \rangle + \varepsilon \langle u_{xt}(t), w_x \rangle] + \langle u_x(t), w_x \rangle + (hu(0,t) + g(t)) w(0) \\ + \lambda \langle |u_t(t)|^{q-2} u_t(t), w \rangle + K \langle |u|^{p-2} u, w \rangle = \langle F(t), w \rangle, \end{cases}$$

for all $w \in V$, a.e., $t \in (0, T)$, together with the initial conditions

(2.5)
$$u(0) = \tilde{u}_0, \ u_t(0) = \tilde{u}_1.$$

Next, we need the following assumptions:

(*H*₁) $p > 1, q > 1, \lambda > 0, K > 0, \varepsilon > 0, h \ge 0;$ (H_2) $\tilde{u}_0, \tilde{u}_1 \in V;$ (H₃) $F \in L^1(0,T;L^2);$ (H₄) $g \in W^{1,1}(0,T).$

Then, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. Let T > 0. Suppose that $(H_1) - (H_4)$ hold. Then, there exists a weak solution u of problem (1.1)-(1.4) such that

(2.6)
$$u \in L^{\infty}(0,T;V), u_t \in L^{\infty}(0,T;V).$$

Furthermore, if $p \ge 2$, the solution is unique.

Proof. The proof is a combination of Galerkin method and compactness arguments, and consits of four steps.

Step 1. *The Faedo-Galerkin approximation* (introduced by Lions [6]). Consider the basis in V

$$w_j(x) = \sqrt{rac{2}{1+\lambda_j^2}\cos(\lambda_j x)}, \ \lambda_j = (2j-1)rac{\pi}{2}, \ j \in \mathbb{N},$$

constructed by the eigenfunctions of the Laplace operator $-\Delta = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}$. Put

(2.7)
$$u_m(t) = \sum_{j=1}^m c_{mj}(t) w_j,$$

where the coefficients $c_{mi}^{(k)}$ satisfy the system of nonlinear ordinary differential equations

(2.8)
$$\begin{cases} \langle u_m''(t), w_j \rangle + \langle u_{mx}(t) + \varepsilon u_{mx}''(t), w_{jx} \rangle + \lambda \left\langle |u_m'(t)|^{q-2} u_m'(t), w_j \right\rangle \\ + K \left\langle |u_m(t)|^{p-2} u_m(t), w_j \right\rangle + (hu_m(0,t) + g(t)) w_j(0) = \left\langle F(t), w_j \right\rangle, \ 1 \le j \le m, \\ u_m(0) = \tilde{u}_{0m}, u_m'(0) = \tilde{u}_{1m}, \end{cases}$$

where

(2.9)
$$\begin{cases} \tilde{u}_{0m} = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \alpha_{mj} w_j \to \tilde{u}_0 \text{ strongly in } V, \\ \tilde{u}_{1m} = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \beta_{mj} w_j \to \tilde{u}_1 \text{ strongly in } V. \end{cases}$$

From the assumptions of Theorem 2.1, system (2.8) has a solution u_m on an interval $[0, T_m] \subset [0, T]$. The following estimates allow one to take $T_m = T$ for all *m* (see [2]).

Step 2. Multiplying the j^{th} equation of (2.8) by $c'_{mj}(t)$ and summing up with respect to j, afterwards, integrating by parts with respect to the time variable from 0 to t, after some rearrangements, we get

(2.10)

$$S_{m}(t) = S_{m}(0) + 2g(0)\tilde{u}_{0m}(0) + 2\int_{0}^{t} \langle F(s), u'_{m}(s) \rangle ds$$

$$+ 2\int_{0}^{t} g'(s)u_{m}(0,s)ds - 2g(t)u_{m}(0,t)$$

$$= S_{m}(0) + 2g(0)\tilde{u}_{0m}(0) + \sum_{j=1}^{3} I_{j},$$
where

where

(2.11)
$$S_m(t) = \left\| u'_m(t) \right\|^2 + \left\| u_{mx}(t) \right\|^2 + \varepsilon \left\| u'_{mx}(t) \right\|^2 + h u^2_m(0,t) + \frac{2K}{p} \left\| u_m(t) \right\|_{L^p}^p + 2\lambda \int_0^t \left\| u'_m(s) \right\|_{L^q}^q ds.$$

By (2.9), (2.11) and the imbedding $H^1 \hookrightarrow C^0(\overline{\Omega})$, there exists a positive constant \overline{C}_0 depending only on $\tilde{u}_0, \tilde{u}_1, h, K, p, g(0)$ and ε , such that

(2.12)
$$S_m(0) + 2g(0)\tilde{u}_{0m}(0) + \frac{2K}{p} \|\tilde{u}_{0m}\|_{L^p}^p = \|\tilde{u}_{1m}\|^2 + \|\tilde{u}_{0mx}\|^2 + \varepsilon \|\tilde{u}_{1mx}\|^2 + h\tilde{u}_{0m}^2(0) + 2g(0)\tilde{u}_{0m}(0) + \frac{2K}{p} \|\tilde{u}_{0m}\|_{L^p}^p \le \frac{1}{2}\bar{C}_0, \forall m.$$

Using (2.3) and the following inequalities

(2.13)
$$2ab \le \beta a^2 + \frac{1}{\beta}b^2, \text{ for all } a, b \in \mathbb{R}, \beta > 0,$$

and

(2.14)
$$|u_m(0,t)| \le ||u_m(t)||_{C^0(\overline{\Omega})} \le ||u_{mx}(t)|| \le \sqrt{S_m(t)},$$

we can estimate all terms in the right-hand side of (2.10) as follows

(2.15)
$$I_{1} = 2 \int_{0}^{t} \langle F(s), u'_{m}(s) \rangle ds \leq \int_{0}^{t} \|F(s)\| ds + \int_{0}^{t} \|F(s)\| \|u'_{m}(s)\|^{2} ds$$
$$\leq C_{T} + \int_{0}^{t} \|F(s)\| S_{m}(s) ds,$$

where C_T indicates a constant depending on T;

(2.16)
$$I_{2} = 2 \int_{0}^{t} g'(s) u_{m}(0,s) ds \leq 2 \int_{0}^{t} |g'(s)| \sqrt{S_{m}(s)} ds + \int_{0}^{t} |g'(s)| S_{m}(s) ds$$
$$\leq C_{T} + \int_{0}^{t} |g'(s)| S_{m}(s) ds,$$

with $C_T \geq \int_0^T |g'(s)| ds;$

(2.17)
$$I_3 = -2g(t)u_m(0,t) \le 2 \|g\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T)} \sqrt{S_m(t)} \le C_T + \frac{1}{2}S_m(t),$$

for all $\beta > 0, C_T \ge 2 \|g\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T)}^2$.

Combining (2.10), (2.12), (2.15)-(2.17) and choose $\beta = \frac{1}{2}$, the result is

(2.18)
$$S_m(t) \le C_T + \int_0^t d_T^{(1)}(s) S_m(s) \, ds, \ 0 \le t \le T_m$$

where $d_T^{(1)}(s) = 2[||F(s)|| + |g'(s)|], d_T^{(1)} \in L^1(0,T).$ By Gronwall's lemma, we deduce from (2.18) that

(2.19) $S_m(t) \le C_T \exp\left[\int^T d_T^{(1)}(s)ds\right] \le C_T, \text{ for all } t \in [0,T],$

where
$$C_T$$
 always indicates a bound depending on T . Thus, we can take constant $T_m =$ all m .

On the other hand, we deduce from (2.11) and (2.19) that

(2.20)
$$\begin{cases} \left\| |u_m|^{p-2} u_m \right\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{p'})}^{p'} = \|u_m\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;L^p)}^p \le \frac{p}{2K} C_T \le C_T, \\ \left\| |u_m'|^{q-2} u_m' \right\|_{L^{q'}(Q_T)}^{q'} = \int_0^T \|u_m'(s)\|_{L^q}^q \, ds \le \frac{1}{2\lambda} C_T \le C_T, \end{cases}$$

where C_T always indicates a bound depending on T as above.

Step 3. *Limiting process*. From (2.11), (2.19), (2.20) we deduce the existence of a subsequence of $\{u_m\}$, denoted by the same symbol such that

(2.21)
$$\begin{cases} u_m \to u & \text{in } L^{\infty}(0,T;V) \text{ weakly*,} \\ u'_m \to u' & \text{in } L^{\infty}(0,T;V) \text{ weakly*,} \\ u_m \to u & \text{in } L^{\infty}(0,T;L^p) \text{ weakly*,} \\ u'_m \to u' & \text{in } L^q(Q_T) \text{ weakly,} \\ |u_m|^{p-2}u_m \to \chi_0 \text{ in } L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{p'}) \text{ weakly*,} \\ |u'_m|^{q-2}u'_m \to \chi_1 \text{ in } L^{q'}(Q_T) \text{ weakly.} \end{cases}$$

T for

By the compactness lemma of Lions ([6], p. 57), from $(2.21)_{1,2}$, there exists a subsequence of $\{u_m\}$, still denoted by $\{u_m\}$, such that

(2.22)
$$u_m \to u \text{ strongly in } L^2(Q_T) \text{ and a.e. in } Q_T.$$

By means of the continuity of function $x \mapsto |x|^{p-2}x$, we have

(2.23)
$$|u_m|^{p-2}u_m \to |u|^{p-2}u$$
 a.e. in Q_T .

