

Product of Range Symmetric Block Matrices in Minkowski Space

¹AR. MEENAKSHI AND ²D. KRISHNASWAMY

¹Faculty of Engineering and Technology, Annamalai University,
Annamalai Nagar - 608 002, Tamil Nadu, India

²Directorate of Distance Education, Annamalai University,
Annamalai Nagar - 608 002, Tamil Nadu, India

¹arm.meenakshi@yahoo.co.in, ²krishna.swamy2004@yahoo.co.in

Abstract. Necessary and sufficient conditions for the product of range symmetric matrices of rank r to be range symmetric in Minkowski space \mathcal{M} is derived. Also equivalent conditions for the product of two range symmetric block matrices to be range symmetric are established. As an application we have shown that a block matrix in Minkowski space can be expressed as a product of range symmetric matrices in \mathcal{M} .

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 15A57, Secondary 15A09

Key words and phrases: Minkowski space, range symmetric matrix

1. Introduction

Throughout we shall deal with $C^{n \times n}$, the space of $n \times n$ complex matrices. Let C^n be the space of complex n -tuples, we shall index the components of a complex vector in C^n from 0 to $n - 1$, that is $u = (u_0, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{n-1})$. Let G be the Minkowski metric tensor defined by $Gu = (u_0, -u_1, -u_2, \dots, -u_{n-1})$. Clearly the Minkowski metric matrix

$$(1.1) \quad G = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -I_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}, G = G^* \text{ and } G^2 = I_n.$$

In [8], Minkowski inner product on C^n is defined by $(u, v) = [u, Gv]$, where $[.,.]$ denotes the conventional Hilbert(unitary) space inner product. A space with Minkowski inner product is called a Minkowski space and denoted as \mathcal{M} . For $A \in C^{n \times n}$, $x, y \in C^n$, by using (1.1),

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} (Ax, y) &= [Ax, Gy] = [x, A^*Gy] \\ &= [x, G(GA^*G)y] = [x, GA^\sim y] = (x, A^\sim y) \end{aligned}$$

where $A^\sim = GA^*G$. The matrix A^\sim is called the Minkowski adjoint of A in \mathcal{M} (A^* is usual hermitian adjoint of A). Naturally, we call a matrix $A \in C^{n \times n}$

\mathcal{M} -symmetric in \mathcal{M} if $A = A^\sim$. From the definition $A^\sim = GA^*G$ we have the following equivalence: A is \mathcal{M} -symmetric $\Leftrightarrow AG$ is hermitian $\Leftrightarrow GA$ is hermitian. For $A \in C^{n \times n}$, $\text{rk}(A)$, $N(A)$, and $R(A)$ are respectively the rank of A , null space of A and range space of A . By a generalized inverse of A we mean a solution of the equation $AXA = A$ and is denoted as $A^{(1)}$. $A\{1\}$ is the set of all generalized inverses of A . Throughout I refers to identity matrix of appropriate order unless otherwise specified.

Definition 1.1. [2, Definition 1, p.7] For $A \in C^{m \times n}$, A^+ is the Moore-Penrose inverse of A if $AA^+A = A$, $A^+AA^+ = A^+$, AA^+ and A^+A are Hermitian. The Minkowski inverse of A , analogous to Moore-Penrose inverse of A is introduced and its existence is discussed in [5].

Definition 1.2. [5, Definition 4, p.2] For $A \in C^{m \times n}$, $A^{\textcircled{D}}$ is the Minkowski inverse of A if $AA^{\textcircled{D}}A = A$, $A^{\textcircled{D}}AA^{\textcircled{D}} = A^{\textcircled{D}}$, $AA^{\textcircled{D}}$ and $A^{\textcircled{D}}A$ are \mathcal{M} -symmetric.

Theorem 1.1. [5, Theorem 1, p.4] For $A \in C^{m \times n}$, $A^{\textcircled{D}}$ exists in $\mathcal{M} \Leftrightarrow \text{rk}(A) = \text{rk}(AA^\sim) = \text{rk}(A^\sim A)$.

