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#### Abstract

In this paper, we introduce a new subclass of harmonic univalent functions of complex order defined by convolution which includes several well known subclasses of harmonic univalent functions as well as various new ones. We also derive the coefficient inequality, extreme points, distortion theorem, convolution conditions and convex combination for this class.
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## 1. Introduction

Clunie and Sheil-Small [5] investigated the class $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{H}}$, consisting of complex-valued harmonic sense-preserving univalent functions $f$ in a simply connected domain $\mathrm{D} \subseteq \mathrm{C}$ defined on the open unit disc $\Delta=\{\mathrm{z}:|\mathrm{z}|<1\}$ and normalized by $\mathrm{f}(0)=\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{z}}(0)-1=0$.

Each function $f \in S_{H}$ can be expressed as $f=h+\bar{g}$ where $h$ and $g$ are analytic in D and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{z})=\mathrm{z}+\sum_{\mathrm{n}=2}^{\infty} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{n}}, \quad \mathrm{~g}(\mathrm{z})=\sum_{\mathrm{n}=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{n}}, \quad\left|\mathrm{~b}_{1}\right|<1 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The work initiated by Clunie and Sheil-Small on the class $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{H}}$ formed the basis for several related papers on $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{H}}$ and its subclasses (see for example Ahuja [1] and Duren [6]).

In this note, we introduce a new subclass $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{H}}(\phi, \psi, b, \lambda, \beta)$ of $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{H}}$ consisting of functions $\mathrm{f}=\mathrm{h}+\overline{\mathrm{g}} \in \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{H}}$ that satisfy the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{z})^{*} \phi(\mathrm{z})-\overline{\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{z})^{*} \psi(\mathrm{z})}}{\mathrm{z}^{\prime}[(1-\lambda) \mathrm{z}+\lambda(\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{z})+\overline{\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{z})})]}\right\}>1-\beta|\mathrm{b}| . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

or equivalently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{1}{\mathrm{~b}}\left[\frac{\mathrm{~h}(\mathrm{z})^{*} \phi(\mathrm{z})-\overline{\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{z})^{*} \psi(\mathrm{z})}}{\mathrm{z}^{\prime}[(1-\lambda) \mathrm{z}+\lambda(\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{z})+\overline{\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{z})})]}-1\right]\right|<\beta \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0<\beta \leq 1,0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, $b$, a non-zero complex number with $|\mathfrak{b}| \leq 1$, $\phi(\mathrm{z})=\mathrm{z}+\sum_{\mathrm{n}=2}^{\infty} \lambda_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{n}}$ and $\psi(\mathrm{z})=\mathrm{z}+\sum_{\mathrm{n}=2}^{\infty} \mu_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{n}}$ are analytic in $\Delta$ with the conditions $\lambda_{\mathrm{n}} \geq$ $0, \mu_{\mathrm{n}} \geq 0$ and $z^{\prime}=\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\left(\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{re}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right), 0 \leq \mathrm{r}<1,0 \leq \theta \leq 2 \pi$. The operator ' $*$ ' stands for the Hadamard product or convolution of two power series.

We further let $\mathrm{S}_{\overline{\mathrm{H}}}(\phi, \psi, b, \lambda, \beta)$ denote the subclass of $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{H}}(\phi, \psi, b, \lambda, \beta)$ consisting of functions $f=h+\bar{g} \in S_{H}$ such that $h$ and $g$ are of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{z})=\mathrm{z}-\sum_{\mathrm{n}=2}^{\infty}\left|\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}}\right| \mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{n}}, \quad \mathrm{~g}(\mathrm{z})=\sum_{\mathrm{n}=1}^{\infty}\left|\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n}}\right| \mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{n}}, \quad\left|\mathrm{~b}_{1}\right|<1 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Remark 1.1.

$\mathrm{S}_{\overline{\mathrm{H}}}(\phi, \psi, 1,1, \beta)=\mathrm{TS}_{\mathrm{H}}(\phi, \psi, 1-\beta)[7]$.
$\mathrm{S}_{\overline{\mathrm{H}}}\left(\frac{\mathrm{z}}{(1-\mathrm{z})^{2}}, \frac{\mathrm{z}}{(1-\mathrm{z})^{2}}, 1,1, \beta\right)=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{H}}(1-\beta)[8]$.
Different subclasses of harmonic univalent functions based on convolution have been studied by several authors (see [2, 3, 4, 9]).

