

On 2-Quasi-Umbilical Pseudosymmetric Hypersurfaces in the Euclidean Space

CIHAN ÖZGÜR

Balikesir University, Faculty of Art and Sciences,
Department of Mathematics, 10145 Balikesir, Turkey
cozgur@balikesir.edu.tr

Abstract. In this paper, we investigate 2-quasi-umbilical pseudosymmetric hypersurfaces in the Euclidean space \mathbb{E}^{n+1} . We find the curvature characterization of pseudosymmetric hypersurfaces in the Euclidean space \mathbb{E}^{n+1} .

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 53C40, 53C42, 53C25, 53B50

Key words and phrases: Hypersurface, pseudosymmetry type manifolds.

1. Introduction

Let (M, g) be an n -dimensional, $n \geq 3$, connected Riemannian manifold of class C^∞ . We denote by ∇, R, C, S and κ the Levi-Civita connection, the Riemann-Christoffel curvature tensor, the Weyl conformal curvature tensor, the Ricci tensor and the scalar curvature of (M, g) respectively. The Ricci operator \mathcal{S} is defined by $g(\mathcal{S}X, Y) = S(X, Y)$, where $X, Y \in \chi(M)$, $\chi(M)$ being Lie algebra of vector fields on M . We next define endomorphisms $X \wedge Y, \mathcal{R}(X, Y)$ and $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ of $\chi(M)$ by

$$(1.1) \quad (X \wedge Y)Z = g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y,$$

$$(1.2) \quad \mathcal{R}(X, Y)Z = \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X, Y]}Z,$$

$$(1.3) \quad \mathcal{C}(X, Y)Z = \mathcal{R}(X, Y)Z - \frac{1}{n-2}(X \wedge \mathcal{S}Y + \mathcal{S}X \wedge Y - \frac{\kappa}{n-1}X \wedge Y)Z,$$

respectively, where $X, Y, Z \in \chi(M)$.

The Riemannian Christoffel curvature tensor R and the Weyl conformal curvature tensor C of (M, g) are defined by

$$(1.4) \quad R(X, Y, Z, W) = g(\mathcal{R}(X, Y)Z, W),$$

$$(1.5) \quad C(X, Y, Z, W) = g(\mathcal{C}(X, Y)Z, W),$$

respectively, where $W \in \chi(M)$.

For a $(0, k)$ -tensor field T , $k \geq 1$, on (M, g) we define the tensors $R \cdot T$ and $Q(g, T)$ by

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{aligned} (R(X, Y) \cdot T)(X_1, \dots, X_k) &= -T(\mathcal{R}(X, Y)X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k) \\ &\quad - \dots - T(X_1, \dots, X_{k-1}, \mathcal{R}(X, Y)X_k), \end{aligned}$$

$$(1.7) \quad \begin{aligned} Q(g, T)(X_1, \dots, X_k; X, Y) &= -T((X \wedge Y)X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k) \\ &\quad - \dots - T(X_1, \dots, X_{k-1}, (X \wedge Y)X_k), \end{aligned}$$

respectively.

If the tensors $R \cdot R$ and $Q(g, R)$ are linearly dependent then M is called *pseudosymmetric*. This is equivalent to

$$(1.8) \quad R \cdot R = L_R Q(g, R)$$

holding on the set $U_R = \{x \mid Q(g, R) \neq 0 \text{ at } x\}$, where L_R is some function on U_R . If $R \cdot R = 0$ then M is called *semisymmetric* (see Deszcz [3]).

If the tensors $R \cdot S$ and $Q(g, S)$ are linearly dependent then M is called *Ricci-pseudosymmetric*. This is equivalent to

$$(1.9) \quad R \cdot S = L_S Q(g, S)$$

holding on the set $U_S = \{x \mid S \neq \frac{\kappa}{n}g \text{ at } x\}$, where L_S is some function on U_S . Every pseudosymmetric manifold is Ricci pseudosymmetric but the converse statement is not true. If $R \cdot S = 0$ then M is called *Ricci-semisymmetric* (see Deszcz [3]).

If the tensors $R \cdot C$ and $Q(g, C)$ are linearly dependent then M is called *Weyl-pseudosymmetric*. This is equivalent to

$$(1.10) \quad R \cdot C = L_C Q(g, C)$$

holding on the set $U_C = \{x \mid C \neq 0 \text{ at } x\}$. Every pseudosymmetric manifold is Weyl pseudosymmetric but the converse statement is not true. If $R \cdot C = 0$ then M is called *Weyl-semisymmetric* (see Deszcz [3]).

