

Results on Some Neutrix Convolutions of Functions and Distributions

¹BRIAN FISHER AND ²MUSTAFA TELCI

¹Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Leicester University, Leicester, LE1 7RH, England

²Department of Mathematics, Trakya University, Edirne, 22030, Turkey

¹e-mail: fbr@le.ac.uk and ²e-mail: mtelci@trakya.edu.tr

Abstract. The neutrix convolution of two locally summable functions or distributions f and g is defined to be the limit of the sequence $\{f_n * g\}$, where $f_n(x) = f(x)\tau_n(x)$ and $\tau_n(x)$ is a certain function with compact support and the sequence $\{\tau_n\}$ converges to the identity function on the real line. The neutrix convolution of the functions $x_+^r \ln x_+$ and $e^{\lambda x}$ is evaluated for $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and all $\lambda \neq 0$. Further neutrix convolutions are then deduced.

The exponential integral $ei(\lambda x)$, see Sneddon [7], can be defined on the real line for $\lambda \neq 0$ by

$$ei(\lambda x) = \int_{\lambda x}^{\infty} t^{-1} \left[e^{-t} - H(1-t) \right] dt - H(1-\lambda x) \ln |\lambda x|,$$

where H denotes Heaviside's function.

In particular, if $\lambda < 0$, it was shown in [4] that

$$ei(\lambda x) = -\gamma - \ln |\lambda| - \int_0^x t^{-1} (e^{-\lambda t} - 1) dt - \ln x, \quad (1)$$

for $x > 0$, where

$$\gamma = - \int_0^{\infty} t^{-1} \left[e^{-t} - H(1-t) \right] dt \quad (2)$$

is Euler's constant.

Before proving our results, we need the following two lemmas, easily proved by induction:

Lemma 1. *If $\lambda \neq 0$, then*

$$a_r(x, \lambda) = \int_0^x t^r e^{-\lambda t} dt = -\sum_{i=0}^r \frac{r!}{i! \lambda^{r-i+1}} x^i e^{-\lambda x} + \frac{r!}{\lambda^{r+1}} \quad (3)$$

for $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

Lemma 2. *If $\lambda \neq 0$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} b_r(x, \lambda) &= \int_0^x t^r \ln t e^{-\lambda t} dt \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{r!}{i! \lambda^{r-i+1}} \left[a_{i-1}(x, \lambda) - x^i \ln x e^{-\lambda x} \right] + \frac{r!}{\lambda^r} b_0(x, \lambda) \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

for $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

The classical definition for the convolution $f * g$ of two locally summable functions f and g is as follows:

Definition 1. *Let f and g be locally summable functions. Then the convolution $f * g$ is defined by*

$$(f * g) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t)g(x-t) dt$$

for all points x for which the integral exists.

It follows easily from the definition that if $f * g$ exists, then $g * f$ exists and $f * g = g * f$. Further, if $(f * g)'$ and $f * g'$ (or $f' * g$) exist, then

$$(f * g)' = f * g' \quad (\text{or } f' * g). \quad (5)$$

Definition 1 can be extended to define the convolution $f * g$ of two distributions f and g in D' , the space of distributions defined on D , the space of infinitely differentiable functions with compact support, see Gel'fand and Shilov [6].

Definition 2. Let f and g be distributions in D' . Then the convolution $f * g$ is defined by

$$\langle (f * g)(x), \varphi(x) \rangle = \langle f(y), \langle g(x), \varphi(x + y) \rangle \rangle$$

for all φ in D , provided f and g satisfy either of the conditions

- (a) either f or g has bounded support,
- (b) the supports of f and g are bounded on the same side, see Gel'fand and Shilov [6].

Note that if f and g are locally summable functions satisfying either of the above conditions and the classical convolution $f * g$ exists, then it is in agreement with Definition 1.