In this paper, we obtain coefficient bounds, extreme points and distortion bounds for functions in $\mathrm{S}_{\overline{\mathrm{H}}}(\phi, \psi, b, \lambda, \beta)$.

## 2 Coefficient Bounds

We now obtain a sufficient coefficient condition for harmonic functions in $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{H}}(\phi, \psi, \mathrm{b}$, $\lambda, \beta)$.

## Theorem 2.1.

Let $\mathrm{f}=\mathrm{h}+\overline{\mathrm{g}}$, where h and g are given by (1.1). If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\left[\lambda_{n}-\lambda(1-\beta|b|)\right]}{\beta|b|}\left|a_{n}\right|+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left[\mu_{n}+\lambda(1-\beta|b|)\right]}{\beta|b|}\left|b_{n}\right| \leq 1 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0<\beta \leq 1,0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, $b$, a non-zero complex number with $|\mathrm{b}| \leq 1$, $\phi(\mathrm{z})=\mathrm{z}+\sum_{\mathrm{n}=2}^{\infty} \lambda_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{n}}, \psi(\mathrm{z})=\mathrm{z}+\sum_{\mathrm{n}=2}^{\infty} \mu_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{n}}$ are analytic in $\Delta$ with the conditions $\lambda_{\mathrm{n}} \geq 0, \mu_{\mathrm{n}}$ $\geq 0, z^{\prime}=\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\left(z=r e^{i \theta}\right), 0 \leq r<1,0 \leq \theta \leq 2 \pi$ and $n \beta|b| \leq\left[\lambda_{n}-\lambda(1-\beta|b|)\right] \leq\left[\mu_{n}+\lambda(1-\beta\right.$ $|\mathrm{b}|)]$ then f is harmonic univalent in $\Delta$ and $\mathrm{f} \in \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{H}}(\phi, \psi, \mathrm{b}, \lambda, \beta)$.

## Proof.

We first note that f is locally univalent and sense preserving in $\Delta$. This is because for $|\mathrm{b}| \leq 1$ and from the hypothesis of Theorem 2.1,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{h}^{\prime}(\mathrm{z}) & \geq 1-\sum_{\mathrm{n}=2}^{\infty} \mathrm{n}\left|\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}}\right| \mathrm{r}^{\mathrm{n}-1}>1-\sum_{\mathrm{n}=2}^{\infty} \mathrm{n}\left|\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}}\right| \\
& \geq 1-\sum_{\mathrm{n}=2}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{\mathrm{n}}-\lambda(1-\beta|\mathrm{b}|)}{\beta|\mathrm{b}|}\left|\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}}\right| \\
& \geq \sum_{\mathrm{n}=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_{\mathrm{n}}+\lambda(1-\beta|\mathrm{b}|)}{\beta|\mathrm{b}|}\left|\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n}}\right| \\
& \geq \sum_{\mathrm{n}=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{nb}_{\mathrm{n}}>\sum_{\mathrm{n}=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{n}\left|\mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{n}}\right| \mathrm{r}^{\mathrm{n}-1} \geq\left|\mathrm{g}^{\prime}(\mathrm{z})\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

To show that f is univalent in $\Delta$, we show that $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{z}_{1}\right) \neq \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{z}_{2}\right)$ whenever $\mathrm{z}_{1} \neq \mathrm{z}_{2}$. Suppose $z_{1}, z_{2} \in \Delta$ so that $z_{1} \neq z_{2}$. Since the unit disc $\Delta$ is simply connected and convex, we have $\mathrm{z}(\mathrm{t})=(1-\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{z}_{1}+\mathrm{t} \mathrm{z}_{2}$ in $\Delta$ where $0 \leq \mathrm{t} \leq 1$. Then we write

$$
\left.\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{z}_{2}\right)-\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{z}_{1}\right)=\int_{0}^{1}\left[\left(\mathrm{z}_{2}-\mathrm{z}_{1}\right) \mathrm{h}^{\prime}(\mathrm{z}(\mathrm{t}))+\overline{\left(\mathrm{z}_{2}-\mathrm{z}_{1}\right) \mathrm{g}^{\prime}(\mathrm{z}(\mathrm{t})}\right)\right] \mathrm{dt} .
$$

