

Generalized Frames on Super Hilbert Spaces

A. ABDOLLAHI AND E. RAHIMI

Department of Mathematics, College of Sciences, Shiraz University, Shiraz 71454, Iran
abdollahi@shirazu.ac.ir, erh@iaushiraz.net

Abstract. In this paper we study the relationship between g-frames for super Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}$ and g-frames for \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{K} and frames for \mathcal{H} , \mathcal{K} and $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}$. Also the concepts of disjoint g-frames and strong complementary g-frame are introduced and studied.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary: 41A58; Secondary: 42C15

Keywords and phrases: g-frames, g-orthonormal bases, g-Riesz bases, disjoint g-frames, complementary g-frame.

1. Introduction

The concept of frame was introduced by Duffin and Schaeffer [2] to study nonharmonic Fourier series in 1952. Frames are generalizations of orthonormal bases in Hilbert spaces. If \mathcal{H} is a Hilbert space, $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$ is called super Hilbert space in literatures [1, 3, 4] and have been widely studied recently. For example, Balan [1] introduced the concept of super frames and presented some density results for Weyl-Heisenberg super frames. In [4], Han and Larson derived necessary and sufficient conditions for the direct sum of two frames to be a super frame, and in [3], Gu and Han investigated the connection between decomposable Parseval wavelet frames and super wavelet frames, and gave some necessary and sufficient conditions for extendable Parseval wavelet frames. In [6], a generalization of the frame concept was introduced. Sun introduced a g-frame in a Hilbert space and showed that this includes more other cases of generalizations of frame concept and proved that many basic properties can be derived within this more general context. G-frames and g-Riesz bases in complex Hilbert spaces have some properties similar to those of frames, Riesz bases, but not all the properties are similar (see [6]). In [7], some properties of g-frames for super Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$ with respect to \mathbb{C}^2 were studied. The authors showed that a g-frame associated with a frame for $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$ remains a g-frame whenever any one of its elements is removed. Furthermore, they showed that the excess of such a g-frame is at least $\dim \mathcal{H}$. In this paper we study the relationship between g-frames for super Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}$ and g-frames for \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{K} and frames for \mathcal{H} , \mathcal{K} and $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}$. Also we characterize g-frames operators for super Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}$ via the g-frame operators for \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{K} .

Communicated by Mohammad Sal Moslehian.

Received: May 7, 2010; Revised: August 18, 2010.

Throughout this paper \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K} are separable Hilbert spaces and $\{\mathcal{H}_i\}_{i \in J}$ is a sequence of separable Hilbert spaces, where J is a subset of \mathbb{Z} , $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i)$ is the collection of all bounded linear operators from \mathcal{H} to \mathcal{H}_i . For each sequence $\{\mathcal{H}_i\}_{i \in J}$, we define the space $\bigoplus_{i \in J} \mathcal{H}_i$ by

$$\bigoplus_{i \in J} \mathcal{H}_i = \{ \{f_i\}_{i \in J} : f_i \in \mathcal{H}_i, i \in J \text{ and } \sum_{i \in J} \|f_i\|^2 < \infty \}.$$

With the inner product defined by

$$\langle \{f_i\}, \{g_i\} \rangle = \sum_{i \in J} \langle f_i, g_i \rangle,$$

it is clear that $\bigoplus_{i \in J} \mathcal{H}_i$ is a Hilbert space.

A frame for a complex Hilbert space \mathcal{H} is a family of vectors $\{f_i\}_{i \in J}$ so that there are two positive constants A and B satisfying

$$A\|f\|^2 \leq \sum_{i \in J} |\langle f, f_i \rangle|^2 \leq B\|f\|^2, f \in \mathcal{H}.$$

The constants A and B are called lower and upper frame bounds.

We say that the frame pairs $(\{f_i\}, \{g_i\})$ and $(\{h_i\}, \{k_i\})$ are similar if there are bounded invertible operators T_1 and T_2 such that $f_i = T_1 h_i$ and $g_i = T_2 k_i$ for all $i \in J$. A pair of frames $\{f_i : i \in J\}$ and $\{g_i : i \in J\}$ is called disjoint if $\{(f_i, g_i) : i \in J\}$ is a frame for $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$. A pair of normalized tight frames $\{f_i : i \in J\}$ and $\{g_i : i \in J\}$ is called strongly disjoint if $\{(f_i, g_i) : i \in J\}$ is a normalized tight frame for $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$, and a pair of general frames $\{f_i : i \in J\}$ and $\{g_i : i \in J\}$ is called strongly disjoint if it is similar to a strongly disjoint pair of normalized tight frames.

Let $\{f_i : i \in J\}$ be a normalized tight frame for \mathcal{H} . If there exists a Hilbert space \mathcal{K} and a normalized tight frame $\{g_i : i \in J\}$ for \mathcal{K} such that $\{(f_i, g_i) : i \in J\}$ is an orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}$, we call $\{g_i : i \in J\}$ a strong complementary frame to $\{f_i : i \in J\}$, and we call $(\{f_i\}, \{g_i\})$ a strong complementary pair. If $\{f_i : i \in J\}$ is a general frame, we will define a strong complement to $\{f_i : i \in J\}$ to be any frame $\{g_i : i \in J\}$ such that the pair $(\{f_i\}, \{g_i\})$ is similar to a strong complementary pair of normalized tight frames.

We say that $\{f_i\}_{i \in J}$ is a Riesz basis for \mathcal{H} , if $\{f_i\}_{i \in J}$ is complete in \mathcal{H} and there exist constants $0 < A \leq B < \infty$, such that for all sequences of scalars $c = \{c_i\}_{i \in J}$,

$$A \sum_{i \in J} |c_i|^2 \leq \left\| \sum_{i \in J} c_i f_i \right\|^2 \leq B \sum_{i \in J} |c_i|^2.$$

Riesz bases are special cases of frames.

A sequence $\{\Lambda_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ is called a generalized frame, or simply a g-frame, for \mathcal{H} with respect to $\{\mathcal{H}_i\}_{i \in J}$ if there exist two positive constants A and B such that for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$A\|f\|^2 \leq \sum_{i \in J} \|\Lambda_i f\|^2 \leq B\|f\|^2.$$

The constants A and B are called the lower and upper g-frame bounds, respectively. If $A = B$ we call this g-frame a tight g-frame and if $A = B = 1$ it is called a normalized tight g-frame. We say simply a g-frame for \mathcal{H} whenever the space sequence \mathcal{H}_i is clear. If we only have the upper bound, we call $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in J}$ a g-Bessel sequence with bound B. We say that $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in J}$ is g-complete, if $\{f : \Lambda_i f = 0, \forall i \in J\} = \{0\}$ and is called g-orthonormal basis for \mathcal{H} , if

$$\langle \Lambda_i^* g_i, \Lambda_j^* g_j \rangle = \delta_{i,j} \langle g_i, g_j \rangle, i, j \in J, g_i \in \mathcal{H}_i, g_j \in \mathcal{H}_j,$$

and

$$\sum_{i \in J} \|\Lambda_i f\|^2 = \|f\|^2.$$

We say that $\{\Lambda_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ is a g -Riesz basis for \mathcal{H} , if it is g -complete and there exist constants $0 < A \leq B < \infty$, such that for any finite subset $I \subseteq J$ and $g_i \in \mathcal{H}_i, i \in I$,

$$A \sum_{i \in I} \|g_i\|^2 \leq \left\| \sum_{i \in I} \Lambda_i^* g_i \right\|^2 \leq B \sum_{i \in I} \|g_i\|^2.$$