Using Lions's Lemma ([6], Lemma 1.3, p.12), it follows from (2.20)₁ and (2.23) that

(2.24)
$$|u_m|^{p-2}u_m \to |u|^{p-2}u \text{ in } L^{p'}(Q_T) \text{ weakly}$$

By $(2.21)_5$ and (2.24), we deduce that

$$\chi_0 = |u|^{p-2}u$$

Passing to the limit in (2.8) by (2.9), (2.21), (2.24) and (2.25), we have *u* satisfying the problem

(2.26)
$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt} \left[\langle u'(t), v \rangle + \varepsilon \langle u'_x(t), v_x \rangle \right] + \langle u_x(t), v_x \rangle + \lambda \langle \chi_1(t), v \rangle + K \left\langle |u(t)|^{p-2} u(t), v \right\rangle \\ + (hu(0,t) + g(t)) v(0) = \langle F(t), v \rangle, \text{ for all } v \in V, \\ u(0) = \tilde{u}_0, u'(0) = \tilde{u}_1. \end{cases}$$

It remains to prove that $\chi_1 = |u'|^{q-2} u'$. We need the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.3. Let u be the weak solution of the following problem

(2.27)
$$\begin{cases} u'' - u_{xx} - \varepsilon u''_{xx} = \Phi, \ 0 < x < 1, \ 0 < t < T, \\ \varepsilon u''_{x}(0,t) + u_{x}(0,t) = G(t), \ u(1,t) = 0, \\ u(0) = \tilde{u}_{0}, \ u'(0) = \tilde{u}_{1}, \\ u \in L^{\infty}(0,T;V), \ u' \in L^{\infty}(0,T;V), \\ \tilde{u}_{0}, \ \tilde{u}_{1} \in V, \ G \in L^{2}(0,T), \ \Phi \in L^{1}(0,T;L^{2}). \end{cases}$$

Then we have

(2.28)
$$\frac{1}{2} \|u'(t)\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|u_x(t)\|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \|u'_x(t)\|^2 + \int_0^t G(s)u'(0,s)ds$$
$$\geq \frac{1}{2} \|\tilde{u}_1\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\tilde{u}_{0x}\|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \|\tilde{u}_{1x}\|^2 + \int_0^t \left\langle \Phi(s), u'(s) \right\rangle ds, \ a.e., \ t \in [0,T].$$

Furthermore, if $\tilde{u}_0 = \tilde{u}_1 = 0$, there is equality in (2.28).

Proof of Lemma 2.3. The idea of the proof is the same as in ([5], Lemma 2.1, p. 79). Fix $t_1, t_2, 0 < t_1 < t_2 < T$ and let v(x,t) be the function defined as follows

(2.29)
$$v(x,t) = \theta_m(t) [(\theta_m(t)u'(x,t)) * \rho_k(t) * \rho_k(t)]$$

where

(i) θ_m is a continuous, piecewise linear function on [0, T] defined as follows:

(2.30)
$$\theta_m(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if, } t \in [0,T] \smallsetminus [t_1 + 1/m, t_2 - 1/m], \\ 1, & \text{if, } t \in [t_1 + 2/m, t_2 - 2/m], \\ m(t - t_1 - 1/m), & \text{if, } t \in [t_1 + 1/m, t_1 + 2/m], \\ -m(t - t_2 + 1/m), & \text{if, } t \in [t_2 - 2/m, t_2 - 1/m]. \end{cases}$$

(ii) $\{\rho_k\}$ is a regularizing sequence in $C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, i.e.,

(2.31)
$$\rho_k \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}), \ \rho_k(t) = \rho_k(-t), \ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \rho_k(t) dt = 1, \ \text{supp} \ \rho_k \subset [-1/k, 1/k]$$

(iii) (*) is the convolution product in the time variable, ie.,

(2.32)
$$(u*\rho_k)(x,t) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} u(x,t-s)\rho_k(s)ds.$$

We take the scalar product of the function v(x,t) in (2.29) with equation (2.27)₁, then integrate with respect to the time variable from 0 to *T*, and we have

where

(2.34)
$$\begin{cases} X_{mk} = \int_0^T \langle u''(t), v(t) \rangle dt, \\ Y_{mk} = -\int_0^T \langle \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (u_x(t) + \varepsilon u_{xtt}(t)), v(t) \rangle dt, \\ Z_{mk} = \int_0^T \langle \Phi(t), v(t) \rangle dt. \end{cases}$$

By using the properties of the functions $\theta_m(t)$ and $\rho_k(t)$ we can show after some lengthy calculation

(2.35)
$$\begin{cases} \lim_{k \to +\infty} X_{mk} = -\int_0^T \theta_m \theta'_m ||u'(t)||^2 dt, \\ \lim_{k \to +\infty} Y_{mk} = -\int_0^T \theta_m \theta'_m ||u_x(t)||^2 dt - \varepsilon \int_0^T \theta_m \theta'_m ||u'_x(t)||^2 dt + \int_0^T \theta_m^2 G(t) u'(0,t) dt, \\ \lim_{k \to +\infty} Z_{mk} = \int_0^T \theta_m^2 \langle \Phi(t), u'(t) \rangle dt. \end{cases}$$

Letting $m \rightarrow \infty$, (2.33) – (2.35) yield

$$\frac{1}{2} \|u'(t_2)\|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|u'(t_1)\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|u_x(t_2)\|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|u_x(t_1)\|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \|u'_x(t_2)\|^2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \|u'_x(t_1)\|^2$$
(2.36)

$$+ \int_{0}^{t_2} G(t)u'(0,t)dt = \int_{0}^{t_2} \langle \Phi(t), u'(t) \rangle dt, \text{ a.e., } t_1 t_2 \in (0,T), t_1 < t_2.$$

$$J_{t_1}$$
 (2.36), using the weak lower semicontinuity of the functional $v \mapsto ||v||^2$, w

From (2.36), using the weak lower semicontinuity of the functional $v \mapsto ||v||^2$, we obtain (2.28) by taking $t_2 = t$ and passing to the limit as $t_1 \to 0_+$. In the case of $\tilde{u}_0 = \tilde{u}_1 = 0$, we prolong u, Φ , G by 0 as t < 0 and we deduce equality

In the case of $u_0 = u_1 = 0$, we prolong u, Φ , G by 0 as t < 0 and we deduce equality (2.36) is true for almost $t_1 < t_2 < T$. Taking $t_1 < 0$ in (2.36), its right-hand side is 0, we take $t_1 \rightarrow 0_-$, we have equality (2.28).

The proof of Lemma 2.3 is completed.

Remark 2.1. Lemma 2.3 is a relative generalization of a lemma of Lions ([6], Lemma 6.1, p. 224).

We now prove that
$$\chi_1 = |u'|^{q-2} u'$$
. From (2.10) and (2.11) we deduce
 $2\lambda \int_0^t \left\langle |u'_m(s)|^{q-2} u'_m(s), u'_m(s) \right\rangle ds = 2\lambda \int_0^t ||u'_m(s)||_{L^q}^q ds$
 $= ||\tilde{u}_{1m}||^2 + \varepsilon ||\tilde{u}_{1mx}||^2 + ||\tilde{u}_{0mx}||^2 + h\tilde{u}_{0m}^2(0) + \frac{2K}{p} ||\tilde{u}_{0m}||_{L^p}^p$
 $- ||u'_m(t)||^2 - \varepsilon ||u'_{mx}(t)||^2 - ||u_{mx}(t)||^2 - hu_m^2(0, t) - \frac{2K}{p} ||u_m(t)||_{L^p}^p$

L. T. P. Ngoc, N. T. Duy and N. T. Long

(2.37)
$$+ 2 \int_0^t \left\langle F(s), u'_m(s) \right\rangle ds - 2 \int_0^t g(s) u'_m(0, s) ds.$$