On dividing throughout by $z_{2}-z_{1} \neq 0$ and taking only the real parts, we obtain

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
\operatorname{Re} \frac{\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{z}_{2}\right)-\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{z}_{1}\right)}{\mathrm{z}_{2}-\mathrm{z}_{1}}
\end{array}=\int_{0}^{1} \operatorname{Re}\left[\mathrm{~h}^{\prime}(\mathrm{z}(\mathrm{t}))+\frac{\overline{\mathrm{z}_{2}-\mathrm{z}_{1}}}{\mathrm{z}_{2}-\mathrm{z}_{1}} \overline{\mathrm{~g}^{\prime}(\mathrm{z}(\mathrm{t}))}\right] \mathrm{dt}\right] \text { } \quad \begin{gathered}
1 \\
>\int_{0}^{1}\left[\operatorname{Re} \mathrm{~h}^{\prime}(\mathrm{z}(\mathrm{t}))-\left|\mathrm{g}^{\prime}(\mathrm{z}(\mathrm{t}))\right| \mathrm{dt}\right. \tag{2.2}
\end{gathered}
$$

On the other hand

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Reh}^{\prime}(z)-\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right| & \geq \operatorname{Reh}^{\prime}(z)-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\left|b_{n}\right| \geq 1-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n\left|a_{n}\right|-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\left|b_{n}\right| \\
& \geq 1-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\left[\lambda_{n}-\lambda(1-\beta|b|)\right]}{\beta|b|}\left|a_{n}\right|-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left[\mu_{n}+\lambda(1-\beta|b|)\right]}{\beta|b|}\left|b_{n}\right| \\
& \geq 0, \quad \text { by }(2.1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore this together with inequality (2.2) implies the univalence of f. Next, we show that $\mathrm{f} \in \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{H}}(\phi, \psi, \mathrm{b}, \lambda, \beta)$. To do so, we need to show that when (2.1) holds then (1.2) also holds true.

Using the fact that $\operatorname{Re} \omega>\delta$ if and only if $|1-\delta+\omega|>|1+\delta-\omega|$, it suffices to
show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mid(1+\beta \mid b)\left[z^{\prime}((1-\lambda) z+\lambda(h(z)+\overline{g(z)}))\right]+\left[\left[h(z)^{*} \phi(z)-\overline{g(z)^{*} \psi(z)}\right]-z^{\prime}[(1-\lambda) z+\lambda(h(z)+\overline{g(z)}]] \mid\right. \\
& -\mid(1-\beta \mid b)\left[z^{\prime}((1-\lambda) z+\lambda(h(z)+\overline{g(z)}))\right]-\left[\left[h(z)^{*} \phi(z)-\overline{g(z)^{*} \psi(z)}-z^{\prime}[(1-\lambda) z+\lambda(h(z)+\overline{g(z)})]\right]\right. \\
& >0 \\
& \text { Now, }
\end{aligned}
$$

This last expression is non-negative by (2.1), which completes the proof of the theorem.

The harmonic univalent functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\beta|b|}{\left[\lambda_{n}-\lambda(1-\beta \mid b)\right]} X_{n} z^{n}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta|b|}{\left[\mu_{n}+\lambda(1-\beta|b|)\right]} \overline{Y_{n} z^{n}}, \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left|X_{n}\right|+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|Y_{n}\right|=1$, shows that the coefficient bound given by (2.1) is sharp. This is because

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\left[\lambda_{n}-\lambda(1-\beta|b|)\right]}{\beta|b|}\left|a_{n}\right|+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left[\mu_{n}+\lambda(1-\beta|b|)\right]}{\beta|b|}\left|b_{n}\right| \\
& =\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\left[\lambda_{n}-\lambda(1-\beta|b|)\right]}{\beta|b|} \frac{\beta|b|}{\left[\lambda_{n}-\lambda(1-\beta \mid b)\right]}\left|X_{n}\right|+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left[\mu_{n}+\lambda(1-\beta|b|)\right]}{\beta|b|} \frac{\beta|b|}{\left[\mu_{n}+\lambda(1-\beta \mid b)\right]}\left|Y_{n}\right| \\
& =\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left|X_{n}\right|+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|Y_{n}\right|=1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

We next show that the condition (2.1) is also necessary for functions of the form (1.4) to be in the class $S_{\bar{H}}(\phi, \psi, b, \lambda, \beta)$.

