

Linear Operators that Preserve Term Ranks of Matrices over Semirings

¹LEROY B. BEASLEY AND ²SEOK-ZUN SONG

¹Mathematics and Statistics, Utah State University, Logan, Utah 84322-3900, USA

²Department of Mathematics (and RIBS), Jeju National University, Jeju 690-756, Korea

¹leroy.b.beasley@aggiemail.usu.edu, ²szsong@jejunu.ac.kr

Abstract. The term rank of a matrix A is the least number of lines (rows or columns) needed to include all the nonzero entries in A , and is a well-known upper bound for many standard and non-standard matrix ranks, and is one of the most important combinatorially. In this paper, we obtain a characterization of linear operators that preserve term ranks of matrices over antinegative semirings. That is, we show that a linear operator T on a matrix space over antinegative semirings preserves term rank if and only if T preserves any two term ranks k and l if and only if T strongly preserves any one term rank k .

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 15A86, 15A03, 15A04

Keywords and phrases: Semiring, term rank, linear operator.

1. Introduction

A *semiring* [2] is a set \mathbb{S} equipped with two binary operations $+$ and \cdot such that $(\mathbb{S}, +)$ is a commutative monoid with identity element 0 and (\mathbb{S}, \cdot) is a monoid with identity element 1 . In addition, the operations $+$ and \cdot are connected by distributivity and 0 annihilates \mathbb{S} .

A semiring \mathbb{S} is called *antinegative* if 0 is the only element to have an additive inverse. The following are some examples of antinegative semirings which occur in combinatorics. Let $\mathbb{B} = \{0, 1\}$. Then $(\mathbb{B}, +, \cdot)$ is an antinegative semiring (the *binary Boolean semiring*) if arithmetic in \mathbb{B} follows the usual rules except that $1 + 1 = 1$. If \mathbb{F} is the real interval $[0, 1]$, then $(\mathbb{F}, +, \cdot) = (\mathbb{F}, \max, \min)$ is an antinegative semiring (the *fuzzy semiring*). Any nonnegative subring of the real numbers, such as the nonnegative integers, is an antinegative semiring.

Hereafter, \mathbb{S} will denote an arbitrary commutative and antinegative semiring. Let $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{S})$ be the set of all $m \times n$ matrices with entries in a semiring \mathbb{S} . Algebraic operations on $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{S})$ are defined as if the underlying scalars were in a field.

The *term rank*, $\tau(A)$, of a matrix A is the minimal number k such that all the nonzero entries of A are contained in h rows and $k - h$ columns. Term rank plays a central role

in combinatorial matrix theory and has many applications in network and graph theory (see [4]).

From now on we will assume that $2 \leq m \leq n$ unless specified otherwise. It follows that $1 \leq \tau(A) \leq m$ for all nonzero $A \in \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{S})$.

Let $T : \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{S}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{S})$ be a linear operator. If f is a function defined on $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{S})$, then T preserves the function f if $f(T(A)) = f(A)$ for all $A \in \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{S})$. If \mathcal{X} is a subset of $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{S})$, then T preserves the set \mathcal{X} if $A \in \mathcal{X}$ implies $T(A) \in \mathcal{X}$. Further, T strongly preserves the set \mathcal{X} if $A \in \mathcal{X}$ if and only if $T(A) \in \mathcal{X}$. There are many papers on linear operators that preserve matrix functions over \mathbb{S} [1–3,5,6]. But there are few papers on term-rank preservers of matrices over semirings. Beasley and Pullman [2] have characterized linear operators on $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{S})$ that preserve term rank, and the following are main results of their work: for a linear operator $T : \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{S}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{S})$,

(1.1) T preserves term rank if and only if T preserves term ranks 1 and 2;

(1.2) T preserves term rank if and only if T strongly preserves term rank 1 or m .

Kang and Song [5] also have characterized linear operators on $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B})$ that preserve term rank, and the following are main results of their work: for a linear operator T on $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B})$,

(1.3) T preserves term rank if and only if T preserves term ranks 1(or 2) and h ,

for some $3 \leq h \leq m$.

