

On Topological Congruences of a Topological Semigroup

BEHNAM KHOSRAVI

Department of Mathematics, Shahid Beheshti University, Tehran, Iran
Department of Mathematics, Institute for Advanced Studies in Basic Sciences,
Zanjan 45137-66731, Iran
behnam_kho@yahoo.com

Abstract. We continue the study of the topological Rees congruences on a topological semigroup. First, we give some necessary and sufficient conditions for the question “when the quotient space of S over one of its ideal I is a k_ω -space?”, then we use it to generalize the Rees version of Lawson and Madison’s well-known theorem about topological congruences on S .

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 22A15, 54D50

Keywords and phrases: Topological semigroup, topological congruence, quotient topology, k_w -space.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

In this paper all spaces are assumed to be Hausdorff. Let S be a topological semigroup and θ be a closed congruence on it. It is a famous problem that “When the quotient semigroup S/θ with the quotient topology is a topological semigroup?”. This problem was studied by Wallace in [14] and in fact, he was one of the first mathematicians who worked on this problem. Latter Lawson and Madison in [9] studied this question and more specially they raised and studied the Rees version of this question “When the quotient semigroup S/I with the quotient topology is a topological semigroup?” (they also studied this question for a locally compact topological semigroup). In the following, we mention some of the earlier works about this question (for other similar results see [8, 10, 11, 12]). Wallace in [14] proved that if S is a compact topological semigroup and ρ is a closed congruence on it, then S/ρ is a compact topological semigroup. This result was generalized by Lawson and Madison in [9] and they proved the following theorem.

Lawson and Madison’s Theorem. Let S be a locally compact, σ -compact Hausdorff topological semigroup and θ be a closed congruence on S . Then S/θ is a topological semigroup.

Later, Gonzalez studied σ -compact locally compact spaces and he presented a new proof for Lawson and Madison’s theorem in [5]. Gutik and Pavlyk in [6] showed that if S is a topological semigroup and I is a compact ideal of S , then S/I is a topological semigroup. It is a known fact that a closed Rees congruences on a topological semigroup is not necessarily

Communicated by Rosihan M. Ali, Dato’.

Received: April 22, 2010; Revised: July 7, 2010.

topological and there are examples of H -closed metrizable topological semigroups which have Rees congruences that are not topological (see [6, 7]). Almost all the works about this question use some strong topological assumption and they used rarely the algebraic structures of topological semigroups (see [6, 9]). However, we need to consider both of these structures for a complete answer. In this paper, we investigate conditions which are closely related to ideals and topological structures of S . First, we give necessary and sufficient condition on S and a closed ideal I of S such that S/I is a k_w -space, then we use this result to generalize Lawson and Madison's well known theorem. As a consequence of our results, we show that for a topological semigroup S and a closed ideal I , if $S \setminus I^\circ$ is σ -compact, then for every closed ideal J in S such that $I \subseteq J$, S/J is a topological semigroup.

We recall that a semigroup S with a topology τ_S is a *topological semigroup* if the multiplication $\lambda : S \times S \rightarrow S$ is jointly continuous, where $S \times S$ has the product topology. A Hausdorff space X is called a *k-space*, if it has the weak topology determined by the family of its compact subsets. A locally compact space is *σ -compact*, if it can be expressed as the union of at most countably many compact spaces K_n (so that a subset $A \subseteq X$ is closed whenever $A \cap K_n$ is closed in K_n for all n). A space X is a *k_w -space*, if it is the union of a countable collection $\{K_n\}$ of compact subsets so that a subset $A \subseteq X$ is closed whenever $A \cap K_n$ is closed in K_n for all n (therefore, any σ -compact space is k_w -space). For an ideal I in S , the *Rees congruence* ρ_I is equal to $\Delta_S \cup (I \times I)$, where $\Delta_S = \{(s, s) : s \in S\}$. For a congruence θ on S , if we consider the set of equivalence classes of θ , $\{[t] : t \in S\}$, and define $[t][s] := [ts]$, then $\{[t] : t \in S\}$ with this multiplication is a semigroup denoted by S/θ . For simplicity, we denote S/ρ_I by S/I . If ρ is a congruence in S , which is closed in $S \times S$, it is called a *closed congruence* (see [5]). A closed ideal I of S is called *regular*, if for any $s \in S \setminus I$, there exist open neighborhoods V_s and W_I of s and I , respectively, such that $V_s \cap W_I = \emptyset$. A *topological congruence* on a topological semigroup S is a semigroup congruence θ (that is, if $s\theta s'$ where $s, s' \in S$, then for any $t \in S$, $ts\theta ts'$ and $st\theta st'$) such that the semigroup S/θ with the quotient topology is a topological semigroup (Note that we assume that topological semigroups are Hausdorff). A closed congruence which is topological, is called a *closed topological congruence*. It is a known fact that for a closed ideal I of S , the quotient space S/I is Hausdorff if and only if I is regular in S . For a closed subset A of S , we denote the boundary of A in S by $\partial(A)$. Finally, we recall the Second Isomorphism Theorem for topological semigroups (we can find the general form of this theorem for any congruence in [2, Theorem 2.1]).