Theorem 1.1. [6] Let $\{\Lambda_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ be a g -frame for \mathcal{H} with respect to $\{\mathcal{H}_i\}_{i \in J}$. The operator

$$S : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}, Sf = \sum_{i \in J} \Lambda_i^* \Lambda_i f,$$

is a positive invertible operator and every $f \in \mathcal{H}$ has an expansion

$$f = \sum_{i \in J} S^{-1} \Lambda_i^* \Lambda_i f = \sum_{i \in J} \Lambda_i^* \Lambda_i S^{-1} f.$$

So $\{\tilde{\Lambda}_i = \Lambda_i S^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ is a g -frame for \mathcal{H} with respect to $\{\mathcal{H}_i\}_{i \in J}$ and is called canonical dual g -frame of $\{\Lambda_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$. The operator S is called the g -frame operator of $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in J}$.

Definition 1.1. [5] Let $\{\Lambda_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ be a g -frame for \mathcal{H} . Then the synthesis operator for $\{\Lambda_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ is the operator

$$T : \bigoplus_{i \in J} \mathcal{H}_i \longrightarrow \mathcal{H},$$

defined by

$$T(\{f_i\}_{i \in J}) = \sum_{i \in J} \Lambda_i^*(f_i).$$

We call the adjoint T^* of the synthesis operator the analysis operator. The analysis operator for $\{\Lambda_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ is the operator

$$T^* : \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in J} \mathcal{H}_i,$$

defined by

$$T^*(f) = \{\Lambda_i(f)\}_{i \in J}.$$

Proposition 1.1. [5] Let $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in J}$ be a sequence in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i)$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in J}$ is a g -frame for \mathcal{H} ;
- (ii) The operator $T : (\{f_i\}_{i \in J}) \mapsto \sum_{i \in J} \Lambda_i^*(f_i)$ is well-defined and bounded from $(\bigoplus_{i \in J} \mathcal{H}_i)_2$ onto \mathcal{H} ;
- (iii) The operator $S : f \mapsto \sum_{i \in J} \Lambda_i^* \Lambda_i f$ is well-defined and bounded from \mathcal{H} onto \mathcal{H} .

In order to present the main results of this paper, we need the following Theorem which can be found in [6] and gives a characterization of g -frames.

Theorem 1.2. Let $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in J}$ be a sequence in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i)$, $\{e_{i,k} : k \in K_i\}$ be an orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{H}_i, i \in J$ where K_i is a subset of \mathbb{Z} and let $\psi_{i,k} = \Lambda_i^* e_{i,k}$. Then we have the followings.

- (i) $\Lambda_i f = \sum_{k \in K_i} \langle f, \psi_{i,k} \rangle e_{i,k}$, and $\{\Lambda_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ is a *g-frame* (resp. *g-Bessel sequence*, *tight g-frame*, *g-Riesz basis*, *g-orthonormal basis*) for \mathcal{H} if and only if $\{\psi_{i,k} : i \in J, k \in K_i\}$ is a *frame* (resp. *Bessel sequence*, *tight frame*, *Riesz basis*, *orthonormal basis*) for \mathcal{H} .
- (ii) The *g-frame operator* for $\{\Lambda_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ coincides with the *frame operator* for $\{\psi_{i,k} : i \in J, k \in K_i\}$.
- (iii) Moreover, $\{\Lambda_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ and $\{\tilde{\Lambda}_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ are a pair of (canonical) dual *g-frames* if and only if the induced sequences are a pair of (canonical) dual frames.

We call $\{\psi_{i,k} : i \in J, k \in K_i\}$ the sequence induced by $\{\Lambda_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ with respect to $\{e_{i,k} : k \in K_i\}$.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we study the relationship between *g-frames* for super Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}$ with respect to $\mathcal{H}_i \oplus \mathcal{H}'_i$, \mathcal{H}_i and *g-frames* for \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{K} with respect to \mathcal{H}_i and \mathcal{H}'_i and frames for \mathcal{H} , \mathcal{K} and $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}$. Also we give generalized version of disjoint frames and strong complementary frame and the concepts of disjoint *g-frames* and strong complementary *g-frame* are introduced and studied. We show that strong complementary *g-frames* to a given *g-frame* are similar and strongly disjoint pairs of *g-frames* on the same Hilbert space have some useful additional structural properties. Also we give some other properties of *g-frames*.

2. Main results

The following Proposition is proved in [5, Theorem 2.20], we give another proof.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $\{\Lambda_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ be a normalized tight *g-frame* for \mathcal{H} with respect to $\{\mathcal{H}_i\}_{i \in J}$. Then there exists a Hilbert space $\mathcal{K} \supseteq \mathcal{H}$ and a *g-orthonormal basis* $\{\Theta_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ for \mathcal{K} with respect to $\{\mathcal{H}_i\}_{i \in J}$ such that $\Lambda_i = \Theta_i P$, where P is the orthogonal projection from \mathcal{K} onto \mathcal{H} .*

Proof. By using Theorem 1.2, $\Lambda_i f = \sum_{k \in K_i} \langle f, \psi_{i,k} \rangle e_{i,k}$ where $\{\psi_{i,k}\}$ is a normalized tight frame for \mathcal{H} , by [4, Proposition 1.1] there exists a Hilbert space $\mathcal{K} \supseteq \mathcal{H}$ and an orthonormal basis $\{\phi_{i,k}\}$ for \mathcal{K} such that $\psi_{i,k} = P\phi_{i,k}$, where P is the orthogonal projection from \mathcal{K} onto \mathcal{H} . Let $\Theta_i f = \sum_{k \in K_i} \langle f, \phi_{i,k} \rangle e_{i,k}$. By Theorem 1.2, $\{\Theta_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ is a *g-orthonormal basis* for \mathcal{K} with respect to $\{\mathcal{H}_i\}_{i \in J}$, and

$$\Theta_i P f = \sum_{k \in K_i} \langle P f, \phi_{i,k} \rangle e_{i,k} = \sum_{k \in K_i} \langle f, \psi_{i,k} \rangle e_{i,k} = \Lambda_i f. \quad \blacksquare$$

Corollary 2.1.