In this paper, we extend their works to any two term rank preservers. A sectional summary is as follows: Some definitions and preliminaries are presented in Section 2. Section 3 generalizes (1.1) ~ (1.3) by showing that T preserves the term rank of Boolean matrices if and only if T preserves term ranks k and l , where $1 \leq k < l \leq m \leq n$. In Section 4, we show that T preserves the term rank of matrices over antinegative semiring \mathbb{S} if and only if T preserves any two term ranks if and only if T strongly preserves any one term rank.

2. Preliminaries

The matrix I_n is the $n \times n$ identity matrix, $O_{m,n}$ is the $m \times n$ zero matrix, and $J_{m,n}$ is the $m \times n$ matrix all of whose entries are 1. We will suppress the subscripts on these matrices when the orders are evident from the context and we write I , O and J , respectively. Let $E_{i,j}$ be the $m \times n$ matrix whose (i,j) th entry is 1 and whose other entries are all 0, and we call $E_{i,j}$ a cell.

The following is obvious by the definition of term rank of matrices over antinegative semirings.

Lemma 2.1. For matrices A and B in $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{S})$, we have $\tau(A + B) \leq \tau(A) + \tau(B)$ and $\tau(A) \leq \tau(A + B)$.

If A and B are matrices in $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{S})$, we say that B dominates A (written $A \sqsubseteq B$ or $B \sqsupseteq A$) if $b_{i,j} = 0$ implies $a_{i,j} = 0$ for all i and j . This provides a reflexive and transitive relation on $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{S})$.

The following is also obvious by the definition of term rank of matrices over antinegative semirings.

Lemma 2.2. For matrices A and B in $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{S})$, $A \sqsubseteq B$ implies that $\tau(A) \leq \tau(B)$.

As usual, for any matrix A and lists L_1 and L_2 of row and column indices respectively, $A(L_1 | L_2)$ denotes the submatrix formed by omitting the rows L_1 and columns L_2 from A and $A[L_1 | L_2]$ denotes the submatrix formed by choosing the rows L_1 and columns L_2 from A .

For matrices A and B in $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{S})$, the matrix $A \circ B$ denotes the *Hadamard* or *Schur product*. That is, the (i, j) th entry of $A \circ B$ is $a_{i,j}b_{i,j}$. A nonzero $s \in \mathbb{S}$ is a *zero divisor* if $s's = 0$ for some nonzero $s' \in \mathbb{S}$.

If P and Q are permutation matrices and B is a matrix in $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{S})$ none of whose entries is a zero divisor or zero, then an operator $T : \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{S}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{S})$ is called a (P, Q, B) -operator if $T(X) = P(X \circ B)Q$ for all X , or $m = n$ and $T(X) = P(X^t \circ B)Q$ for all X , where X^t denotes the transpose of X . If $B = J$ we say that T is a (P, Q) -operator.

3. A characterization of term rank preservers of Boolean matrices

For a linear operator $T : \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B})$, we say that T

- (1) *preserves term rank k* if $\tau(T(X)) = k$ whenever $\tau(X) = k$ for all $X \in \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B})$;
- (2) *strongly preserves term rank k* if $\tau(T(X)) = k$ if and only if $\tau(X) = k$ for all $X \in \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B})$;
- (3) *preserves term rank* if it preserves term rank k for every $k(\leq m)$.