Using Lemma 2.3, with $\Phi = F - K |u|^{p-2} u - \lambda \chi_1$, G(t) = hu(0,t) + g(t), it follows from (2.8), (2.2), (2.21), (2.28), (2.37) that

$$2\lambda \limsup_{m \to \infty} \int_{0}^{t} \left\langle \left| u'_{m}(s) \right|^{q-2} u'_{m}(s), u'_{m}(s) \right\rangle ds$$

$$\leq \|\tilde{u}_{1}\|^{2} + \varepsilon \|\tilde{u}_{1x}\|^{2} + \|\tilde{u}_{0x}\|^{2} + h\tilde{u}_{0}^{2}(0) + \frac{2K}{p} \|\tilde{u}_{0}\|_{L^{p}}^{p}$$

$$- \liminf_{m \to \infty} \left\| u'_{m}(t) \right\|^{2} - \varepsilon \liminf_{m \to \infty} \left\| u'_{mx}(t) \right\|^{2} - \liminf_{m \to \infty} \left(\left\| u_{mx}(t) \right\|^{2} + hu_{m}^{2}(0,t) \right)$$

$$- \frac{2K}{p} \liminf_{m \to \infty} \left\| u_{m}(t) \right\|_{L^{p}}^{p} + 2 \int_{0}^{t} \left\langle F(s), u'(s) \right\rangle ds - 2 \int_{0}^{t} g(s)u'(0,s) ds$$

$$\leq \|\tilde{u}_{1}\|^{2} + \varepsilon \|\tilde{u}_{1x}\|^{2} + \|\tilde{u}_{0x}\|^{2} + h\tilde{u}_{0}^{2}(0) + \frac{2K}{p} \|\tilde{u}_{0}\|_{L^{p}}^{p}$$

$$- \|u'(t)\|^{2} - \varepsilon \|u'_{x}(t)\|^{2} - \|u_{x}(t)\|^{2} - hu^{2}(0,t)$$

$$- \frac{2K}{p} \|u(t)\|_{L^{p}}^{p} + 2 \int_{0}^{t} \left\langle F(s), u'(s) \right\rangle ds - 2 \int_{0}^{t} g(s)u'(0,s) ds$$

$$\leq \|\tilde{u}_{1}\|^{2} + \|\tilde{u}_{0x}\|^{2} + \varepsilon \|\tilde{u}_{1x}\|^{2} - \|u'(t)\|^{2} - \|u_{x}(t)\|^{2} - \varepsilon \|u'_{x}(t)\|^{2}$$

$$+ 2 \int_{0}^{t} \left\langle F(s) - K|u(s)|^{p-2}u(s) - \lambda\chi_{1}(s), u'(s) \right\rangle ds - 2 \int_{0}^{t} (hu(0,s) + g(s))u'(0,s) ds$$
(2.38)

$$+2\lambda\int_0^t \langle \chi_1(s), u'(s)\rangle ds \leq 2\lambda\int_0^t \langle \chi_1(s), u'(s)\rangle ds.$$

Consider

(2.39)
$$\phi_m(t) = \int_0^t \left\langle \left| u'_m(s) \right|^{q-2} u'_m(s) - \left| v(s) \right|^{q-2} v(s), u'_m(s) - v(s) \right\rangle ds \ge 0,$$

for all $v \in L^q(Q_T)$.

Combining $(2.21)_{2-6}$, (2.38) and (2.39), we have

(2.40)
$$0 \leq \limsup_{m \to \infty} \phi_m(t) \leq \int_0^t \left\langle \chi_1(s) - |v(s)|^{q-2} v(s), u'(s) - v(s) \right\rangle ds, \ \forall \ v \in L^q(Q_T).$$

In (2.40), choose $v(s) = u'(s) - \delta w$, with $\delta > 0$ and $w \in L^q(Q_T)$. Apply the argument of Minty and Browder (see Lions [6], p. 172), we obtain $\chi_1 = |u'|^{q-2} u'$.

The proof of existence is completed.

Step 4. *Uniqueness of the solution.* Assume now that $p \ge 2$ holds. Let u, v be two weak solutions of the problem (1.1) - (1.4), such that

(2.41)
$$u, v \in L^{\infty}(0,T;V) \text{ and } u', v' \in L^{\infty}(0,T;V).$$

Then w = u - v is the weak solution of the following problem

(2.42)
$$\begin{cases} w_{tt} - \varepsilon w_{xxtt} - w_{xx} = -\lambda \left(|u'|^{q-2} u' - |v'|^{q-2} v' \right) - K \left(|u|^{p-2} u - |v|^{p-2} v \right) = 0, \\ \varepsilon w_{xtt}(0,t) + w_x(0,t) = hw(0,t), w(1,t) = 0, \\ w(x,0) = w_t(x,0) = 0, \\ w, w' \in L^{\infty}(0,T;V). \end{cases}$$

Using Lemma 2.3 with $\tilde{u}_0 = \tilde{u}_1 = 0$, $\Phi = -\lambda \left(|u'|^{q-2} u' - |v'|^{q-2} v' \right) - K \left(|u|^{p-2} u - |v|^{p-2} v \right)$, G(t) = hw(0,t), we obtain

(2.43)
$$\sigma(t) + 2\lambda \int_0^t \left\langle |u'(s)|^{q-2} u'(s) - |v'(s)|^{q-2} v'(s), u'(s) - v'(s) \right\rangle ds$$
$$= -2K \int_0^t \left\langle |u(s)|^{p-2} u(s) - |v(s)|^{p-2} v(s), w'(s) \right\rangle ds, \text{ a.e. } t \in [0, T],$$

where

(2.44)
$$\sigma(t) = \|w'(t)\|^2 + \|w_x(t)\|^2 + \varepsilon \|w'_x(t)\|^2 + hw^2(0,t).$$

Using the following inequality

(2.45) $||x|^{p-2}x - |y|^{p-2}y| \le (p-1)M^{p-2}|x-y|, \forall x, y \in [-M,M], \forall M > 0, \forall p \ge 2,$ with $M = ||u||_{L^{\infty}(0,T;V)} + ||v||_{L^{\infty}(0,T;V)}$, and note that

(2.46)
$$\int_{0}^{t} \left\langle \left| u'(s) \right|^{q-2} u'(s) - \left| v'(s) \right|^{q-2} v'(s), u'(s) - v'(s) \right\rangle ds \ge 0,$$
$$\sigma(t) = \left\| w'(t) \right\|^{2} + \left\| w_{x}(t) \right\|^{2} + \varepsilon \left\| w'_{x}(t) \right\|^{2} \ge 2 \left\| w'(t) \right\| \left\| w_{x}(t) \right\|$$

we deduce from (2.43), (2.46) that

(2.47)
$$\sigma(t) \leq -2K \int_0^t \left\langle |u(s)|^{p-2} u(s) - |v(s)|^{p-2} v(s), w'(s) \right\rangle ds$$
$$\leq 2K(p-1)M^{p-2} \int_0^t ||w(s)|| \left\| w'(s) \right\| ds \leq K(p-1)M^{p-2} \int_0^t \sigma(s) ds.$$

By Gronwall's lemma, it follows from (2.47) that $\sigma \equiv 0$, i.e., $u \equiv v$. Theorem 2.1 is proved completely.

3. The regularity of solutions

In this section, we study the regularity of solutions of problem (1.1) – (1.4) corresponding to $(\tilde{u}_0, \tilde{u}_1) \in (V \cap H^2) \times (V \cap H^2)$.

Henceforth, we strengthen the hypotheses and assume that:

$$\begin{array}{ll} (H_1') & p \geq 2, \, q \geq 2, \, \lambda > 0, \, K > 0, \, \varepsilon > 0, \, h \geq 0; \\ (H_2') & \tilde{u}_0, \, \tilde{u}_1 \in V \cap H^2; \\ (H_3') & F, \, F' \in L^1(0,T;L^2); \\ (H_4') & g \in W^{2,1}(0,T) \, . \end{array}$$

First, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. Let T > 0. Suppose that $(H'_1) - (H'_4)$ hold. Then problem (1.1)-(1.4) has a unique weak solution

(3.1)
$$u \in L^{\infty}\left(0,T; V \cap H^{2}\right), \text{ such that } u_{t}, u_{tt} \in L^{\infty}\left(0,T; V \cap H^{2}\right).$$

Remark 3.1. The regularity obtained by (3.1) shows that problem (1.1)-(1.4) has a unique strong solution

(3.2)
$$u \in C^1\left(0,T; V \cap H^2\right), \ u_{tt} \in L^{\infty}\left(0,T; V \cap H^2\right).$$

Proof. The proof consists of four Steps as follows.