Theorem 1.1 (Second Isomorphism Theorem for Rees Congruences). *Let S be a topological semigroup and let I be a closed ideal of S . Then every topological Rees congruences on S/I has the form J/I , where J is a closed ideal of S such that ρ_J is a topological congruence on S and $I \subseteq J$.*

2. Topological Rees congruences

Before we begin our investigation, we invite the reader to the following remark which gives the structure of open sets in S/I for some closed ideal I of S . Furthermore, we present the following notations needed in the sequel.

Remark 2.1. Let S be a topological semigroup, I be a closed ideal of S and π_I be the natural quotient map from S to S/I . It is straightforward to see that the family of open sets in S/I is

equal to

$$\tau_I := \{\pi_I(O) : O \text{ is an open set in } S \text{ such that either } O \cap I = \emptyset \text{ or } I \subseteq O.\}$$

(Recall that a set O is open in the quotient topology, if the inverse image of O under π_I is open in S .)

Notation 1.

- (i) Let X be a topological space and $\{Y_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in J}$ be a family of its subspaces. If X has the weak topology induced by $\{Y_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in J}$, then we denote it by $X = \Sigma_{\alpha \in J} Y_\alpha$ (if X has the weak topology induced by two subspaces Z and Y , then we denote it by $X = Z \oplus Y$). Recall that if X has the weak topology induced by a family $\{Y_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in J}$ of its subspaces, then a set O is open in X if and only if $O \cap Y_\alpha$ is open in Y_α for any $\alpha \in J$ (for more details about the weak topology, see [3]).
- (ii) Let S be a topological semigroup and I be a closed ideal of S . Let τ be the following topology on $S \setminus I^\circ$ which is defined by

$$\tau := \{O \mid O \text{ is open in } S \text{ and either } O \cap I = \emptyset, \text{ or } I \subseteq O\}.$$

From now on, we denote the underlying set of $S \setminus I^\circ$ with the topology τ by S_I .

Theorem 2.1. *Let S be a topological semigroup and I be a closed ideal of S . The following conditions are equivalent*

- (i) S/I is a Hausdorff k_ω -space;
- (ii) I is regular in S and $S = I \oplus (\Sigma_{n \in J} K'_n)$, where $J \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and either $K'_n \cap I = \emptyset$ and K'_n is compact in S , or $K'_n = I \cup K_n$, where K_n is compact in S_I .

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Let S/I be a Hausdorff k_ω -space and π be the natural quotient map from S to S/I . Since $I = S$ is a trivial case, suppose that $I \neq S$. Since S/I is a k_ω -space, it is equal to the weak topology induced by a family of its compact subsets $\{\tilde{K}_n\}_{n \in J}$, where $J \subseteq \mathbb{N}$. Clearly, since S/I is Hausdorff, I is regular in S . Define $K'_n := \pi^{-1}(\tilde{K}_n)$. Note that clearly for any $n \in J$, K'_n is closed in S and if $[y] \notin \tilde{K}_n$, where $y \in I$, then K'_n is compact in S . We show that $S = I \oplus (\Sigma_{n \in J} K'_n)$. Let A be a subset of S such that $A \cap K'_n$ is closed in K'_n for any $n \in J$ and $A \cap I$ is closed in I . First, we show that $\pi(A)$ is closed in S/I , then we show that A is closed in S .