The following results were proved in [5].

$$\begin{aligned} (x^s e^{\lambda x}) * (x_+^r \ln x_+) &= \sum_{i=0}^s \binom{s}{i} \frac{(-1)^i (r+i)! [\phi(r) - \gamma - \ln|\lambda|]}{\lambda^{r+i+1}} x^{s-i} e^{\lambda x} \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \sum_{j=0}^i \binom{s}{i} \binom{i}{j} \frac{(-1)^{s+i+j} (r+j)! (s-i-1)!}{\lambda^{r+s-i+j+1}} x^{i-j} e^{\lambda x}, \end{aligned}$$

for $r, s = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, if $\lambda > 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} (x^s e^{\lambda x}) * (x_-^r \ln x_-) &= \sum_{i=0}^s \binom{s}{i} \frac{(-1)^{r+i+1} (r+i)! [\phi(r) - \gamma - \ln|\lambda|]}{\lambda^{r+i+1}} x^{s-i} e^{\lambda x} \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \sum_{j=0}^i \binom{s}{i} \binom{i}{j} \frac{(-1)^{r+s+i+j} (r+j)! (s-i-1)!}{\lambda^{r+s-i+j+1}} x^{i-j} e^{\lambda x}, \end{aligned}$$

for $r, s = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, if $\lambda < 0$, where

$$\phi(r) = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^r i^{-1} & , \quad r \geq 1, \\ 0 & , \quad r = 0. \end{cases}$$

The above definition of the convolution is rather restrictive and so the non commutative neutrix convolution was introduced in [2]. In order to define the neutrix convolution product we first of all let τ be a function in D satisfying the following properties:

- (i) $\tau(x) = \tau(-x)$,
- (ii) $0 \leq \tau(x) \leq 1$,
- (iii) $\tau(x) = 1$ for $|x| \leq \frac{1}{2}$,
- (iv) $\tau(x) = 0$ for $|x| \geq 1$.

The function τ_n is now defined by

$$\tau_n(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & , |x| \leq n, \\ \tau(n^n x - n^{n+1}) & , x > n, \\ \tau(n^n x + n^{n+1}) & , x < -n, \end{cases}$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$.

Definition 3. Let f and g be locally summable functions or distributions in D' and let $f_n = f\tau_n$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Then the neutrix convolution $f \circledast g$ is defined as the neutrix limit of the sequence $\{f_n * g\}$, provided that the limit h exists in the sense that

$$N - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle f_n * g, \varphi \rangle = \langle h, \varphi \rangle,$$

for all φ in D , where N is the neutrix, see van der Corput [1], having domain $N' = \{1, 2, \dots, n, \dots\}$ and range N'' the real numbers, with negligible functions finite linear sums of the functions

$$n^\alpha \ln^{r-1} n, \ln^r n \quad (\alpha > 0, r = 1, 2, \dots)$$

and all functions which converge to zero in the usual sense as n tends to infinity.

Note that in this definition the convolution $f_n * g$ is defined in Gel'fand and Shilov's sense, the distribution f_n having bounded support. Note also that because of the lack of symmetry in the definition of $f \circledast g$, the neutrix convolution is in general non-commutative.

The following two theorems were proved in [2], showing that the neutrix convolution is a generalization of the convolution.

Theorem 1. Let f and g be distributions in D' satisfying either condition (a) or condition (b) of Gel'fand and Shilov's definition. Then the neutrix Convolution $f \circledast g$ exists and

$$f \circledast g = f * g.$$

Theorem 2. *Let f and g be distributions in D' and suppose that $f \circledast g$ exists, then the neutrix convolution $f \circledast g'$ exists and*

$$(f \circledast g)' = f \circledast g'. \quad (6)$$

Note however that $(f \circledast g)'$ is not necessarily equal to $f' \circledast g$. We do however have the following lemma which was proved in [3].