In this section we provide characterizations of linear operators $T : \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B})$ that preserve term ranks k and l , where $1 \leq k < l \leq m \leq n$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $1 \leq k \leq m \leq n$. Let $T : \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B})$ be a linear operator that preserves term rank k . If $A \in \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B})$ and $\tau(A) \leq k$ then $\tau(T(A)) \leq k$.*

Proof. If $\tau(A) = k$, then $\tau(T(A)) = k$ since T preserves term rank k . Suppose that $\tau(A) = h < k$, and $\tau(T(A)) > k$. Then there exist a matrix B such that $\tau(A + B) = k$ and hence $\tau(T(A + B)) = k$, but by Lemma 2.1, $\tau(T(A + B)) = \tau(T(A) + T(B)) \geq \tau(T(A)) > k$, a contradiction. Thus $\tau(T(A)) \leq k$. ■

Theorem 3.1. [5, Lemma 2.5] *Let $1 < k \leq m \leq n$. Let $T : \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B})$ be a linear operator that preserves term rank 1 and term rank k . Then T is a (P, Q) -operator.*

Lemma 3.2. *Let $1 \leq k < l \leq m \leq n$. If $T : \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B})$ is a linear operator that preserves term rank k and term rank l , then T is nonsingular.*

Proof. Suppose that $T(A) = O$ for some nonzero $A \in \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B})$. Then, there is some cell $E_{i,j}$ such that $T(E_{i,j}) = O$. Without loss of generality, assume that $T(E_{1,1}) = O$. Since $\tau(E_{1,1} + E_{2,2} + \dots + E_{l,l}) = l$ and T preserves term rank l , we have $\tau(T(E_{2,2} + E_{3,3} + \dots + E_{l,l})) = \tau(T(E_{1,1} + E_{2,2} + \dots + E_{l,l})) = l$. Let $X = T(E_{2,2} + \dots + E_{l,l})$ then we can choose a set of cells $Y = \{F_1, F_2, \dots, F_l\}$ such that $X \supseteq F_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, l$, and $\tau(F_1 + F_2 + \dots + F_l) = l$. Since $T(E_{2,2} + \dots + E_{l,l}) = X$, there is some cell in $\{E_{2,2}, \dots, E_{l,l}\}$ whose image under T dominates two cells in Y . Without loss of generality, we may assume that $T(E_{2,2}) \supseteq F_1 + F_2$. Further, there is some cell in $\{E_{2,2}, \dots, E_{l,l}\}$ whose image under T dominates F_3 . Without loss of generality, we may assume that $T(E_{2,2} + E_{3,3}) \supseteq F_1 + F_2 + F_3$. Continuing this process, there are k cells in $\{E_{2,2}, \dots, E_{l,l}\}$ the sum of whose images under T dominate $F_1 + F_2 + \dots + F_{k+1}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $T(E_{2,2} + \dots + E_{k+1,k+1}) \supseteq F_1 + F_2 + \dots + F_{k+1}$, a contradiction since $\tau(E_{2,2} + \dots + E_{k+1,k+1}) = k$ and $\tau(F_1 + F_2 + \dots + F_{k+1}) = k + 1$. This contradiction establishes the lemma. ■

Lemma 3.3. *Let $2 < k \leq m \leq n$ and $T : \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B})$ be a linear operator that preserves term rank k . If T does not preserve term rank 1, then $\tau(T(J)) \leq k + 2$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.2, if T does not preserve term rank 1, then there is some rank 1 matrix whose image has term rank 2 or more. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $T(E_{1,1} + E_{1,2}) \supseteq E_{1,1} + E_{2,2}$.

Suppose that $\tau(T(J)) \geq k + 3$. Then, $\tau(T(J)[3, \dots, m | 3, \dots, n]) \geq k - 1$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $T(J)[3, \dots, m | 3, \dots, n] \supseteq E_{3,3} + E_{4,4} + \dots + E_{k+1,k+1}$. Thus, there are $k - 1$ cells, F_3, F_4, \dots, F_{k+1} such that $T(F_3 + F_4 + \dots + F_{k+1}) \supseteq E_{3,3} + E_{4,4} + \dots + E_{k+1,k+1}$. Then, $T(E_{1,1} + E_{1,2} + F_3 + F_4 + \dots + F_{k+1}) \supseteq I_{k+1}$. But, $\tau(E_{1,1} + E_{1,2} + F_3 + F_4 + \dots + F_{k+1}) \leq k$ while $\tau(T(E_{1,1} + E_{1,2} + F_3 + F_4 + \dots + F_{k+1})) \geq k + 1$, a contradiction. Thus, $\tau(T(J)) \leq k + 2$. \blacksquare

