

## On Relative $1\frac{1}{2}$ -StarLindelöfness

YAN-KUI SONG, GUANG-FA HAN AND PI-YU LI

Department of Mathematics, Nanjing normal university, Nanjing, 210097 P.R. China  
songyankui@njnu.edu.cn

**Abstract.** A subspace  $Y$  of a space  $X$  is *strongly  $1\frac{1}{2}$ -starLindelöf* in  $X$  if for every open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$ , there exists a countable subset  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $\mathcal{U}$  such that  $V \cap Y \neq \emptyset$  for each  $V \in \mathcal{V}$  and  $Y \subseteq St(\bigcup \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{U})$ , where  $St(\bigcup \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{U}) = \bigcup \{U \in \mathcal{U} : U \cap \bigcup \mathcal{V} \neq \emptyset\}$ . A subspace  $Y$  of a space  $X$  is  *$1\frac{1}{2}$ -starLindelöf* in  $X$  if for every open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$ , there exists a countable subset  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $\mathcal{U}$  such that  $Y \subseteq St(\bigcup \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{U})$ . In this paper, we give an example to show the difference between relative strongly  $1\frac{1}{2}$ -starLindelöfness and relative  $1\frac{1}{2}$ -starLindelöfness.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 54A25, 54D20

Key words and phrases: StarLindelöf, strongly  $1\frac{1}{2}$ -starLindelöf.

### 1. Introduction

By a space, we mean a topological space. Recall from [1,2,5] that a subspace  $Y$  of a space  $X$  is Lindelöf in  $X$  if for every open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$ , there exists a countable subfamily covering  $Y$ . A space  $X$  is *starLindelöf* (for example, see [3,6]) if for every open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$ , there exists a countable subset  $F$  of  $X$  such that  $St(F, \mathcal{U}) = X$ . A subspace  $Y$  of a space  $X$  is *starLindelöf* (*strongly starLindelöf*) (see [5,7,8]) in  $X$  if for every open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$ , there exists a countable subset  $F$  of  $X$  (respectively,  $F \subseteq Y$ ) such that  $Y \subseteq St(F, \mathcal{U})$ , where  $St(F, \mathcal{U}) = \bigcup \{U \in \mathcal{U} : U \cap F \neq \emptyset\}$ . A space  $X$  is  *$1\frac{1}{2}$ -starLindelöf* (by different names, see [3,6]) if for every open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$ , there exists a countable subset  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $\mathcal{U}$  such that  $St(\bigcup \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{U}) = X$ . It is natural to define the following classes of spaces:

**Definition 1.1.** A subspace  $Y$  of a space  $X$  is *strongly  $1\frac{1}{2}$ -starLindelöf* in  $X$  if for every open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$ , there exists a countable subset  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $\mathcal{U}$  such that  $V \cap Y \neq \emptyset$  for each  $V \in \mathcal{V}$  and  $Y \subseteq St(\bigcup \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{U})$ .

**Definition 1.2.** A subspace  $Y$  of a space  $X$  is  *$1\frac{1}{2}$ -starLindelöf* in  $X$  if for every open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$ , there exists a countable subset  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $\mathcal{U}$  such that  $Y \subseteq St(\bigcup \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{U})$ .

Let  $X$  be a space and  $Y$  a subspace of  $X$ . From the above definitions, it is clear that if  $Y$  is starLindelöf in  $X$ , then  $Y$  is  $1\frac{1}{2}$ -starLindelöf in  $X$ ; if  $Y$  is strongly starLindelöf in  $X$ , then  $Y$  is strongly  $1\frac{1}{2}$ -starLindelöf in  $X$ ; if  $Y$  is strongly  $1\frac{1}{2}$ -starLindelöf in  $X$ , then  $Y$  is  $1\frac{1}{2}$ -starLindelöf in  $X$ . But, the converses do not hold.

The purpose of this paper is to show the difference among these properties in the class of Tychonoff spaces by giving an example.

