

Matrix Transformation Between Series and Sequences

B.C. TRIPATHY

Mathematical Science Division, Institute of Advanced Study in Science and Technology,
Khanapara, Guwahati - 781 022, Assam, India

1. Preliminaries

Let X be a subset of series and Y be a subset of sequences. We shall write (X, Y) for the class of matrices $A = (a_{nk})$ of complex numbers such that for each series $x = \sum_k x_k$ in X , $(A_n x) \in Y$, where $A_n x = \sum_k a_{nk} x_k$. The sums without limits means the sum is from $k = 1$ to ∞ . Throughout the article c, c_0, ℓ_∞ denote the spaces of all *convergent, null and bounded* sequences respectively. For $x \in X$, we write

$$Sx = \left(\sum_{k=1}^n x_k \right) = (S_n),$$

called the sequence of partial sums of $\sum_k x_k$. Now we have the two spaces of series $\gamma = \{x : Sx \in c\}$ and $\gamma_0 = \{x : Sx \in c_0\}$.

A sequence $x = (x_n)$ is said to be *statistically convergent* to L , written as $\text{stat-lim } x_n = L$ if for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1} \{ \text{number of } k \leq n : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon \} = 0.$$

The space of all statistically convergent sequences is denoted by \bar{c} and \bar{c}_0 the space of *statistically null sequences*. For any sequence (x_n) , the difference operator Δ is defined by $\Delta x_k = x_k - x_{k+1}$. In this article e_k denotes the series whose k -th term is 1 and the rest are zero.

2. Main Results

The following lemmas will be used in establishing the results of this article. First we have the well known result

Lemma 1. (Abel's summation formula). Let $0 \leq m \leq n$, $S_n = x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n$, $x_0 = 0$. Then

$$\sum_{k=m}^n x_k b_k = \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} S_k \Delta b_k + S_n b_n - S_{m-1} b_m.$$

Lemma 2. [2] The space $\bar{c} \cap \ell_\infty$ is a closed linear subspace of ℓ_∞ .

Lemma 3. [3] If $\sup_{n,k} |a_{nk}| < \infty$, $\sum_k a_{nk}$ converges uniformly in n and $S_n = \sum_k a_{nk}$ for $n \in N$, $a_k = \text{stat-lim } a_{nk}$ exists for each $k \in N$, then $\text{stat-lim } S_n$ exists and equals to $\sum_k a_k$.

The following two lemmas are well known, see for instance Nanda [1].

Lemma 4. $A \in (\gamma, \ell_\infty)$ if and only if

$$\sup_n \sum_k |\Delta a_{nk}| < \infty, \quad (2.1)$$

$$\sup_n \left| \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_{nk} \right| < \infty. \quad (2.2)$$

Equivalently

$$\sup_n \sum_k |a_{nk} - a_{n,k-1}| < \infty. \quad (2.3)$$

Lemma 5. $A \in (\gamma_0, \ell_\infty)$ if and only if (2.1) holds.

The following theorems are proved in this article.

Theorem 1. $A \in (\gamma, \bar{c} \cap \ell_\infty)$ if and only if (2.1) holds and

$$\text{stat-lim } a_{nk} = \alpha_k \text{ exists for each fixed } k \in N. \quad (2.4)$$

Theorem 2. $A \in (\gamma, \bar{c} \cap \ell_\infty; P)$ if and only if (2.1) and (2.4) with $\alpha_k = 1$ holds. In this transformation, the limit is preserved.

Theorem 3. $A \in (\gamma_0, \bar{c} \cap \ell_\infty)$ if and only if (2.1) holds and

$$\text{stat-lim } \Delta a_{nk} \text{ exists for each fixed } k \in N. \quad (2.5)$$

3. Proof of Theorems

In this section we establish the theorems and deduce some new results as corollaries.

Proof of Theorem 1. The necessity of (2.1) follows from the inclusion relation $(\gamma, \bar{c} \cap \ell_\infty) \subset (\gamma, \ell_\infty)$. That of (2.4) follows on considering the series e_k .

Let $x \in \gamma$ and $p = \sum_k x_k$, then by Lemma 1 we have

$$A_n x = \sum_k a_{nk} x_k = p a_{n1} + \sum_k (S_k - p) \Delta a_{nk}. \quad (3.1)$$

By (2.4) we have $p a_{nk} \in \bar{c} \cap \ell_\infty$. We have $(S_k - p) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Let $M = \sup_k |S_k - p|$. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$, by (2.1) there exists m_0 such that

$$\sum_{k > m_0} |\Delta a_{nk}| < \varepsilon M^{-1}.$$

Thus $\sum_{k > m_0} |S_k - p| |\Delta a_{nk}| < \varepsilon$ implies $\sum_{k > m_0} |S_k - p| |\Delta a_{nk}| \rightarrow 0$ as $m_0 \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly in n . Also $(a_{nk})_n \in \bar{c} \cap \ell_\infty$ implies $(\Delta a_{nk})_n \in \bar{c} \cap \ell_\infty$. Thus $\sum_{k \leq m_0} (S_k - p) \Delta a_{nk} \in \bar{c} \cap \ell_\infty$. Hence by lemma 3 $A_n x \in \bar{c} \cap \ell_\infty$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Corollary 1. $A \in (\gamma, \bar{c}_0 \cap \ell_\infty)$ if and only if (2.1) and (2.4) with $\alpha_k = 0$ hold.

Proof of Theorem 2. The necessity is clear from the necessity of Theorem 1.

Since $a_{nk} \rightarrow 1$ statistically, so $p a_{n1} \rightarrow p$ statistically. Also $\text{stat-lim } a_{nk} = 1$ implies $\text{stat-lim } \Delta a_{nk} = 0$. As in Theorem 1 we have $\sum_{k \geq m_0} (S_k - p) \Delta a_{nk}$ converges to zero uniformly in n . Since $\text{stat-lim } \Delta a_{nk} = 0$, so we have $\text{stat-lim } \sum_{k \leq m_0} (S_k - p) \Delta a_{nk} = 0$. Hence $\sum_k (S_k - p) \Delta a_{nk}$ converges statistically to zero. Thus by (3.1) $A_n x$ converges statistically to p .

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

Proof of Theorem 3. The necessity of (2.5) follows on considering the series f_k whose k -th term is 1 and $(k+1)$ -th term is -1 and the rest are zero. That of (2.1) follows from the inclusion $(\gamma_0, \bar{c} \cap \ell_\infty) \subset (\gamma_0, \ell_\infty)$.

Let $x \in \gamma_0$, then (3.1) takes the form

$$A_n x = \sum_k S_k \Delta a_{nk}.$$

As in Theorem 1 we have $\sum_{k>m_0} S_k \Delta a_{nk}$ converges to zero uniformly in n . Since $\Delta a_{nk} \in \bar{c} \cap \ell_\infty$, so $\sum_{k \leq m_0} S_k \Delta a_{nk} \in \bar{c} \cap \ell_\infty$. Hence by Lemma 3 we have $(A_n x) \in \bar{c} \cap \ell_\infty$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

Corollary 2. $A \in (\gamma_0, \bar{c}_0 \cap \ell_\infty)$ if and only if (2.1) holds and $\text{stat-lim } \Delta a_{nk} = 0$ for each fixed $k \in N$.

References

1. S. Nanda, Matrix transformations between sequence spaces, *Queen's papers in Pure and Appl. Math.* No. 74 (1986).
2. T. Šalát, On statistically convergent sequence of real numbers, *Math. Slovaca* **30** (1980), 139-150.
3. B.C. Tripathy, Matrix transformation between some classes of sequences, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **206** (1997), 448-450.