Theorem 1.2. [7, Lemma 3.3, p.143] Let A and B be matrices in \mathcal{M} . Then $N(A^*) \subseteq N(B^*) \Leftrightarrow N(A^\sim) \subseteq N(B^\sim)$.

Theorem 1.3. [4, Lemma 1, p.193] For $A, B, C \in C^{m \times n}$, the following are equivalent:

- (1) $CA^{(1)}B$ is invariant for every $A^{(1)} \in C^{n \times m}$.
- (2) $N(A) \subseteq N(C)$ and $N(A^*) \subseteq N(B^*)$ 3. $C = CA^{(1)}A$ and $B = AA^{(1)}B$ for every $A^{(1)} \in A\{1\}$.

Theorem 1.4. [7, Lemma 2.3, p.139] For $A_1, A_2 \in C^{n \times n}$ $(A_1A_2)^\sim = A_2^\sim A_1^\sim$ and $(A_1^\sim)^\sim = A_1$.

A matrix $A \in C^{n \times n}$ is said to be range symmetric in unitary space (or) equivalently A is said to be EP if $N(A) = N(A^*)$ (or $AA^+ = A^+A$) [2, p.163]]. For further properties of EP matrices one may refer [1,2, 4 and 9]. In [6] the concept of range symmetric matrix in \mathcal{M} is introduced and developed analogous to that of EP matrices. A matrix $A \in C^{n \times n}$ said to be range symmetric in $\mathcal{M} \Leftrightarrow N(A) = N(A^\sim)$. In the sequel we shall make use of the following results.

Theorem 1.5. [6, Theorem 2.2, p.47] For $A \in C^{n \times n}$, the following are equivalent:

- (i) A is range symmetric in \mathcal{M}
- (ii) GA is EP
- (iii) AG is EP
- (iv) $N(A^*) = N(AG)$
- (v) $R(A) = R(A^\sim)$
- (vi) $A^\sim = HA = AK$ for some non-singular matrices H and K .
- (vii) $R(A^*) = R(GA)$

2. Product of range symmetric matrices in \mathcal{M}

In this section we have obtained necessary and sufficient conditions for the product of two range symmetric matrices of rank r to be range symmetric in \mathcal{M} . Later we have extended the result to block matrices in \mathcal{M} .

Theorem 2.1. *Let A and B be range symmetric matrices of rank r in \mathcal{M} and AB be of rank r . Then AB is range symmetric in \mathcal{M} if and only if $R(A) = R(B)$.*

Proof. Let A and B be range symmetric matrices of rank r in \mathcal{M} . Let AB be of rank r and $R(A) = R(B)$. We prove that AB is range symmetric in \mathcal{M} . Clearly $R(AB) \subseteq R(A)$. Since $\text{rk}(AB) = \text{rk}(A) = r$, it follows that $R(AB) = R(A)$. Also $R(AB)^\sim \subseteq R(B^\sim) = R(B)$ and $\text{rk}(AB)^\sim = \text{rk}(AB) = \text{rk}(A) = \text{rk}(B) = r$. This implies $R(AB)^\sim = R(B)$. Since $R(A) = R(B)$, it follows that $R(AB) = R(AB)^\sim$. Hence AB is range symmetric in \mathcal{M} .

Conversely, AB is range symmetric in \mathcal{M} implies $R(AB)^\sim = R(AB)$. $R(AB) \subseteq R(A)$ and $\text{rk}(AB) = \text{rk}(A) = r$ implies $R(AB) = R(A)$. $R(AB)^\sim \subseteq R(B^\sim) = R(B)$. Thus $R(A) = R(B)$. \square

Hence forth we are concerned with $n \times n$ matrices M partitioned in the form

$$(2.1) \quad M = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \text{ with } \text{rk}(M) = \text{rk}(A) = r$$

It is well known that in [3] M of the form (2.1) satisfies $N(A) \subseteq N(C)$, $N(A^*) \subseteq N(B^*)$ and $D = CA^+B$.