- (i) Suppose that $\{\Lambda_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ is a *g-orthonormal basis* for \mathcal{H} , and V is a partial isometry in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$. Then $\{\Lambda_i V\}$ is a normalized tight *g-frame* for the range of V .
- (ii) Let $\{\Lambda_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ be a normalized tight *g-frame* for \mathcal{H} with respect to $\{\mathcal{H}_i\}_{i \in J}$ and $\{\Theta_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ be a *g-orthonormal basis* for a Hilbert space \mathcal{K} with respect to $\{\mathcal{H}_i\}_{i \in J}$. If T is the isometry defined by $T(f) = \sum_{i \in J} \Theta_i^* \Lambda_i f$, then $\Theta_i T = \Lambda_i$, and $\Lambda_i T^* = \Theta_i P$ for all $i \in J$, where P is the projection from \mathcal{K} onto the range of T . More generally, if $\{\Lambda_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ is a general frame for \mathcal{H} , then T defined above is a bounded linear operator and $\Theta_i T = \Lambda_i$ for all $i \in J$.

- (iii) Suppose that $\{\Lambda_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ is a normalized tight g -frame for \mathcal{H} with respect to $\{\mathcal{H}_i\}_{i \in J}$ and $\{e_{i,k} : k \in K_i\}$ is an orthonormal basis for \mathcal{H}_i , $i \in J$ where K_i is a subset of \mathbb{Z} . Then we have $\sum_{i \in J} \sum_{k \in K_i} \|\Lambda_i^* e_{i,k}\|^2$ is equal to the dimension of \mathcal{H} .

Proof. (i) Statement (i) follows from the definition.

(ii) By using Theorem 1.2, $\Lambda_i f = \sum_{k \in K_i} \langle f, \psi_{i,k} \rangle e_{i,k}$, where $\{\psi_{i,k}\}$ is a normalized tight frame for \mathcal{H} , and $\Theta_i^* f_i = \sum_{k \in K_i} \langle f_i, e_{i,k} \rangle \phi_{i,k}$, where $\{\phi_{i,k}\}$ is an orthonormal basis for \mathcal{H} . Hence we have

$$T(f) = \sum_{i \in J} \Theta_i^* \Lambda_i f = \sum_{i \in J} \sum_{k \in K_i} \langle f, \psi_{i,k} \rangle \phi_{i,k}.$$

Further, by [4, Corollary 1.2], $T^* \phi_{i,k} = \psi_{i,k}$ and $T \psi_{i,k} = P \phi_{i,k}$, and it follows

$$\Theta_i T(f) = \sum_{k \in K_i} \langle T f, \phi_{i,k} \rangle e_{i,k} = \sum_{k \in K_i} \langle f, \psi_{i,k} \rangle e_{i,k} = \Lambda_i f,$$

and

$$\Lambda_i T^*(f) = \sum_{k \in K_i} \langle T^* f, \psi_{i,k} \rangle e_{i,k} = \sum_{k \in K_i} \langle f, P \phi_{i,k} \rangle e_{i,k} = \Theta_i P f,$$

for all $i \in J$.

- (iii) Since $\psi_{i,k} = \Lambda_i^* e_{i,k}$, the conclusion follows from [4, Corollary 1.2]. ■

Let $(\varphi_i, \psi_i) \in \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$ and $\Lambda_i f = (\langle f, \varphi_i \rangle, \langle f, \psi_i \rangle)^\perp$, $\forall f \in \mathcal{H}$, the authors in [7] have proved $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in J}$ is a g -frame for \mathcal{H} with respect to \mathbb{C}^2 if and only if $\{\varphi_i\}_{i \in J} \cup \{\psi_i\}_{i \in J}$ is a frame for \mathcal{H} . The following Proposition is its extension to g -frames.

Proposition 2.2. Let $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in J}$ and $\{\Gamma_i\}_{i \in J}$ be sequences in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i)$, $\{e_{i,k} : k \in K_i\}$ be an orthonormal basis for \mathcal{H}_i , $\Theta_i f = (\Lambda_i f, \Gamma_i f)$, $\psi_{i,k} = \Lambda_i^* e_{i,k}$, and $\phi_{i,k} = \Gamma_i^* e_{i,k}$. Then $\{\psi_{i,k} : i \in J, k \in K_i\} \cup \{\phi_{i,k} : i \in J, k \in K_i\}$ is a frame (resp. Bessel sequence, tight frame, Riesz basis, orthonormal basis) for \mathcal{H} if and only if $\{\Theta_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i \oplus \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ is a g -frame (resp. g -Bessel sequence, tight g -frame, g -Riesz basis, g -orthonormal basis) for \mathcal{H} .

Proof. By using Theorem 1.2 we have $\Lambda_i f = \sum_{k \in K_i} \langle f, \psi_{i,k} \rangle e_{i,k}$ and $\Gamma_i f = \sum_{k \in K_i} \langle f, \phi_{i,k} \rangle e_{i,k}$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i \in J} \|(\Lambda_i \oplus \Gamma_i)(f)\|^2 &= \sum_{i \in J} \|\Lambda_i(f)\|^2 + \sum_{i \in J} \|\Gamma_i(f)\|^2 \\ &= \sum_{i \in J} \sum_{k \in K_i} |\langle f, \psi_{i,k} \rangle|^2 + \sum_{i \in J} \sum_{k \in K_i} |\langle f, \phi_{i,k} \rangle|^2 \\ &= \sum_{i \in J} \sum_{k \in K_i} (|\langle f, \psi_{i,k} \rangle|^2 + |\langle f, \phi_{i,k} \rangle|^2), \end{aligned}$$

we conclude $\{\psi_{i,k} : i \in J, k \in K_i\} \cup \{\phi_{i,k} : i \in J, k \in K_i\}$ is a frame (resp. Bessel sequence, tight frame) for \mathcal{H} if and only if $\{\Theta_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i \oplus \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ is a g -frame (resp. g -Bessel sequence, tight g -frame) for \mathcal{H} .

Since $\{e_{i,k} : k \in K_i\}$ is an orthonormal basis for \mathcal{H}_i , every $f_i, g_i \in \mathcal{H}_i$ have expansions of the form $g_i = \sum_{k \in K_i} c_{i,k} e_{i,k}$ and $f_i = \sum_{k \in K_i} c'_{i,k} e_{i,k}$, where $\{c_{i,k}, c'_{i,k} : k \in K_i\} \in l^2(K_i)$. It follows that

$$\left\| \sum_{i \in I} \Theta_i^*(g_i, f_i) \right\|^2 = \left\| \sum_{i \in I} \Lambda_i^* g_i + \Gamma_i^* f_i \right\|^2 = \left\| \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{k \in K_i} c_{i,k} \psi_{i,k} + c'_{i,k} \phi_{i,k} \right\|^2,$$

hence $\{\psi_{i,k} : i \in J, k \in K_i\} \cup \{\phi_{i,k} : i \in J, k \in K_i\}$ is a Riesz basis if and only if $\{\Theta_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i \oplus \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ is a g-Riesz basis.