## Theorem 2.2.

Let $\mathrm{f}=\mathrm{h}+\overline{\mathrm{g}}$, where h and g are given by (1.4), then $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{z}) \in \mathrm{S}_{\overline{\mathrm{H}}}(\phi, \psi, \mathrm{b}, \lambda, \beta)$ if and
only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\mathrm{n}=2}^{\infty} \frac{\left[\lambda_{\mathrm{n}}-\lambda(1-\beta|\mathrm{b}|)\right]}{\beta|\mathrm{b}|}\left|\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}}\right|+\sum_{\mathrm{n}=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left[\mu_{\mathrm{n}}+\lambda(1-\beta|\mathrm{b}|)\right]}{\beta|\mathrm{b}|}\left|\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n}}\right| \leq 1 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0<\beta \leq 1,0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, b , a non-zero complex number with $|\mathrm{b}| \leq 1$, $\phi(\mathrm{z})=\mathrm{z}+\sum_{\mathrm{n}=2}^{\infty} \lambda_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{n}}, \psi(\mathrm{z})=\mathrm{z}+\sum_{\mathrm{n}=2}^{\infty} \mu_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{n}}$ are analytic in $\Delta$ with the conditions $\lambda_{\mathrm{n}} \geq 0, \mu_{\mathrm{n}}$ $\geq 0, z^{\prime}=\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\left(z=r e^{i \theta}\right), 0 \leq r<1,0 \leq \theta \leq 2 \pi$ and $n \beta|b| \leq\left[\lambda_{n}-\lambda(1-\beta|b|)\right] \leq\left[\mu_{n}+\lambda(1-\beta\right.$ $|b|)]$ and $\left|b_{n}\right|>\left|a_{n}\right|$, for every $n \geq 2$.

## Proof.

Since $\mathrm{S}_{\overline{\mathrm{H}}}(\phi, \psi, \mathrm{b}, \lambda, \beta) \subset \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{H}}(\phi, \psi, \mathrm{b}, \lambda, \beta)$, we only need to prove the only if part. We show that if (2.5) does not hold then f is not in $\mathrm{S}_{\overline{\mathrm{H}}}(\phi, \psi, b, \lambda, \beta)$. Let $\mathrm{f} \in$ $\mathrm{S}_{\overline{\mathrm{H}}}(\phi, \psi, b, \lambda, \beta)$ then from (1.2), we have

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{z})^{*} \phi(\mathrm{z})-\overline{\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{z})^{*} \psi(\mathrm{z})}}{\mathrm{z}^{\prime}[(1-\lambda) \mathrm{z}+\lambda(\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{z})+\overline{\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{z})})]}\right\}>1-\beta|\mathrm{b}|,
$$

equivalently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{z}) * \phi(\mathrm{z})-\overline{\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{z})^{*} \psi(\mathrm{z})}-(1-\beta|\mathrm{b}|)\left[\mathrm{z}^{\prime}[(1-\lambda) \mathrm{z}+\lambda(\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{z})+\overline{\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{z})})]\right]}{\mathrm{z}^{\prime}[(1-\lambda) \mathrm{z}+\lambda(\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{z})+\overline{\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{z})})]}\right\} \\
& \geq \operatorname{Re} \frac{\beta|\mathrm{b}| \mathrm{z}-\sum_{\mathrm{n}=2}^{\infty}\left[\lambda_{\mathrm{n}}-\lambda(1-\beta|\mathrm{b}|)\right]\left|\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}}\right| \mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{n}}-\sum_{\mathrm{n}=1}^{\infty}\left[\mu_{\mathrm{n}}+\lambda(1-\beta \mid \mathrm{b})\right]\left|\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n}}\right| \overline{\mathrm{z}}^{n}}{\mathrm{z}-\sum_{\mathrm{n}=2}^{\infty} \lambda\left|\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}}\right| \mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{n}}+\sum_{\mathrm{n}=1}^{\infty} \lambda\left|\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n}}\right| \overline{\mathrm{z}}^{\mathrm{n}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\geq 0
$$