Lemma 3. *Let f and g be distributions in D' and suppose that $f \circledast g$ exists. If $N - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle (f\tau'_n) * g, \varphi \rangle$ exists and equals $\langle h, \varphi \rangle$ for all φ in D' , then the neutrix convolution $f' \circledast g$ exists and*

$$(f \circledast g)' = f \circledast g + h. \quad (7)$$

In order to define further neutrix convolution products, we increase our set of negligible functions given in Definition 3 to also include finite linear sums of the functions

$$n^i \ln n e^{-\lambda n}, \quad ei(\lambda n) \quad (i = 0, 1, 2, \dots; \lambda < 0).$$

The following results were proved in [5].

$$\begin{aligned} (x^s e^{\lambda x}) \circledast (x_+^r \ln x_+) &= \sum_{i=0}^s \binom{s}{i} \frac{(-1)^i (r+i)! [\phi(r) - \gamma - \ln|\lambda|]}{\lambda^{r+i+1}} x^{s-i} e^{\lambda x} \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \sum_{j=0}^i \binom{s}{i} \binom{i}{j} \frac{(-1)^{s+i+j} (r+j)! (s-i-1)!}{\lambda^{r+s-i+j+1}} x^{i-j} e^{\lambda x}, \\ (x^s e^{\lambda x}) \circledast (x_-^r \ln x_-) &= \sum_{i=0}^s \binom{s}{i} \frac{(-1)^{r+i+1} (r+i)! [\phi(r) - \gamma - \ln|\lambda|]}{\lambda^{r+i+1}} x^{s-i} e^{\lambda x} \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \sum_{j=0}^i \binom{s}{i} \binom{i}{j} \frac{(-1)^{r+s+i+j} (r+j)! (s-i-1)!}{\lambda^{r+s-i+j+1}} x^{i-j} e^{\lambda x}, \\ (x^s e^{\lambda x}) \circledast (x' \ln|x|) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

for $\lambda \neq 0$ and $r, s = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

We now prove

Theorem 3. If $\lambda < 0$, then the neutrix convolution $(x_+^r \ln x_+) \circledast e^{\lambda x}$ exists and

$$(x_+^r \ln x_+) \circledast e^{\lambda x} = \frac{r! [\phi(r) - \gamma - \ln|\lambda|]}{\lambda^{r+1}} e^{\lambda x} \quad (8)$$

for $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

Proof. We put $(x_+^r \ln x_+)_n = (x_+^r \ln x_+) \tau_n(x)$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Since $(x_+^r \ln x_+)_n$ has compact support, the classical convolution $(x_+^r \ln x_+)_n * e^{\lambda x}$ exists and

$$\begin{aligned} (x_+^r \ln x_+)_n * e^{\lambda x} &= \int_0^n t^r \ln t e^{\lambda(x-t)} dt + \int_n^{n+n^{-n}} t^r \ln t \tau_n(t) e^{\lambda(x-t)} dt \\ &= I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

It is easily seen that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_2 = 0 \quad (10)$$

Further,

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= b_r(n, \lambda) e^{\lambda x} \\ &= - \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \frac{r!}{ij! \lambda^{r-j+1}} n^j e^{\lambda(x-n)} - \sum_{i=1}^r n^i \ln n e^{\lambda(x-n)} \\ &\quad + \frac{r! \phi(r)}{\lambda^{r+1}} e^{\lambda x} + \frac{r!}{\lambda^r} b_0(n, \lambda) e^{\lambda x} \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} b_0(n, \lambda) &= \int_0^n \ln t e^{-\lambda t} dt \\ &= -\lambda^{-1} \ln n (e^{-\lambda n} - 1) + \lambda^{-1} \int_0^n t^{-1} (e^{-\lambda t} - 1) dt \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Further, we have from equation (1)

$$\int_0^n t^{-1} (e^{-\lambda t} - 1) dt = -ei(\lambda n) - \ln n - \gamma - \ln|\lambda|$$

and it follows from equation (12) that

$$N - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_0(n, \lambda) = -\lambda^{-1} (\gamma + \ln|\lambda|). \quad (13)$$

It now follows from equations (11) and (13) that

$$N - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_1 = \frac{r! [\phi(r) - \gamma - \ln|\lambda|]}{\lambda^{r+1}} e^{\lambda x}. \quad (14)$$

Equation (8) now follows from equations (9), (10) and (14).

