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#### Abstract

Let $M^{n}$ be an $n(n \geq 3)$-dimensional complete connected and oriented hypersurface in a unit sphere $S^{n+1}(1)$ or Euclidean space $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ with constant $k$-th mean curvature $H_{k}>0(k<n)$ and with two distinct principal curvatures $\lambda$ and $\mu$ such that the multiplicity of $\lambda$ is $n-1$. We show that ( 1 ) in the case of $S^{n+1}(1)$, if $k \geq 3$ and $|h|^{2} \leq(n-1) t_{2}^{2 / k}+t_{2}^{-2 / k}$, then $M^{n}$ is isometric to the Riemannian product $S^{1}\left(\sqrt{1-a^{2}}\right) \times S^{n-1}(a)$, where $t_{2}$ is the positive real root of the function $P_{H_{k}}(t)=k t^{\frac{k-2}{k}}-(n-k) t+n H_{k}$; (2) in the case of $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$, if $|h|^{2} \leq(n-1)\left(n H_{k} /(n-k)\right)^{\frac{2}{k}}$, then $M^{n}$ is isometric to the Riemannian product $S^{n-1}(a) \times \mathbf{R}$. We extend some recent results to the case $k \geq 3$.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $M^{n+1}(c)$ be an $(n+1)$-dimensional connected Riemannian manifold with constant sectional curvature $c$. According to $c>0$ or $c=0$, it is called sphere space or Euclidean space, respectively, and it is denoted by $S^{n+1}(c), \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$. Let $M^{n}$ be an $n$-dimensional hypersurface in a unit sphere $S^{n+1}(1)$. As it is well known there are many rigidity results for hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature or constant scalar curvature in $S^{n+1}(1)$, for example, see [1-8]. In [7], Wei proved:

Theorem 1.1. Let $M^{n}$ be an $n(n \geq 3)$-dimensional complete connected and oriented hypersurface with constant mean curvature $H$ and with two distinct principal curvatures such that the multiplicity of one of the principal curvatures is $n-1$ in $S^{n+1}(1)$. If

$$
|h|^{2} \leq n+\frac{n^{3} H^{2}}{2(n-1)}-\frac{n(n-2)}{2(n-1)} \sqrt{n^{2} H^{4}+4(n-1) H^{2}}
$$

[^0]then $M^{n}$ is isometric to the Riemannin product $S^{1}(a) \times S^{n-1}\left(\sqrt{1-a^{2}}\right)$, where
$$
a^{2}=\left(1 / 2 n\left(1+H^{2}\right)\right)\left[2+n H^{2}+\sqrt{n^{2} H^{4}+4(n-1) H^{2}}\right]
$$

On the other hand, if $M^{n}$ has constant scalar curvature $n(n-1) r$ and two distinct principal curvatures, one of which is simple, Wei [8] also proved the following theorems:

Theorem 1.2. Let $M^{n}$ be an n-dimensional complete connected and oriented hypersurface in $S^{n+1}(1)$ with constant scalar curvature $n(n-1) r\left(r \neq \frac{n-2}{n-1}\right)$ and with two distinct principal curvatures, one of which is simple. If

$$
|h|^{2} \leq(n-1) \frac{n(r-1)+2}{n-2}+\frac{n-2}{n(r-1)+2}
$$

then $M^{n}$ is isometric to the Riemannian product $S^{1}\left(\sqrt{1-a^{2}}\right) \times S^{n-1}(a)$, where $a^{2}=(n-2) / n r$.
Let $H_{k}$ be the normalized $k$-th symmetric function of principal curvatures of the hypersurface $M^{n}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{n}^{k} H_{k}=\sum_{1 \leq i_{1}<i_{2}<\cdots<i_{k} \leq n} \lambda_{i_{1}} \cdots \lambda_{i_{k}}, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{n}^{k}=n!/ k!(n-k)!$. We call $H_{k}$ the $k$-th mean curvature of $M^{n}$.
We should note that for $c=1$, if $k=1, H_{1}$ is the mean curvature of $M^{n}$ and if $k=2$, from (1.1) and (2.11), we have $H_{2}=r-1$, where $r$ is the normalized scalar curvature of $M^{n}$.

Denote by $P_{H_{1}}(t)$ and $P_{H_{2}}(t)$ the following function:

$$
\begin{gather*}
P_{H_{1}}(t)=\frac{1}{t}-(n-1) t+n H_{1}  \tag{1.2}\\
P_{H_{2}}(t)=-(n-2) t+n H_{2}+2 \tag{1.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

we can rewritten Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 as follows:
Theorem 1.3. Let $M^{n}$ be an $n(n \geq 3)$-dimensional complete connected and oriented hypersurface with constant mean curvature $H_{1}$ and with two distinct principal curvatures such that the multiplicity of one of the principal curvatures is $n-1$ in $S^{n+1}(1)$. If

$$
|h|^{2} \leq(n-1) t_{2}^{2}+t_{2}^{-2}
$$

then $M^{n}$ is isometric to the Riemannin product

$$
S^{1}(a) \times S^{n-1}\left(\sqrt{1-a^{2}}\right), a^{2}=\frac{1}{2 n\left(1+H^{2}\right)}\left[2+n H^{2}+\sqrt{n^{2} H^{4}+4(n-1) H^{2}}\right]
$$

where $t_{2}$ is the positive root of (1.2).
Theorem 1.4. Let $M^{n}$ be an n-dimensional complete connected and oriented hypersurface in $S^{n+1}(1)$ with constant 2 -th mean curvature $H_{2}\left(H_{2} \neq-1 / n-1\right)$ and with two distinct principal curvatures, one of which is simple. If

$$
|h|^{2} \leq(n-1) t_{2}+t_{2}^{-1}
$$

then $M^{n}$ is isometric to the Riemannian product $S^{1}\left(\sqrt{1-a^{2}}\right) \times S^{n-1}(a), a^{2}=(n-2) / n\left(H_{2}+1\right)$, where $t_{2}$ is the root of (1.3).