Case (i): If $A \cap I = \emptyset$, then since for any $n \in J$, $\pi(A) \cap \tilde{K}_n = \pi(A) \cap \pi(K'_n) = \pi(A \cap K'_n)$, $\pi(A) \cap \tilde{K}_n$ is closed in \tilde{K}_n , for any $n \in J$. Hence $\pi(A)$ is closed in S/I . Since $\pi|_A$ is one-one and π is a quotient map, A is a closed subset of S .

Case (ii): Let $A \cap I \neq \emptyset$ and consider an arbitrary K'_n and fix it. If $K'_n \cap I = \emptyset$, then $\pi(A \cap K'_n)$ is closed in \tilde{K}_n , because $A \cap K'_n$ is compact in S and π is continuous.

If $K'_n \cap I \neq \emptyset$, then $A \cap I \neq \emptyset$ and $I \subseteq K'_n$. Clearly, since $\pi^{-1}(\pi(A) \cap \tilde{K}_n) = (A \cup I) \cap K'_n = I \cup (A \cap K'_n)$, and since π is a quotient map, $\pi(A) \cap \tilde{K}_n$ is closed in \tilde{K}_n . Therefore, by the first and the second part of the proof in Case (ii), since K'_n is arbitrary, $\pi(A)$ is closed in S/I . Hence $A \cup I$ is closed in S . Clearly $(A \cup I) \setminus I^\circ$ is closed in S . Since we know that $A \cap I$ is closed, to prove our assertion, we show that $A \setminus (A \cap I^\circ)$ is closed in S (since $A = (A \cap I) \cup (A \setminus (A \cap I^\circ))$). Note that $\overline{(A \setminus (A \cap I^\circ))} \subseteq (A \cup I) \setminus I^\circ = (A \setminus I^\circ) \cup \partial I$. Therefore, if $x \in \partial(\overline{(A \setminus (A \cap I^\circ))})$, then $x \in A \setminus I^\circ$ or $x \in \partial I$. For the nontrivial case, let $x \in \partial I$. Since

S/I is Hausdorff, there exists a nontrivial open set O in S which contains I , and since S/I is a k_ω -space, $\pi(O) \cap \tilde{K}_n$ is open in any \tilde{K}_n . Therefore, x belongs to $\pi^{-1}(\tilde{K}_n^\circ) \subseteq (K'_n)^\circ$. Let $(a_\alpha)_{\alpha \in D}$ be a net in $A \setminus (A \cap I^\circ)$ which converges to x . Since $a_\alpha \rightarrow x$, there exists a $\beta \in D$ such that for any $\alpha \geq \beta$, $a_\alpha \in K'_n \cap A$. Since $K'_n \cap A$ is closed in K'_n , x belongs to $K'_n \cap A \subseteq A$. Therefore A is a closed subset of S .

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) Let $S = I \oplus (\sum_{n \in J} K'_n)$, for some subset $J \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and $\{K'_n\}_{n \in J}$ which fulfil the condition in (ii). Define $\tilde{K}_n := \pi(K'_n)$. Clearly, by Remark 2.1, \tilde{K}_n is compact for any $n \in J$. Let \tilde{O} be a subset of S/I such that $\tilde{O} \cap \tilde{K}_n$ is open in \tilde{K}_n for any $n \in J$. Therefore, for any $n \in J$, $\pi^{-1}(\tilde{O} \cap \tilde{K}_n) \subseteq K'_n$ and $\pi^{-1}(\tilde{O})$ is open in K'_n . Hence since $\pi^{-1}(\tilde{O}) \cap K'_n = \pi^{-1}(\tilde{O} \cap \tilde{K}_n)$, $\pi^{-1}(\tilde{O})$ is open in S . Therefore \tilde{O} is open in S/I . Hence S/I is a k_ω -space. ■

Remark 2.2. Note that the above theorem is true for the equivalence relation generated by the closed subset I of a topological space.

Proposition 2.1. *Let S be a topological semigroup and I be a regular closed ideal of S . If $S = I \oplus (\sum_{n \in Y} K'_n)$ where $Y \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and either $K'_n \cap I = \emptyset$ and K'_n is compact in S , or $K'_n = I \cup K_n$, where K_n is compact in S_I , then S/I is a topological semigroup.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.1, S/I is a Hausdorff k_w -space. Therefore by [9, Proposition 2.3 (a)], $S/I \times S/I$ is a Hausdorff k_w -space. Therefore by [9, Proposition 2.2], $\pi_I \times \pi_I$ is a quotient map. Now by [9, Proposition 2.1], S/I is a topological semigroup. ■

Finally, to show an application of our results in this note, we prove the following theorem which is a generalization of Lawson and Madison’s Theorem.