The manifold M is a *manifold with pseudosymmetric Weyl tensor* if and only if

$$(1.11) \quad C \cdot C = L_C Q(g, C)$$

holds on the set U_C , where L_C is some function on U_C (see Deszcz, Verstraelen, and Yaprak, [4]). The tensor $C \cdot C$ is defined in the same way as the tensor $R \cdot R$.

2. 2-quasi umbilical hypersurfaces

Let M^n be an $n \geq 3$ dimensional connected hypersurface immersed isometrically in the Euclidean space \mathbb{E}^{n+1} . We denote by $\tilde{\nabla}$ and ∇ the Levi-Civita connections corresponding to \mathbb{E}^{n+1} and M^n , respectively. Let ξ be a local unit normal vector field on M^n in \mathbb{E}^{n+1} . We can present the Gauss formula and the Weingarten formula of M^n in \mathbb{E}^{n+1} of the form: $\tilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + h(X, Y)$ and $\tilde{\nabla}_X \xi = -A_\xi(X) + D_X \xi$, respectively, where X, Y are vector fields tangent to M^n and D is the normal connection of M^n (see Chen [2]).

For the plane section $e_i \wedge e_j$ of the tangent bundle TM^n spanned by the vectors e_i and e_j ($i \neq j$) the scalar curvature of M^n is defined by $\kappa = \sum_{i,j=1}^n K(e_i \wedge e_j)$ where K denotes the sectional curvature of M^n . We denote by shortly $K_{rs} = K(e_r \wedge e_s)$.

Hypersurface M^n with three distinct principal curvatures, their multiplicities are 1, 1 and $n - 2$, is said to be *2-quasi umbilical*. So the shape operator of a 2-quasi-umbilical hypersurface is of the form

$$(2.1) \quad A_\xi = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & c & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & c \end{bmatrix}.$$

2-quasi-umbilical hypersurfaces are the extended class of quasi-umbilical hypersurfaces. It is well-known that a hypersurface M^n which has a principal curvature with multiplicity $\geq n - 1$ is said to be *quasi-umbilical*. The well-known result of E. Cartan gives us "A hypersurface M^n , $n \geq 4$, isometrically in the Euclidean space \mathbb{E}^{n+1} , is conformally flat if and only if it is quasi umbilical." In Özgür [8], the present author studied conformally flat submanifolds with flat normal connection.

By (2.1) for a 2-quasi-umbilical hypersurface, one can get easily the following corollaries:

Corollary 2.1. *Let M^n be a 2-quasi-umbilical hypersurface of \mathbb{E}^{n+1} , $n \geq 4$, then*

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} K_{12} &= ab, \\ K_{1j} &= ac, & (j > 2) \\ K_{2j} &= bc, & (j > 2) \\ K_{ij} &= c^2, & (i, j > 2). \end{aligned}$$

where $i, j > 2$. Furthermore, $\mathcal{R}(e_i, e_j)e_k = 0$ if i, j and k are mutually different.

Theorem 2.1. [5]. *Any 2-quasi-umbilical hypersurface M^n , $\dim M^n \geq 4$, immersed isometrically in a semi-Riemannian conformally flat manifold N is a manifold with pseudosymmetric Weyl tensor.*

On the other hand, it is known that in a hypersurface M^n of a Riemannian space of constant curvature $N^{n+1}(c)$, $n \geq 4$, if M^n is a Ricci-pseudosymmetric manifold with pseudosymmetric Weyl tensor then it is a pseudosymmetric manifold (see Deszcz, Verstraelen, and Yaprak [4]). Moreover from Arslan, Deszcz, and Yaprak [1], we know that, in a hypersurface M^n of a Riemannian space of constant curvature $N^{n+1}(c)$, $n \geq 4$, the Weyl pseudosymmetry and the pseudosymmetry conditions are equivalent. So using the previous facts and Theorem 2.1 one can obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 2.2. *In the class of 2-quasi-umbilical hypersurfaces of the Euclidean space \mathbb{E}^{n+1} , $n \geq 4$, the conditions of the pseudosymmetry, Ricci pseudosymmetry and Weyl pseudosymmetry are equivalent.*

In Özgür and Arslan [9], the present author and K. Arslan studied pseudosymmetry type hypersurfaces in the Euclidean space satisfying Chen's equality. It is known that, a hypersurface satisfying Chen's equality is a special 2-quasi-umbilical hypersurface.