Corollary 3.1. *If $\lambda > 0$, then the neutrix convolution $(x_-^r \ln x_-) \circledast e^{\lambda x}$ exists and*

$$(x_-^r \ln x_-) \circledast e^{\lambda x} = (-1)^{r+1} \frac{r! [\phi(r) - \gamma - \ln|\lambda|]}{\lambda^{r+1}} e^{\lambda x} \quad (15)$$

for $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

Proof. Equation (15) follows on replacing λ by $-\lambda$ and x by $-x$ in equation (8).

Corollary 3.2. *If $\lambda \neq 0$, then the neutrix convolution $(x^r \ln |x|) \circledast e^{\lambda x}$ exists and*

$$(x^r \ln |x|) \circledast e^{\lambda x} = 0 \quad (16)$$

for $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

Proof. Equation (16) follows from (8) and (15) on noting that

$$x^r \ln |x| = x_+^r \ln x_+ + (-1)^r x_-^r \ln x_-.$$

Theorem 4. *If $\lambda \neq 0$, then the neutrix convolutions $(x_+^r \ln x_+) \circledast (x^s e^{\lambda x})$ and $(x_-^r \ln x_-) \circledast (x^s e^{\lambda x})$ exist and*

$$\begin{aligned} (x_+^r \ln x_+) \circledast (x^s e^{\lambda x}) &= \sum_{i=0}^s \binom{s}{i} \frac{(-1)^i (r+i)! [\phi(r) - \gamma - \ln|\lambda|]}{\lambda^{r+i+1}} x^{s-i} e^{\lambda x} \\ &+ \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \sum_{j=0}^i \binom{s}{i} \binom{i}{j} \frac{(-1)^{s+i+j} (r+j)! (s-i-1)!}{\lambda^{r+s-i+j+1}} x^{i-j} e^{\lambda x}, \quad (17) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (x_-^r \ln x_-) \circledast (x^s e^{\lambda x}) &= \sum_{i=0}^s \binom{s}{i} \frac{(-1)^{r+i+1} (r+i)! [\phi(r) - \gamma - \ln|\lambda|]}{\lambda^{r+i+1}} x^{s-i} e^{\lambda x} \\ &- \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \sum_{j=0}^i \binom{s}{i} \binom{i}{j} \frac{(-1)^{r+s+i+j} (r+j)! (s-i-1)!}{\lambda^{r+s-i+j+1}} x^{i-j} e^{\lambda x} \quad (18) \end{aligned}$$

for $r, s = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

Proof. Equation (17) follows on differentiating equation (8) partially s times with respect to λ and equation (18) follows on replacing λ by $-\lambda$ and x by $-x$ in equation (17).

Corollary 4.1. *If $\lambda \neq 0$, then the neutrix convolution $(x^r \ln|x|) \circledast (x^s e^{\lambda x})$ exists and*

$$(x^r \ln|x|) \circledast (x^s e^{\lambda x}) = 0 \quad (19)$$

for $r, s = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

Proof. Equation (19) follows from equations (17) and (18) on noting that

$$(x^r \ln|x|) \circledast (x^s e^{\lambda x}) = (x_+^r \ln x_+) \circledast (x^s e^{\lambda x})_+ + (-1)^r (x_-^r \ln x_-) \circledast (x^s e^{\lambda x}).$$

In the following, the distributions x_+^{-r} and x_-^{-r} are defined by

$$x_+^{-r} = \frac{(-1)^{r-1}}{(r-1)!} \frac{d^r}{dx^r} \ln x_+, \quad x_-^{-r} = -\frac{1}{(r-1)!} \frac{d^r}{dx^r} \ln x_-$$

for $r = 1, 2, \dots$ and not as in Gelfand and Shilov [6].