The above condition must hold for all values of $\mathrm{z},|\mathrm{z}|=\mathrm{r}<1$ and any b such that 0 $<|\mathrm{b}|<1$. Choose z to be in the positive real axis where $\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{r}<1$. Thus the above condition becomes for $\left|\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n}}\right|>\left|\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}}\right|$, for every $\mathrm{n} \geq 2$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\beta|b|-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left[\lambda_{n}-\lambda(1-\beta|b|)\right]\left|a_{n}\right| r^{n-1}-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[\mu_{n}+\lambda(1-\beta|b|)\right]\left|b_{n}\right| r^{n-1}}{1-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \lambda\left|a_{n}\right| r^{n-1}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda\left|b_{n}\right| r^{n-1}} \geq 0 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the condition (2.5) does not hold, then the numerator in (2.6) is negative for $r \rightarrow$ 1. This contradicts (2.6). Hence the proof is complete.

## 3 Distortion Bounds

In this section, distortion bounds for the class $\mathrm{S}_{\overline{\mathrm{H}}}(\phi, \psi, b, \lambda, \beta)$ are obtained.

## Theorem 3.1.

Let the function $f(z)$ of the form (1.4) be in the class $S_{\bar{H}}(\phi, \psi, b, \lambda, \beta)$. Then for $|z|=r$ $<1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{z})| \leq\left(1+\left|\mathrm{b}_{1}\right|\right) \mathrm{r}+\left[\frac{\beta|\mathrm{b}|}{\lambda_{2}-\lambda(1-\beta|\mathrm{b}|)}-\frac{\mu_{1}+\lambda(1-\beta|\mathrm{b}|)}{\lambda_{2}-\lambda(1-\beta|\mathrm{b}|)}\left|\mathrm{b}_{1}\right|\right] \mathrm{r}^{2} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(z)| \geq\left(1-\left|b_{1}\right|\right) r-\left[\frac{\beta|b|}{\lambda_{2}-\lambda(1-\beta|b|)}-\frac{\mu_{1}+\lambda(1-\beta|b|)}{\lambda_{2}-\lambda(1-\beta|b|)}\left|b_{1}\right|\right] r^{2} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equalities in (3.1) and (3.2) are attained for the function $f(z)$ is given by

$$
f(z)=\left(1+\left|b_{1}\right|\right) \bar{z}+\left[\frac{\beta|b|}{\lambda_{2}-\lambda(1-\beta|b|)}-\frac{\mu_{1}+\lambda(1-\beta|b|)}{\lambda_{2}-\lambda(1-\beta|b|)}\left|b_{1}\right|\right] \bar{z}^{2}
$$

and

$$
f(z)=\left(1-\left|b_{1}\right|\right) \bar{z}-\left[\frac{\beta|b|}{\lambda_{2}-\lambda(1-\beta|b|)}-\frac{\mu_{1}+\lambda(1-\beta|b|)}{\lambda_{2}-\lambda(1-\beta|b|)}\left|b_{1}\right|\right] \bar{z}^{2} .
$$