Step 1. The Faedo-Galerkin approximation. By the same argument as in Theorem 2.1, we obtain the approximate solution $u_m(t)$ of problem (1.1) - (1.4) in the form (2.7), where the coefficient functions c_{mj} satisfy the system (2.8), with

(3.3)
$$\tilde{u}_{0m} = \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{mj} w_j \to \tilde{u}_0 \text{ strongly in } V \cap H^2,$$

(3.4)
$$\tilde{u}_{1m} = \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_{mj} w_j \to \tilde{u}_1 \text{ strongly in } V \cap H^2.$$

Step 2. A priori estimates I. Using assumptions $(H'_1) - (H'_4)$, similarly, we get

(3.5)
$$S_m(t) = \left\| u'_m(t) \right\|^2 + \left\| u_{mx}(t) \right\|^2 + \varepsilon \left\| u'_{mx}(t) \right\|^2 + h u^2_m(0,t) + \frac{2K}{p} \left\| u_m(t) \right\|_{L^p}^p + 2\lambda \int_0^t \left\| u'_m(s) \right\|_{L^q}^q ds \le C_T,$$

for all $t \in [0,T]$ and for all *m*, and C_T always indicates a bound depending on *T*.

A priori estimates II. Now differentiating $(2.8)_1$ with respect to t, we have

$$\langle u_m'''(t), w_j \rangle + \langle u_{mx}'(t) + \varepsilon u_{mx}'''(t), w_{jx} \rangle + K(p-1) \left\langle |u_m(t)|^{p-2} u_m'(t), w_j \right\rangle$$

$$+ \lambda (q-1) \left\langle |u_m'(t)|^{q-2} u_m''(t), w_j \right\rangle + \left(h u_m'(0,t) + g'(t) \right) w_j(0) = \left\langle F'(t), w_j \right\rangle,$$

for all $1 \le j \le m$.

Multiplying the *j*-th equation of (3.6) by $c''_{mj}(t)$, summing up with respect to *j* and then integrating with respect to the time variable from 0 to *t*, we obtain

$$\begin{split} X_m(t) &= X_m(0) + 2g'(0)\tilde{u}_{1m}(0) + 2\int_0^t \left\langle F'(s), u_m''(s) \right\rangle ds \\ &- 2K(p-1)\int_0^t \left\langle |u_m(s)|^{p-2} u_m'(s), u_m''(s) \right\rangle ds \\ &- 2g'(t)u_m'(0,t) + 2\int_0^t g''(s)u_m'(0,s)ds \\ &\equiv X_m(0) + 2g'(0)\tilde{u}_{1m}(0) + \sum_{j=1}^4 J_j, \end{split}$$

where

(3.7)

(3.8)
$$X_{m}(t) = \left\| u_{m}''(t) \right\|^{2} + \left\| u_{mx}'(t) \right\|^{2} + \varepsilon \left\| u_{mx}'(t) \right\|^{2} + h \left| u_{m}'(0,t) \right|^{2} + 2\lambda(q-1) \int_{0}^{t} ds \int_{0}^{1} \left| u_{m}'(x,s) \right|^{q-2} \left| u_{m}''(x,s) \right|^{2} dx.$$

First, we estimate $\eta_m = \|u_m''(0)\|^2 + \varepsilon \|u_{mx}''(0)\|^2$. Letting $t \to 0_+$ in equation (2.8)₁, multiplying the result by $c_{mj}''(0)$, then

(3.9)
$$\begin{aligned} \left\| u_m''(0) \right\|^2 + \varepsilon \left\| u_{mx}''(0) \right\|^2 + \left\langle \tilde{u}_{0mx}, u_{mx}''(0) \right\rangle + \lambda \left\langle \left| \tilde{u}_{1m} \right|^{q-2} \tilde{u}_{1m}, u_m''(0) \right\rangle \\ + \left(h \tilde{u}_{0m}(0) + g(0) \right) u_m''(0, 0) \\ + K \left\langle \left| \tilde{u}_{0m} \right|^{p-2} \tilde{u}_{0m}, u_m''(0) \right\rangle = \left\langle F(0), u_m''(0) \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

(3.1

(3.10)
$$|u''_m(0,0)| \le ||u''_m(0)||_{C^0([0,1])} \le ||u''_{mx}(0)|| \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\sqrt{\eta_m}.$$

This implies that

$$\begin{split} \eta_{m} &= \left\| u_{m}''(0) \right\|^{2} + \varepsilon \left\| u_{mx}''(0) \right\|^{2} \leq \left\| \tilde{u}_{0mx} \right\| \left\| u_{mx}''(0) \right\| + \left| h \tilde{u}_{0m}(0) + g(0) \right| \left| u_{m}''(0,0) \right| \\ &+ \left[\lambda \left\| \left| \tilde{u}_{1m} \right|^{q-1} \right\| + K \right\| \left| \tilde{u}_{0m} \right|^{p-1} \right\| + \left\| F(0) \right\| \right] \left\| u_{m}''(0) \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\gamma} \left\| \tilde{u}_{0mx} \right\|^{2} + \frac{\gamma}{2} \left\| u_{mx}''(0) \right\|^{2} + \frac{1}{2\gamma} \left(\left| h \tilde{u}_{0m}(0) + g(0) \right| \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \gamma \eta_{m} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2\gamma} \left[\lambda \left\| \left| \tilde{u}_{1m} \right|^{q-1} \right\| + K \right\| \left| \tilde{u}_{0m} \right|^{p-1} \right\| + \left\| F(0) \right\| \right]^{2} + \frac{\gamma}{2} \left\| u_{m}''(0) \right\|^{2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\gamma} \left\| \tilde{u}_{0mx} \right\|^{2} + \frac{\gamma}{2\varepsilon} \eta_{m} + \frac{1}{2\gamma} \left(\left| h \tilde{u}_{0m}(0) + g(0) \right| \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \gamma \eta_{m} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2\gamma} \left[\lambda \left\| \left| \tilde{u}_{1m} \right|^{q-1} \right\| + K \right\| \left| \tilde{u}_{0m} \right|^{p-1} \right\| + \left\| F(0) \right\| \right]^{2} + \frac{\gamma}{2} \eta_{m} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\gamma} \left\| \tilde{u}_{0mx} \right\|^{2} + \frac{1}{2\gamma} \left(\left| h \tilde{u}_{0m}(0) + g(0) \right| \right)^{2} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2\gamma} \left[\lambda \left\| \left| \tilde{u}_{1m} \right|^{q-1} \right\| + K \right\| \left| \left| \tilde{u}_{0m} \right|^{p-1} \right\| + \left\| F(0) \right\| \right]^{2} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2\gamma} \left[\lambda \left\| \left| \tilde{u}_{1m} \right|^{q-1} \right\| + K \right\| \left| \tilde{u}_{0m} \right|^{p-1} \right\| + \left\| F(0) \right\| \right]^{2} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2\gamma} \left[\lambda \left\| \left| \tilde{u}_{1m} \right|^{q-1} \right\| + K \right\| \left| \tilde{u}_{0m} \right|^{p-1} \right\| + \left\| F(0) \right\| \right]^{2} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2\gamma} \left[1 + \frac{2}{\varepsilon} \right] \eta_{m}, \ for \ all \ \gamma > 0. \end{split}$$

Choose $\gamma > 0$, such that $\frac{\gamma}{2} \left[1 + \frac{2}{\epsilon} \right] \leq \frac{1}{2}$, we have

(3.12)
$$\eta_{m} = \left\| u_{m}''(0) \right\|^{2} + \varepsilon \left\| u_{mx}''(0) \right\|^{2} \leq \frac{1}{\gamma} \left\| \tilde{u}_{0mx} \right\|^{2} + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left(\left| h \tilde{u}_{0m}(0) + g(0) \right| \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left[\lambda \left\| \left| \tilde{u}_{1m} \right|^{q-1} \right\| + K \left\| \left| \tilde{u}_{0m} \right|^{p-1} \right\| + \left\| F(0) \right\| \right]^{2} \leq \overline{X}_{0} \text{ for all } m,$$

where \overline{X}_0 is a constant depending only on $p, q, K, \lambda, F, \tilde{u}_0, \tilde{u}_1, h, g(0)$ and ε . By (3.4), (3.8) and (3.12), we get

(3.13)
$$X_m(0) + 2g'(0)\tilde{u}_{1m}(0) = \eta_m + \|\tilde{u}_{1mx}\|^2 + h\tilde{u}_{1mx}^2(0) + 2g'(0)\tilde{u}_{1m}(0)$$
$$\leq \overline{X}_0 + \|\tilde{u}_{1mx}\|^2 + h\tilde{u}_{1mx}^2(0) + 2g'(0)\tilde{u}_{1m}(0) \leq \frac{1}{2}X_0, \text{ for all } m,$$

where X_0 is a constant depending only on $p, q, K, \lambda, F, \tilde{u}_0, \tilde{u}_1, h, g(0)$ and ε .