Lemma 3.4. *Let $1 \leq k, k + 3 \leq l \leq m \leq n$. Let $T : \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B})$ be a linear operator that preserves term rank k and term rank l , then T preserves term rank 1.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.2, T is nonsingular. Let A be a term rank 1 matrix and suppose that $T(A)$ is not term rank 1. Then, A is dominated by a row or column and the image of the sum of two cells in that line has term rank at least two. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $T(E_{1,1} + E_{1,2}) \supseteq E_{1,1} + E_{2,2}$. Now, by Lemma 3.3, if $B = T(C)$ is in the image of T , $\tau(B) \leq k + 2 < l$. But if we take $B = T(I_l)$, then $T(I_l)$ must have term rank l , a contradiction.

That is, $\tau(T(A)) \leq 1$. Since T is nonsingular and A was an arbitrary term rank 1 matrix, T preserves term rank 1. \blacksquare

Lemma 3.5. *Let $1 \leq k \leq m \leq n$. If $T : \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B})$ is a linear operator that preserves term rank k and term rank $k + 2$, then T strongly preserves term rank $k + 1$.*

Proof. Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B})$.

Case 1. Suppose that $\tau(A) = k + 1$ and $\tau(T(A)) \geq k + 2$. Let A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{k+1} be matrices of term rank 1 such that $A = A_1 + A_2 + \dots + A_{k+1}$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $T(A) \supseteq E_{1,1} + E_{2,2} + \dots + E_{k+2,k+2}$ and, since the image of some A_i must have term rank at least 2, we may assume that $\tau(T(A_1 + A_2 + \dots + A_i)) \geq i + 1$, for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, k + 1$. But then $\tau(A_1 + A_2 + \dots + A_k) = k$ while $\tau(T(A_1 + A_2 + \dots + A_k)) \geq k + 1$, a contradiction. Thus if $\tau(A) = k + 1$, $\tau(T(A)) \leq k + 1$.

Case 2. Suppose that $\tau(A) = k + 1$ and $\tau(T(A)) = s \leq k$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $A = E_{1,1} + E_{2,2} + \dots + E_{k+1,k+1}$ and $T(A) \supseteq E_{1,1} + E_{2,2} + \dots + E_{s,s}$. Then there are s members of $\{T(E_{1,1}), T(E_{2,2}), \dots, T(E_{k+1,k+1})\}$ whose sum dominates $E_{1,1} + E_{2,2} + \dots + E_{s,s}$. Say, without loss of generality, that $T(E_{1,1} + E_{2,2} + \dots + E_{s,s}) \supseteq E_{1,1} + E_{2,2} + \dots + E_{s,s}$. Now, $\tau(A + E_{k+2,k+2}) = k + 2$ so that $\tau(T(A + E_{k+2,k+2})) = k + 2$. But since $\tau(T(A + E_{k+2,k+2})) = \tau((T(A) + T(E_{k+2,k+2}))) \leq \tau(T(A)) + \tau(T(E_{k+2,k+2}))$, it follows that $\tau(T(E_{k+2,k+2})) \geq k + 2 - s$ and there are s members of $\{T(E_{1,1}), T(E_{2,2}), \dots, T(E_{k+1,k+1})\}$ whose sum together with $T(E_{k+2,k+2})$ has term rank $k + 2$, say $\tau(T(E_{1,1} + E_{2,2} + \dots + E_{s,s} + E_{k+2,k+2})) = k + 2$. Since $s \leq k$, $\tau(E_{1,1} + E_{2,2} + \dots + E_{s,s} + E_{k+2,k+2}) \leq k + 1$ and $\tau(T(E_{1,1} + E_{2,2} + \dots + E_{s,s} + E_{k+2,k+2})) = k + 2$. By Case 1, we again arrive at a contradiction.