Theorem 2.2. *Let S be a topological semigroup which is a k -space and I be a regular closed ideal of S .*

- (i) *If $S \setminus I^\circ$ is a k_ω -space, then S/I is topological.*
- (ii) *If $S \setminus I^\circ$ is σ -compact,*

then for any closed ideal J in S where $I \subseteq J$, S/J is a topological semigroup.

Proof. First we prove (i). Let S be a topological semigroup and I be a closed ideal of S such that $S \setminus I^\circ$ is a k_ω -space. Therefore, there exists a countable family of compact sets in $S \setminus I^\circ$ like, $\{X_n\}_{n \in Y}$, where $Y \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, such that $S \setminus I^\circ = \sum_{n \in Y} X_n$. Define

$$X'_n := \begin{cases} X_n, & \text{if } X_n \cap I = \emptyset \\ X_n \cup I, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

It is straightforward to see that $S = I \oplus (\sum_{n \in Y} X'_n)$ and X'_n satisfies the conditions in Theorem 2.1. Therefore S/I is a k_ω -space. Since S and $S/I \times S/I$ are both Hausdorff k -spaces, then by [9, Proposition 2.2], $\pi_I \times \pi_I$ is a quotient map. Now by [9, Proposition 2.1], the induced multiplication on S/I is continuous and ρ_I is a topological congruence.

To prove part (ii), first note that since $S \setminus I^\circ$ is σ -compact, S/I is a Hausdorff k_w -space. On the other hand, by part (i), S/I is a topological semigroup. Therefore by [9, Corollary 2.4] for every ideal $I \subseteq J$, $(S/I)/(J/I)$ is a topological semigroup. Now by the Second Isomorphism Theorem, the result is obvious. ■

To illustrate better the application of the above theorem, we apply it in the next example.

Before we state our next result, we recall that an element z is called *zero* in a semigroup S , if for any $s \in S$ we have $zs = sz = z$.

Example 2.1. Let $S = S^0$ be a locally compact σ -compact topological semigroup with an adjoined zero 0_S as an isolated point. Let $T = T^0$ be a σ -compact non-locally compact topological semigroup with an adjoined zero 0_T as an isolated point. Define the equivalence relation ρ on the discrete sum of S^0 and T^0 as follows

$$\rho := \{(0_S, 0_T)\} \cup \Delta_S \cup \Delta_T \cup \{(0_T, 0_S)\}.$$

It is straightforward to see that

$$S' := (S^0 \oplus T^0) / \rho$$

with multiplication $\lambda : S' \times S' \rightarrow S'$ defined by

$$\lambda(x, y) := \begin{cases} [x \cdot_S y] & , (x, y \in S) \\ [x \cdot_T y] & , (x, y \in T) \\ [0] & , \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is a topological semigroup. Now clearly S' is Hausdorff locally compact, but it is not σ -compact. Let I be a closed ideal of S . Clearly, $I \cup \{0_S\}$ is an ideal of S^0 . It is straightforward to see that $\pi(I \cup \{0_S\} \cup T^0)$ is a closed ideal of S' , where π is the natural quotient map from $S^0 \oplus T^0$ to $(S^0 \oplus T^0) / \rho$. Now by Theorem 2.2, the corresponding Rees congruence of ideal $\pi(I \cup \{0_S\} \cup T^0)$ is topological and for any Rees congruence ρ_J , where $I \subseteq J$ and J is a closed ideal of S , ρ_J is topological.

As another consequence of the results in this note, we have

Corollary 2.1. *Let S be a topological semigroup which is a k -space and let I be a minimal ideal of S and $S \setminus I^0$ be σ -compact. If $S = I \oplus (\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} K'_n)$ where $J \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and either $K'_n \cap I = \emptyset$ and K'_n is compact in S , or $K'_n = I \cup K_n$, where K_n is compact in S_I , then all the closed Rees congruences on S are topological.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.2 part (ii), S/I is a topological semigroup and all the closed Rees congruences of S which contain ρ_I are topological congruences. But since I is a minimal ideal of S , all the Rees congruences of S are topological congruences. ■

Finally in this note, we continue Lawson and Madison’s study of the relation between separation axioms on S/I and the continuity of its multiplication (see the question on page 20 of [9]).