A combination of (2.3), (2.14), (3.8) and the following inequalities

(3.14)
$$X_m(t) \ge \left\| u_m''(t) \right\|^2 + \left\| u_{mx}'(t) \right\|^2 + \varepsilon \left\| u_{mx}''(t) \right\|^2,$$

(3.15)
$$|u'_m(0,t)| \le ||u'_m(t)||_{C^0(\overline{\Omega})} \le ||u'_{mx}(t)|| \le \sqrt{X_m(t)},$$

all terms on the right-hand side of (3.7) are estimated as follows

(3.16)
$$J_{1} = 2 \int_{0}^{t} \left\langle F'(s), u_{m}''(s) \right\rangle ds \leq \left\| F' \right\|_{L^{1}(0,T;L^{2})} + \int_{0}^{t} \left\| F'(s) \right\| X_{m}(s) ds$$
$$\leq C_{T} + \int_{0}^{t} \left\| F'(s) \right\| X_{m}(s) ds;$$

$$J_{2} = -2K(p-1)\int_{0}^{t} \left\langle |u_{m}(s)|^{p-2} u'_{m}(s), u''_{m}(s) \right\rangle ds$$

$$\leq 2K(p-1)\int_{0}^{t} ||u_{mx}(s)||^{p-2} ||u'_{m}(s)|| ||u''_{m}(s)|| ds$$

$$\leq 2K(p-1)\int_{0}^{t} \left(\sqrt{S_{m}(s)}\right)^{p-2} \sqrt{S_{m}(s)} \sqrt{X_{m}(s)} ds$$

$$\leq 2(p-1)\sqrt{C_{T}^{p-1}}\int_{0}^{t} \sqrt{X_{m}(s)} ds \leq C_{T} + \int_{0}^{t} X_{m}(s) ds;$$

(3.17)

(3.18)
$$J_{3} = -2g'(t)u'_{m}(0,t) \leq 2|g'(t)| |u'_{m}(0,t)| \leq 2|g'(t)| \sqrt{X_{m}(t)}$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{\beta} ||g'||^{2}_{L^{\infty}(0,T)} + \beta X_{m}(t) \leq \frac{1}{\beta} C_{T} + \beta X_{m}(t);$$

(3.19)
$$J_{4} = 2 \int_{0}^{t} g''(s) u'_{m}(0,s) ds \leq 2 \int_{0}^{t} \left| g''(s) \right| \sqrt{X_{m}(s)} ds$$
$$\leq \int_{0}^{t} \left| g''(s) \right| [1 + X_{m}(s)] ds \leq C_{T} + \int_{0}^{t} \left| g''(s) \right| X_{m}(s) ds,$$

where C_T also indicates a bound depending on T and $C_T \ge \int_0^T |g''(s)| ds$. Combining (3.7), (3.13), (3.16) – (3.19) and choose $\beta = \frac{1}{2}$, the result is

(3.20)
$$X_m(t) \le C_T + 2\int_0^t \left(1 + \left|g''(s)\right| + \left\|F'(s)\right\|\right) X_m(s) \, ds, \quad 0 \le t \le T,$$

where C_T indicates a bound depending on T as above.

By Gronwall's lemma, we deduce from (3.20) that

(3.21)
$$X_m(t) \le C_T \exp\left[2\int_0^T \left(1 + |g''(s)| + ||F'(s)||\right) ds\right] \le C_T, \text{ for all } t \in [0,T],$$

where C_T always indicates a bound depending on T.

Step 3. *Limiting process*. From (3.5), (3.8), (3.21), we deduce the existence of a subsequence of $\{u_m\}$ still also so denoted, such that

(3.22)
$$\begin{cases} u_m \to u \quad \text{in} \quad L^{\infty}(0,T;V) \quad \text{weakly*,} \\ u'_m \to u' \quad \text{in} \quad L^{\infty}(0,T;V) \quad \text{weakly*,} \\ u''_m \to u'' \quad \text{in} \quad L^{\infty}(0,T;V) \quad \text{weakly*.} \end{cases}$$

By the compactness lemma of Lions ([6], p. 57), from (3.22), there exists a subsequence of $\{u_m\}$, denoted by the same symbol, such that

(3.23)
$$\begin{cases} u_m \to u & \text{strongly in } L^2(Q_T) & \text{and a.e. in } Q_T, \\ u'_m \to u' & \text{strongly in } L^2(Q_T) & \text{and a.e. in } Q_T. \end{cases}$$

Using again the inequality (2.45), with $M = C_T$, we deduce from (3.23) that

(3.24)
$$|u_m|^{p-2}u_m \to |u|^{p-2}u \text{ strongly in } L^2(Q_T),$$

$$(3.25) |u'_m|^{q-2}u'_m \to |u'|^{q-2}u' \text{ strongly in } L^2(Q_T)$$

Passing to the limit in (2.8), by (3.4), (3.22) - (3.25), we have *u* satisfying the problem

(3.26)
$$\begin{cases} \langle u''(t), v \rangle + \langle u_x(t) + \varepsilon u''_x(t), v_x \rangle + \lambda \langle |u'(t)|^{q-2} u'(t), v \rangle + K \langle |u(t)|^{p-2} u(t), v \rangle \\ + (hu(0,t) + g(t)) v(0) = \langle F(t), v \rangle, \text{ for all } v \in V, \\ u(0) = \tilde{u}_0, u'(0) = \tilde{u}_1. \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, (3.22) and $(3.26)_1$ yield

(3.27)
$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \left(u + \varepsilon u_{tt} \right) = u_{tt} + \lambda |u_t|^{q-2} u_t + K |u|^{p-2} u - F(t) \in L^{\infty}(0,T;L^2).$$

Hence

(3.28)
$$u + \varepsilon u_{tt} \equiv \Psi \in L^{\infty}(0,T;V \cap H^2).$$

Furthermore, by $u_{tt} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}u \equiv \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\Psi$, it follows that

(3.29)
$$u(t) = \cos\left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}t\right)\tilde{u}_0 + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\sin\left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}t\right)\tilde{u}_1 + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\int_0^t \sin\left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}(t-s)\right)\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\Psi(s)ds \in L^{\infty}(0,T;V \cap H^2).$$

Then

(3.30)

$$u_{tt} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (\Psi - u) \in L^{\infty}(0,T; V \cap H^2), \text{ and } u_t = \tilde{u}_1 + \int_0^t u_{tt}(s) ds \in L^{\infty}(0,T; V \cap H^2).$$

Thus $u, u_t, u_{tt} \in L^{\infty}(0,T; V \cap H^2)$ and the existence of the solution is proved completely. **Step 4.** Uniqueness of the solution. Let u, v be two weak solutions of problem (1.1)-(1.4), such that

(3.31)
$$u, v \in C^1(0,T;V \cap H^2), \text{ with } u', v', u'', v'' \in L^{\infty}(0,T;V \cap H^2).$$

Then w = u - v verifies

(3.32)
$$\begin{cases} \langle w''(t), z \rangle + \langle w_x(t) + \varepsilon w_x''(t), z_x \rangle + \lambda \langle |u'(t)|^{q-2} u'(t) - |v'(t)|^{q-2} v(t), z \rangle \\ + hw(0,t)z(0) = -K \langle |u(t)|^{p-2} u(t) - |v(t)|^{p-2} v(t), z \rangle, \text{ for all } z \in V, \\ w(0) = w'(0) = 0. \end{cases}$$

We take z = w = u - v in (3.32) and integrating with respect to t, we obtain

(3.33)
$$\sigma(t) = -2K \int_0^t \left\langle |u(s)|^{p-2} u(s) - |v(s)|^{p-2} v(s), w'(s) \right\rangle ds,$$

where

$$\sigma(t) = \|w'(t)\|^2 + \varepsilon \|w'_x(t)\|^2 + \|w_x(t)\|^2 + hw^2(0,t)$$

(3.34)
$$+2\lambda \int_0^t \left\langle \left| u'(s) \right|^{q-2} u'(s) - \left| v'(s) \right|^{q-2} v'(s), u'(s) - v'(s) \right\rangle ds.$$

Using again the inequality (2.45), with $M = \max\{\|u\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;V)}, \|v\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;V)}\}$, we get (3.35)

$$\left| |u(x,s)|^{p-2}u(x,s) - |v(x,s)|^{p-2}v(x,s) \right| \le (p-1)M^{p-2} |w(x,s)|, \text{ for all } (x,s) \in Q_T,$$

and the following inequalities

(3.36)
$$\int_{0}^{t} \left\langle \left| u'(s) \right|^{q-2} u'(s) - \left| v'(s) \right|^{q-2} v'(s), u'(s) - v'(s) \right\rangle ds \ge 0,$$
$$\sigma(t) \ge \left\| w'(t) \right\|^{2} + \varepsilon \left\| w'_{x}(t) \right\|^{2} + \left\| w_{x}(t) \right\|^{2} \ge 2 \left\| w'(t) \right\| \left\| w_{x}(t) \right\|,$$

so

(3.37)
$$\sigma(t) \leq -2K \int_0^t \left\langle |u(s)|^{p-2} u(s) - |v(s)|^{p-2} v(s), w'(s) \right\rangle ds$$
$$\leq 2K(p-1)M^{p-2} \int_0^t \|w(s)\| \left\| w'(s) \right\| ds \leq K(p-1)M^{p-2} \int_0^t \sigma(s) ds.$$

By Gronwall's lemma, it follows from (3.37) that $\sigma \equiv 0$, i.e., $u \equiv v$. Theorem 3.1 is proved completely.