Definition 2.1. [4, Lemma 1.2, p.193] *Let*

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}$$

be an $n \times n$ matrix. The Schur complement of A in M , denoted by M/A is defined as $D - CA^{(1)}B$, where $A^{(1)}$ is a generalized inverse of A .

Theorem 2.2. *Let M be of the form (2.1), then M is range symmetric in $\mathcal{M} \Leftrightarrow A$ is range symmetric in \mathcal{M} and $CA^+ = -G_1(A^+B)^\sim$, where G_1 is the Minkowski metric tensor of order as that of A .*

Proof. Since A is range symmetric in \mathcal{M} and $CA^+ = -G_1(A^+B)^\sim$, by Theorem 1.5 (ii), G_1A is EP and $(G_1A)^+ = A^+G_1$. Hence $G_1A(G_1A)^+ = (G_1A)^+G_1A$. Since $G_1^+ = G_1$, $G_1AA^+G_1 = A^+G_1G_1A$. By (1.1) for G_1 , we have

$$(2.2) \quad G_1AA^+G_1 = A^+A.$$

Since $\text{rk}(M) = \text{rk}(A) = r$, we have $N(A) \subseteq N(C)$, $N(A^\sim) \subseteq N(B^\sim)$ and Schur complement of A in M is zero. By Theorem 1.3, we have $C = CA^+A$, $B = AA^+B$ and $D = CA^+B$.

Let us consider the matrices

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ CA^+ & I \end{bmatrix}, \quad Q = \begin{bmatrix} I & A^+B \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad L = \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where P, Q are non-singular. Now

$$\begin{aligned}
 PLQ &= \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ CA^+ & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & A^+B \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} A & AA^+B \\ CA^+A & CA^+B \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= M
 \end{aligned}$$

and by using $CA^+ = -G_1(A^+B)^\sim$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q^\sim &= GQ^*G \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} G_1 & 0 \\ 0 & -I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ (A^+B)^* & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} G_1 & 0 \\ 0 & -I \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ -(A^+B)^*G_1 & I \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ -G_1(A^+B)^\sim & I \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ CA^+ & I \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= P
 \end{aligned}$$

Since A is range symmetric in \mathcal{M} , L is range symmetric in \mathcal{M} . We claim M is range symmetric in \mathcal{M} , that is $N(M) = N(M^\sim)$. Since $M = PLP^\sim$, $x \in N(M) \Leftrightarrow Mx = 0 \Leftrightarrow PLP^\sim x = 0 \Leftrightarrow Ly = 0$ [where $y = P^\sim x$] $\Leftrightarrow L^\sim y = 0$ [by $N(L) = N(L^\sim)$] $\Leftrightarrow PL^\sim P^\sim x = 0 \Leftrightarrow M^\sim x = 0 \Leftrightarrow x \in N(M^\sim)$. Thus $N(M) = N(M^\sim)$ and hence M is range symmetric in \mathcal{M} .

Conversely, let us assume that M is range symmetric in \mathcal{M} . Since $M = PLQ$, one choice of $M^{(1)}$ is

$$M^{(1)} = Q^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} A^+ & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} P^{-1}.$$

Since M is range symmetric in \mathcal{M} , we have $N(M) = N(M^\sim)$. By using Theorem 1.2, and Theorem 1.3 we get $M^\sim = M^\sim M^{(1)} M$. By using (1.2), we have $GM^*G =$

$GM^*GM^{(1)}M$ and by using (1.1),

$$\begin{aligned}
M^* &= M^*GM^{(1)}MG \\
&= M^*GQ^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} A^+ & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} P^{-1}P \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} QG \\
&= M^*GQ^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} A^+A & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} QG \\
&= M^*G \begin{bmatrix} I & -(A^+B) \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A^+A & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & (A^+B) \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} G \\
&= M^*G \begin{bmatrix} A^+A & A^+B \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} G \begin{bmatrix} A^* & C^* \\ B^* & D^* \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} A^* & C^* \\ B^* & D^* \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} G_1 & 0 \\ 0 & -I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A^+A & A^+B \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} G_1 & 0 \\ 0 & -I \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} A^*G_1A^+AG_1 & -A^*G_1A^+B \\ B^*G_1A^+AG_1 & -B^*G_1A^+B \end{bmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