The following results were also proved in [5].

$$\begin{aligned} (x^s e^{\lambda x}) \circledast x_+^{-r} &= \sum_{i=0}^s \binom{s}{i} \frac{(-1)^r (\gamma + \ln|\lambda|) \lambda^{r-i-1}}{(r-i-1)!} x^{s-i} e^{\lambda x} \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \sum_{j=0}^i \binom{s}{i} \binom{i}{j} \frac{(-1)^{r+s-i} (s-i-1)! \lambda^{r-s+i-j-1}}{(r-j-1)!} x^{i-j} e^{\lambda x}, \\ (x^s e^{\lambda x}) \circledast x_-^{-r} &= -\sum_{i=0}^s \binom{s}{i} \frac{(\gamma + \ln|\lambda|) \lambda^{r-i-1}}{(r-i-1)!} x^{s-i} e^{\lambda x} \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \sum_{j=0}^i \binom{s}{i} \binom{i}{j} \frac{(-1)^{s-i} (s-i-1)! \lambda^{r-s+i-j-1}}{(r-j-1)!} x^{i-j} e^{\lambda x}, \\ (x^s e^{\lambda x}) \circledast x^{-r} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

for $\lambda \neq 0$, $r = 1, 2, \dots$ and $s = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

We now prove

Theorem 5. *If $\lambda \neq 0$, then the neutrix convolutions $x_+^{-r} \circledast e^{\lambda x}$ and $x_-^{-r} \circledast e^{\lambda x}$ exist and*

$$x_+^{-r} \circledast e^{\lambda x} = -\frac{(\gamma + \ln|\lambda|)(-\lambda)^{r-1}}{(r-1)!} e^{\lambda x}, \quad (20)$$

$$x_+^{-r} \circledast e^{\lambda x} = -\frac{(\gamma + \ln|\lambda|)\lambda^{r-1}}{(r-1)!} e^{\lambda x} \quad (21)$$

for $r = 1, 2, \dots$.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} [\ln x_+ \tau'_n(x)] * e^{\lambda x} &= \int_n^{n+n^{-n}} \ln t e^{\lambda(x-t)} d\tau_n(t) \\ &= -\ln n e^{\lambda(x-n)} - e^{\lambda x} \int_n^{n+n^{-n}} t^{-1} e^{-\lambda t} \tau_n(t) dt \\ &\quad + \lambda e^{\lambda x} \int_n^{n+n^{-n}} \ln t e^{-\lambda t} \tau_n(t) dt \end{aligned}$$

and it follows easily that

$$N - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\ln x_+ \tau'_n(x)] * e^{\lambda x} = 0 \quad (22)$$

Equation (20) for the case $r = 1$ now follows on differentiating equation (8) with $r = 0$, using Lemma 3 and equation (22).

Now assume that equation (20) holds for some r . We have

$$\begin{aligned} [x_+^{-r} \tau'_n(x)] * e^{\lambda x} &= \int_n^{n+n^{-n}} t^{-r} e^{\lambda(x-t)} d\tau_n(t) \\ &= n^{-r} e^{\lambda(x-n)} + r e^{\lambda x} \int_n^{n+n^{-n}} t^{r+1} e^{-\lambda t} \tau_n(t) dt \\ &\quad + \lambda e^{\lambda x} \int_n^{n+n^{-n}} t^{-r} e^{-\lambda t} \tau_n(t) dt \end{aligned}$$

and it follows easily that

$$N - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [x_+^{-r} \tau'_n(x)] * e^{\lambda x} = 0 \quad (23)$$

Equation (20) for the case $r + 1$ now follows on differentiating equation (20), using Lemma 3 and equation (23), proving equation (20) by induction.

Equation (21) follows on replacing λ by $-\lambda$ and x by $-x$ in equation (20).