Next, we continue to consider the regularity of solution of problem (1.1)-(1.4), corresponding to p = q = 2.

I

(3.38)
$$\begin{cases} Lu \equiv u'' - u_{xx} - \varepsilon u''_{xx} + \lambda u' + Ku = F(x,t), \ 0 < x < 1, \ 0 < t < T, \\ L_0 u \equiv \varepsilon u''_x(0,t) + u_x(0,t) - hu(0,t) = g(t), \\ u(1,t) = 0, \\ u(0) = \tilde{u}_0, \ u'(0) = \tilde{u}_1. \end{cases}$$

For this purpose, we also assume that $\varepsilon > 0$, K > 0, $\lambda > 0$, $h \ge 0$. Furthermore, we will impose stronger assumptions. With $r \in N$, we assume that

$$\begin{array}{l} (H_2^{[r]}) \quad \tilde{u}_0, \, \tilde{u}_1 \in V \cap H^{r+2}. \\ (H_3^{[r]}) \quad \text{The function } F \text{ satisfies} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial^{j}F}{\partial t^{j}} \in L^{\infty}(0,T;V \cap H^{r}), & 0 \leq j \leq r, \\ \frac{\partial^{r+1}F}{\partial t^{r+1}} \in L^{1}(0,T;V \cap H^{r}). \end{cases}$$

$$(H_4^{[r]}) \ g \in W^{r+1,1}(0,T), \ r \ge 1.$$

First, we define the sequences $\{\tilde{u}_0^{[k]}\}, \{\tilde{u}_1^{[k]}\}, k = 0, 1, ..., r+2$ by the following recurrent formulas

(3.39)
$$\begin{cases} \tilde{u}_0^{[0]} = \tilde{u}_0, \ \tilde{u}_1^{[0]} = \tilde{u}_1, \\ \tilde{u}_0^{[k]} = \tilde{u}_1^{[k-1]}, \ k \in \{1, 2, ..., r+1\}, \ r \ge 1, \end{cases}$$

where $\tilde{u}_0^{[k]}$ is defined by the following problem

$$(3.40) \quad \begin{cases} -\varepsilon \Delta \tilde{u}_{0}^{[k]} + \tilde{u}_{0}^{[k]} = \frac{\partial^{k-2}F}{\partial t^{k-2}}(\cdot,0) + \Delta \tilde{u}_{0}^{[k-2]} - K \tilde{u}_{0}^{[k-2]} - \lambda \tilde{u}_{1}^{[k-2]} \equiv \Phi^{[k]}, \ 0 < x < 1, \\ \varepsilon \tilde{u}_{0x}^{[k]}(0) = -\tilde{u}_{0x}^{[k-2]}(0) + h \tilde{u}_{0}^{[k-2]}(0) + \frac{d^{k-2}g}{dt^{k-2}}(0) \equiv \Phi_{0}^{[k]}, \ \tilde{u}_{0}^{[k]}(1) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then, we have the following Lemma.

Lemma 3.1. Suppose that $(H_2^{[r]}) - (H_4^{[r]})$ hold. Then problem has a unique weak solution $\tilde{u}_0^{[k]} \in V$. Furthermore, we have $\tilde{u}_0^{[k]} \in V \cap H^{r+2}$, k = 2, 3, ..., r+1.

Proof. The weak solution of problem (3.40) is obtained from the following variational problem.

Find $U \in V$ such that

$$(3.41) a(U,w) = \langle ,w \rangle, \ for all \ w \in V$$

where

(3.42)
$$\begin{cases} a(U,w) = \langle \varepsilon U_x, w_x \rangle + \langle U, w \rangle, \\ \langle, w \rangle = \langle \Phi^{[k]}, w \rangle - \Phi_0^{[k]} w(0). \end{cases}$$

Using the Lax-Milgram's theorem, Problem (3.41) has a unique weak solution $\tilde{u}_0^{[k]} \in V$. We shall prove that

(3.43)
$$\tilde{u}_0^{[k]} \in V \cap H^{r+2}, \ k \in \{1, 2, ..., r+1\}, \ r \ge 1.$$

(i) $k = 1 : \tilde{u}_0^{[1]} = \tilde{u}_1^{[0]} = \tilde{u}_1 \in V \cap H^{r+2}$. (by $(H_2^{[r]})$). (ii) Suppose by induction that $\tilde{u}_0^{[1]}, ..., \tilde{u}_0^{[k-1]} \in V \cap H^{r+2}$ hold. We shall prove that $\tilde{u}_0^{[k]} \in V \cap H^{r+2}$

 $V \cap H^{r+2}$ holds. In fact, by $(H_3^{[r]})$, we have $\frac{\partial^{k-2}F}{\partial t^{k-2}}(\cdot,0) \in V \cap H^r$, $2 \le k \le r+2$. Hence, by induction we obtain

(3.44)
$$\Phi^{[k]} = \frac{\partial^{k-2}F}{\partial t^{k-2}}(\cdot,0) + \Delta \tilde{u}_0^{[k-2]} - K \tilde{u}_0^{[k-2]} - \lambda \tilde{u}_0^{[k-1]} \in V \cap H^r.$$

On the other hand, by $\tilde{u}_0^{[k]} \in V$ and (3.44), we obtain

. .

(3.45)
$$\varepsilon \Delta \tilde{u}_0^{[k]} = \tilde{u}_0^{[k]} - \Phi^{[k]} \in V.$$

Then $\tilde{u}_0^{[k]} \in V \cap H^3$.

Similarly, we have also $\tilde{u}_0^{[k]} \in V \cap H^{2s+1}$, with $s \in \mathbb{N}, 2s-1 \le r < 2s+1$. Then

(3.46)
$$\varepsilon \Delta \tilde{u}_0^{[k]} = \tilde{u}_0^{[k]} - \Phi^{[k]} \in V \cap H^r$$

Thus

$$\tilde{u}_0^{[k]} \in V \cap H^{r+2}$$

Lemma 3.1 is proved completely.

Now, formally differentiating problem (3.38) with respect to time up to order *r* and letting $u^{[r]} = \frac{\partial^r u}{\partial t^r}$ we are led to consider the solution $u^{[r]}$ of problem $(Q^{[r]})$:

(3.48)
$$(Q^{[r]}) \begin{cases} Lu^{[r]} = \frac{\partial^r F}{\partial t^r}(x,t), \quad (x,t) \in Q_T, \\ L_0 u^{[r]} = \frac{d^r g}{dt^r}(t), \quad u^{[r]}(1,t) = 0, \\ u^{[r]}(0) = \tilde{u}_0^{[r]}, \quad u_t^{[r]}(0) = \tilde{u}_1^{[r]}. \end{cases}$$

From the assumptions $(H_2^{[r]}) - (H_4^{[r]})$ we deduce that $\tilde{u}_0^{[r]}$, $\tilde{u}_1^{[r]}$, $\frac{\partial^r F}{\partial t^r}$ and $\frac{d^r g}{dt^r}$ satisfy the conditions of Theorem 3.1. So, the problem $(Q^{[r]})$ has a unique weak solution $u^{[r]}$ such that

(3.49)
$$u^{[r]} \in C^1(0,T;V \cap H^2), \ u^{[r]}_{tt} \in L^{\infty}(0,T;V \cap H^2).$$

Moreover, from the uniqueness of a weak solution we have $u^{[r]} = \frac{\partial^r u}{\partial t^r}$. Hence we deduce from (3.49) that the solution *u* of problem (3.38) satisfy

(3.50)
$$u \in C^{r+1}\left(0,T;V \cap H^2\right), \ \frac{\partial^{r+2}u}{\partial t^{r+2}} \in L^{\infty}\left(0,T;V \cap H^2\right).$$

Next we shall prove by induction on r that

(3.51)
$$u \in C^{r+1}\left(0, T; V \cap H^{r+2}\right), \ \frac{\partial^{r+2}u}{\partial t^{r+2}} \in L^{\infty}(0, T; V \cap H^{r+2}), \ r \ge 1.$$

(i) In the case of r = 1, the proof of (3.51) is easy, hence we omit the details. We only prove with $r \ge 2$.