Equating the corresponding blocks, we get

$$A^* = A^*G_1A^+AG_1 \Rightarrow G_1A^*G_1 = G_1A^*G_1A^+A \Rightarrow A^\sim = A^\sim A^+A.$$

By Theorem 1.3, it follows that $N(A) \subset N(A^\sim)$ and $\text{rk}(A) = \text{rk}(A^\sim)$. Hence $N(A) = N(A^\sim)$ and therefore A is range symmetric in \mathcal{M} . Also

$$C^* = -A^*G_1A^+B \Rightarrow G_1C^*G_1 = -G_1A^*G_1A^+BG_1 \Rightarrow C^\sim = -A^\sim A^+BG_1.$$

Taking Minkowski adjoint, and by using $G_1^\sim = G_1$ and Theorem 1.4, we get $C = -G_1(A^+B)^\sim A$. Now

$$\begin{aligned}
CA^+ &= -G_1(A^+B)^\sim AA^+ \\
&= -G_1(A^+B)^\sim G_1A^+AG_1 \\
&= -G_1(A^+B)^\sim G_1(A^+A)^*G_1 \quad [\text{By using (2.2)}] \\
&= -G_1(A^+B)^\sim (A^+A)^\sim \\
&= -G_1(A^+AA^+B)^\sim \quad [\text{By using Theorem 1.4}] \\
&= -G_1(A^+B)^\sim.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 2.1. *Let M be of the form (2.1) be range symmetric in \mathcal{M} , then A is range symmetric in \mathcal{M} and there exists an $r \times (n-r)$ matrix X such that*

$$(2.3) \quad M = \begin{bmatrix} A & AX \\ -G_1X^\sim A & -G_1X^\sim AX \end{bmatrix}$$

where G_1 is the Minkowski metric tensor of order as that of A .

Proof. Since M is of the form (2.1) by using Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3, M satisfy $N(A) \subset N(C)$, $N(A^\sim) \subset N(B^\sim)$ and $D = CA^+B$. Hence there exist $(n-r) \times r$ matrix Y and $n \times (n-r)$ matrix X such that $C = YA$ and $B = AX$.

Since A is range symmetric in \mathcal{M} by using Theorem 1.5(ii). G_1A is EPr, again by using (2.2) we have $AA^+ = G_1A^+AG_1$, $CA^+ = -G_1(A^+B)^\sim$, and

$$\begin{aligned} YAA^+ &= -G_1(A^+AX)^\sim \\ &= -G_1X^\sim(A^+A)^\sim \quad [\text{By using Theorem 1.4}] \\ &= -G_1X^\sim G_1(A^+A)^*G_1 \quad [\text{By using (1.2)}] \\ &= -G_1X^\sim G_1A^+AG_1 \\ &= -G_1X^\sim AA^+ \quad [\text{By using (2.2)}] \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $YA = -G_1X^\sim A = C$ and $D = CA^+B = -G_1X^\sim AA^+AX = -G_1X^\sim AX$. Thus

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} A & AX \\ -G_1X^\sim A & -G_1X^\sim AX \end{bmatrix}.$$

□

Theorem 2.3. *Let*

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad L = \begin{bmatrix} F & U \\ H & K \end{bmatrix}$$

be range symmetric matrices in \mathcal{M} both of the form (2.1) and ML of rank r , then the following are equivalent:

- (i) ML is range symmetric in \mathcal{M} .
- (ii) AF is range symmetric in \mathcal{M} and $CA^+ = HF^+$
- (iii) AF is range symmetric in \mathcal{M} and $A^+B = F^+U$

Proof. Since M and L are of the form (2.1) by Lemma 2.1 there exist $r \times (n - r)$ matrices X and Y such that