On the other hand if $g_{i,p}, g_{i,p'} \in \mathcal{H}_i$ and $g_{j,q}, g_{j,q'} \in \mathcal{H}_j$ we have $\Lambda_i^* g_{i,p} = \sum_{k \in K_i} \langle g_{i,p}, e_{i,k} \rangle \psi_{i,k}$ and $\Gamma_i^* g_{i,p'} = \sum_{k \in K_i} \langle g_{i,p'}, e_{i,k} \rangle \phi_{i,k}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \langle \Theta_i^*(g_{i,p}, g_{i,p'}), \Theta_j^*(g_{j,q}, g_{j,q'}) \rangle \rangle \\ &= \langle \Lambda_i^* g_{i,p} + \Gamma_i^* g_{i,p'}, \Lambda_j^* g_{j,q} + \Gamma_j^* g_{j,q'} \rangle \\ &= \langle \Lambda_i^* g_{i,p}, \Lambda_j^* g_{j,q} \rangle + \langle \Gamma_i^* g_{i,p'}, \Gamma_j^* g_{j,q'} \rangle + \langle \Lambda_i^* g_{i,p}, \Gamma_j^* g_{j,q'} \rangle + \langle \Gamma_i^* g_{i,p'}, \Lambda_j^* g_{j,q} \rangle \\ &= \sum_{k \in K_i} \sum_{l \in K_j} \langle g_{i,p}, e_{i,k} \rangle \langle e_{j,l}, g_{j,q} \rangle \langle \psi_{i,k}, \psi_{j,l} \rangle + \sum_{k \in K_i} \sum_{l \in K_j} \langle g_{i,p'}, e_{i,k} \rangle \langle e_{j,l}, g_{j,q'} \rangle \langle \phi_{i,k}, \phi_{j,l} \rangle \\ &+ \sum_{k \in K_i} \sum_{l \in K_j} \langle g_{i,p}, e_{i,k} \rangle \langle e_{j,l}, g_{j,q'} \rangle \langle \psi_{i,k}, \phi_{j,l} \rangle + \sum_{k \in K_i} \sum_{l \in K_j} \langle g_{i,p'}, e_{i,k} \rangle \langle e_{j,l}, g_{j,q} \rangle \langle \phi_{i,k}, \psi_{j,l} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Then if $\{\psi_{i,k} : i \in J, k \in K_i\} \cup \{\phi_{i,k} : i \in J, k \in K_i\}$ is an orthonormal basis we conclude $\{\Theta_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i \oplus \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ is a g-orthonormal basis.

If $\{\Theta_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i \oplus \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ is a g-orthonormal basis, since $\langle \psi_{i,k}, \psi_{j,l} \rangle = \langle \Lambda_i^* e_{i,k}, \Lambda_j^* e_{j,l} \rangle$ and $\langle \psi_{i,k}, \phi_{j,l} \rangle = \langle \Lambda_i^* e_{i,k}, \Gamma_j^* e_{j,l} \rangle$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \langle \Theta_i^*(g_{i,k}, g_{i,k'}), \Theta_j^*(g_{j,l}, g_{j,l'}) \rangle \rangle &= \langle \Lambda_i^* g_{i,k} + \Gamma_i^* g_{i,k'}, \Lambda_j^* g_{j,l} + \Gamma_j^* g_{j,l'} \rangle \\ &= \langle \Lambda_i^* g_{i,k}, \Lambda_j^* g_{j,l} \rangle + \langle \Gamma_i^* g_{i,k}, \Gamma_j^* g_{j,l'} \rangle \\ &+ \langle \Lambda_i^* g_{i,k'}, \Gamma_j^* g_{j,l} \rangle + \langle \Gamma_i^* g_{i,k}, \Lambda_j^* g_{j,l'} \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

if let $g_{i,k} = g_{j,l} = 0$ and $g_{i,k'} = e_{i,k'}, g_{j,l'} = e_{j,l'}$ we have

$$\langle \Theta_i^*(g_{i,k}, g_{i,k'}), \Theta_j^*(g_{j,l}, g_{j,l'}) \rangle = \langle \phi_{i,k}, \phi_{j,l} \rangle = \delta_{i,j} \delta_{k,l},$$

and if let $g_{i,k'} = g_{j,l'} = 0$ and $g_{i,k} = e_{i,k}, g_{j,l} = e_{j,l}$ we have

$$\langle \Theta_i^*(g_{i,k}, g_{i,k'}), \Theta_j^*(g_{j,l}, g_{j,l'}) \rangle = \langle \psi_{i,k}, \psi_{j,l} \rangle = \delta_{i,j} \delta_{k,l},$$

also if let $g_{i,k'} = e_{i,k'}, g_{j,l'} = 0$ and $g_{i,k} = e_{i,k}, g_{j,l} = e_{j,l}$ we have

$$\delta_{i,j} \langle e_{i,k} e_{j,l} \rangle = \langle \Theta_i^*(g_{i,k}, g_{i,k'}), \Theta_j^*(g_{j,l}, g_{j,l'}) \rangle = \langle \psi_{i,k}, \psi_{j,l} \rangle + \langle \psi_{i,k}, \phi_{j,l} \rangle.$$

Then $\{\psi_{i,k} : i \in J, k \in K_i\} \cup \{\phi_{i,k} : i \in J, k \in K_i\}$ is an orthonormal basis for \mathcal{H} . ■

The following is an immediate consequence.

Corollary 2.2. Let $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in J}$ and $\{\Gamma_i\}_{i \in J}$ be sequences in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i)$ and $\Theta_i f = (\Lambda_i f, \Gamma_i f)$. Then $\{\Lambda_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\} \cup \{\Gamma_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ is a g-frame (resp. g-Bessel sequence, tight g-frame, g-Riesz basis, g-orthonormal basis) for \mathcal{H} if and only if $\{\Theta_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i \oplus \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ is a g-frame (resp. g-Bessel sequence, tight g-frame, g-Riesz basis, g-orthonormal basis) for \mathcal{H} .

Putting Definition 1.2 and Corollary 2.2 together, we get

Proposition 2.3. Let $\{\Theta_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i \oplus \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$, $\{\Lambda_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ and $\{\Gamma_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ be g-frames where $\Theta_i(f) = (\Lambda_i f, \Gamma_i f)$. Then the synthesis operator for $\{\Theta_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i \oplus \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ is the operator

$$T : \bigoplus_{i \in J} (\mathcal{H}_i \oplus \mathcal{H}_i) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H},$$

defined by

$$T(\{(f_i, g_i)\}_{i \in J}) = \sum_{i \in J} (\Lambda_i^* f_i + \Gamma_i^* g_i).$$

The analysis operator for $\{\Theta_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i \oplus \mathcal{H}'_i) : i \in J\}$ is the operator

$$T^* : \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in J} (\mathcal{H}_i \oplus \mathcal{H}'_i),$$

defined by

$$T^* f = \{(\Lambda_i f, \Gamma_i f)\}_{i \in J}.$$

Also the g-frame operator for $\{\Theta_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i \oplus \mathcal{H}'_i) : i \in J\}$ is the operator

$$S_\Theta : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$$

defined by

$$S_\Theta f = \sum_{i \in J} \Lambda_i^* \Lambda_i f + \sum_{i \in J} \Gamma_i^* \Gamma_i f = S_\Lambda f + S_\Gamma f.$$

Proposition 2.4. Let $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in J}$ and $\{\Gamma_i\}_{i \in J}$ be sequences in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i)$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}'_i)$ respectively. Then $\{\Lambda_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ and $\{\Gamma_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}'_i) : i \in J\}$ are g-frames (resp. g-Bessel sequences, tight g-frames, g-Riesz bases, g-orthonormal bases) for \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{H} if and only if $\{\Lambda_i \oplus \Gamma_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i \oplus \mathcal{H}'_i) : i \in J\}$ is a g-frame (resp. g-Bessel sequence, tight g-frame, g-Riesz basis, g-orthonormal basis) for $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$.