The cardinality of a set  $A$  is denoted by  $|A|$ . For a cardinal  $\kappa$ ,  $\kappa^+$  denotes the smallest cardinal greater than  $\kappa$ . Let  $c$  denote the cardinality of the continuum. As usual, a cardinal is the initial ordinal and an ordinal is the set of smaller ordinals. When viewed as a space, every cardinal has the usual order topology. For ordinals  $\alpha, \beta$  with  $\alpha < \beta$ , we write  $(\alpha, \beta) = \{\gamma : \alpha < \gamma < \beta\}$ ,  $[\alpha, \beta) = \{\gamma : \alpha \leq \gamma < \beta\}$  and  $(\alpha, \beta] = \{\gamma : \alpha < \gamma \leq \beta\}$ . Other terms and symbols that we do not define will be used as in [4].

**2. An example of relatively  $1\frac{1}{2}$ -starLindelöf spaces**

In this section, we clarify the relations among these spaces defined in the first section by giving an example.

Given a Tychonoff space  $X$ , let  $\beta X$  denote the Čech-Stone compactification of  $X$ .

**Example 2.1.** There exist a Tychonoff space  $X$  and subspaces  $Y_1, Y_2$  of  $X$  such that  $Y_1$  is  $1\frac{1}{2}$ -starLindelöf in  $X$  but  $Y_1$  is neither strongly  $1\frac{1}{2}$ -starLindelöf in  $X$  nor starLindelöf in  $X$  and  $Y_2$  is strongly  $1\frac{1}{2}$ -starLindelöf in  $X$ , but  $Y_2$  is not strongly starLindelöf in  $X$ .

*Proof.* Let  $D$  be the discrete space of cardinality  $c$  and let  $S_1$  be the subspace

$$(\beta D \times (c^+ + 1)) \setminus ((\beta D \setminus D) \times \{c^+\})$$

of the product of  $\beta D$  and  $c^+ + 1$ . Let  $S_2$  be the subspace

$$(\beta D \times (c + 1)) \setminus ((\beta D \setminus D) \times \{c\})$$

of the product of  $\beta D$  and  $c + 1$ .

Since  $|D| = c$ , we can enumerate  $D$  as  $\{d_\alpha : \alpha < c\}$ . Let

$$\varphi : D \times \{c^+\} \rightarrow D \times \{c\}$$

be a map defined by  $\varphi(\langle d_\alpha, c^+ \rangle) = \langle d_\alpha, c \rangle$  for each  $\alpha < c$ . Let  $X$  be the quotient space obtained from the disjoint topological sum  $S_1 \oplus S_2$  by identifying  $\langle d_\alpha, c^+ \rangle$  of  $S_1$  and  $\langle d_\alpha, c \rangle$  of  $S_2$  for each  $\alpha < c$ . Let  $\pi : S_1 \oplus S_2 \rightarrow X$  be the quotient map.

Let  $Y_1 = \pi(S_2)$  and  $Y_2 = \pi(S_1)$ . Let us show that  $Y_1$  is  $1\frac{1}{2}$ -starLindelöf in  $X$ . Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be an open cover of  $X$ . Since  $c$  is locally compact and countably compact[4], it follows from [4, Corollary 3.10.14] that  $\beta D \times c$  is countably compact, thus  $\pi(\beta D \times c)$  is countably compact, hence,  $1\frac{1}{2}$ -starLindelöf. Hence, there exists a countable subset  $\mathcal{V}'$  of  $\mathcal{U}$  such that

$$\pi(\beta D \times c) \subseteq St(\bigcup \mathcal{V}', \mathcal{U}).$$

On the other hand, for each  $\alpha < c$ , there exist  $U_\alpha \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $\beta_\alpha < c^+$  such that

$$\pi(\langle d_\alpha, c \rangle) \in \pi(\{d_\alpha\} \times (\beta_\alpha, c^+]) \subseteq U_\alpha.$$

If we choose  $\alpha_0 < c^+$  with  $\alpha_0 > \sup\{\beta_\alpha : \alpha < c\}$ . Then,  $\pi(\beta D \times \{\alpha_0\})$  is compact. Hence, there exists a finite set  $\mathcal{V}''$  of  $\mathcal{U}$  such that

$$\pi(\beta D \times \{\alpha_0\}) \subseteq \cup \mathcal{V}''.$$

Since for each  $\alpha < c$ ,  $U_\alpha \cap \bigcup \mathcal{V}'' \neq \emptyset$ , then

$$\pi(D \times \{c\}) \subseteq St(\bigcup \mathcal{V}'', \mathcal{U}).$$

If we put  $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}' \cup \mathcal{V}''$ , then  $\mathcal{V}$  is a countable subset of  $\mathcal{U}$  such that

$$\pi(S_2) = Y_1 \subseteq St(\bigcup \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{U}),$$

this shows that  $Y_1$  is  $1\frac{1}{2}$ -starLindelöf in  $X$ .