## Proof.

Let $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{z}) \in \mathrm{S}_{\overline{\mathrm{H}}}(\phi, \psi, \mathrm{b}, \lambda, \beta)$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|f(z)| & \leq\left(1+\left|b_{1}\right|\right) r+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left(\left|a_{n}\right|+\left|b_{n}\right|\right) r^{n} \\
& \leq\left(1+\left|b_{1}\right|\right) r+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left(\left|a_{n}\right|+\left|b_{n}\right|\right) r^{2} \\
& \leq\left(1+\left|b_{1}\right|\right) r+\frac{\beta|b|}{\lambda_{2}-\lambda(1-\beta|b|)} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\left[\lambda_{2}-\lambda(1-\beta|b|)\right]}{\beta|b|}\left|a_{n}\right|+\frac{\left[\lambda_{2}-\lambda(1-\beta|b|)\right]}{\beta|b|}\left|b_{n}\right|\right) r^{2} \\
& \leq\left(1+\left|b_{1}\right|\right) r+\frac{\beta|b|}{\lambda_{2}-\lambda(1-\beta|b|)} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\left[\lambda_{2}-\lambda(1-\beta|b|)\right]}{\beta|b|}\left|a_{n}\right|+\frac{\left[\mu_{n}+\lambda(1-\beta|b|)\right]}{\beta|b|}\left|b_{n}\right|\right) r^{2} \\
& \leq\left(1+\left|b_{1}\right|\right) r+\frac{\beta|b|}{\lambda_{2}-\lambda(1-\beta|b|)}\left(1-\frac{\left[\mu_{1}+\lambda(1-\beta|b|)\right]}{\beta|b|}\left|b_{1}\right|\right) r^{2}, \quad b y(2.5) \\
& \leq\left(1+\left|b_{1}\right|\right) r+\left(\frac{\beta|b|}{\lambda_{2}-\lambda(1-\beta|b|)}-\frac{\left[\mu_{1}+\lambda(1-\beta|b|)\right]}{\lambda_{2}-\lambda(1-\beta|b|)}\left|b_{1}\right|\right) r^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The lower bound can be similarly proved.

## 4 Extreme Points

In this section, we determine the extreme points of the closed convex hull clco $\mathrm{S}_{\overline{\mathrm{H}}}(\phi, \psi, b, \lambda, \beta)$ of $\mathrm{S}_{\overline{\mathrm{H}}}(\phi, \psi, b, \lambda, \beta)$.

## Theorem 4.1.

Let $f(z)$ be given by (1.4). Then $f \in S_{\bar{H}}(\phi, \psi, b, \lambda, \beta)$ if and only if
$f(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(X_{n} h_{n}(z)+Y_{n} g_{n}(z)\right)$, where $h_{1}(z)=z, h_{n}(z)=z-\frac{\beta|b|}{\lambda_{n}-\lambda(1-\beta|b|)} z^{n}, n \geq 2$
and $g_{n}(z)=z+\frac{\beta|b|}{\mu_{n}+\lambda(1-\beta|b|} \bar{z}^{n}, n \geq 1, X_{n} \geq 0, Y_{n} \geq 0, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(X_{n}+Y_{n}\right)=1$.

## Proof.

Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{z}) & =\sum_{\mathrm{n}=1}^{\infty}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{z})+\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{z})\right) \\
& =\sum_{\mathrm{n}=1}^{\infty}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}+\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \mathrm{z}-\sum_{\mathrm{n}=2}^{\infty} \frac{\beta|\mathrm{b}|}{\lambda_{\mathrm{n}}-\lambda(1-\beta|\mathrm{b}|)} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{n}}+\sum_{\mathrm{n}=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta|\mathrm{b}|}{\mu_{\mathrm{n}}+\lambda(1-\beta|\mathrm{b}|)} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{n}} \overline{\mathrm{Z}}^{\mathrm{n}} \\
\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{z}) & =\mathrm{z}-\sum_{\mathrm{n}=2}^{\infty} \frac{\beta|\mathrm{b}|}{\lambda_{\mathrm{n}}-\lambda(1-\beta|\mathrm{b}|)} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{n}}+\sum_{\mathrm{n}=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta|\mathrm{b}|}{\mu_{\mathrm{n}}+\lambda(1-\beta|\mathrm{b}|)} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{n}} \overline{\mathrm{z}}^{\mathrm{n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

From (2.5), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\left[\lambda_{n}-\lambda(1-\beta|b|)\right]}{\beta|b|}\left|a_{n}\right|+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left[\mu_{n}+\lambda(1-\beta|b|)\right]}{\beta|b|}\left|b_{n}\right| \\
& =\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\left[\lambda_{n}-\lambda(1-\beta|b|)\right]}{\beta|b|} \frac{\beta|b|}{\left[\lambda_{n}-\lambda(1-\beta|b|)\right]} X_{n}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left[\mu_{n}+\lambda(1-\beta|b|)\right]}{\beta|b|} \frac{\beta|b|}{\left[\mu_{n}+\lambda(1-\beta|b|)\right]} Y_{n} \\
& =\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} X_{n}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Y_{n}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(X_{n}+Y_{n}\right)-X_{1} \leq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

then $\mathrm{f} \in \mathrm{S}_{\overline{\mathrm{H}}}(\phi, \psi, \mathrm{b}, \lambda, \beta)$.
Conversely, if $\mathrm{f} \in \mathrm{S}_{\overline{\mathrm{H}}}(\phi, \psi, \mathrm{b}, \lambda, \beta)$, then

