

On β -I-open Sets and a Decomposition of Almost-I-continuity

¹E. HATIR AND ²T. NOIRI

¹Selçuk Üniversitesi, Eğitim Fakültesi, Matematik Bölümü, 42090, Konya, Turkey

²2949-1 Shiokita-cho, Hinagu Yatsushiro-shi, Kumamoto-ken, 869-5142, Japan

¹hatir10@yahoo.com, ²t.noiri@nifty.com

Abstract. In this paper, we investigate further properties of β -I-open sets defined in [5] and give a decomposition of almost-I-continuity as the following: a function $f : (X, \tau, I) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is almost-I-continuous if and only if it is β -I-continuous and *-I-continuous.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 54C10, 54A05; Secondary 54D25, 54D30

Key words and phrases: β -open set, β -I-open set, almost-I-open set, β -I-continuous, almost-I-continuous.

1. Introduction

In 1992, Janković and Hamlett [8] introduced the notion of I-open sets in topological spaces via ideals. Abd El-Monsef et al. [2] further investigated I-open sets and I-continuous functions. In 1999, Abd El-Monsef et al. [3] introduced and investigated almost-I-open sets and almost-I-continuous functions. Recently, Hatir and Noiri [5] have introduced the notion of β -I-open sets to obtain certain decompositions of continuity.

In this paper, we obtain the further properties of β -I-open sets and β -I-continuity and give a decomposition of almost-I-continuity.

2. Preliminaries

Throughout the present paper, spaces always mean topological spaces on which no separation properties are assumed unless explicitly stated. In a topological space (X, τ) , the closure and the interior of any subset A of X will be denoted by $\text{Cl}(A)$ and $\text{Int}(A)$, respectively. An ideal is defined as a nonempty collection I of subsets of X satisfying the following two conditions:

- (1) if $A \in I$ and $B \subset A$, then $B \in I$;
- (2) $A \in I$ and $B \in I$, then $A \cup B \in I$.

Let (X, τ) be a topological space and I an ideal of subsets of X . An ideal topological space, denoted by (X, τ, I) , is a topological space (X, τ) with an ideal I on X . For a subset A of X , $A^*(I) = \{x \in X : U \cap A \notin I \text{ for each neighborhood } U \text{ of } x\}$ is called the local function [6] of A with respect to I and τ . We simply write A^* instead of $A^*(I)$ in case there is no chance for confusion. The set X^* is often a proper subset of X . It is well known that $\text{Cl}^*(A) = A \cup A^*$ defines a Kuratowski closure operator for $\tau^*(I)$ which is finer than τ . A subset A of (X, τ, I) is called $*$ -dense-in-itself if $A \subset A^*[6]$.

Lemma 2.1. [7] *Let (X, τ, I) be an ideal topological space and A, B subsets of X . Then*

- (a) *If $A \subset B$, then $A^* \subset B^*$,*
- (b) *If $U \in \tau$, then $U \cap A^* \subset (U \cap A)^*$,*
- (c) *A^* is closed in (X, τ) .*

First we shall recall some definitions used in the sequel.

Definition 2.1. *A subset A of an ideal topological space (X, τ, I) is said to be*

- (a) *I -open [8] if $A \subset \text{Int}(A^*)$,*
- (b) *almost- I -open [3] if $A \subset \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(A^*))$,*
- (c) *β - I -open [5] if $A \subset \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(A)))$,*
- (d) *β -open [1] if $A \subset \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}(A)))$.*

By $\beta IO(X, \tau)$, we denote the family of all β - I -open sets of space (X, τ, I) .

3. β - I -open sets

Lemma 3.1. *Every almost- I -open set is β - I -open.*

Proof. Let (X, τ, I) be an ideal topological space and A an almost- I -open set of X . Then $A \subset \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(A^*)) \subset \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(A^* \cup A)) = \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(A)))$. Therefore, A is β - I -open.

The converse of Lemma 3.1 is not necessarily true as shown by the following example. \square

Example 3.1. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{c\}\}$ and $I = \{\emptyset, \{c\}\}$. Then $A = \{c\}$ is a β - I -open set which is not almost- I -open.

Lemma 3.2. [5] *(a) Every β - I -open set is β -open but not conversely.*

(b) Every open set is β - I -open but not conversely.