Next, we show that  $Y_1$  is not strongly  $1\frac{1}{2}$ -starLindelöf in  $X$ . Let

$$U_\alpha = \pi(\{d_\alpha\} \times [0, c^+]) \cup (\{d_\alpha\} \times (\alpha, c])$$

and

$$V_\alpha = \pi(\beta D \times [0, \alpha))$$

for each  $\alpha < c$ . Let us consider the open cover

$$\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha : \alpha < c\} \cup \{V_\alpha : \alpha < c\} \cup \{\pi(\beta D \times [0, c^+))\}$$

of  $X$ . For any countable subfamily  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $\mathcal{U}$ , let

$$\alpha_1 = \sup\{\alpha : U_\alpha \in \mathcal{V}\}$$

and

$$\alpha_2 = \sup\{\alpha : V_\alpha \in \mathcal{V}\}.$$

Then,  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 < c$ , since  $\mathcal{V}$  is countable. If we pick  $\alpha_0 > \max\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$ , then

$$\pi(\langle d_{\alpha_0}, c \rangle) \notin St(\bigcup \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{U}).$$

Since  $U_{\alpha_0}$  is the only element of  $\mathcal{U}$  containing  $\pi(\langle d_{\alpha_0}, c \rangle)$  and  $U_{\alpha_0} \cap \bigcup \mathcal{V} = \emptyset$ , this shows that  $Y_1$  is not strongly  $1\frac{1}{2}$ -starLindelöf in  $X$ .

We show that  $Y_1$  is not starLindelöf in  $X$ . For each  $\alpha < c$ , let

$$U_\alpha = \pi(\{d_\alpha\} \times [0, c^+]) \cup (\{d_\alpha\} \times [0, c]).$$

Let us consider the open cover

$$\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha : \alpha < c\} \cup \{\pi(\beta D \times [0, c))\} \cup \{\pi(\beta D \times [0, c^+))\}.$$

Let  $F$  be a countable subset of  $X$ . Then, there exists a  $\alpha_1 < c$  such that  $F \cap U_\alpha = \emptyset$  for every  $\alpha > \alpha_1$  by the definition of  $U_\alpha$  and countability of  $F$ . Pick  $\alpha_0 > \alpha_1$ . Then,

$$\pi(\langle d_{\alpha_0}, c \rangle) \notin St(F, \mathcal{U}),$$

since  $U_{\alpha_0}$  is the only element of  $\mathcal{U}$  containing  $\pi(\langle d_{\alpha_0}, c \rangle)$  and  $U_{\alpha_0} \cap F = \emptyset$ , this shows that  $Y_1$  is not starLindelöf in  $X$ .

Next, we show that  $Y_2$  is strongly  $1\frac{1}{2}$ -starLindelöf in  $X$ , let  $\mathcal{U}$  be an open cover of  $X$ . Since  $c^+$  is locally compact and countably compact, it follows from [4, Corollary 3.10.14] that  $\beta D \times c^+$  is countably compact. Thus,  $\pi(\beta D \times c^+)$  is countably compact, hence,  $1\frac{1}{2}$ -starLindelöf. Hence, there exists a countable subset  $\mathcal{V}' \subseteq \mathcal{U}$  such that

$$\pi(\beta D \times c^+) \subseteq St(\bigcup \mathcal{V}', \mathcal{U}).$$

On the other hand, for each  $\alpha < c$ , there exist  $U_\alpha \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $\beta_\alpha < c^+$  such that

$$\pi(\langle d_\alpha, c \rangle) \in \pi(\{d_\alpha\} \times (\beta_\alpha, c^+]) \subseteq U_\alpha.$$

