ESSAY MARKING RUBRIC

ASSESSMENT CRITERIA	WEIGHTAGE	POOR	AVERAGE	GOOD	EXCELLENT	MAXIMUM MARK
		0	1 / 1-3	2 / 4-6	3 / 7-9	
Title (Interesting and relevant)	10	Uninteresting and too general	Interesting, but too general	Interesting and specific	Interesting, specific, unique, reflective of an impressive research	3
Descriptive (Sufficient and relevant data)	30	Insufficient	Sufficient, but there are veracity issues with some data	Sufficient data collected with no veracity issues	Sufficient, veridical, relevant, and interconnected data	9
Organisation of text	10	Chaotic	Organized, but too few sections	Organized and adequate number of sections	Organized structure with coherently connected sections	3
Scholarly level of analysis	30	Devoid of analysis - solely descriptive	Shallow analysis	Suitable and sufficient analysis	Profound analysis with sophisticated and balanced arguments	9
Citation format	10	Absolutely no citations	Has citations, but there are sources used without being cited	Good citation format, but the list is a bit thin	Good format, consistent and possessing adequate and suitable resources	3
Spelling and grammar	10	Many mistakes in spelling and grammar	Few mistakes in spelling and grammar	Accuracy of spelling and grammar	No mistakes, with an impressive and scholarly writing style	3
Total	100					30

ORAL PRESENTATION MARKING RUBRIC

ASSESSMENT CRITERIA	WEIGHTAGE	POOR	AVERAGE	GOOD	EXCELLENT	MAXIMUM MARK
		0	1 / 1-2 / 1-3	2 / 3-4 / 4-6	3 / 5-6 / 7-9	
Title (Interesting and relevant)	10	Uninteresting and too general	Interesting, but too general	Interesting and specific	Interesting, specific, unique, reflective of an impressive research	3
Visual appeal and organisation of presentation slides	20	No visual appeal at all	Sufficiently appealing, but there are issues with slides organisation	Interesting and appealing to the audience	High visual appeal with good slides organisation	6
Delivery skills	30	No visible interest in the work	Average delivery	Clear and coherent delivery	Clear and coherent delivery, able to sustain the audience's interest	9
Content (Accurately reflective of research output)	20	Many irrelevant data	Fairly reflective of research output, but there are some unclear information presented	Covered most of the information from the research output	Comprehensive, clear, and well-organised delivery of information	6
Group dynamics	20	No cooperation among members of the group	Every group member participates, but there are one or two who only read aloud from the text	Clearly visible that adequate preparations are put into this activity	Cooperative group work aimed at delivering their research in a well-organised and interesting manner	6
Total	**100**					**30**