Now it is natural for us to consider the problem: May we extend recent results Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4 of G. Wei $[7,8]$ to the case that $M^{n}$ has constant $k(k \geq 3)$-th mean curvature $H_{k}(k<n)$ and with two distinct principal curvatures. Denote by $P_{H_{k}}(t)$ the following function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{H_{k}}(t)=k t^{\frac{k-2}{k}}-(n-k) t+n H_{k}, \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We may solve the problem and obtain the following:
Theorem 1.5. Let $M^{n}$ be an $n(n \geq 3)$-dimensional complete connected and oriented hypersurface with constant $k(k \geq 3)$-th mean curvature $H_{k}>0(k<n)$ and with two distinct principal curvatures $\lambda$ and $\mu$ such that the multiplicity of $\lambda$ is $n-1$ in $S^{n+1}(1)$. If

$$
|h|^{2} \leq(n-1) t_{2}^{2 / k}+t_{2}^{-2 / k},
$$

then $M^{n}$ is isometric to the Riemannin product $S^{1}\left(\sqrt{1-a^{2}}\right) \times S^{n-1}(a)$, where $t_{2}$ is the positive root of (1.4).

Let $M^{n}$ be an $n$-dimensional hypersurface in a Euclidean space $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ with constant $k$-th mean curvature $H_{k}(k<n)$. Recently, Wei [9] also obtained the following result:

Theorem 1.6. Let $M^{n}$ be an $n(n \geq 3)$-dimensional complete connected and oriented hypersurface in $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ with constant $k$-th mean curvature $H_{k}>0(k<n)$ and with two distinct principal curvatures, one of which is simple. If

$$
|h|^{2} \geq(n-1)\left(\frac{n H_{k}}{n-k}\right)^{\frac{2}{k}}
$$

then $M^{n}$ is isometric to Riemannin product $S^{n-1}(a) \times \mathbf{R}$.
It is natural for us again to consider the problem: May we extend recent results Theorem 1.6 of Wei [9] to the case that $M^{n}$ has constant $k(k \geq 3)$-th mean curvature $H_{k}(k<n)$ and with two distinct principal curvatures and satisfies $|h|^{2} \leq(n-1)\left(n H_{k} /(n-k)\right)^{2 / k}$.

We solve the problem and obtain the following result:
Theorem 1.7. Let $M^{n}$ be an $n(n \geq 3)$-dimensional complete connected and oriented hypersurface in $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ with constant $k$-th mean curvature $H_{k}>0(k<n)$ and with two distinct principal curvatures, one of which is simple. If

$$
|h|^{2} \leq(n-1)\left(\frac{n H_{k}}{n-k}\right)^{\frac{2}{k}}
$$

then $M^{n}$ is isometric to Riemannin product $S^{n-1}(a) \times \mathbf{R}$.
For $c=0$, if $k=1, H_{1}$ is the mean curvature of $M^{n}$ and if $k=2$, from (1.1) and (2.11), we have $H_{2}=r$, where $r$ is the normalized scalar curvature of $M^{n}$. From Theorem 1.7, we have the following important corollaries:

Corollary 1.1. Let $M^{n}$ be an $n(n \geq 3)$-dimensional complete connected and oriented hypersurface in $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ with constant mean curvature $H$ and with two distinct principal curvatures, one of which is simple. If $|h|^{2} \leq n^{2} H^{2} /(n-1)$, then $M^{n}$ is isometric to the Riemannian product $S^{n-1}(a) \times \mathbf{R}$.

Corollary 1.2. Let $M^{n}$ be an $n(n \geq 3)$-dimensional complete connected and oriented hypersurface in $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ with constant scalar curvature $n(n-1) r$ and with two distinct principal curvatures, one of which is simple. If $|h|^{2} \leq n(n-1) r /(n-2)$, then $M^{n}$ is isometric to the Riemannian product $S^{n-1}(a) \times \mathbf{R}$.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $M^{n+1}(c)$ be an $(n+1)$-dimensional connected Riemannian manifold with constant sectional curvature $c(\geq 0)$. Let $M^{n}$ be an $n$-dimensional complete connected and oriented hypersurface in $M^{n+1}(c)$. We choose a local orthonormal frame $e_{1}, \cdots, e_{n+1}$ in $M^{n+1}(c)$ such that $e_{1}, \cdots, e_{n}$ are tangent to $M^{n}$. Let $\omega_{1}, \cdots, \omega_{n+1}$ be the dual coframe. We use the following convention on the range of indices:

$$
1 \leq A, B, C, \cdots \leq n+1 ; \quad 1 \leq i, j, k, \cdots \leq n
$$

The structure equations of $M^{n+1}(c)$ are given by

$$
\begin{gather*}
d \omega_{A}=\sum_{B} \omega_{A B} \wedge \omega_{B}, \quad \omega_{A B}+\omega_{B A}=0  \tag{2.1}\\
d \omega_{A B}=\sum_{C} \omega_{A C} \wedge \omega_{C B}+\Omega_{A B} \tag{2.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Omega_{A B}=-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{C, D} K_{A B C D} \omega_{C} \wedge \omega_{D},  \tag{2.3}\\
& K_{A B C D}=c\left(\delta_{A C} \delta_{B D}-\delta_{A D} \delta_{B C}\right) . \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Restricting to $M^{n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{n+1}=0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{n+1 i}=\sum_{j} h_{i j} \omega_{j}, \quad h_{i j}=h_{j i} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The structure equations of $M^{n}$ are

$$
\begin{gather*}
d \omega_{i}=\sum_{j} \omega_{i j} \wedge \omega_{j}, \quad \omega_{i j}+\omega_{j i}=0,  \tag{2.7}\\
d \omega_{i j}=\sum_{k} \omega_{i k} \wedge \omega_{k j}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k, l} R_{i j k l} \omega_{k} \wedge \omega_{l},  \tag{2.8}\\
R_{i j k l}=c\left(\delta_{i k} \delta_{j l}-\delta_{i l} \delta_{j k}\right)+\left(h_{i k} h_{j l}-h_{i l} h_{j k}\right),  \tag{2.9}\\
R_{i j}=(n-1) c \delta_{i j}+n H h_{i j}-\sum_{k} h_{i k} h_{k j},  \tag{2.10}\\
n(n-1)(r-c)=n^{2} H^{2}-|h|^{2}, \tag{2.11}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $n(n-1) r$ is the scalar curvature, $H$ is the mean curvature and $|h|^{2}$ is the squared norm of the second fundamental form of $M^{n}$.

The Codazzi equation and the Ricci identity are

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{i j k}=h_{i k j}, \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{i j k l}-h_{i j l k}=\sum_{m} h_{m j} R_{m i k l}+\sum_{m} h_{i m} R_{m j k l}, \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h_{i j k}$ and $h_{i j k l}$ denote the first and the second covariant derivatives of $h_{i j}$.
We choose $e_{1}, \cdots, e_{n}$ such that $h_{i j}=\lambda_{i} \delta_{i j}$. From (2.6), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{n+1 i}=\lambda_{i} \omega_{i}, \quad i=1,2, \cdots, n \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, we have from the structure equations of $M^{n}$

$$
\begin{align*}
d \omega_{n+1 i} & =d \lambda_{i} \wedge \omega_{i}+\lambda_{i} d \omega_{i} \\
& =d \lambda_{i} \wedge \omega_{i}+\lambda_{i} \sum_{j} \omega_{i j} \wedge \omega_{j} \tag{2.15}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, we have on the curvature forms of $M^{n+1}(c)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\Omega_{n+1 i} & =-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{C, D} K_{n+1 i C D} \omega_{C} \wedge \omega_{D} \\
& =-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{C, D} c\left(\delta_{n+1 C} \delta_{i D}-\delta_{n+1 D} \delta_{i C}\right) \omega_{C} \wedge \omega_{D} \\
& =-c \omega_{n+1} \wedge \omega_{i}=0 \tag{2.16}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, from the structure equations of $M^{n+1}(c)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
d \omega_{n+1 i} & =\sum_{j} \omega_{n+1 j} \wedge \omega_{j i}+\omega_{n+1 n+1} \wedge \omega_{n+1 i}+\Omega_{n+1 i} \\
& =\sum_{j} \lambda_{j} \omega_{i j} \wedge \omega_{j} . \tag{2.17}
\end{align*}
$$

From (2.15) and (2.17), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \lambda_{i} \wedge \omega_{i}+\sum_{j}\left(\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{j}\right) \omega_{i j} \wedge \omega_{j}=0 \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{i j}=\left(\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{j}\right) \omega_{i j} \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\psi_{i j}=\psi_{j i},(2.18)$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j}\left(\psi_{i j}+\delta_{i j} d \lambda_{j}\right) \wedge \omega_{j}=0 \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Cartan's lemma, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{i j}+\delta_{i j} d \lambda_{j}=\sum_{k} Q_{i j k} \omega_{k} \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q_{i j k}$ are uniquely determined functions such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{i j k}=Q_{i k j} \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Proof of main theorems

We firstly have the following Proposition 3.1 original due to Otsuki[6].
Proposition 3.1. Let $M^{n}$ be a hypersurface in $M^{n+1}(c)(c \geq 0)$ such that the multiplicities of the principal curvatures are constant. Then the distribution of the space of the principal vectors corresponding to each principal curvature is completely integrable. In particular, if the multiplicity of a principal curvature is greater than 1, then this principal curvature is constant on each integral submanifold of the corresponding distribution of the space of the principal vectors.