(ii) Suppose by induction that (3.51) holds for r - 1. i.e.,

(3.52)
$$u \in C^r\left(0,T;V \cap H^{r+1}\right), \ \frac{\partial^{r+1}u}{\partial t^{r+1}} \in L^{\infty}(0,T;V \cap H^{r+1})$$

We need prove that (3.51) holds. To achieve this, we only have to prove that

(3.53)
$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial^{r} u}{\partial t^{r}} \in L^{\infty}(0,T;V \cap H^{r+2}), \\ \frac{\partial^{r+1} u}{\partial t^{r+1}} \in L^{\infty}(0,T;V \cap H^{r+2}), \\ \frac{\partial^{r+2} u}{\partial t^{r+2}} \in L^{\infty}(0,T;V \cap H^{r+2}), r \ge 1 \end{cases}$$

By $(Q^{[r]})_1$, we have

(3.54)
$$\left(u^{[r]} - \varepsilon \Delta u^{[r]}\right)'' - \Delta u^{[r]} + K u^{[r]} + \lambda u_t^{[r]} = \frac{\partial^r F}{\partial t^r}.$$

Put

(3.55)
$$\begin{cases} W = u^{[r]} - \varepsilon \Delta u^{[r]}, \\ \tilde{w}_0 = \tilde{u}_0^{[r]} - \varepsilon \Delta \tilde{u}_0^{[r]}, \\ \tilde{w}_1 = \tilde{u}_1^{[r]} - \varepsilon \Delta \tilde{u}_1^{[r]} = \tilde{u}_0^{[r+1]} - \varepsilon \Delta \tilde{u}_0^{[r+1]}, \end{cases}$$

it follows that

(3.56)
$$\begin{cases} W'' + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}W = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}u^{[r]} - Ku^{[r]} - \lambda u_t^{[r]} + \frac{\partial^r F}{\partial t^r} \equiv \Psi^{[r]} \in L^{\infty}(0,T;V \cap H^r), \\ W(0) = \tilde{w}_0 \in V \cap H^r, \\ W'(0) = \tilde{w}_1 \in V \cap H^r. \end{cases}$$

Thus

(3.57)

$$W(t) = \cos\left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}t\right)\tilde{w}_0 + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\sin\left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}t\right)\tilde{w}_1 + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\int_0^t \sin\left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}(t-s)\right)\Psi^{[r]}(s)ds \in L^{\infty}(0,T;V \cap H^r).$$

By (3.52) and (3.57), it follows that

(3.58)
$$\Delta u^{[r]} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} u^{[r]} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} W \in L^{\infty}(0,T;V \cap H^r).$$

Thus

(3.59)
$$u^{[r]} \in L^{\infty}(0,T;V \cap H^{r+2})$$

On the other hand, by $(3.56)_1$, we obtain

(3.60)
$$W'' = -\frac{1}{\varepsilon}W + \Psi^{[r]} \in L^{\infty}(0,T;V \cap H^r).$$

It follows from (3.49), (3.60) and $r \ge 2$, that

(3.61)
$$\Delta u_{tt}^{[r]} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} u_{tt}^{[r]} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} W'' \in L^{\infty}(0,T;V \cap H^2).$$

Consequently

(3.62)
$$u_{tt}^{[r]} \in L^{\infty}(0,T;V \cap H^4).$$

Similarly, we have also $u_{tt}^{[r]} \in L^{\infty}(0,T;H^{2s})$, with $s \in \mathbb{N}$, $2s - 2 \le r < 2s$. Then

(3.63)
$$\Delta u_{tt}^{[r]} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} u_{tt}^{[r]} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} W'' \in L^{\infty}(0,T;V \cap H^r).$$

So

(3.64)
$$u_{tt}^{[r]} \in L^{\infty}(0,T;V \cap H^{r+2}).$$

On the other hand

(3.65)
$$u_t^{[r]} = \tilde{u}_1^{[r]} + \int_0^t u_{tt}^{[r]}(s) ds \in L^{\infty}(0,T; V \cap H^{r+2})$$

Combining (3.59), (3.64) and (3.65), by induction arguments on *r*, we conclude that (3.51) holds and the following theorem is proved.

Theorem 3.2. Let $(H_2^{[r]}) - (H_4^{[r]})$ hold. Then the unique solution u(x,t) of problem (3.38) satisfies (3.51).

4. Asymptotic behavior of solutions as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0_+$

In this part, we assume that p > 2, q > 1, $\lambda > 0$, K > 0, $h \ge 0$ and $(\tilde{u}_0, \tilde{u}_1, F)$ satisfy the assumptions (H_2) , (H_3) . Let $\varepsilon > 0$. By theorem 2.3, the problem (1.1) - (1.4) has a unique weak solution $u = u_{\varepsilon}$ depending on ε .

We consider the following perturbed problem, where ε is a small parameter: (4.1)

$$(P_{\varepsilon}) \begin{cases} u_{tt} - u_{xx} - \varepsilon u_{xxtt} + \lambda |u_t|^{q-2} u_t + K |u|^{p-2} u = F(x,t), \ 0 < x < 1, \ 0 < t < T, \\ \varepsilon u_{xtt}(0,t) + u_x(0,t) = hu(0,t) + g(t), \ u(1,t) = 0, \\ u(0) = \tilde{u}_0, u'(0) = \tilde{u}_1. \end{cases}$$

We shall study the asymptotic behavior of the solution u_{ε} of problem (P_{ε}) as $\varepsilon \to 0_+$.

Theorem 4.1. Let T > 0, p > 2, q > 1, $\lambda > 0$, K > 0. Let (H_2) , (H_3) hold. Then

(i) The problem (P_0) corresponding to $\varepsilon = 0$ has a unique weak solution \bar{u}_0 satisfying

(4.2)
$$\bar{u}_0 \in L^{\infty}(0,T;V), \ \bar{u}'_0 \in L^{\infty}(0,T;L^2).$$

(ii) If $\bar{u}_0'' \in L^2(0,T;H^2)$, then solution u_{ε} converges strongly in W_T to \bar{u}_0 , as $\varepsilon \to 0_+$, where

(4.3)
$$W_T = \{ v \in L^{\infty}(0,T;V) : v' \in L^{\infty}(0,T;L^2) \}.$$

Furthermore, we have the estimation

(4.4)
$$\|u_{\varepsilon}' - \bar{u}_{0}'\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{2})} + \|u_{\varepsilon} - \bar{u}_{0}\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;V)} \leq C_{T}\sqrt{\varepsilon},$$

where C_T is a posistive constant depending only on T.

Proof. First, we note that if the small parameter $\varepsilon > 0$ satisfy $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ then a priori estimates of the sequence $\{u_m\}$ in the proof of Theorem 2.1 for problem (P_{ε}) satisfy

(4.5)
$$\left\|u'_{m}(t)\right\|^{2} + \left\|u_{mx}(t)\right\|^{2} + \varepsilon \left\|u'_{mx}(t)\right\|^{2} + \left\|u_{m}(t)\right\|_{L^{p}}^{p} + \int_{0}^{t} \left\|u'_{m}(s)\right\|_{L^{q}}^{q} ds \leq C_{T},$$

for all $t \in [0,T]$ and for all m, and C_T is a constant depending only on T, p, q, λ , K, \tilde{u}_0 , \tilde{u}_1 , F (independent of ε). Hence, the limit $u = u_{\varepsilon}$ of the sequence $\{u_m\}$ as $m \to +\infty$, in suitable function spaces is a unique weak solution of problem (P_{ε}) satisfying

(4.6)
$$||u_{\varepsilon}'(t)||^{2} + ||u_{\varepsilon x}(t)||^{2} + \varepsilon ||u_{\varepsilon x}'(t)||^{2} + ||u_{\varepsilon}(t)||_{L^{p}}^{p} + \int_{0}^{t} ||u_{\varepsilon}'(s)||_{L^{q}}^{q} ds \leq C_{T}$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$ and for all $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$.

Let $\{\varepsilon_m\}$ be a sequence such that $\varepsilon_m > 0$, $\varepsilon_m \to 0$ as $m \to +\infty$. We put $u_m = u_{\varepsilon_m}$, we deduce from (4.6) that, there exists a subsequence of the sequence $\{u_m\}$ still denoted by $\{u_m\}$, such that

(4.7)
$$\begin{cases} u_m \to \bar{u}_0 & \text{in } L^{\infty}(0,T;V) \text{ weakly*,} \\ u'_m \to \bar{u}'_0 & \text{in } L^{\infty}(0,T;L^2) \text{ weakly*,} \\ \sqrt{\varepsilon_m}u'_m \to \zeta & \text{in } L^{\infty}(0,T;V) \text{ weakly*,} \\ u_m \to \bar{u}_0 & \text{in } L^{\infty}(0,T;L^p) \text{ weakly*,} \\ u'_m \to \bar{u}'_0 & \text{in } L^q(Q_T) \text{ weakly,} \\ |u_m|^{p-2}u_m \to \chi_0 & \text{in } L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{p'}) \text{ weakly*,} \\ |u'_m|^{p-2}u'_m \to \chi_1 & \text{in } L^{q'}(Q_T) \text{ weakly.} \end{cases}$$

By the compactness lemma of Lions [6, p. 57], $(4.7)_{1,2}$ lead to the existence of a subsequence still denoted by $\{u_m\}$, such that

(4.8)
$$u_m \to \bar{u}_0$$
 strongly in $L^2(Q_T)$ and a.e. in Q_T .

