# On the Normal Meromorphic Functions

<sup>1</sup>Rongping Zhu and <sup>2</sup>Yan Xu

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Jiangsu University Jiangsu, Zhenjiang 212003, P.R. China <sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Nanjing Normal University Nanjing 210097, P.R. China <sup>1</sup>zrp0511@ujs.edu.cn, <sup>2</sup>xuyan@njnu.edu.cn

**Abstract.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of functions meromorphic in D such that all the zeros of  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  are of multiplicity at least k (a positive integer), and let E be a set containing k + 4 points of the extended complex plane. If, for each function  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ , there exists a constant M and such that  $(1-|z|^2)^k |f^{(k)}(z)|/(1+|f(z)|^{k+1}) \leq M$  whenever  $z \in \{f(z) \in E, z \in D\}$ , then  $\mathcal{F}$  is a uniformly normal family in D, that is,  $\sup\{(1-|z|^2)^{f\#}(z): z \in D, f \in \mathcal{F}\} < \infty$ .

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30D45, 30D35

Key words and phrases: Meromorphic function, Normal family, Normal function, Uniformly normal family.

#### 1. Introduction

Let D denote the unit disk in the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$ . A function f meromorphic in D is called a normal function [4], in the sense of Lehto and Virtanen, if there exist a constant M(f) such that

$$(1 - |z|^2)f^{\#}(z) \le M(f),$$

for each  $z \in D$ , where  $f^{\#}(z) = |f'(z)|/(1 + |f(z)|^2)$  is called the spherical derivative of f.

Suppose that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a family of functions meromorphic in D such that each function of  $\mathcal{F}$  is a normal function, then, for each function  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ , there exists a constant M(f) such that

$$(1 - |z|^2)f^{\#}(z) \le M(f),$$

for each  $z \in D$ . In general, M(f) is a constant dependent on f, and we can not conclude that  $\{M(f), f \in \mathcal{F}\}$  is bounded. If  $\{M(f), f \in \mathcal{F}\}$  is bounded, we give the definition as follows.

Received: March 7, 2006; Revised: December 1, 2006.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of meromorphic functions in the unit disc D. If

$$\sup\{(1-|z|^2)f^{\#}(z): z \in D, f \in \mathcal{F}\} < \infty,$$

we call the family  $\mathcal{F}$  as a uniformly normal family in D.

**Remark 1.1.** The idea of this definition is suggested by Pang [5], and the concept of uniformly normal family seems to be connected to normal invariant families as defined by Hayman [2, p.163].

**Remark 1.2.** Clearly, if  $\mathcal{F}$  is a uniformly normal family in D, then each function  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  must be a normal function. However, the following example shows that the converse is not valid in general.

**Example 1.1.** Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{nz : n = 1, 2, 3, ...\}$ . Obviously, each  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  is a normal function in D. But  $\mathcal{F}$  is not uniformly normal in D. In fact, let  $z_n = \frac{1}{n} \in D(n \ge 2), f_n(z) = nz$ ,

$$(1 - |z_n|^2) f_n^{\#}(z_n) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{n^2}\right) \frac{n}{2} \to +\infty, \ (n \to \infty)$$

For a meromorphic function f in D and a positive integer n, the expression

$$\frac{|f^{(n)}(z)|}{1+|f(z)|^{n+1}}$$

represents an extension of the spherical derivative of f. This expression is meaningful when related to normal functions (for details, see [3]). In Xu [6], the author proved the following result, which gives a partial answer to the question due to Lappan (see [3]).

**Theorem 1.1.** Let f be a function meromorphic in D such that all the zeros of f are of multiplicity at least  $n_0(a \text{ positive integer})$ . If there exists a constant M such that

$$(1 - |z|^2)^{n_0} \frac{|f^{(n_0)}(z)|}{1 + |f(z)|^{n_0+1}} \le M$$

for each  $z \in D$ , then f is a normal function.

In this paper, we prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of functions meromorphic in D such that all the zeros of  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  are of multiplicity at least k (a positive integer), and let E be a set containing k + 4 points of the extended complex plane. If there exists a constant M such that, for each function  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ ,

$$(1 - |z|^2)^k \frac{|f^{(k)}(z)|}{1 + |f(z)|^{k+1}} \le M$$

whenever  $z \in D$  and  $f(z) \in E$ , then  $\mathcal{F}$  is a uniformly normal family in D.