If we choose  $\alpha_0 < c^+$  with  $\alpha_0 > \sup\{\beta_\alpha : \alpha < c\}$ . Then,  $\pi(\beta D \times \{\alpha_0\})$  is compact. Hence, there exists a finite subset  $\mathcal{V}'' \subseteq \mathcal{U}$  such that

$$\pi(\beta D \times \{\alpha_0\}) \subseteq \cup \mathcal{V}''.$$

Since for each  $\alpha < c$ ,  $U_\alpha \cap \cup \mathcal{V}'' \neq \emptyset$ , then

$$\pi(D \times \{c^+\}) \subseteq St(\cup \mathcal{V}'', \mathcal{U}).$$

If we put  $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}' \cup \mathcal{V}''$ , then  $\mathcal{V}$  is a countable subset of  $\mathcal{U}$  such that  $V \cap Y_2 \neq \emptyset$  for each  $V \in \mathcal{V}$  and  $\pi(S_1) = Y_2 \subseteq St(\cup \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{U})$ . This shows that  $Y_2$  is strongly  $1\frac{1}{2}$ -starLindelöf in  $X$ .

Let us show that  $Y_2$  is not strongly starLindelöf in  $X$ . Let  $U_\alpha = \pi(\{(d_\alpha \times [0, c^+]) \cup (\{d_\alpha\} \times [0, c])\})$  for each  $\alpha < c$ . Let us consider the open cover

$$\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha : \alpha < c\} \cup \{\pi(\beta D \times [0, c])\} \cup \{\pi(\beta D \times [0, c^+])\}.$$

Let  $F$  be a countable subset of  $Y_2$ . Then, there exists a  $\alpha_1 < c$  such that  $F \cap U_\alpha = \emptyset$  for every  $\alpha > \alpha_1$  by the definition of  $U_\alpha$  and countability of  $F$ . Pick  $\alpha_0 > \alpha_1$ . Then,

$$\pi(\langle d_{\alpha_0}, c \rangle) \notin St(F, \mathcal{U}).$$

Since  $U_{\alpha_0}$  is the only element of  $\mathcal{U}$  containing  $\pi(\langle d_{\alpha_0}, c \rangle)$  and  $U_{\alpha_0} \cap F = \emptyset$ , this shows that  $Y_2$  is not strongly starLindelöf in  $X$ , which completes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 2.1.** From the proof of Example 2.1, it is not difficult to see that  $X$  is  $1\frac{1}{2}$ -starLindelöf and  $Y_2$  is  $1\frac{1}{2}$ -starLindelöf (in itself), but  $Y_2$  is not starLindelöf in  $X$ .

**Remark 2.2.** The authors do not know if there exist a normal space  $X$  and a subspace  $Y$  of  $X$  such that  $Y$  is  $1\frac{1}{2}$ -starLindelöf in  $X$ , but  $Y$  is not strongly  $1\frac{1}{2}$ -starLindelöf in  $X$ .

**Acknowledgements.** The work is supported by National Education Committee of China and NSFC Projects 10571081 and 10271056. The authors are most grateful to the referees for their kind help and valuable comments.

## References

- [1] A. V. Arhangel'skii, A generic theorem in the theory of cardinal invariants of topological spaces, *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae* **36**(1995), 303–325.
- [2] A. V. Arhangel'skii, M. M. Hamdi, Genedi, The beginnings of the theory of relative topological properties, in: *General Topology. Spaces and Functions*, Izd, MGU, Moscow, 1989 (in Russian), 3–48.
- [3] E. K. van Douwen, G. M. Reed, A. W. Roscoe and I. J. Tree, Star covering properties, *Topology Appl.* **39**(1)(1991), 71–103.
- [4] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, Revised and completed edition, Heldermann Verlag, 1989.
- [5] Lj. D. Kočinac, Some relative topological properties, *Mat. Vestnik* **44**(1992), 33–44.
- [6] M. V. Matveev, A survey on star covering properties, *Topology Atlas*, preprint No. 330, 1998
- [7] M. V. Matveev, O. I. Pavlov and J. K. Tartir, On relatively normal spaces, relatively regular spaces and on relative property (a), *Topology Appl.* **93**(1999), 121–129.
- [8] Y-K Song, On relative star-Lindelöf spaces, *New Zealand J. Math.* **34**(2005), 159–163.