Corollary 5.1. *If $\lambda \neq 0$, then the neutrix convolution $x^{-r} \circledast e^{\lambda x}$ exists and*

$$x^{-r} \circledast e^{\lambda x} = 0 \quad (24)$$

for $r = 1, 2, \dots$.

Proof. Equation (24) follows from equations (20) and (21) on noting that

$$x^{-r} = x_+^{-r} + (-1)^r x_-^{-r}.$$

Theorem 6. *If $\lambda \neq 0$, then the neutrix convolutions $x_+^{-r} \circledast (x^s e^{\lambda x})$ and $x_-^{-r} \circledast (x^s e^{\lambda x})$ exist and*

$$\begin{aligned} x_+^{-r} \circledast (x^s e^{\lambda x}) &= \sum_{i=0}^s \binom{s}{i} \frac{(-1)^r (\gamma + \ln|\lambda|) \lambda^{r-i-1}}{(r-i-1)!} x^{s-i} e^{\lambda x} \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \sum_{j=0}^i \binom{s}{i} \binom{i}{j} \frac{(-1)^{r+s-i} (s-i-1)! \lambda^{r-s+i-j-1}}{(r-j-1)!} x^{i-j} e^{\lambda x}, \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

$$\begin{aligned} x_-^{-r} \circledast (x^s e^{\lambda x}) &= - \sum_{i=0}^s \binom{s}{i} \frac{(\gamma + \ln|\lambda|) \lambda^{r-i-1}}{(r-i-1)!} x^{s-i} e^{\lambda x} \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \sum_{j=0}^i \binom{s}{i} \binom{i}{j} \frac{(-1)^{s-i} (s-i-1)! \lambda^{r-s+i-j-1}}{(r-j-1)!} x^{i-j} e^{\lambda x} \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

for $r, s = 1, 2, \dots$ where $[(r-i)!]^{-1}$ is interpreted as being zero when $r < i$.

Proof. Equation (25) follows on differentiating equation (20) s times partially with respect to λ and equation (26) follows on replacing λ by $-\lambda$ and x by $-x$ in equation (25).

Corollary 6.1. *If $\lambda \neq 0$, then the neutrix convolution $x^{-r} \circledast (x^s e^{\lambda x})$ exists and*

$$x^{-r} \circledast (x^s e^{\lambda x}) = 0 \quad (27)$$

for $r, s = 1, 2, \dots$.

Proof. Equation (27) follows from equations (25) and (26) on noting that

$$x^{-r} \circledast (x^s e^{\lambda x}) = x_+^{-r} \circledast (x^s e^{\lambda x}) + (-1)^r x_-^{-r} \circledast (x^s e^{\lambda x})$$

References

1. J.G. van der Corput, Introduction to the neutrix calculus, *J. Analyse Math.* (1959–60), 291–398.
2. B. Fisher, Neutrices and the convolution of distributions, *Univ. u Novom Sadu Zb. Rad. Prirod.-Mat. Fak. Ser. Mat.* **17** (1987), 119–135.
3. B. Fisher and F. Al-Sirehy, On the cosine integral and the convolution, *Stud. Cerc. St. Ser. Matematica, Universitea Bacau* **9** (1999), 73–94.
4. B. Fisher and J.D. Nicholas, On the exponential integral, *Hacet. Bull. Nat. Sci. Eng. Ser. B* **28** (1999), 55–64.
5. B. Fisher and M. Telci, Some neutrix convolutions of functions and distributions, submitted for publication.
6. I.M. Gel'fand and G.E. Shilov, *Generalized Functions*, Vol. I, Academic Press, 1964.
7. I.N. Sneddon, *Special Functions of Mathematical Physics and Chemistry*, Oliver and Boyd, 1961.

Keywords and phrases: distribution, exponential integral, neutrix, neutrix limit, convolution, neutrix convolution.

2000 AMS Subject Classification: 46F10