Proof. Since

$$\sum_{i \in J} \|(\Lambda_i \oplus \Gamma_i)(f, g)\|^2 = \sum_{i \in J} \|\Lambda_i(f)\|^2 + \sum_{i \in J} \|\Gamma_i(g)\|^2,$$

then $\{\Lambda_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ and $\{\Gamma_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}'_i) : i \in J\}$ are g-frames (resp. g-Bessel sequences, tight g-frames) for \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{H} if and only if $\{\Lambda_i \oplus \Gamma_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i \oplus \mathcal{H}'_i) : i \in J\}$ is a g-frame (resp. g-Bessel sequence, tight g-frame) for $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$. For any finite subset $I \subseteq J$, $f_i \in \mathcal{H}'_i$, $g_i \in \mathcal{H}_i$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{i \in I} (\Lambda_i \oplus \Gamma_i)^*(g_i, f_i) \right\|^2 &= \left\| \sum_{i \in I} (\Lambda_i^* g_i, \Gamma_i^* f_i) \right\|^2 = \left\| \left(\sum_{i \in I} \Lambda_i^* g_i, \sum_{i \in I} \Gamma_i^* f_i \right) \right\|^2 \\ &= \left\| \sum_{i \in I} \Lambda_i^* g_i \right\|^2 + \left\| \sum_{i \in I} \Gamma_i^* f_i \right\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

and so $\{\Lambda_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ and $\{\Gamma_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}'_i) : i \in J\}$ are g-Riesz bases for \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{H} if and only if $\{\Lambda_i \oplus \Gamma_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i \oplus \mathcal{H}'_i) : i \in J\}$ is a g-Riesz basis for $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$.

On the other hand if $g_{i,l} \in \mathcal{H}_i$, $g_{i,k} \in \mathcal{H}'_i$ and $g_{j,l'} \in \mathcal{H}_j$, $g_{j,k'} \in \mathcal{H}'_j$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (\Lambda_i \oplus \Gamma_i)^*(g_{i,l}, g_{i,k}), (\Lambda_j \oplus \Gamma_j)^*(g_{j,l'}, g_{j,k'}) \rangle &= \langle (\Lambda_i^* g_{i,l}, \Gamma_i^* g_{i,k}), (\Lambda_j^* g_{j,l'}, \Gamma_j^* g_{j,k'}) \rangle \\ &= \langle \Lambda_i^* g_{i,l}, \Lambda_j^* g_{j,l'} \rangle + \langle \Gamma_i^* g_{i,k}, \Gamma_j^* g_{j,k'} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

then $\{\Lambda_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ and $\{\Gamma_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}'_i) : i \in J\}$ are g-orthonormal bases for \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{H} if and only if $\{\Lambda_i \oplus \Gamma_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i \oplus \mathcal{H}'_i) : i \in J\}$ is a g-orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$. ■

Corollary 2.3. A set $\{\Lambda_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ is a normalized tight g-frame for \mathcal{H} with respect to $\{\mathcal{H}_i\}_{i \in J}$ if and only if there exists a Hilbert space \mathcal{M} and a normalized tight g-frame $\{\Gamma_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ for \mathcal{M} such that $\{\Lambda_i \oplus \Gamma_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{H}_i \oplus \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ is a normalized tight g-frame for $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{M}$ with respect to $\{\mathcal{H}_i \oplus \mathcal{H}_i\}_{i \in J}$ and we have $\langle (\Lambda_i \oplus \Gamma_i)^*(f_i, f_i), (\Lambda_j \oplus \Gamma_j)^*(f_j, f_j) \rangle = \delta_{i,j} \langle f_i, f_j \rangle$.

Proof. If $\{\Lambda_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ is a normalized tight g-frame for \mathcal{H} , by using Proposition 2.1, there exists a Hilbert space $\mathcal{K} \supseteq \mathcal{H}$ and a g-orthonormal basis $\{\Theta_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ for \mathcal{K} such that $\Lambda_i = \Theta_i P$, where P is the orthogonal projection from \mathcal{K} onto \mathcal{H} . Let $\mathcal{M} = (I - P)\mathcal{K}$ and $\Gamma_i = \Theta_i(I - P)$. Then, by Proposition 2.4, $\{\Lambda_i \oplus \Gamma_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{H}_i \oplus \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ is a normalized tight g-frame for $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{M}$. Also we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (\Lambda_i \oplus \Gamma_i)^*(f_i, f_i), (\Lambda_j \oplus \Gamma_j)^*(f_j, f_j) \rangle &= \langle \Lambda_i^* f_i, \Lambda_j^* f_j \rangle + \langle \Gamma_i^* f_i, \Gamma_j^* f_j \rangle \\ &= \langle P\Theta_i^* f_i, P\Theta_j^* f_j \rangle + \langle (I - P)\Theta_i^* f_i, (I - P)\Theta_j^* f_j \rangle \\ &= \langle \Theta_i^* f_i, \Theta_j^* f_j \rangle = \delta_{i,j} \langle f_i, f_j \rangle. \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.5. Let $\{\Theta_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H}_i \oplus \mathcal{H}'_i) : i \in J\}$, $\{\Lambda_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ and $\{\Gamma_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H}'_i) : i \in J\}_{i \in J}$ be g-frames where $\Theta_i(f, g) = (\Lambda_i f, \Gamma_i g)$. Then the synthesis operator for $\{\Theta_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H}_i \oplus \mathcal{H}'_i) : i \in J\}$ is the operator

$$T : \bigoplus_{i \in J} (\mathcal{H}_i \oplus \mathcal{H}'_i) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K},$$

defined by

$$T(\{(f_i, g_i)\}_{i \in J}) = \left(\sum_{i \in J} \Lambda_i^* f_i, \sum_{i \in J} \Gamma_i^* g_i \right).$$

The analysis operator for $\{\Theta_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H}_i \oplus \mathcal{H}'_i) : i \in J\}$ is the operator

$$T^* : \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K} \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in J} (\mathcal{H}_i \oplus \mathcal{H}'_i),$$

defined by

$$T^*(f, g) = \{(\Lambda_i f, \Gamma_i g)\}_{i \in J}.$$

Also the g-frame operator for $\{\Theta_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H}_i \oplus \mathcal{H}'_i) : i \in J\}$ is the operator

$$S_{\Theta} : \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K},$$

defined by

$$S_{\Theta}(f, g) = \left(\sum_{i \in J} \Lambda_i^* \Lambda_i f, \sum_{i \in J} \Gamma_i^* \Gamma_i g \right) = (S_{\Lambda} f, S_{\Gamma} g).$$