Let $M^{n}$ be an $n(n \geq 3)$-dimensional complete connected and oriented hypersurface with constant $k$-th mean curvature $H_{k}>0$ and with two distinct principal curvatures one of which is simple, that is, without loss of generality, we may assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{2}=\cdots=\lambda_{n-1}=\lambda, \quad \lambda_{n}=\mu \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{i}$ for $i=1,2, \cdots, n$ are the principal curvatures of $M^{n}$. Therefore, we obtain

$$
C_{n}^{k} H_{k}=C_{n-1}^{k} \lambda^{k}+C_{n-1}^{k-1} \lambda^{k-1} \mu,
$$

this implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{k-1}[(n-k) \lambda+k \mu]=n H_{k} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By changing the orientation for $M^{n}$ and renumbering $e_{1}, \cdots, e_{n}$ if necessary, we may assume that $\lambda>0$. From (3.2), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=\frac{n}{k} H_{k} \lambda^{1-k}-\frac{n-k}{k} \lambda . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\lambda-\mu=n \frac{\lambda^{k}-H_{k}}{k \lambda^{k-1}} \neq 0
$$

we know that $\lambda^{k}-H_{k} \neq 0$.
Let $\varpi=\left|\lambda^{k}-H_{k}\right|^{-\frac{1}{n}}$. We denote the integral submanifold through $x \in M^{n}$ corresponding to $\lambda$ by $M_{1}^{n-1}(x)$. Putting

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \lambda=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_{, k} \omega_{k}, \quad d \mu=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_{, k} \omega_{k} . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Proposition 3.1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda, 1=\lambda, 2=\cdots=\lambda, n-1=0 \text { on } M_{1}^{n-1}(x) . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.3), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \mu=\left[\frac{n(1-k)}{k} H_{k} \lambda^{-k}-\frac{n-k}{k}\right] d \lambda . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, we also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu, 1=\mu, 2=\cdots=\mu, n-1=0 \quad \text { on } M_{1}^{n-1}(x) . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case, we may consider locally $\lambda$ is a function of the arc length $s$ of the integral curve of the principal vector field $e_{n}$ corresponding to the principal curvature $\mu$. From (2.21), (3.4) and (3.5), we have for $1 \leq j \leq n-1$,

$$
\begin{align*}
d \lambda & =d \lambda_{j}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} Q_{j j k} \omega_{k} \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} Q_{j j k} \omega_{k}+Q_{j j n} \omega_{n} \\
& =\lambda,{ }_{n} \omega_{n} . \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{j j k}=0, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n-1, \quad \text { and } \quad Q_{j j n}=\lambda, n . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.21), (3.4) and (3.7), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
d \mu & =d \lambda_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} Q_{n n k} \omega_{k} \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} Q_{n n k} \omega_{k}+Q_{n n n} \omega_{n} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_{, i} \omega_{i} \\
& =\mu,{ }_{n} \omega_{n} . \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{n n k}=0, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n-1, \quad \text { and } \quad Q_{n n n}=\mu_{, n} . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.6), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
Q_{n n n} & =\mu, n \\
& =\left[\frac{n(1-k)}{k} H_{k} \lambda^{-k}-\frac{n-k}{k}\right] \lambda,{ }_{n} . \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

From the definition of $\psi_{i j}$, if $i \neq j$, we have $\psi_{i j}=0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $1 \leq j \leq n-1$. Therefore, from (2.21), if $i \neq j$ and $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $1 \leq j \leq n-1$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{i j k}=0, \text { for any } k \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.21), (3.9), (3.11), (3.12) and (3.13), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \psi_{j n}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} Q_{j n k} \omega_{k} \\
&=Q_{j j n} \omega_{j}+Q_{j n n} \omega_{n} \\
&=\lambda, n  \tag{3.14}\\
& \omega_{j}
\end{align*}
$$

From (2.19), (3.3) and (3.14), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega_{j n} & =\frac{\psi_{j n}}{\lambda-\mu} \\
& =\frac{\lambda, n}{\lambda-\mu} \omega_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{k \lambda^{k-1} \lambda,{ }_{n}}{n\left(\lambda^{k}-H_{k}\right)} \omega_{j} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, from the structure equations of $M^{n}$ we have

$$
d \omega_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \omega_{k} \wedge \omega_{k n}+\omega_{n n} \wedge \omega_{n}=0
$$

Therefore, we may put $\omega_{n}=d s$. By (3.8) and (3.10), we get

$$
d \lambda=\lambda,{ }_{n} d s, \quad \lambda,{ }_{n}=\frac{d \lambda}{d s}
$$

and

$$
d \mu=\mu,_{n} d s, \quad \mu,_{n}=\frac{d \mu}{d s}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\omega_{j n} & =\frac{k \lambda^{k-1} \lambda_{n}}{n\left(\lambda^{k}-H_{k}\right)} \omega_{j} \\
& =\frac{k \lambda^{k-1} \frac{d \lambda}{d s}}{n\left(\lambda^{k}-H_{k}\right)} \omega_{j} \\
& =\frac{d\left\{\log \left|\lambda^{k}-H_{k}\right|^{\frac{1}{n}}\right\}}{d s} \omega_{j} . \tag{3.16}
\end{align*}
$$