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
X_{n}=\frac{\left[\lambda_{n}-\lambda(1-\beta|b|)\right]}{\beta|b|}\left|a_{n}\right|, & n \geq 2 \quad \text { and } \\
Y_{n}=\frac{\left[\mu_{n}+\lambda(1-\beta|b|)\right]}{\beta|b|}\left|b_{n}\right|, & n \geq 1
\end{array}
$$

where $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(X_{n}+Y_{n}\right)=1$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(z)=h(z)+\overline{g(z)} & =z-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left|a_{n}\right| z^{n}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|b_{n}\right| \bar{z}^{n} \\
& =z-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\beta|b|}{\left[\lambda_{n}-\lambda(1-\beta|b|)\right]} X_{n} z^{n}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta|b|}{\left.\mu_{n}+\lambda(1-\beta|b|)\right]} Y_{n} \bar{z}^{n} \\
& =z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left(h_{n}(z)-z\right) X_{n}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(g_{n}(z)-z\right) Y_{n} \\
& =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(X_{n} h_{n}(z)+Y_{n} g_{n}(z)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 4.1.
Now, we prove that the class $\mathrm{S}_{\overline{\mathrm{H}}}(\phi, \psi, \mathrm{b}, \lambda, \beta)$ is closed under convex combinations.

Theorem 4.2.
Let $0 \leq t_{i} \leq 1$ for $\mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots$ and $\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}=1$. If the functions $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{z})$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{z})=\mathrm{z}-\sum_{\mathrm{n}=2}^{\infty}\left|\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{i}}\right| \mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{n}}+\sum_{\mathrm{n}=1}^{\infty}\left|\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{i}}\right|^{\mathrm{z}}, \quad(\mathrm{z} \in \Delta, \mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

are in the class $\mathrm{S}_{\overline{\mathrm{H}}}(\phi, \psi, \mathrm{b}, \lambda, \beta)$, then $\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{z})$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{z})=\mathrm{z}-\sum_{\mathrm{n}=2}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{i}}\left|\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{i}}\right|\right) \mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{n}}+\sum_{\mathrm{n}=1}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}\left|\mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{i}}\right|\right) \bar{z}^{\mathrm{n}} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is in the class $\mathrm{S}_{\overline{\mathrm{H}}}(\phi, \psi, b, \lambda, \beta)$.

## Proof.

Since $f_{i}(z) \in S_{\bar{H}}(\phi, \psi, b, \lambda, \beta)$, it follows from Theorem 2.2 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\mathrm{n}=2}^{\infty} \frac{\beta|\mathrm{b}|}{\left[\lambda_{\mathrm{n}}-\lambda(1-\beta \mid \mathrm{b})\right]}\left|\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{i}}\right|+\sum_{\mathrm{n}=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta|\mathrm{b}|}{\left[\mu_{\mathrm{n}}+\lambda(1-\beta|\mathrm{b}|)\right]}\left|\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{i}}\right| \leq 1 \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $\mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\left[\lambda_{n}-\lambda(1-\beta|b|)\right]}{\beta|b|} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_{i}\left|a_{n, i}\right|\right)+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\left[\mu_{n}+\lambda(1-\beta|b|)\right]}{\beta|b|} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_{i}\left|b_{n, i}\right|\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_{i}\left(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\left[\lambda_{n}-\lambda(1-\beta|b|)\right]}{\beta|b|}\left|a_{n, i}\right|+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left[\mu_{n}+\lambda(1-\beta|b|)\right]}{\beta|b|}\left|b_{n, i}\right|\right) \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_{i} \leq 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Theorem 2.2, it follows that $\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{z}) \in \mathrm{S}_{\overline{\mathrm{H}}}(\phi, \psi, \mathrm{b}, \lambda, \beta)$. This proves that the class $\mathrm{S}_{\overline{\mathrm{H}}}(\phi, \psi, b, \lambda, \beta)$ is closed under convex combinations.
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