Proposition 2.6. Let $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in J}$ and $\{\Gamma_i\}_{i \in J}$ be sequences in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i)$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H}'_i)$ respectively, and $\{(e'_{i,k}, e''_{i,k}) : k \in K_i\}$ be an orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{H}_i \oplus \mathcal{H}'_i$, $i \in J$ where K_i is a subset of \mathbb{Z} and let $\psi_{i,k} = \Lambda_i^* e'_{i,k}$, $\phi_{i,k} = \Gamma_i^* e''_{i,k}$. Then $\{(\psi_{i,k}, \phi_{i,k}) : i \in J, k \in K_i\}$ is a frame (resp. Bessel sequence, tight frame, Riesz basis, orthonormal basis) for $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}$ if and only if $\{\Lambda_i \oplus \Gamma_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H}_i \oplus \mathcal{H}'_i) : i \in J\}$ is a g-frame (resp. g-Bessel sequence, tight g-frame, g-Riesz basis, g-orthonormal basis) for $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}$.

Proof. Since $(\Lambda_i \oplus \Gamma_i)^* = \Lambda_i^* \oplus \Gamma_i^*$ and $\{(e'_{i,k}, e''_{i,k}) : k \in K_i\}$ is an orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{H}_i \oplus \mathcal{H}'_i$, $i \in J$ we have $(\Lambda_i^* \oplus \Gamma_i^*)(e'_{i,k}, e''_{i,k}) = (\psi_{i,k}, \phi_{i,k})$, and hence $\{(\psi_{i,k}, \phi_{i,k}) : i \in J, k \in K_i\}$ is the sequence induced by $\{\Lambda_i \oplus \Gamma_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H}_i \oplus \mathcal{H}'_i) : i \in J\}$ with respect to $\{(e'_{i,k}, e''_{i,k}) : i \in J, k \in K_i\}$. So, by Theorem 1.2, $\{(\psi_{i,k}, \phi_{i,k}) : i \in J, k \in K_i\}$ is a frame (resp.

Bessel sequence, tight frame, Riesz basis, orthonormal basis) for $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}$ if and only if $\{\Lambda_i \oplus \Gamma_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H}_i \oplus \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ is a g-frame (resp. g-Bessel sequence, tight g-frame, g-Riesz basis, g-orthonormal basis) for $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}$. \blacksquare

Proposition 2.7.

- (i) If T is a co-isometry and $\{\Lambda_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ is a g-frame for \mathcal{H} , then $\{\Lambda_i T^*\}_{i \in J}$ is a g-frame. Moreover, $\{\Lambda_i T^*\}_{i \in J}$ is a normalized tight g-frame if $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in J}$ is.
- (ii) Suppose that $\{\Lambda_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ and $\{\Gamma_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ are normalized tight g-frames, and suppose that T is a bounded linear operator which satisfies $\Lambda_i T^* = \Gamma_i$ for all $i \in J$. Then T is a co-isometry. If T is invertible, then it is unitary.

Proof. (i) Since T is a co-isometry, T^* is an isometry. Hence, for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$A\|f\|^2 = A\|T^*f\|^2 \leq \sum_{i \in J} \|\Lambda_i T^*f\|^2 \leq B\|T^*f\|^2 = B\|f\|^2.$$

(ii) If $\{\Lambda_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ and $\{\Gamma_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ are normalized tight g-frames, the induced sequences $\{\psi_{i,k} : i \in J, k \in K_i\}$ and $\{\phi_{i,k} : i \in J, k \in K_i\}$ by $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in J}$ and $\{\Gamma_i\}_{i \in J}$ respectively, are normalized tight frames. Since $\Lambda_i T^* = \Gamma_i$ we conclude $T\psi_{i,k} = \phi_{i,k}$, and so by Proposition 1.9(ii) of [4], T is a co-isometry and if T is invertible, then it is unitary. \blacksquare

Proposition 2.8. Let $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in J}$ and $\{\Gamma_i\}_{i \in J}$ be sequences in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i)$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H}_i)$ respectively, and $\{e_{i,k} : k \in K_i\}$ be an orthonormal basis for \mathcal{H}_i , $i \in J$ where K_i is a subset of \mathbb{Z} and let $\psi_{i,k} = \Lambda_i^* e_{i,k}$, $\phi_{i,k} = \Gamma_i^* e_{i,k}$ and $\Theta_i(f, g) = \Lambda_i f + \Gamma_i g$. Then $\{(\psi_{i,k}, \phi_{i,k}) : i \in J, k \in K_i\}$ is a frame (resp. Bessel sequence, tight frame, Riesz basis, orthonormal basis) for $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}$ if and only if $\{\Theta_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ is a g-frame (resp. g-Bessel sequence, tight g-frame, g-Riesz basis, g-orthonormal basis) for $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}$ with respect to $\{\mathcal{H}_i\}_{i \in J}$.

Proof. Since $\Theta_i^* e_{i,k} = (\psi_{i,k}, \phi_{i,k})$, by using Theorem 1.2, we have $\{(\psi_{i,k}, \phi_{i,k}) : i \in J, k \in K_i\}$ is a frame (resp. Bessel sequence, tight frame, Riesz basis, orthonormal basis) for $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}$ if and only if $\{\Theta_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ is a g-frame (resp. g-Bessel sequence, tight g-frame, g-Riesz basis, g-orthonormal basis) for $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}$ with respect to $\{\mathcal{H}_i\}_{i \in J}$. \blacksquare

Proposition 2.9. Let $\{\Theta_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$, $\{\Lambda_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ and $\{\Gamma_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}_{i \in J}$ be g-frames where $\Theta_i(f, g) = \Lambda_i f + \Gamma_i g$. Then the synthesis operator for $\{\Theta_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ is the operator

$$T : \bigoplus_{i \in J} \mathcal{H}_i \longrightarrow \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K},$$

defined by

$$T(\{f_i\}_{i \in J}) = \left(\sum_{i \in J} \Lambda_i^* f_i, \sum_{i \in J} \Gamma_i^* f_i \right).$$

The analysis operator for $\{\Theta_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ is the operator

$$T^* : \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K} \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in J} \mathcal{H}_i,$$

defined by

$$T^*(f, g) = \{(\Lambda_i f + \Gamma_i g)\}_{i \in J}.$$

Also the g-frame operator for $\{\Theta_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ is the operator

$$S_{\Theta} : \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}$$

defined by

$$S_{\Theta}(f, g) = \left(\sum_{i \in J} (\Lambda_i^* \Lambda_i f + \Lambda_i^* \Gamma_i g), \sum_{i \in J} (\Gamma_i^* \Lambda_i f + \Gamma_i^* \Gamma_i g) \right).$$

We say that the g-frame pairs $(\{\Lambda_i\}, \{\Gamma_i\})$ and $(\{Y_i\}, \{\Theta_i\})$ are similar if there are bounded invertible operators T_1 and T_2 such that $\Lambda_i = Y_i T_1$ and $\Gamma_i = \Theta_i T_2$ for all $i \in J$. A pair of g-frames $\{\Lambda_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ and $\{\Gamma_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}_{i \in J}$ is called disjoint if $\{\Theta_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ where $\Theta_i(f, g) = \Lambda_i f + \Gamma_i g$ is a g-frame for $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}$. A pair of normalized tight g-frames $\{\Lambda_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ and $\{\Gamma_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}_{i \in J}$ is called strongly disjoint if $\{\Theta_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ is a normalized tight g-frame for $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}$, and a pair of general g-frames $\{\Lambda_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ and $\{\Gamma_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ is called strongly disjoint if it is similar to a strongly disjoint pair of normalized tight g-frames.