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} A & AX \\ -G_1X^\sim A & -G_1X^\sim AX \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad L = \begin{bmatrix} F & FY \\ -G_1Y^\sim F & -G_1Y^\sim FY \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} ML &= \begin{bmatrix} A & AX \\ -G_1X^\sim A & -G_1X^\sim AX \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} F & FY \\ -G_1Y^\sim F & -G_1Y^\sim FY \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} A(I - XG_1Y^\sim)F & A(I - XG_1Y^\sim)FY \\ -G_1X^\sim A(I - XG_1Y^\sim)F & -G_1X^\sim AX(I - XG_1Y^\sim)FY \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} AZF & AZFY \\ -G_1X^\sim AZF & -G_1X^\sim AZFY \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

where $Z = I - XG_1Y^\sim$. Clearly

$$N(AZF) \subset N(-G_1X^\sim AZF) = N(G_1X^\sim AZF), \quad N(AZF)^\sim \subset N(AZFY)^\sim$$

and the Schur complement of AZF in ML is zero. For

$$\begin{aligned} ML/AZF &= -G_1X^\sim AZFY + G_1X^\sim (AZF)(AZF)^+AZFY \\ &= -G_1X^\sim AZFY + G_1X^\sim AZFY = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\text{rk}(AZF) = \text{rk}(ML) = r$. Thus ML is also of the form (2.1). Since M and L are range symmetric in \mathcal{M} by Theorem 2.2 and Lemma 2.1, A and F are range symmetric in \mathcal{M} . Now

$$\begin{aligned} -G_1(A^+AX)^\sim &= -G_1X^\sim(A^+A)^\sim \quad [\text{By Theorem 1.4}] \\ &= -G_1X^\sim G_1(A^+A)^*G_1 \quad [\text{By using 1.2}] \\ &= -G_1X^\sim G_1A^+AG_1 \\ &= -G_1X^\sim AA^+ \quad [\text{By using 2.2}] \end{aligned}$$

Similarly it can be proved that $-G_1Y^\sim FF^+ = -G_1(F^+FY)^\sim$. We now claim AZF is range symmetric in \mathcal{M} . $N(F) \subset N(AZF)$, and $\text{rk}(AZF) = \text{rk}(F) = r$, hence it follows $N(F) = N(AZF)$. Also $N(A^\sim) \subset N(AZF)^\sim$ and $\text{rk}(AZF)^\sim = \text{rk}(AZF) = \text{rk}(A) = r = \text{rk}(F)$, $N(A) = N(A^\sim) = N(AZF)^\sim = N(F)$. Thus $N(AZF) = N(AZF)^\sim$ and hence AZF is range symmetric in \mathcal{M} . By Theorem 1.5 (ii), G_1AZF in EPr and by using (2.2) we have

$$(2.4) \quad G_1AZF(AZF)^+G_1 = (AZF)^+AZF$$

By using (2.4) for

$$N(AZF) = N(F), \quad N(AZF)^\sim = N(A^\sim) = N(A)$$

we get

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} AZF(AZF)^+ &= FF^+ = G_1(AZF)^+AZFG_1 \text{ and} \\ (AZF)^+AZF &= A^+A = G_1AA^+G_1. \end{aligned}$$

Since $H = -G_1Y^\sim F$ and $C = -G_1X^\sim A$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} HF^+ &= -G_1Y^\sim FF^+ \quad [\text{by (2.5)}] \\ &= -G_1Y^\sim G_1AZF(AZF)^+ \\ &= -G_1Y^\sim G_1(AZF)^+AZFG_1 \\ &= -G_1Y^\sim [(AZF)^+AZF]^\sim \\ &= -G_1[(AZF)^+AZFY]^\sim. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly by using (2.5), we have

$$CA^+ = -G_1X^\sim AZF(AZF)^+.$$

Therefore

$$(2.6) \quad CA^+ = HF^+ \Leftrightarrow -G_1X^\sim AZF(AZF)^+ = -G_1[(AZF)^+AZFY]^\sim.$$