Therefore T strongly preserves term rank $k + 1$. \blacksquare

Lemma 3.6. *Let $1 \leq k < m \leq n$. If $\tau(E_{1,1} + \dots + E_{k,k} + A) \geq k + 1$ and $A[k + 1, \dots, m|k + 1, \dots, n] = O$, then there is some $i, 1 \leq i \leq k$, such that $\tau(E_{1,1} + \dots + E_{i-1,i-1} + E_{i+1,i+1}, \dots, E_{k,k} + A) \geq k + 1$.*

Proof. Suppose that $B = E_{1,1} + \dots + E_{k,k} + A$ and $\tau(B) \geq k + 1$. Then there are $k + 1$ cells F_1, F_2, \dots, F_{k+1} such that $B \supseteq F_1 + F_2 + \dots + F_{k+1}$ and $\tau(F_1 + F_2 + \dots + F_{k+1}) = k + 1$. If $F_1 + F_2 + \dots + F_{k+1} \supseteq I_k \oplus O$ then one cell F_j must be a cell $E_{p,q}$ where $p, q \geq k + 1$, which contradicts the assumption $A[k + 1, \dots, m|k + 1, \dots, n] = O$. Thus $F_1 + F_2 + \dots + F_{k+1}$ does not dominate $I_k \oplus O$. That is, there is some $i, 1 \leq i \leq k$, such that $\tau(E_{1,1} + \dots + E_{i-1,i-1} + E_{i+1,i+1}, \dots, E_{k,k} + A) \geq k + 1$. ■

Lemma 3.7. *Let $2 \leq k + 1 \leq m \leq n$. If $T : \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B})$ is a linear operator that preserves term rank k and term rank $k + 1$, then T preserves term rank 1.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.2 T is nonsingular.

If $k + 1 = m$, then T strongly preserves term rank m and hence, by [2, Theorem 2], T preserves term rank, and hence, term rank 1. Thus we assume that $k + 1 < m$.

Suppose that T does not preserve term rank 1. Then there is some matrix of term rank 1 whose image has term rank at least 2. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $T(E_{1,1} + E_{1,2}) \supseteq E_{1,1} + E_{2,2}$. By Lemma 3.3 we have that $\tau(T(J)) \leq k + 2$. Since T preserves term rank $k + 1$, $\tau(T(J)) \geq k + 1$.

Thus, $\tau(T(J)) = k + i$ for either $i = 1$ or $i = 2$. Now, we may assume that for some r, s with $r + s = k + i$, $T(J)[r + 1, \dots, p|s + 1, \dots, q] = O$. Further, we may assume, without loss of generality, that there are $k + i$ cells F_1, F_2, \dots, F_{k+i} such that $T(F_l) \supseteq E_{l,k+i-l+1}$ for $l = 1, \dots, k + i$. Suppose the image of one of the cells in F_1, F_2, \dots, F_{k+i} dominates more than one cell in $\{E_{1,k+i}, E_{2,k+i-1}, \dots, E_{k+1,i}\}$. Say, without loss of generality, that $T(F_1) \supseteq E_{1,k+i} + E_{2,k+i-1}$, then, $T(F_1 + F_3 + \dots + F_{k+1}) \supseteq E_{1,k+i} + E_{2,k+i-1} + \dots + E_{k+1,i}$, a contradiction since $\tau(F_1 + F_3 + \dots + F_{k+1}) \leq k$, and hence $\tau(T(F_1 + F_3 + \dots + F_{k+1})) \leq k$, and $\tau(E_{1,k+i} + E_{2,k+i-1} + \dots + E_{k+1,i}) = k + 1$. It follows that for each $j = 1, \dots, k + 1$, if $T(F_l) \supseteq E_{j,k+i-j+1}$ then $l = j$ since $T(F_j) \supseteq E_{j,k+i-j+1}$ is unique. Further, by permuting we may assume that $F_1 + F_2 + \dots + F_k \subseteq \begin{bmatrix} J_k & O_{k,n-k} \\ O_{m-k,k} & O_{m-k,n-k} \end{bmatrix}$.