Corollary 2.4. *A pair of g-frames $\{\Lambda_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ and $\{\Gamma_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}_{i \in J}$ is disjoint (resp. strongly disjoint) if and only if $\{\psi_{i,k} : i \in J, k \in K_i\}$ and $\{\phi_{i,k} : i \in J, k \in K_i\}$ is a pair of disjoint (resp. strongly disjoint) frames where $\psi_{i,k} = \Lambda_i^* e_{i,k}$ and $\phi_{i,k} = \Gamma_i^* e_{i,k}$.*

Corollary 2.5. *If a pair of normalized tight frames $\{\Lambda_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ and $\{\Gamma_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}_{i \in J}$ is strongly disjoint, then for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$ and $g \in \mathcal{K}$ we have*

$$\sum_{i \in J} \Lambda_i^* \Gamma_i g = 0, \quad \sum_{i \in J} \Gamma_i^* \Lambda_i f = 0.$$

Han and Larson in [4] have proved that $\{\phi_i\}_{i \in J}$ is a normalized tight frame in a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} if and only if there is a Hilbert space \mathcal{K} and a normalized tight frame $\{\psi_i\}_{i \in J}$ in \mathcal{K} such that $\{(\phi_i, \psi_i)\}_{i \in J}$ is an orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}$. We extend this result for g-frames.

Proposition 2.10. *A set $\{\Lambda_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ is a normalized tight g-frame for \mathcal{H} with respect to $\{\mathcal{H}_i\}_{i \in J}$ if and only if there exists a Hilbert space \mathcal{K} and a normalized tight g-frame $\{\Gamma_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ for \mathcal{K} such that $\{\Theta_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$, where $\Theta_i(f, g) = \Lambda_i f + \Gamma_i g$ is a g-orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}$ with respect to $\{\mathcal{H}_i\}_{i \in J}$.*

Proof. If $\{\Lambda_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ is a normalized tight g-frame for \mathcal{H} then by using Theorem 1.2, we have $\Lambda_i f = \sum_{k \in K_i} \langle f, \psi_{i,k} \rangle e_{i,k}$ where $\{\psi_{i,k}\}$ is a normalized tight frame for \mathcal{H} and, by Corollary 1.3 of [4], there exists a Hilbert space \mathcal{K} and a normalized tight frame $\{\phi_{i,k} : i \in J, k \in K_i\}$ for \mathcal{K} such that $\{(\psi_{i,k}, \phi_{i,k}) : i \in J, k \in K_i\}$ is an orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}$. If $\Gamma_i f = \sum_{k \in K_i} \langle f, \phi_{i,k} \rangle e_{i,k}$, by Theorem 1.2, $\{\Gamma_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ is a normalized tight frame for \mathcal{K} with respect to $\{\mathcal{H}_i\}_{i \in J}$. So, by Proposition 2.8, $\{\Theta_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ is a g-orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}$ with respect to $\{\mathcal{H}_i\}_{i \in J}$. ■

Proposition 2.11. *The extension of a tight g-frame to a g-orthonormal basis described in the statement of Proposition 2.10 is unique up to unitary equivalence. That is if \mathcal{N} is another Hilbert space and $\{Y_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ is a tight g-frame for \mathcal{N} such that $\{\Lambda_i \oplus Y_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{N}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ is a g-orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{N}$ with respect to $\{\mathcal{H}_i\}_{i \in J}$, then there is a unitary transformation U mapping \mathcal{K} onto \mathcal{N} such that $\Gamma_i U^* = Y_i$ for all $i \in J$. In particular, $\dim \mathcal{K} = \dim \mathcal{N}$.*

Proof. If $\{\Upsilon_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ is a normalized tight g-frame for \mathcal{N} then, by using Theorem 1.2, we have $\Upsilon_i f = \sum_{k \in K_i} \langle f, \varphi_{i,k} \rangle e_{i,k}$ where $\{\varphi_{i,k}\}$ is a normalized tight frame for \mathcal{N} and by Proposition 2.8 we know $\{(\psi_{i,k}, \varphi_{i,k}) : i \in J, k \in K_i\}$ is an orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{N}$ so by [4, Corollary 1.4] there is a unitary transformation U mapping \mathcal{H} onto \mathcal{N} such that $U\phi_{i,k} = \varphi_{i,k}$ and $\dim \mathcal{H} = \dim \mathcal{N}$. Therefore

$$\Gamma_i U^* f = \sum_{k \in K_i} \langle U^* f, \phi_{i,k} \rangle e_{i,k} = \sum_{k \in K_i} \langle f, U\phi_{i,k} \rangle e_{i,k} = \sum_{k \in K_i} \langle f, \varphi_{i,k} \rangle e_{i,k} = \Upsilon_i f. \quad \blacksquare$$

Proposition 2.12. *If a set $\{\Lambda_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ is a g-frame then there exists a Hilbert space \mathcal{K} and a normalized tight g-frame $\{\Gamma_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ for \mathcal{K} such that $\{\Theta_i(f, g) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$, where $\Theta_i(f, g) = \Lambda_i f + \Gamma_i g$ is a g-Riesz basis for $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}$ with respect to $\{\mathcal{H}_i\}_{i \in J}$.*

Proof. If $\{\Lambda_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ is a g-frame for \mathcal{H} by using Theorem 1.2, we have $\Lambda_i f = \sum_{k \in K_i} \langle f, \psi_{i,k} \rangle e_{i,k}$, where $\{\psi_{i,k}\}$ is a frame for \mathcal{H} and by [4, Corollary 1.6] there exists a Hilbert space \mathcal{K} and a normalized tight frame $\{\phi_{i,k} : i \in J, k \in K_i\}$ for \mathcal{K} such that $\{(\psi_{i,k}, \phi_{i,k}) : i \in J, k \in K_i\}$ is a Riesz basis for $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}$. Now set $\Gamma_i f = \sum_{k \in K_i} \langle f, \phi_{i,k} \rangle e_{i,k}$. By Theorem 1.2, $\{\Gamma_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ is a normalized tight g-frame for \mathcal{K} with respect to $\{\mathcal{H}_i\}_{i \in J}$, and so by Proposition 2.8, we have $\{\Theta_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ is a g-Riesz basis for $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}$ with respect to $\{\mathcal{H}_i\}_{i \in J}$. \blacksquare