Theorem 3.1. *A subset A of a space (X, τ, I) is β - I -open if and only if $\text{Cl}(A) = \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(A)))$.*

Proof. Let A be a β - I -open set. Then we have $A \subset \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(A)))$ and hence $\text{Cl}(A) \subset \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(A))) \subset \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}(A))) \subset \text{Cl}(A)$. Therefore, we have $\text{Cl}(A) = \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(A)))$. The converse is obvious. \square

The intersection of even two β - I -open sets need not be β - I -open as shown by the following example due to Dontchev [4].

Example 3.2. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a, b\}\}$ and $I = \{\emptyset, \{c\}\}$. Set $A = \{a, c\}$ and $B = \{b, c\}$. Since $A^* = B^* = X$, then both A and B are β -I-open. But on the other hand $A \cap B = \{c\} \notin \beta IO(X, \tau)$.

Theorem 3.2. Let (X, τ, I) be an ideal topological space and $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ a family of subsets of X , where Δ is an arbitrary index set. Then,

- (a) If $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\} \subset \beta IO(X, \tau)$, then $\cup\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\} \in \beta IO(X, \tau)$.
- (b) If $A \in \beta IO(X, \tau)$ and $U \in \tau$, then $A \cap U \in \beta IO(X, \tau)$.

Proof. (a) Since $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\} \subset \beta IO(X, \tau)$, then $A_\alpha \subset \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(A_\alpha)))$ for each $\alpha \in \Delta$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \cup_{\alpha \in \Delta} A_\alpha &\subset \cup_{\alpha \in \Delta} \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(A_\alpha))) \\ &\subset \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(\cup_{\alpha \in \Delta} \text{Cl}^*(A_\alpha))) \\ &\subset \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(\cup_{\alpha \in \Delta} A_\alpha))). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\cup_{\alpha \in \Delta} A_\alpha \in \beta IO(X, \tau)$.

(b) By the assumption, $A \subset \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(A)))$ and $U = \text{Int}(U)$. Thus using Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A \cap U &\subset \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(A))) \cap \text{Int}(U) \\ &\subset \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(A)) \cap \text{Int}(U)) \\ &= \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(A) \cap U)) \\ &= \text{Cl}(\text{Int}((A^* \cup A) \cap U)) \\ &= \text{Cl}(\text{Int}((A^* \cap U) \cup (A \cap U))) \\ &\subset \text{Cl}(\text{Int}((A \cap U)^* \cup (A \cap U))) \\ &= \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(A \cap U))). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $A \cap U \in \beta IO(X, \tau)$. □

Definition 3.1. A subset F of a space (X, τ, I) is said to be β -I-closed if its complement is β -I-open.

Theorem 3.3. A subset A of a space (X, τ, I) is β -I-closed if and only if

$$\text{Int}(\text{Cl}(\text{Int}^*(A))) \subset A.$$

Proof. Let A be a β -I-closed set of (X, τ, I) . Then $X - A$ is β -I-open and hence

$$X - A \subset \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(X - A))) = X - \text{Int}(\text{Cl}(\text{Int}^*(A))).$$

Therefore, we have $\text{Int}(\text{Cl}(\text{Int}^*(A))) \subset A$.

Conversely, let $\text{Int}(\text{Cl}(\text{Int}^*(A))) \subset A$. Then $X - A \subset \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(X - A)))$ and hence $X - A$ is β -I-open. Therefore, A is β -I-closed. □

Remark 3.1. For a subset A of a space (X, τ, I) , we have

$$X - \text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(\text{Int}(A))) \neq \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(X - A)))$$

as shown by the following example.

Example 3.3. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{a, b\}\}$ and $I = \{\emptyset, \{a\}\}$. Then if we put $A = \{a, c\}$, $X - \text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(\text{Int}(A))) = \{b, c\}$ and $\text{Cl}(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(X - A))) = \emptyset$.