130

# 2. Lemmas

To prove our result, we need some lemmas. Here we shall use the following standard notation of value distribution theory (see [1,2,7])

$$T(r, f), m(r, f), N(r, f), N(r, f), \ldots$$

We use  $\overline{N}_{(2}(r, f)$  to denote the Nevanlinna counting function of the poles of f with multiplicity  $\geq 2$ . We denote by S(r, f) any function satisfying

$$S(r,f) = o\{T(r,f)\},\$$

as  $r \to \infty$ , possibly outside a set with finite measure.

**Lemma 2.1.** [2,7] Let f be a nonconstant transcendental meromorphic function, and  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_q \in \mathbb{C} \bigcup \{\infty\} (q \ge 3)$  such that  $a_i \ne a_j (i \ne j)$ . Then

$$(q-2)T(r,f) < \sum_{i=1}^{q} \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{f-a_i}\right) + S(r,f).$$

**Lemma 2.2.** [2,7] Let f be a nonconstant transcendental meromorphic function, and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then

$$T(r, f^{(k)}) \le (k+1)T(r, f) + S(r, f).$$

The following is the well-known Zalcman's lemma [8].

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of meromorphic functions in D. If  $\mathcal{F}$  is not normal at a point  $z_0 \in D$ , then there exists a sequence of functions  $f_j \in \mathcal{F}$ , a sequence of complex numbers  $z_j \to z_0$  and a sequence of positive numbers  $\rho_j \to 0$ , such that  $f_j(z_j + \rho_j \zeta)$  spherically and uniformly converges to a non-constant meromorphic function on each compact subset of  $\mathbb{C}$ .

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.2

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\mathcal{F}$  is not a uniformly normal family in D. Then, we can find  $f_n \in \mathcal{F}, z_n \in D$ , such that

$$g_n(z) = f_n(z_n + (1 - |z_n|^2)z)$$

satisfies

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} g_n^{\#}(0) = \lim_{n \to \infty} (1 - |z_n|^2) f_n^{\#}(z_n) = \infty$$

It follows that  $\{g_n(z)\}\$  is not normal at z = 0. Thus, by Lemma 2.3, there exist a subsequence of functions  $g_n$  (without loss generality, we may assume  $g_n$ ), a sequence of points  $\zeta_n \in D, \zeta_n \to 0$ , and a sequence of positive numbers  $\rho_n \to 0$  such that

$$G_n(\zeta) = g_n(\zeta_n + \rho_n \zeta) = f_n\left(z_n + (1 - |z_n|^2)\zeta_n + (1 - |z_n|^2)\rho_n\zeta\right)$$

converges spherically and uniformly to a non-constant meromorphic function  $G(\zeta)$ on each compact subset of  $\mathbb{C}$ . Since each function  $f_n$  has only zeros of multiplicity at least k, then the limit function  $G^{(k)}(\zeta) \neq 0$ .

Obviously, there exists a point  $\zeta_0$  such that  $G(\zeta_0) \in E$  and  $|\zeta_0| < R$ , where R is a positive number (for otherwise G is a constant, a contradiction). By Hurwitz's theorem, there exists a sequence of points  $\zeta'_n, \zeta'_n \to \zeta_0$  such that

$$f_n\left(z_n + (1 - |z_n|^2)\zeta_n + (1 - |z_n|^2)\rho_n\zeta_n'\right) \in E.$$

For brevity, we use the notation  $\widehat{\zeta'_n} = z_n + (1 - |z_n|^2)\zeta_n + (1 - |z_n|^2)\rho_n\zeta'_n$ . According to the assumptions and noting that  $\widehat{\zeta'_n} \in D$  (for *n* sufficiently large), we have

$$\left(1 - \left|\widehat{\zeta'_n}\right|^2\right)^k \frac{|f_n^{(k)}(\widehat{\zeta'_n})|}{1 + |f_n(\widehat{\zeta'_n})|^{k+1}} \le M.$$