Now the proof runs as follows: ML is range symmetric in $\mathcal{M} \Leftrightarrow AZF$ is range symmetric in \mathcal{M} and $G_1X^\sim AZF(AZF)^+ = -G_1[(AZF)^+AZFY]^\sim \Leftrightarrow AZF$ is range symmetric in \mathcal{M} and $CA^+ = HF^+$ [By using (2.6)] $\Leftrightarrow N(AZF) = N(AZF)^\sim$ and $CA^+ = HF^+ \Leftrightarrow N(F) = N(A)^\sim = N(A)$ and $CA^+ = HF^+ \Leftrightarrow AF$ is range symmetric in \mathcal{M} and $CA^+ = HF^+$ [By using Theorem 2.1] $\Leftrightarrow AF$ is range symmetric in \mathcal{M} and $A^+B = F^+U$ [By Theorem 2.2]. \square

3. Factorization

In this section a set of conditions under which a matrix can be expressed as product of range symmetric matrices in \mathcal{M} are derived.

Definition 3.1. A matrix $A \in C^{n \times n}$ is said to be unitary in unitary space if and only if $AA^* = A^*A = I$.

Lemma 3.1. [9, Theorem 1] Let $A \in Cr^{n \times n}$ be EPr matrix, then there exist a unitary matrix U such that

$$A = U^*DU = U^* \begin{bmatrix} D_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U,$$

where D_1 is $r \times r$ non-singular.

Lemma 3.2. Let $A \in C^{n \times n}$, A is range symmetric in \mathcal{M} if and only if

$$A = P \begin{bmatrix} D & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} P^\sim,$$

where D is $r \times r$ matrix and $\text{rk}(D) = r$ and P is unitary.

Proof. By Theorem 1.5 (ii), the matrix A is range symmetric in $\mathcal{M} \Leftrightarrow AG$ is EP. By Lemma 3.1, this holds if and only if

$$AG = U \begin{bmatrix} D_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^*$$

or

$$A = U \begin{bmatrix} D_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^*G$$

or

$$A = UG \begin{bmatrix} G_1 & 0 \\ 0 & -I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} D_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^*G$$

where G_1 is $r \times r$ or

$$A = UG \begin{bmatrix} G_1D_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^*G.$$

Thus the matrix A is range symmetric in \mathcal{M} if and only if

$$A = P \begin{bmatrix} D & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} P^\sim,$$

where $P = UG$, $P^\sim = GU^\sim = U^*G$ and $D = G_1D_1$ is $r \times r$ non-singular. \square

Lemma 3.3. Let A and B be range symmetric matrices in \mathcal{M} of rank r . Then $N(A) = N(B)$ if and only if $N(PAP^\sim) = N(PBP^\sim)$ where P is unitary.

Proof. Let A and B be range symmetric matrices in \mathcal{M} . Assume $N(A) = N(B)$. Then $x \in N(PAP^\sim)$ $PAP^\sim x = 0$ $AP^\sim x = 0$ $Ay = 0$ where $y = P^\sim x$ $y \in N(A) = N(B)$ $By = 0$ $BP^\sim x = 0$ $PBP^\sim x = 0$ $x \in N(PBP^\sim)$. Thus $N(A) = N(B)$ $N(PAP^\sim) = N(PBP^\sim)$.

The proof the converse is similar and hence omitted. \square

Theorem 3.1. *Let M be of the form (2.1) be range symmetric in \mathcal{M} . Then M can be written as a product of range symmetric matrices in \mathcal{M} .*

Proof. Since M is of the form (2.1) and M is range symmetric in \mathcal{M} , by Lemma 2.1, A is range symmetric in \mathcal{M} and

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} A & AX \\ -G_1X^\sim A & -G_1X^\sim AX \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since M is range symmetric in \mathcal{M} by Theorem 1.5(ii), GM in EPr, where

$$GM = \begin{bmatrix} G_1 & 0 \\ 0 & -I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A & AX \\ -G_1X^\sim A & -G_1X^\sim AX \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} G_1A & G_1AX \\ G_1X^\sim A & G_1X^\sim AX \end{bmatrix}.$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} P &= \begin{bmatrix} G_1AA^+G_1 & G_1AA^+G_1X \\ X^*G_1AA^+G_1 & X^*G_1AA^+G_1X \end{bmatrix}, \\ L &= \begin{bmatrix} G_1A & 0 \\ 0 & -I \end{bmatrix}, \\ Q &= \begin{bmatrix} A^+A & A^+AX \\ X^*A^+A & X^*A^+AX \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