Theorem 3.4. *If a subset A of a space (X, τ, I) is β -I-closed, then*

$$\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(\text{Int}(A))) \subset A.$$

Proof. Let A be any β -I-closed set of (X, τ, I) . Since $\tau * (I)$ is finer than τ , we have

$$\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(\text{Int}(A))) \subset \text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(\text{Int}^*(A))) \subset \text{Int}(\text{Cl}(\text{Int}^*(A))).$$

Therefore, by Theorem 3.3, we obtain $\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(\text{Int}(A))) \subset A$. \square

Corollary 3.1. *Let A be a subset of a space (X, τ, I) such that*

$$X - \text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(\text{Int}(A))) = \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(X - A))).$$

Then A is β -I-closed if and only if $\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^(\text{Int}(A))) \subset A$.*

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.3. \square

4. β -I-continuous functions

Definition 4.1. *A function $f : (X, \tau, I) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is said to be β -I-continuous [5] (resp. almost- I -continuous [3], β -continuous [1]) if $f^{-1}(V)$ is β -I-open (resp. almost- I -open, β -open) in (X, τ, I) for each open set V of (Y, σ) .*

Remark 4.1. It is obvious from Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 that almost- I -continuity implies β -I-continuity and β -I-continuity implies β -continuity.

Theorem 4.1. *For a function $f : (X, \tau, I) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$, the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) f is β -I-continuous,
- (b) For each $x \in X$ and each $V \in \sigma$ containing $f(x)$, there exists $U \in \beta IO(X, \tau)$ containing x such that $f(U) \subset V$,
- (c) The inverse image of each closed set in Y is β -I-closed.

Proof. Straightforward. \square

Definition 4.2. *A function $f : (X, \tau, I) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma, J)$ is said to be β -I-irresolute if $f^{-1}(V)$ is β -I-open for every β - J -open set V of (Y, σ, J) .*

Theorem 4.2. *Let $f : (X, \tau, I) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma, J)$ and $g : (Y, \sigma, J) \rightarrow (Z, \eta)$ be two functions, where I and J are ideals on X and Y respectively. Then*

- (a) $g \circ f$ is β -I-continuous if f is β -I-continuous and g is continuous,
- (b) $g \circ f$ is β -I-continuous if f is β -I-irresolute and g is β -I-continuous.

If (X, τ, I) is an ideal topological space and A is subset of X , we denote by $\tau|_A$ the relative topology on A and $I|_A = \{A \cap I \mid I \in I\}$ is obviously an ideal on A .

Lemma 4.1. [7] *Let (X, τ, I) be an ideal topological space and B, A subsets of X such that $B \subset A$. Then $B^*(\tau|_A, I|_A) = B^*(\tau, I) \cap A$.*

Theorem 4.3. *Let (X, τ, I) be an ideal topological space. If $U \in \tau$ and $A \in \beta IO(X, \tau)$, then $U \cap A \in \beta IO(U, \tau|_U, I|_U)$.*

Proof. Since $U \in \tau$ and $A \in \beta IO(X, \tau)$, by Theorem 3.2 we have

$$A \cap U \subset \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(A \cap U)))$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} A \cap U &\subset U \cap \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(A \cap U))) \\ &\subset \text{Cl}(U \cap \text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(A \cap U))) \\ &\subset \text{Cl}(\text{Int}[U \cap \text{Cl}^*(A \cap U)]) \\ &= \text{Cl}(\text{Int}_U(U \cap \text{Cl}^*(A \cap U))). \end{aligned}$$

Since $U \in \tau \subset \tau^*$, we obtain

$$A \cap U \subset U \cap \text{Cl}(\text{Int}_U(\text{Cl}_U^*(A \cap U))) = \text{Cl}_U(\text{Int}_U(\text{Cl}_U^*(A \cap U))).$$

This shows that $A \cap U \in \beta IO(U, \tau_U, I_U)$. □

Theorem 4.4. *Let $f : (X, \tau, I) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be β -I-continuous function and $U \in \tau$. Then the restriction $f|_U : (U, \tau_U, I_U) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is β -I-continuous.*

Proof. Let V be any open set of (Y, σ) . Since f is β -I-continuous, we have $f^{-1}(V) \in \beta IO(X, \tau)$. Since $U \in \tau$, by Theorem 4.3 $U \cap f^{-1}(V) \in \beta IO(U, \tau_U, I_U)$. On the other hand, $(f|_U)^{-1}(V) = U \cap f^{-1}(V)$ and $(f|_U)^{-1}(V) \in \beta IO(U, \tau_U, I_U)$. This shows that $f|_U : (U, \tau_U, I_U) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is β -I-continuous. □

Theorem 4.5. *A function $f : (X, \tau, I) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be β -I-continuous if and only if the graph function $g : X \rightarrow X \times Y$, defined by $g(x) = (x, f(x))$ for each $x \in X$, is β -I-continuous.*

Proof. Necessity. Suppose that f is β -I-continuous. Let $x \in X$ and W be any open set of $X \times Y$ containing $g(x)$. Then there exists a basic open set $U \times V$ such that $g(x) = (x, f(x)) \in U \times V \subset W$. Since f is β -I-continuous, there exists a β -I-open set U_o of X containing x such that $f(U_o) \subset V$. By Theorem 3.2, $U_o \cap U \in \beta IO(X, \tau)$ and $g(U_o \cap U) \subset U \times V \subset W$. This shows that g is β -I-continuous.