It follows that

$$\frac{|G_n^{(k)}(\zeta_n')|}{1+|G_n(\zeta_n')|^{k+1}} = \rho_n^k (1-|z_n|^2)^k \frac{|f_n^{(k)}(\widehat{\zeta_n'})|}{1+|f_n(\widehat{\zeta_n'})|^{k+1}} \le \rho_n^k M\left(\frac{1-|z_n|^2}{1-|\widehat{\zeta_n'}|^2}\right)^k$$

Since  $(1 - |z_n|^2)/(1 - |\widehat{\zeta'_n}|^2) \to 1$  as  $n \to \infty$ , we have

$$\frac{|G^{(k)}(\zeta_0)|}{1+|G(\zeta_0)|^{k+1}} = 0.$$

From this, we know that: (a)  $\zeta_0$  is a multiple pole of  $G(\zeta)$ , or (b)  $G^{(k)}(\zeta_0) = 0$ .

Without loss of generality, we may assume  $E = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{k+4}\}$ . By Lemma 2.1, we have

(3.1) 
$$(k+2)T(r,G) < \sum_{i=1}^{k+4} \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{G-a_i}\right) + S(r,G),$$

where  $a_i \in E(i = 1, 2, ..., a_{k+4})$ . By the above discussion, for each  $a_i (i = 1, 2, ..., k+4)$ , if  $G(\zeta_0) = a_i$ , then either  $\zeta_0$  is a multiple pole of  $G(\zeta)$  (in this case  $a_i = \infty$ ) or  $G^{(k)}(\zeta_0) = 0$ . We distinguish two cases.

**Case 1.**  $\infty \in E$ . Without loss of generality, we assume  $a_1 = \infty$ . Then

$$\overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{G-a_1}\right) \le \overline{N}_{(2}(r,G),$$

and

$$\sum_{i=2}^{k+4} \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-a_i}\right) \le \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G^{(k)}}\right).$$

From (3.1), and using Nevanlinna first fundamental theorem (see [2,7]) and Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{array}{ll} (k+2)T(r,G) &< \overline{N}_{(2}(r,G) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{G^{(k)}}\right) + S(r,G) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}N(r,G) + T(r,G^{(k)}) + S(r,G) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}N(r,G) + (k+1)T(r,G) + +S(r,G) \\ &\leq (k+\frac{3}{2})T(r,G) + S(r,G), \end{array}$$

that is,

$$\frac{1}{2}T(r,G) < S(r,G).$$

This is impossible since  $G(\zeta)$  is nonconstant.

**Case 2.**  $\infty \notin E$ . Similarly as in Case 1, we have

$$\begin{array}{ll} (k+2)T(r,G) &\leq \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{G^{(k)}}\right) + S(r,G) \\ &\leq T(r,G^{(k)}) + S(r,G) \\ &\leq (k+1)T(r,G) + S(r,G). \end{array}$$

Thus  $T(r,G) \leq S(r,G)$ , a contradiction. Theorem 1.2 is thus proved.

Acknowledgement. This research is supported by the NSE of China (Grant 10671093) and the Scientific Research Starting Foundation for Returned Overseas Chinese Scholars, Ministry of Education, China.

### References

- W.K. Hayman, Picard values of meromorphic functions and their derivatives, Ann. Math. 70(1959), 9–42.
- [2] W.K. Hayman, Meromorphic Functions, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1964.
- [3] P. Lappan, The spherical derivative and normal functions, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math. 3(1977), 301–310.
- [4] O. Lehto and K. Virtanen, Boundary behaviour and normal meromorphic functions, Acta Math. 97(1957), 47–56.
- [5] X.C. Pang, Normal families and normal functions of meromorphic functions, *Chinese Ann.* of Math. 21(5)(2000), 601–604.
- [6] Y. Xu, Normal functions and  $\alpha$ -normal functions, Acta Math. Sinica, English Series **16**(3)(2000), 399–404.
- [7] L. Yang, Value Distribution Theory, Springer-Verlag & Science Press, Berlin, 1993.
- [8] L. Zalcman, A heuristic principle in complex function theory, Amer. Math. Monthly 82(1975), 813–817.