Now, let $O \neq A \in \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B})$ have term rank 1, and suppose that $A[1, 2, \dots, k|1, 2, \dots, n] = O$ and $A[k + 1, \dots, m|1, \dots, k] = O$. So that $A = \begin{bmatrix} O_k & O_{k,n-k} \\ O_{m-k,k} & A_1 \end{bmatrix}$. If $T(A)[k + 1, \dots, p|1, i] = O$, then, since $\tau(F_1 + \dots + F_k + A) = k + 1$, $\tau(T(F_1 + \dots + F_k + A)) = k + 1$. Applying Lemma 3.6, we have that there is some j such that $\tau(T(F_1 + \dots + F_{j-1} + F_{j+1} + \dots + F_k + A)) = k + 1$. But $\tau(F_1 + \dots + F_{j-1} + F_{j+1} + \dots + F_k + A) = k$ while $\tau(T(F_1 + \dots + F_{j-1} + F_{j+1} + \dots + F_k + A)) = k + 1$, a contradiction. So we must have that $T(E_{k+1,1})[k + 1, \dots, p|1, i] \neq O$. If $T(E_{k+1,1})[k + 1, \dots, p|1, i] \neq O$ then $\tau(T(F_1 + \dots + F_k + E_{k+1,1})) = k + 1$, a contradiction since $\tau(F_1 + \dots + F_k + E_{k+1,1}) = k$. Suppose that the $(k, i + 1)$ entry of $T(E_{k,k+1})$ is nonzero, then, $\tau(T(F_1 + \dots + F_{k-1} + E_{k,k+1} + E_{k+1,k+1})) = k + 1$, a contradiction, since $\tau(F_1 + \dots + F_{k-1} + E_{k,k+1} + E_{k+1,k+1}) = k$.

Consider $T(F_1 + \dots + F_{k-1} + E_{k,k+1} + E_{k+1,k+2})$. This must have term rank $k + 1$ and dominates $E_{1,k+i} + E_{2,k+i-1} + \dots + E_{k-1,i+2} + E_{k+1,j}$ for some $j \in \{1, i\}$. Thus, by Lemma 3.6, there is some cell in $\{F_1, \dots, F_{k-1}\}$, say F_j such that $\tau(T(F_1 + \dots + F_{j-1} + F_{j+1} + \dots + F_{k-1} + E_{k,k+1} + E_{k+1,k+2})) = k + 1$. But $\tau(F_1 + \dots + F_{j-1} + F_{j+1} + \dots + F_{k-1} + E_{k,k+1} + E_{k+1,k+2}) = k$, a contradiction.

It follows that T must preserve term rank 1. ■

Lemma 3.8. *Let $1 \leq k \leq m \leq n$. If $T : \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B})$ is a linear operator that strongly preserves term rank k , then T preserves term rank 1.*