In this study, our aim is to generalize the study Özgür and Arslan [9] and to find the characterization of 2-quasi-umbilical hypersurfaces satisfying pseudosymmetry curvature condition. Since pseudosymmetry, Ricci-pseudosymmetry and Weyl-pseudosymmetry curvature conditions for a 2-quasi-umbilical hypersurface in the Euclidean space \mathbb{E}^{n+1} , are equivalent, it is sufficient to investigate only pseudosymmetry curvature condition.

Firstly we have:

Lemma 2.1. *Let M^n be a 2-quasi-umbilical hypersurface of \mathbb{E}^{n+1} , $n \geq 4$. Then*

$$(2.3) \quad (\mathcal{R}(e_1, e_3) \cdot \mathcal{R})(e_2, e_3)e_1 = abc[c - a]e_2,$$

$$(2.4) \quad (\mathcal{R}(e_2, e_3) \cdot \mathcal{R})(e_1, e_3)e_2 = abc[c - b]e_1.$$

Proof. Using (1.6) we have

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & (\mathcal{R}(e_1, e_3) \cdot \mathcal{R})(e_2, e_3)e_1 \\ &= \mathcal{R}(e_1, e_3)(\mathcal{R}(e_2, e_3)e_1) - \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{R}(e_1, e_3)e_2, e_3)e_1 \\ & \quad - \mathcal{R}(e_2, \mathcal{R}(e_1, e_3)e_3)e_1 - \mathcal{R}(e_2, e_3)(\mathcal{R}(e_1, e_3)e_1) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & (\mathcal{R}(e_2, e_3) \cdot \mathcal{R})(e_1, e_3)e_2 \\ &= \mathcal{R}(e_2, e_3)(\mathcal{R}(e_1, e_3)e_2) - \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{R}(e_2, e_3)e_1, e_3)e_2 \\ & \quad - \mathcal{R}(e_1, \mathcal{R}(e_2, e_3)e_3)e_2 - \mathcal{R}(e_1, e_3)(\mathcal{R}(e_2, e_3)e_2). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{R}(e_i, e_j)e_k = (A_\xi e_i \wedge A_\xi e_j)e_k$, using (2.2), one can get

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}(e_1, e_3)e_1 &= -K_{13}e_3, & \mathcal{R}(e_1, e_3)e_3 &= K_{13}e_1 \\ \mathcal{R}(e_2, e_1)e_1 &= K_{12}e_2, & \mathcal{R}(e_2, e_1)e_2 &= -K_{12}e_1 \\ \mathcal{R}(e_2, e_3)e_2 &= -K_{23}e_3, & \mathcal{R}(e_2, e_3)e_3 &= K_{23}e_2. \end{aligned}$$

So substituting (2.7) and (2.2) into (2.5) and (2.6), respectively we obtain (2.3) and (2.4). \blacksquare

Lemma 2.2. *Let M be a 2-quasi-umbilical hypersurface of \mathbb{E}^{n+1} , $n \geq 4$. Then*

$$(2.8) \quad Q(g, \mathcal{R})(e_2, e_3, e_1; e_1, e_3) = b[c - a]e_2,$$

$$(2.9) \quad Q(g, \mathcal{R})(e_1, e_3, e_2; e_2, e_3) = a[c - b]e_1.$$

Proof. Using the relation (1.7) we obtain

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} & Q(g, \mathcal{R})(e_2, e_3, e_1; e_1, e_3) \\ &= (e_1 \wedge e_3)\mathcal{R}(e_2, e_3)e_1 - \mathcal{R}((e_1 \wedge e_3)e_2, e_3)e_1 \\ & \quad - \mathcal{R}(e_2, (e_1 \wedge e_3)e_3)e_1 - \mathcal{R}(e_2, e_3)((e_1 \wedge e_3)e_1) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} & Q(g, \mathcal{R})(e_2, e_3, e_2; e_2, e_3) \\ &= (e_2 \wedge e_3)\mathcal{R}(e_2, e_3)e_2 - \mathcal{R}((e_2 \wedge e_3)e_2, e_3)e_2 \\ & \quad - \mathcal{R}(e_2, (e_2 \wedge e_3)e_3)e_2 - \mathcal{R}(e_2, e_3)((e_2 \wedge e_3)e_2). \end{aligned}$$