Sufficiency. Suppose that g is β -I-continuous. Let $x \in X$ and V be any open set of Y containing $f(x)$. Then $X \times V$ is open in $X \times Y$ and by β -I-continuity of g , there exists $U \in \beta IO(X, \tau)$ containing x such that $g(U) \subset X \times V$. Therefore, we obtain $f(U) \subset V$. This shows that f is β -I-continuous. □

5. A decomposition of almost-I-continuity

Definition 5.1. *A function $f : (X, \tau, I) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is said to be $*$ -I-continuous [4] if the preimage of every open set in (Y, σ) is $*$ -dense-in-itself in (X, τ, I) .*

Theorem 5.1. *For a subset A of an ideal topological space (X, τ, I) , the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) A is almost-I-open,
- (b) A is β -I-open and $*$ -dense-in-itself.

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b). By Lemma 3.1, every almost-I-open set is β -I-open. On the other hand, by Lemma 2.1 we have $A \subset \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(A^*)) \subset \text{Cl}(A^*) = A^*$. This shows that A is $*$ -dense-in-itself.

(b) \Rightarrow (a). By the assumption,

$$A \subset \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(A))) = \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(A^* \cup A)) = \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(A^*)).$$

This shows that A is almost-I-open. \square

Thus we have the following decomposition of almost-I-continuity.

Theorem 5.2. *For a function $f : (X, \tau, I) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$, the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) f is almost-I-continuous,
- (b) f is β -I-continuous and * -I-continuous.

Proof. This is an immediate consequence from Theorem 5.1. \square

Remark 5.1. β -I-continuity and * -I-continuity are independent notions as shown by the following example due to Dontchev [4].

Example 5.1. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $I = \{\emptyset, \{c\}\}$, $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{b\}\}$, $\sigma = \{\emptyset, X, \{c\}\}$ and $\gamma = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}\}$. The identity function $f : (X, \tau, I) \rightarrow (X, \gamma, I)$ is * -I-continuous but neither almost-I-continuous nor β -I-continuous since $f^{-1}(\{a\}) = \{a\}$ and $\{a\}^* = \{a, c\}$. On the other hand, the identity function $g : (X, \sigma, I) \rightarrow (X, \sigma, I)$ is β -I-continuous but neither almost-I-continuous nor * -I-continuous since $f^{-1}(\{c\}) = \{c\}$ and $\{c\}^* = \emptyset$.

References

- [1] M. E. Abd El-Monsef, S. N. El-Deeb and R. A. Mahmoud, β -open sets and β -continuous mapping, Bull. Fac. Sci. Assiut Univ. A **12**(1) (1983), 77–90.
- [2] M. E. Abd El-Monsef, E. F. Lashien and A. A. Nasef, On I -open sets and I -continuous functions, Kyungpook Math. J. **32**(1)(1992), 21–30.
- [3] M. E. Abd El-Monsef, R. A. Mahmoud and A. A. Nasef, Almost I -openness and almost I -continuity, J. Egyptian Math. Soc. **7**(2)(1999), 191–200.
- [4] J. Dontchev, On pre-I-open sets and a decomposition of I-continuity, *Banyan Math. J.* **2**(1996).
- [5] E. Hatir and T. Noiri, On decompositions of continuity via idealization, *Acta Math. Hungar.* **96**(4)(2002), 341–349.
- [6] E. Hayashi, Topologies defined by local properties, *Math. Ann.* **156**(1964), 205–215.
- [7] D. Janković and T. R. Hamlett, New topologies from old via ideals, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **97**(4) (1990), 295–310.
- [8] D. Janković and T. R. Hamlett, Compatible extensions of ideals, *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. B* (7) **6**(3)(1992), 453–465.
- [9] R. L. Newcomb, Topologies which are compact modulo an ideal, Ph.D. Dissertation, Univ. of Col. At Santa Barbara (unpublished) (1967).