Proposition 2.13. *If $\{\Lambda_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ and $\{\Gamma_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ are normalized tight g-frames such that $\{\Theta_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$, where $\Theta_i(f, g) = \Lambda_i f + \Gamma_i g$, is a normalized tight g-frame for $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}$ with respect to $\{\mathcal{H}_i\}_{i \in J}$ and if $\{\Upsilon_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ is a normalized tight frame which is unitarily equivalent to $\{\Gamma_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$, then $\{\Omega_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$, where $\Omega_i(f, g) = \Lambda_i f + \Upsilon_i g$, is also a normalized tight g-frame for $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\{\mathcal{H}_i\}_{i \in J}$.*

Proof. We know that the induced sequences $\{\psi_{i,k} : i \in J, k \in K_i\}$, $\{\phi_{i,k} : i \in J, k \in K_i\}$ and $\{\varphi_{i,k} : i \in J, k \in K_i\}$ by $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in J}$, $\{\Gamma_i\}_{i \in J}$ and $\{\Upsilon_i\}_{i \in J}$ respectively, are normalized tight frames and $\{\phi_{i,k} : i \in J, k \in K_i\}$ unitarily equivalent to $\{\varphi_{i,k} : i \in J, k \in K_i\}$. Since $\{\Theta_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ is a normalized tight g-frame for $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}$ with respect to $\{\mathcal{H}_i\}_{i \in J}$ by Proposition 2.8, $\{(\psi_{i,k}, \phi_{i,k}) : i \in J, k \in K_i\}$ is normalized tight frame for $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}$ and so by Corollary 1.9 of [4] $\{(\psi_{i,k}, \varphi_{i,k}) : i \in J, k \in K_i\}$ is normalized tight frame for $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$ and therefore $\{\Omega_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ is also a normalized tight g-frame for $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\{\mathcal{H}_i\}_{i \in J}$.

Let $\{\Lambda_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ be a normalized tight g-frame for \mathcal{H} with respect to $\{\mathcal{H}_i\}_{i \in J}$. By Proposition 2.10 there exists a Hilbert space \mathcal{K} and a normalized tight g-frame $\{\Gamma_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ for \mathcal{K} such that $\{\Theta_i(f, g) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ where $\Theta_i(f, g) = \Lambda_i f + \Gamma_i g$ is a g-orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}$ with respect to $\{\mathcal{H}_i\}_{i \in J}$. We will call $\{\Gamma_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ a strong complementary g-frame to $\{\Lambda_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$, and we will call $(\{\Lambda_i\}, \{\Gamma_i\})$ a strong complementary pair. Proposition 2.11 says that the strong complement of a normalized tight g-frame is unique up to unitary equivalence. If $\{\Lambda_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ is a g-frame, we will define a strong complement to $\{\Lambda_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ be any g-frame $\{\Gamma_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ such that the pair $(\{\Lambda_i\}, \{\Gamma_i\})$ is similar to a strong complementary pair of normalized tight g-frames. \blacksquare

Corollary 2.6. A pair of g -frames $\{\Lambda_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ and $\{\Gamma_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}_{i \in J}$ is strong complementary pair of g -frames if and only if $\{\psi_{i,k} : i \in J, k \in K_i\}$ and $\{\phi_{i,k} : i \in J, k \in K_i\}$ is complementary pair of frames.

Proposition 2.14. Let $\{\Lambda_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ be a g -frame for \mathcal{H} and $\{\Gamma_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$, $\{\Theta_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ be strong complementary g -frame to $\{\Lambda_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ in Hilbert spaces \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} , respectively. Then there exists an invertible operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ such that $\Theta_i = \Gamma_i T^*$.

Proof. By using Theorem 1.2, we have

$$\Lambda_i f = \sum_{k \in K_i} \langle f, \psi_{i,k} \rangle e_{i,k} \text{ and } \Gamma_i g = \sum_{k \in K_i} \langle g, \phi_{i,k} \rangle e_{i,k} \text{ and } \Theta_i h = \sum_{k \in K_i} \langle h, \varphi_{i,k} \rangle e_{i,k},$$

where $\{\psi_{i,k}\}$, $\{\phi_{i,k}\}$ and $\{\varphi_{i,k}\}$ are frames in \mathcal{H} , \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} , respectively. So by Corollary 2.6 and [4, Proposition 2.1], there exists an invertible operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ such that $T\phi_{i,k} = \varphi_{i,k}$ and hence $\Theta_i = \Gamma_i T^*$. ■

Proposition 2.15. Suppose that $\{\Lambda_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ and $\{\Gamma_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ are strongly disjoint g -frames for the same Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Then $\{\Lambda_i + \Gamma_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ is a g -frame for \mathcal{H} . In particular, if $\{\Lambda_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ and $\{\Gamma_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ are strongly disjoint proper normalized tight g -frames for \mathcal{H} , then $\{\Lambda_i + \Gamma_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ is a tight g -frame with g -frame bound 2.

Proof. Conclusion follows from Theorem 1.2, Corollary 2.4 and [4, Proposition 2.19]. ■

Proposition 2.16. If $\{\Lambda_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ and $\{\Gamma_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ are disjoint g -frames for \mathcal{H} , then $\{\Lambda_i + \Gamma_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ is a g -frame for \mathcal{H} .

Proof. The assertion follows from Theorem 1.2, Corollary 2.4 and [4, Proposition 2.20]. ■

Proposition 2.17. Suppose that $\{\Lambda_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ and $\{\Gamma_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$ are strongly disjoint normalized tight g -frames for \mathcal{H} and $A, B \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ are operators such that $AA^* + BB^* = I$. Then $\{\Lambda_i A^* + \Gamma_i B^*\}$ is a normalized tight g -frame for \mathcal{H} .

Proof. Conclusion follows from Theorem 1.2, Corollary 2.4 and [4, Proposition 2.21]. ■

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to thank the referees for useful and helpful comments and suggestions.

References

- [1] R. Balan, Density and redundancy of the noncoherent Weyl-Heisenberg superframes, in *The Functional and Harmonic Analysis of Wavelets and Frames (San Antonio, TX, 1999)*, 29–41, Contemp. Math., 247 Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI.
- [2] R. J. Duffin and A. C. Schaeffer, A class of nonharmonic Fourier series, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **72** (1952), 341–366.
- [3] Q. Gu and D. Han, Super-wavelets and decomposable wavelet frames, *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* **11** (2005), no. 6, 683–696.
- [4] D. Han and D. R. Larson, Frames, bases and group representations, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **147** (2000), no. 697, x+94 pp.
- [5] A. Najati, M. H. Faroughi and A. Rahimi, g -frames and stability of g -frames in Hilbert spaces, *Methods Funct. Anal. Topology* **14** (2008), no. 3, 271–286.
- [6] W. Sun, G -frames and g -Riesz bases, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **322** (2006), no. 1, 437–452.
- [7] L. L. Zang, W. Sun and D. Chen, Excess of a class of g -frames, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **352** (2009), no. 2, 711–717.