By using (1.1),

$$\begin{aligned} P^* &= \begin{bmatrix} (G_1AA^+G_1)^* & (X^*G_1AA^+G_1)^* \\ (G_1AA^+G_1X)^* & (X^*G_1AA^+G_1X)^* \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} G_1(AA^+)^*G_1 & G_1(AA^+)^*G_1X \\ X^*G_1(AA^+)^*G_1 & X^*G_1(AA^+)^*G_1X \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} G_1AA^+G_1 & G_1AA^+G_1X \\ X^*G_1AA^+G_1 & X^*G_1AA^+G_1X \end{bmatrix} = P \end{aligned}$$

Similarly $Q^* = Q$ can be proved. Thus $P = P^*$ and $Q = Q^*$ and therefore P, Q are EPr. Since A is range symmetric by Theorem 1.5(iii) G_1A is EPr and hence L is EPr.

Now

PLQ

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \begin{bmatrix} G_1AA^+G_1 & G_1AA^+G_1X \\ X^*G_1AA^+G_1 & X^*G_1AA^+G_1X \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} G_1A & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A^+A & A^+AX \\ X^*A^+A & X^*A^+AX \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} G_1AA^+G_1G_1A & 0 \\ X^*G_1AA^+G_1G_1A & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A^+A & A^+AX \\ X^*A^+A & X^*A^+AX \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} G_1A & 0 \\ X^*G_1A & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A^+A & A^+AX \\ X^*A^+A & X^*A^+AX \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} G_1AA^+A & G_1AA^+AX \\ X^*G_1AA^+A & X^*G_1AA^+AX \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} G_1A & G_1AX \\ X^*G_1A & X^*G_1AX \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} A & AX \\ -G_1X \sim A & -G_1X \sim AX \end{bmatrix} \\
&= GM.
\end{aligned}$$

By using (1.1), $M = GPLQ = (GP)(LG)(GQ)$. Since P, Q, L and EPr , by Theorem 1.5 (ii) and (iii), it follows that GP, LG, GQ are range symmetric \mathcal{M} . Thus a range symmetric matrix M in \mathcal{M} is expressed as a product of range symmetric matrices in \mathcal{M} . \square

Acknowledgement. The first author is thankful to the All India Council for Technical Education, New Delhi for the financial support to carry out the work.

References

- [1] T. S. Baskett and I. J. Katz, Theorems on products of EP_r matrices, *Linear Algebra and Appl.* **2** (1969), 87–103.
- [2] A. Ben-Israel and T. N. E. Greville, *Generalized Inverses: Theory and Applications*, Wiley-Intersci., New York, 1974.
- [3] D. Carlson, E. Haynsworth and T. Markham, A generalization of the Schur complement by means of the Moore-Penrose inverse, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **26** (1974), 169–175.
- [4] A. R. Meenakshi, On Schur complements in an EP matrix, *Period. Math. Hungar.* **16** (1985), no. 3, 193–200.
- [5] A. R. Meenakshi, Generalized inverses of matrices in Minkowski space, in *Proc. Nat. Seminar Alg. Appln.* Annamalai University, Annamalaiagar, (2000), 1–14.
- [6] A. R. Meenakshi, Range symmetric matrices in Minkowski space, *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. (2)* **23**(1) (2000), 45–52.
- [7] A. R. Meenakshi and D. Krishnaswamy, On sums of range symmetric matrices in Minkowski space, *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. (2)* **25**(2) (2002), 137–148.
- [8] M. Renardy, Singular value decomposition in Minkowski space, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **236** (1996), 53–58.
- [9] M. Pearl, On normal and EP_r matrices, *Michigan Math. J.* **6** (1959), 1–5.