From (3.16) and the structure equations of $M^{n+1}(c)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
d \omega_{j n} & =\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \omega_{j k} \wedge \omega_{k n}+\omega_{j n} \wedge \omega_{n n}+\omega_{j n+1} \wedge \omega_{n+1 n}+\Omega_{j n} \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \omega_{j k} \wedge \omega_{k n}+\omega_{j n+1} \wedge \omega_{n+1 n}-c \omega_{j} \wedge \omega_{n} \\
& =\frac{d\left\{\log \left|\lambda^{k}-H_{k}\right|^{\frac{1}{n}}\right\}}{d s} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \omega_{j k} \wedge \omega_{k}-(\lambda \mu+c) \omega_{j} \wedge d s
\end{aligned}
$$

From (3.16), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
d \omega_{j n} & =\frac{d^{2}\left\{\log \left|\lambda^{k}-H_{k}\right|^{\frac{1}{n}}\right\}}{d s^{2}} d s \wedge \omega_{j}+\frac{d\left\{\log \left|\lambda^{k}-H_{k}\right|^{\frac{1}{n}}\right\}}{d s} d \omega_{j} \\
& =\frac{d^{2}\left\{\log \left|\lambda^{k}-H_{k}\right|^{\frac{1}{n}}\right\}}{d s^{2}} d s \wedge \omega_{j}+\frac{d\left\{\log \left|\lambda^{k}-H_{k}\right|^{\frac{1}{n}}\right\}}{d s} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \omega_{j k} \wedge \omega_{k} \\
& =\left\{-\frac{d^{2}\left\{\log \left|\lambda^{k}-H_{k}\right|^{\frac{1}{n}}\right\}}{d s^{2}}+\left[\frac{d\left\{\log \left|\lambda^{k}-H_{k}\right|^{\frac{1}{n}}\right\}}{d s}\right]^{2}\right\} \omega_{j} \wedge d s \\
& +\frac{d\left\{\log \left|\lambda^{k}-H_{k}\right|^{\frac{1}{n}}\right\}}{d s} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \omega_{j k} \wedge \omega_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

From the above two equalities, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2}\left\{\log \left|\lambda^{k}-H_{k}\right|^{\frac{1}{n}}\right\}}{d s^{2}}-\left\{\frac{d\left\{\log \left|\lambda^{k}-H_{k}\right|^{\frac{1}{n}}\right\}}{d s}\right\}^{2}-(\lambda \mu+c)=0 . \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.3) we get

$$
\frac{d^{2}\left\{\log \left|\lambda^{k}-H_{k}\right|^{\frac{1}{n}}\right\}}{d s^{2}}-\left\{\frac{d\left\{\log \left|\lambda^{k}-H_{k}\right|^{\frac{1}{n}}\right\}}{d s}\right\}^{2}-\frac{n}{k} H_{k} \lambda^{2-k}+\frac{n-k}{k} \lambda^{2}-c=0 .
$$

Since we define $\bar{\sigma}=\left|\lambda^{k}-H_{k}\right|^{-\frac{1}{n}}$, we obtain from the above equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} \bar{\varpi}}{d s^{2}}+\bar{\varpi} \frac{n H_{k}-(n-k) \lambda^{k}+c k \lambda^{k-2}}{k \lambda^{k-2}}=0 . \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can prove the following Lemmas:
Lemma 3.1. Let

$$
P_{H_{k}}(t)=k t^{\frac{k-2}{k}}-(n-k) t+n H_{k},
$$

where $t>0, k \geq 3$ and $H_{k}=$ const. $>0$. Then $P_{H_{k}}(t)$ obtains its maximum at $t_{0}=[(k-2) /$ $(n-k)]^{k / 2}$ and has a positive real root, denoted by $t_{2}$. In addition,
(1) if $H_{k} \geq t_{0}$, then
(i) if $t \geq H_{k}$, then $t \leq t_{2}$ holds if and only if $P_{H_{k}}(t) \geq 0$;
(ii) if $t \leq H_{k}$, then $P_{H_{k}}(t)>0$ for $t_{0}<t<H_{k}, P_{H_{k}}(t)>0$ for $0<t \leq t_{0}$.
(2) if $H_{k} \leq t_{0}$, then
(i) if $t \geq H_{k}$, then $t_{0} \leq t \leq t_{2}$ holds if and only if $P_{H_{k}}(t) \geq 0$ and $P_{H_{k}}(t)>0$ for $H_{k} \leq$ $t<t_{0}$;
(ii) if $t \leq H_{k}$, then $P_{H_{k}}(t)>0$ for $0<t \leq H_{k}$.

Proof. We have

$$
\frac{d P_{H_{k}}(t)}{d t}=-(n-k)+(k-2) t^{-2 / k}
$$

it follows that the solution of $d P_{H_{k}}(t) / d t=0$ is $t_{0}=[(k-2) /(n-k)]^{k / 2}>0$. Therefore, we know that if $t \leq t_{0}$ if and only if $P_{H_{k}}(t)$ is an increasing function, $t \geq t_{0}$ if and only if $P_{H_{k}}(t)$ is a decreasing function and $P_{H_{k}}(t)$ obtain its maximum at $t=t_{0}$.

Since $P_{H_{k}}(t)$ is continuous and $P_{H_{k}}(0)=n H_{k}>0$, we infer that $P_{H_{k}}(t)$ has a positive real root, denoted by $t_{2}$. Since $P_{H_{k}}\left(H_{k}\right)=k H_{k}^{\frac{k-2}{k}}+k H_{k}>0=P_{H_{k}}\left(t_{2}\right)$, we have $t_{2}>H_{k}$.