It follows from (4.7)_{2.3}, that $\zeta = 0$. Hence, we obtain from (4.7)₃ that

(4.9)
$$\sqrt{\varepsilon_m} u'_m \to 0 \text{ in } L^{\infty}(0,T;V) \text{ weakly}^*.$$

Similarly

(4.10)
$$|u_m|^{p-2}u_m \to |\bar{u}_0|^{p-2}\bar{u}_0 = \chi_0 \text{ strongly in } L^2(Q_T),$$

and

(4.11)
$$\chi_1 = |\bar{u}_0'|^{q-2} \bar{u}_0'.$$

By passing to the limit, as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we conclude that \bar{u}_0 is a unique weak solution of problem (P_0) corresponding to $\varepsilon = 0$ satisfying

(4.12)
$$\bar{u}_0 \in L^{\infty}(0,T;V), \ \bar{u}'_0 \in L^{\infty}(0,T;L^2)$$

(ii) Put $u = u_{\varepsilon} - \bar{u}_0$, then u is the weak solution of the following problem

(4.13)
$$\begin{cases} u'' - \Delta u - \varepsilon \Delta u'' + \lambda \left(|u_{\varepsilon}'|^{q-2} u_{\varepsilon}' - |\bar{u}_{0}'|^{q-2} \bar{u}_{0}' \right) + K \left(|u_{\varepsilon}|^{p-2} u_{\varepsilon} - |\bar{u}_{0}|^{p-2} \bar{u}_{0} \right) \\ = \varepsilon \Delta \bar{u}_{0}'', \ 0 < x < 1, \ 0 < t < T, \\ \varepsilon u_{x}''(0,t) + u_{x}(0,t) = hu(0,t) - \varepsilon \bar{u}_{0}''(0,t), \ u(1,t) = 0, \\ u(0) = u'(0) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Using again Lemma 2.3, in a manner similar to the above part, we obtain

(4.14)
$$\sigma(t) = 2\varepsilon \int_0^t \left\langle \Delta \bar{u}_0'', u'(s) \right\rangle ds + 2\varepsilon \int_0^t \bar{u}_{0x}''(0,s) u'(0,s) ds$$
$$-2K \int_0^t \left\langle |u_\varepsilon|^{p-2} u_\varepsilon - |\bar{u}_0|^{p-2} \bar{u}_0, u'(s) \right\rangle ds,$$

where

(4.15)
$$\sigma(t) = \|u'(t)\|^2 + \varepsilon \|u'_x(t)\|^2 + \|u_x(t)\|^2 + hu^2(0,t) + 2\lambda \int_0^t \left\langle |u'_{\varepsilon}|^{q-2} u'_{\varepsilon} - |\bar{u}'_0|^{q-2} \bar{u}'_0, u'(s) \right\rangle ds.$$

Note that

(4.16)
$$\begin{cases} \int_0^t \left\langle |u_{\varepsilon}'|^{q-2} u_{\varepsilon}' - |\bar{u}_0'|^{q-2} \bar{u}_0', u'(s) \right\rangle ds \ge 0, \\ \sigma(t) \ge \varepsilon \|u_x'(t)\|^2, \\ \sigma(t) \ge \|u'(t)\|^2 + \|u_x(t)\|^2 \ge 2 \|u_x(t)\| \|u'(t)\| \end{cases}$$

By (2.45), (4.6), (4.16), we estimate all terms in the right – hand side of (4.14) as follows

(4.17)

$$2\varepsilon \int_{0}^{t} \langle \Delta \bar{u}_{0}''(s), u'(s) \rangle ds \leq 2\varepsilon \int_{0}^{t} \left\| \Delta \bar{u}_{0}''(s) \right\| \left\| u'(s) \right\| ds$$

$$\leq 2\varepsilon \int_{0}^{t} \left\| \bar{u}_{0}''(s) \right\|_{H^{2}} \left\| u'(s) \right\| ds \leq \varepsilon^{2} \int_{0}^{t} \left\| \bar{u}_{0}''(s) \right\|_{H^{2}}^{2} ds + \int_{0}^{t} \left\| u'(s) \right\|^{2} ds$$

$$\leq \varepsilon^{2} \left\| \bar{u}_{0}'' \right\|_{L^{2}(0,T;H^{2})}^{2} + \int_{0}^{t} \sigma(s) ds;$$

$$2\varepsilon \int_0^t \bar{u}_{0x}''(0,s)u'(0,s)ds \le 2\sqrt{2}\varepsilon \int_0^t \left\|\bar{u}_{0x}''(s)\right\|_{H^1} \left\|u_x'(s)\right\| ds \le 2\sqrt{2}\varepsilon \int_0^t \left\|\bar{u}_0''(s)\right\|_{H^2} \left\|u_x'(s)\right\|_{H^2} \left\|u_x'($$

$$\leq 2\varepsilon \int_{0}^{t} \left\| \bar{u}_{0}^{\prime\prime}(s) \right\|_{H^{2}}^{2} ds + \varepsilon \int_{0}^{t} \left\| u_{x}^{\prime}(s) \right\|^{2} ds \leq 2\varepsilon \left\| \bar{u}_{0}^{\prime\prime} \right\|_{L^{2}(0,T;H^{2})}^{2} + \int_{0}^{t} \sigma(s) ds;$$

$$- 2K \int_{0}^{t} \left\langle |u_{\varepsilon}|^{p-2} u_{\varepsilon} - |\bar{u}_{0}|^{p-2} \bar{u}_{0}, u^{\prime}(s) \right\rangle ds \leq 2K(p-1)C_{T}^{p-2} \int_{0}^{t} \left\| u(s) \right\| \left\| u^{\prime}(s) \right\| ds$$

$$(4.19) \leq K(p-1)C_{T}^{p-2} \int_{0}^{t} \sigma(s) ds.$$

Combining (4.14), (4.17)-(4.19), it implies that

(4.20)
$$\sigma(t) \le 3\varepsilon \left\| \bar{u}_0'' \right\|_{L^2(0,T;H^2)}^2 + \left[2 + K(p-1)C_T^{p-2} \right] \int_0^t \sigma(s) ds.$$

By Gronwall's lemma, (4.20) leads to

(4.21)
$$\sigma(t) \le 3\varepsilon \|\bar{u}_0''\|_{L^2(0,T;H^2)}^2 \exp(T\left[2 + K(p-1)C_T^{p-2}\right]) \equiv \bar{C}_T\varepsilon, \, \forall t \in [0,T].$$

Hence

(4.22)
$$\|u_{\varepsilon}' - \bar{u}_{0}'\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{2})} + \|u_{\varepsilon} - \bar{u}_{0}\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;H^{1})} \leq C_{T}\sqrt{\varepsilon}$$

where C_T is a constant depending only on *T*. Theorem 4.1 is proved completely.

Acknowledgement. The authors wish to express their sincere thanks to the referees for their valuable comments. The authors are also extremely grateful to Vietnam National University Ho Chi Minh City for the encouragement.

References

- D. D. Ang and A. Pham Ngoc Dinh, Mixed problem for some semilinear wave equation with a nonhomogeneous condition, *Nonlinear Anal.* 12 (1988), no. 6, 581–592.
- [2] E. A. Coddington and N. Levinson, *Theory of Ordinary Differential Equations*, McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., New York, 1955.
- [3] A. Chattopadhyay, S. Gupta, A. K. Singh and S. A. Sahu, Propagation of shear waves in an irregular magnetoelastic monoclinic layer sandwiched between two isotropic half-spaces, *Internat. J. Engineering Sci. Tech.* 1 (2009), no. 1, 228–244.
- [4] S. Dutta, On the propagation of Love type waves in an infinite cylinder with rigidity and density varying linearly with the radial distance, *Pure Appl. Geophysics* 98 (1972), no. 1, 35–39.
- [5] J.-L. Lions and W. A. Strauss, Some non-linear evolution equations, Bull. Soc. Math. France 93 (1965), 43–96.
- [6] J.-L. Lions, Quelques méthodes de résolution des problèmes aux limites non linéaires, Dunod, 1969.
- [7] M. K. Paul, On propagation of love-type waves on a spherical model with rigidity and density both varying exponentially with the radial distance, *Pure Appl. Geophysics* 59 (1964), no. 1, 33–37.
- [8] V. Radochová, Remark to the comparison of solution properties of Love's equation with those of wave equation, Apl. Mat. 23 (1978), no. 3, 199–207.

1016

(