Let \mathcal{A} be a class of topological semigroups. A semigroup $S \in \mathcal{A}$ is called *H-closed in* \mathcal{A} , if S is a closed subsemigroup of any topological semigroup $T \in \mathcal{A}$ which contains S as a subsemigroup. If \mathcal{A} coincides with the class of all topological semigroups, then the semigroup S is called *H-closed*. A topological semigroup $S \in \mathcal{A}$ is called absolutely *H-closed* in the class \mathcal{A} , if any continuous homomorphic image of S into $T \in \mathcal{A}$ is *H-closed* in \mathcal{A} . Let $\{A_\alpha | \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$ and $\{B_\beta | \beta \in \mathcal{B}\}$ be two covers of a space Y . $\{A_\alpha\}$ is said to *refine* (or be a *refinement of*) $\{B_\beta\}$ if for each A_α there is some B_β with $A_\alpha \subseteq B_\beta$. A Hausdorff topological space X is called *paracompact* if every open cover of X has a locally finite open refinement.

Remark 2.3. Hryniv in [7] showed that there is a locally compact topological semigroup with a closed ideal I such that S/I is not a topological semigroup. Later, Gutik and Pavlyk in [6] presented another example and showed that there exists an absolutely *H-closed* ideal I of an absolutely *H-closed* countable metrizable topological semigroup S such that S/I is not a topological semigroup. We use Hryniv’s example to study the relation between separation

axioms and the continuity of the multiplication of S/I . This relation was studied by Lawson and Madison in [9]. By Hryniv's example (or similarly by Gutik and Pavlyk's example [6, Theorem 15]) we know that there exists a locally compact metrizable topological semigroup S and a closed ideal $I \leq S$ such that S/I is not topological. Since by [3, Theorem IX.5.3], every metric space is paracompact, and since by [3, Theorem VIII.2.2], every paracompact space is normal, S/I is a normal space; however, we know that S/I is not a topological semigroup. Therefore the continuity of the multiplication of S/I is independent from the normality of the space S/I .

Acknowledgement. The author is highly grateful to the referees for the valuable suggestions and corrections that help improved the manuscript. The author is also highly grateful to Professor Lawson and Professor Gutik for their insightful communications and assistance.

References

- [1] J. F. Berglund, H. D. Junghenn and P. Milnes, *Analysis on semigroups*, Canadian Mathematical Society Series of Monographs and Advanced Texts, Wiley, New York, 1989.
- [2] J. H. Carruth, J. A. Hildebrandt and R. J. Koch, *The theory of topological semigroups*, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 75, Dekker, New York, 1983.
- [3] J. Dugundji, *Topology*, Allyn & Bacon, Boston, MA, 1978.
- [4] R. Engelking, *General topology*, translated from the Polish by the author, second edition, Sigma Series in Pure Mathematics, 6, Heldermann, Berlin, 1989.
- [5] G. González, Closed congruences on semigroups, *Divulg. Mat.* **9** (2001), no. 1, 103–107.
- [6] O. V. Gutik and K. P. Pavlyk, On Brandt λ^0 -extensions of semigroups with zero, *Mat. Metodi Fiz.-Mekh. Polya* **49** (2006), no. 3, 26–40.
- [7] O. Hryniv, Quotient topologies on topological semilattices, *Mat. Stud.* **23** (2005), no. 2, 136–142.
- [8] J. Lawson and A. Lisan, Flows, congruences, and factorizations, *Topology Appl.* **58** (1994), no. 1, 35–46.
- [9] J. Lawson and B. Madison, On congruences and cones, *Math. Z.* **120** (1971), 18–24.
- [10] B. L. Madison, Quotients of locally compact semigroups, *Proc. of Second Florida Symposium on Semigroups and Automata*, (1971).
- [11] B. L. Madison, Quotients of locally compact semigroups, *Semigroup Forum* **22** (1981), no. 3, 277–281.
- [12] B. L. Madison and E. Stepp, Inversion and joint continuity in semigroups on k_w -spaces, *Semigroup Forum* **15** (1978), no. 2, 195–198.
- [13] J. R. Munkres, *Topology*, Second edition, Prentice-Hall, New Jersey, 2000.
- [14] A. D. Wallace, The structure of topological semigroups, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **61** (1955), 95–112.