Now we prove the next part of Lemma 3.1.
(1) If $H_{k} \geq t_{0}$, we consider two cases $t \geq H_{k}$ and $t \leq H_{k}$. If $t \geq H_{k}$, since $t \geq t_{0}$ if and only if $P_{H_{k}}(t)$ is a decreasing function, we infer that if $t \geq H_{k}$, then $t \leq t_{2}$ if and only if $P_{H_{k}}(t) \geq P_{H_{k}}\left(t_{2}\right)=0$. If $t \leq H_{k}$, we have $t \in\left(0, t_{0}\right] \cup\left(t_{0}, H_{k}\right]$, from the increasing and decreasing property of $P_{H_{k}}(t)$, we easily have $P_{H_{k}}(t) \geq P_{H_{k}}\left(H_{k}\right)=k H_{k}^{\frac{k-2}{k}}+k H_{k}>0$ for $t_{0}<t \leq H_{k}, P_{H_{k}}(t)>P_{H_{k}}(0)=n H_{k}>0$ for $0<t \leq t_{0}$.
(2) If $H_{k} \leq t_{0}$, we also consider two cases $t \geq H_{k}$ and $t \leq H_{k}$. If $t \geq H_{k}$, from the increasing and decreasing property of $P_{H_{k}}(t)$, we easily know that $t_{0} \leq t \leq t_{2}$ holds if and only if $P_{H_{k}}(t) \geq P_{H_{k}}\left(t_{2}\right)=0$ and $P_{H_{k}}(t) \geq P_{H_{k}}\left(H_{k}\right)=k H_{k}^{\frac{k-2}{k}}+k H_{k}>0$ for $H_{k} \leq t<t_{0}$; If $t \leq H_{k}$, we have $t \in\left(0, H_{k}\right]$, from the increasing property of $P_{H_{k}}(t)$,
we easily have $P_{H_{k}}(t)>P_{H_{k}}(0)>0$ for $0<t \leq H_{k}$. We complete the proof of Lemma 3.1.

Lemma 3.2. Let

$$
|h|^{2}(t)=\frac{1}{k^{2} t^{(2 k-2) / k}}\left\{(n-1) k^{2} t^{2}+\left[n H_{k}-(n-k) t\right]^{2}\right\}
$$

$t>0, H_{k}=$ const. $>0$ and $k \geq 3$. Then, if $t \geq H_{k}, t \leq t_{2}$ holds if and only if $|h|^{2}(t) \leq$ $(n-1) t_{2}^{2 / k}+t_{2}^{-2 / k}$, where $t_{2}$ is the positive real root of (1.4)
Proof. We have

$$
\frac{d|h|^{2}(t)}{d t}=\frac{2 t^{(2-3 k) / k}}{k^{3}}\left(\left(n^{2}-2 n k+n k^{2}\right) t^{2}+n(k-2)(n-k) H_{k} t+(1-k) n^{2} H_{k}^{2}\right),
$$

it follows that the solution of $d|h|^{2}(t) / d t=0$ is $t=H_{k}$. Therefore, we know that if $t \leq H_{k}$ if and only if $|h|^{2}(t)$ is a decreasing function, $t \geq H_{k}$ if and only if $|h|^{2}(t)$ is an increasing function and $|h|^{2}(t)$ obtain its minimum at $t=H_{k}$.

From the proof of Lemma 3.1, we know that $t_{2}>H_{k}$. Since $t \geq H_{k}$ if and only if $|h|^{2}(t)$ is an increasing function, we infer that if $t \geq H_{k}$, then $t \leq t_{2}$ holds if and only if

$$
\begin{aligned}
|h|^{2}(t) & \leq|h|^{2}\left(t_{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{k^{2} t_{2}^{(2 k-2) / k}}\left\{(n-1) k^{2} t_{2}^{2}+\left[n H_{k}-(n-k) t_{2}\right]^{2}\right\} \\
& =\frac{1}{k^{2} t_{2}^{(2 k-2) / k}}\left((n-1) k^{2} t_{2}^{2}+\left(\left[n H_{k}-(n-k) t_{2}+k t_{2}^{\frac{k-2}{k}}\right]-k t_{2}^{\frac{k-2}{k}}\right)^{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{k^{2} t_{2}^{(2 k-2) / k}}\left((n-1) k^{2} t_{2}^{2}+\left(-k t_{2}^{\frac{k-2}{k}}\right)^{2}\right) \\
& =(n-1) t_{2}^{2 / k}+t_{2}^{-2 / k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.2.
Proof of Theorem 1.5. Firstly, we may prove that the positive function $\bar{\omega}=\left|\lambda^{k}-H_{k}\right|^{-1 / n}$ is bounded from above. In fact, from the definition of $\bar{\varpi}$ and (3.18), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} \bar{\varpi}}{d s^{2}}+\Phi\left(\frac{n}{k} H_{k}\left(H_{k}+\bar{\varpi}^{-n}\right)^{\frac{2}{k}-1}-\frac{n-k}{k}\left(H_{k}+\bar{\omega}^{-n}\right)^{\frac{2}{k}}+c\right)=0, \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\lambda^{k}-H_{k}>0$, or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} \bar{\varpi}}{d s^{2}}+\bar{\varpi}\left(\frac{n}{k} H_{k}\left(H_{k}-\bar{\varpi}^{-n}\right)^{\frac{2}{k}-1}-\frac{n-k}{k}\left(H_{k}-\bar{\varpi}^{-n}\right)^{\frac{2}{k}}+c\right)=0, \text { for } \lambda^{k}-H_{k}<0 \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying (3.19) or (3.20) by $2 \frac{d \sigma}{d s}$ and integrating, we can get