So substituting (2.7) and (2.2) into (2.10) and (2.11, respectively we obtain (2.8) and (2.9). \blacksquare

Using Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 we have the following theorem:

Theorem 2.2. *Let M^n be a 2-quasi-umbilical hypersurface of \mathbb{E}^{n+1} , $n \geq 4$. Then M^n is proper pseudosymmetric if and only if $a = b$ and $L_R = ac$ holds on M^n .*

Proof. Let M^n be a pseudosymmetric hypersurface in \mathbb{E}^{n+1} . Then by definition one can write

$$(2.12) \quad (\mathcal{R}(e_1, e_3) \cdot \mathcal{R})(e_2, e_3)e_1 = L_R Q(g, \mathcal{R})(e_2, e_3, e_1; e_1, e_3)$$

and

$$(2.13) \quad (\mathcal{R}(e_2, e_3) \cdot \mathcal{R})(e_1, e_3)e_2 = L_R Q(g, \mathcal{R})(e_2, e_3, e_2; e_2, e_3).$$

Since M^n is 2-quasi-umbilical then by Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 the equations (2.12) and (2.13) turn into respectively

$$(2.14) \quad b(c - a)(L_R - ac) = 0$$

and

$$(2.15) \quad a(c - b)(L_R - bc) = 0,$$

respectively. Extracting the equations (2.14) and (2.15) we get

$$(2.16) \quad cL_R(b - a) = 0.$$

Since M^n is proper pseudosymmetric, it is not semisymmetric. Then the equation (2.16) gives us $b = a$. So the principal curvatures of M^n must be of the form (a, a, c, \dots, c) , which gives us

$$(2.17) \quad (\mathcal{R}(e_1, e_3) \cdot \mathcal{R})(e_2, e_3)e_1 = a^2c[c - a]e_2,$$

$$(2.18) \quad Q(g, \mathcal{R})(e_2, e_3, e_1; e_1, e_3) = a[c - a]e_2,$$

$$(2.19) \quad (\mathcal{R}(e_2, e_3) \cdot \mathcal{R})(e_1, e_3)e_2 = a^2c[c - a]e_1,$$

and

$$(2.20) \quad Q(g, \mathcal{R})(e_1, e_3, e_2; e_2, e_3) = a[c - a]e_1.$$

So from (2.17)–(2.18) and (2.19)–(2.20) we obtain $L_R = ac$. This completes the proof of the theorem. \blacksquare

References

- [1] K. Arslan, R. Deszcz and Ş. Yaprak, On Weyl pseudosymmetric hypersurfaces, *Colloq. Math.* **72**(2)(1997), 353–361.
- [2] B. Chen, *Geometry of Submanifolds and its Applications*, Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Tokyo, 1981.
- [3] R. Deszcz, On pseudosymmetric spaces, *Bull. Soc. Math. Belg. Sér. A* **44**(1) (1992), 1–34.
- [4] R. Deszcz, L. Verstraelen and Ş. Yaprak, On hypersurfaces with pseudosymmetric Weyl tensor, in *Geometry and topology of submanifolds, VIII (Brussels, 1995/Nordfjordeid, 1995)*, 111–120, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ.
- [5] R. Deszcz, L. Verstraelen and Ş. Yaprak, On 2-quasi-umbilical hypersurfaces in conformally flat spaces, *Acta Math. Hungar.* **78**(1–2)(1998), 45–57.

- [6] R. Deszcz, L. Verstraelen and Ş. Yaprak, Hypersurfaces with pseudosymmetric Weyl tensor in conformally flat manifolds, in *Geometry and topology of submanifolds, IX (Valenciennes/Lyon/Leuven, 1997)*, 108–117, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ.
- [7] F. Dillen, M. Petrovic and L. Verstraelen, Einstein, conformally flat and semi-symmetric submanifolds satisfying Chen's equality, *Israel J. Math.* **100** (1997), 163–169.
- [8] C. Özgür, Submanifolds satisfying some curvature conditions imposed on the Weyl tensor, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **67**(1)(2003), 95–101.
- [9] C. Özgür and K. Arslan, On some class of hypersurfaces in \mathbb{E}^{n+1} satisfying Chen's equality, *Turkish J. Math.* **26**(3)(2002), 283–293.