$$
\left(\frac{d \Phi}{d s}\right)^{2}+c \bar{\varpi}^{2}+\bar{\varpi}^{2}\left(H_{k}+\bar{\varpi}^{-n}\right)^{\frac{2}{k}}=C, \quad \text { for } \quad \lambda^{k}-H_{k}>0
$$

or

$$
\left(\frac{d \varpi}{d s}\right)^{2}+c \bar{\varpi}^{2}+\bar{\varpi}^{2}\left(H_{k}-\bar{\varpi}^{-n}\right)^{\frac{2}{k}}=C, \quad \text { for } \quad \lambda^{k}-H_{k}<0
$$

where $C$ is a constant. Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
c \bar{\Phi}^{2}+\bar{\omega}^{2}\left(H_{k}+\bar{\Phi}^{-n}\right)^{\frac{2}{k}} \leq C, \quad \text { for } \quad \lambda^{k}-H_{k}>0, \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
c \bar{\Phi}^{2}+\bar{\Phi}^{2}\left(H_{k}-\bar{\Phi}^{-n}\right)^{\frac{2}{k}} \leq C, \quad \text { for } \quad \lambda^{k}-H_{k}<0 . \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $c \geq 0$ and $H_{k}>0$, from (3.21) and (3.22), we infer that the positive function $\bar{\Phi}$ is bounded from above.

Denote $t=\lambda^{k}(>0)$, we have $|h|^{2}=|h|^{2}(t)$. Putting $c=1$ in (3.18), from (1.4), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} \varpi}{d s^{2}}+\varpi \frac{1}{k t^{(k-2) / k}} P_{H_{k}}(t)=0 . \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\lambda-\mu=n \frac{\lambda^{k}-H_{k}}{k \lambda^{k-1}} \neq 0
$$

we know that $\lambda^{k}-H_{k} \neq 0$, that is, $t \neq H_{k}$. Therefore, we may consider two cases $t>H_{k}$ and $t<H_{k}$.

Case (i). If $t>H_{k}$, we may also consider two subcases $H_{k} \geq t_{0}$ and $H_{k} \leq t_{0}$, where $t_{0}$ is defined in Lemma 3.1.

Subcase (i). If $H_{k} \geq t_{0}$, since $t>H_{k}$, from Lemma 3.1, Lemma 3.2 and (3.23), we have $|h|^{2}(t) \leq(n-1) t_{2}^{2 / k}+t_{2}^{-2 / k}$ if and only if $t \leq t_{2}$ if and only if $P_{H_{k}}(t) \geq 0$ and if and only if $d^{2} \varpi / d s^{2} \leq 0$. Thus $d \varpi / d s$ is a monotonic function of $s \in(-\infty,+\infty)$. Therefore, by the similar assertion in Wei [7], we have $\bar{\Phi}(s)$ must be monotonic when $s$ tends to infinity. Since $\varpi(s)$ is bounded and monotonic when $s$ tends to infinity, we know that both $\lim _{s \rightarrow-\infty} \Phi(s)$ and $\lim _{s \rightarrow+\infty} \varpi(s)$ exist and then we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{s \rightarrow-\infty} \frac{d \varpi(s)}{d s}=\lim _{s \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{d \varpi(s)}{d s}=0 . \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the monotonicity of $d \varpi(s) / d s$, we have $d \varpi(s) / d s \equiv 0$ and $\varpi(s)=$ constant. From $\bar{\omega}=\left|\lambda^{k}-H_{k}\right|^{-1 / n}$ and (3.2), we have $\lambda$ and $\mu$ are constant. Therefore, we know that $M^{n}$ is isometric to the Riemannian product $S^{1}\left(\sqrt{1-a^{2}}\right) \times S^{n-1}(a)$.

Subcase (ii). If $H_{k} \leq t_{0}$, since $t>H_{k}$, from Lemma 3.2, we have if $|h|^{2}(t) \leq(n-1) t_{2}^{2 / k}+$ $t_{2}^{-2 / k}$ then $t \leq t_{2}$. Thus, we have $t \in\left(H_{k}, t_{0}\right] \cup\left(t_{0}, t_{2}\right]$.

If $t \in\left(H_{k}, t_{0}\right]$, from Lemma 3.1, we have $P_{H_{k}}(t)>0$. From (3.23), we have $d^{2} \Phi / d s^{2}<0$. This implies that $d \varpi(s) / d s$ is a strictly monotone decreasing function of $s$ and thus it has at most one zero point for $s \in(-\infty,+\infty)$. If $d \varpi(s) / d s$ has no zero point in $(-\infty,+\infty)$, then $\Phi(s)$ is a monotone function of $s$ in $(-\infty,+\infty)$. If $d \Phi(s) / d s$ has exactly one zero point $s_{0}$ in $(-\infty,+\infty)$, then $\bar{\varpi}(s)$ is a monotone function of $s$ in both $\left(-\infty, s_{0}\right]$ and $\left[s_{0},+\infty\right)$.

On the other hand, since $\bar{\omega}(s)$ is bounded and monotonic when $s$ tends to infinity, we know that both $\lim _{s \rightarrow-\infty} \Phi(s)$ and $\lim _{s \rightarrow+\infty} \Phi(s)$ exist and (3.24) holds. This is impossible because $d \varpi(s) / d s$ is a strictly monotone decreasing function of $s$. Therefore, we know that the case $t \in\left(H_{k}, t_{0}\right]$ does not occur and we conclude that $t \in\left(t_{0}, t_{2}\right]$.

If $t \in\left(t_{0}, t_{2}\right]$, from Lemma 3.1, Lemma 3.2 and (3.23), we have $|h|^{2}(t) \leq(n-1) t_{2}^{2 / k}+$ $t_{2}^{-2 / k}$ if and only if $t \leq t_{2}$ if and only if $P_{H_{k}}(t) \geq 0$ and if and only if $d^{2} \varpi / d s^{2} \leq 0$. Thus $d \varpi / d s$ is a monotonic function of $s \in(-\infty,+\infty)$. By the same assertion in the proof of subcase (i), we know that $M^{n}$ is isometric to the Riemannian product $S^{1}\left(\sqrt{1-a^{2}}\right) \times S^{n-1}(a)$.

Case (ii). If $t<H_{k}$, we may also consider two subcases $H_{k} \geq t_{0}$ and $H_{k} \leq t_{0}$.
Subcase (i). If $H_{k} \geq t_{0}$, since $t<H_{k}$, we have $t \in\left(0, t_{0}\right] \cup\left(t_{0}, H_{k}\right)$.
If $t \in\left(0, t_{0}\right]$, from Lemma 3.1, we have $P_{H_{k}}(t)>0$. From (3.23), we have $d^{2} \varpi / d s^{2}<0$. This implies that $d \varpi(s) / d s$ is a strictly monotone decreasing function of $s$ and thus it has at most one zero point for $s \in(-\infty,+\infty)$. By the same assertion in the proof of subcase (ii) in case (i), we know that the case $t \in\left(0, t_{0}\right]$ does not occur and we conclude that $t \in\left(t_{0}, H_{k}\right)$. If $t \in\left(t_{0}, H_{k}\right)$, from Lemma 3.1, we also have $P_{H_{k}}(t)>0$. By the same assertion above, we also know that $t \in\left(t_{0}, H_{k}\right)$ does not occur.

Subcase (ii). If $H_{k} \leq t_{0}$, since $t<H_{k}$, from Lemma 3.1 and (3.23), we have $P_{H_{k}}(t)>0$ and $d^{2} \varpi / d s^{2}<0$. By the same assertion above, we may know that this subcase does not occur. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.5.
Proof of Theorem 1.7. Putting $c=0$ and $t=\lambda^{k}$ in (3.18), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} \Phi}{d s^{2}}+\varpi \frac{n H_{k}-(n-k) t}{k t^{(k-2) / k}}=0 . \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\lambda-\mu=n \frac{\lambda^{k}-H_{k}}{k \lambda^{k-1}} \neq 0,
$$

we know that $\lambda^{k}-H_{k} \neq 0$. If $\lambda^{k}-H_{k}<0$, we have $\lambda^{2}-\lambda \mu<0$, then $\lambda^{2}<\lambda \mu$, from the Gauss equation (2.9), we know that the sectional curvature of $M^{n}$ is not less than $\lambda^{2}>0$. From the result of Hartman [5], we have $M^{n}$ is isometric to a totally umbilical hypersurface. This is impossible because $M^{n}$ has two distint principal curvatures. Thus, $\lambda^{k}-H_{k}>0$, that is, $t=\lambda^{k}>H_{k}$

Since $|h|^{2}=|h|^{2}(t)$, from the assumption of Theorem 1.7, we have

$$
\frac{1}{k^{2} t^{(2 k-2) / k}}\left\{(n-1) k^{2} t^{2}+\left[n H_{k}-(n-k) t\right]^{2}\right\} \leq(n-1)\left(\frac{n H_{k}}{n-k}\right)^{\frac{2}{k}}
$$

that is

$$
\frac{1}{k^{2} t^{(2 k-2) / k}}\left[n H_{k}-(n-k) t\right]^{2} \leq \frac{n-1}{(n-k)^{\frac{2}{k}}}\left[\left(n H_{k}\right)^{\frac{2}{k}}-(n-k)^{\frac{2}{k}} t^{\frac{2}{k}}\right] .
$$

Therefore, we have $\left(n H_{k}\right)^{2 / k}-(n-k)^{2 / k} t^{2 / k} \geq 0$, that is, $n H_{k} \geq(n-k) t$ because of $t>0$. From (3.25), we have $d^{2} \varpi / d s^{2} \leq 0$. By the same assertion in the proof of Theorem 1.5, we have $\lambda$ and $\mu$ are constant. Therefore, we know that $M^{n}$ is isometric to the Riemannian product $S^{n-1}(a) \times \mathbf{R}$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.7.
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