Proof. Suppose that T does not preserve term rank $k - 1$. Then there is some matrix $A \in \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B})$ such that $\tau(A) = k - 1$ and $\tau(T(A)) = s \leq k - 2$ since $\tau(T(A)) < k$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $A = E_{1,1} + E_{2,2} + \dots + E_{k-1,k-1}$. Then $T(E_{k,k})$ must have term rank at least $k - s$ since using Lemma 2.1 $k = \tau(T(E_{1,1} + E_{2,2} + \dots + E_{k-1,k-1} + E_{k,k})) = \tau(T(E_{1,1} + E_{2,2} + \dots + E_{k-1,k-1}) + T(E_{k,k})) \leq \tau(T(E_{1,1} + E_{2,2} + \dots + E_{k-1,k-1})) + \tau(T(E_{k,k})) = s + \tau(T(E_{k,k}))$. Without loss of generality, we also assume that $T(E_{1,1} + E_{2,2} + \dots + E_{k-1,k-1} + E_{k,k}) \supseteq E_{1,1} + E_{2,2} + \dots + E_{k-1,k-1} + E_{k,k}$ and $T(E_{k,k}) \supseteq E_{s+1,s+1} + \dots + E_{k,k}$. But then, there are s cells in $\{E_{1,1}, E_{2,2}, \dots, E_{k,k}\}$ the image of whose sum dominates $E_{1,1} + E_{2,2} + \dots + E_{s,s}$. Say $T(E_{i_1,i_1} + E_{i_2,i_2} + \dots + E_{i_s,i_s}) \supseteq E_{1,1} + E_{2,2} + \dots + E_{s,s}$. Then, $T(E_{i_1,i_1} + E_{i_2,i_2} + \dots + E_{i_s,i_s} + E_{k,k}) \supseteq E_{1,1} + E_{2,2} + \dots + E_{k,k}$, a contradiction since $\tau(E_{i_1,i_1} + E_{i_2,i_2} + \dots + E_{i_s,i_s} + E_{k,k}) < k$ while $\tau(T(E_{i_1,i_1} + E_{i_2,i_2} + \dots + E_{i_s,i_s} + E_{k,k})) = k$. Thus T preserves term rank $k - 1$. By Lemma 3.7, T preserves term rank 1. ■

Theorem 3.2. *Let $1 \leq k < l \leq m \leq n$. If $T : \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B})$ is a linear operator that preserves term rank k and term rank l , or if T strongly preserves term rank k , then T is a (P, Q) -operator.*

Proof. Application of Lemmas 3.4, 3.5, 3.7, and 3.8, give that T preserves term rank 1. By Lemma 3.1, T is a (P, Q) -operator. ■

4. Term rank preservers of matrices over antinegative semirings.

Throughout this section, \mathbb{S} will denote any commutative and antinegative semiring without zero divisors.

In this section we provide characterizations of linear operators $T : \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{S}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{S})$ that preserve term rank. Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{S})$ and define $\bar{A} \in \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B})$ to be the matrix $[\bar{a}_{i,j}]$ where $\bar{a}_{i,j} = 1$ if and only if $a_{i,j} \neq 0$. \bar{A} is called the *support* or *pattern* of A . Clearly $\tau(\bar{A}) = \tau(A)$. Let $T : \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{S}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{S})$ be a linear operator. Define $\bar{T} : \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B})$ by $\bar{T}(E_{i,j}) = \bar{T}(E_{i,j})$, and extend linearly.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $T : \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{S}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{S})$ be a linear operator. Then T preserves term rank k if and only if \bar{T} preserves term rank k , for any $1 \leq k \leq m$.*

Proof. Since the term rank of a matrix depends only upon the entries being zero or nonzero, we have $\tau(\bar{A}) = \tau(A)$. Further, $\bar{T}(\bar{A}) = \bar{T}(A)$. Thus, $\tau(T(A)) = \tau(\bar{T}(\bar{A}))$, and hence the results follows. ■

Theorem 4.1. *Let $T : \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{S}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{S})$ be a linear operator. Then the following are equivalent:*

1. T preserves term rank;
2. T preserves term rank k and term rank l , with $1 \leq k < l \leq m \leq n$;
3. T strongly preserves term rank h , with $1 \leq h \leq m \leq n$;
4. T is a (P, Q, B) -operator.

Proof. It is obvious that 1 implies 2 and 3, and 4 implies 1, 2 and 3. In order to show that 2 (or 3) implies 4, assume that T preserves term rank k and term rank l , with $1 \leq k < l \leq m \leq n$. By Lemma 4.1, \bar{T} preserves term rank k and term rank l , with $1 \leq k < l \leq m \leq n$. Thus, by

Theorem 3.2, \bar{T} is a (P, Q) -operator. It follows that for every cell $E_{i,j}$, there is some nonzero $b_{i,j} \in \mathbb{S}$ such that for $B = [b_{i,j}]$ either

(1) $T(E_{i,j}) = b_{i,j}(PE_{i,j}Q)$, and

$$T(X) = T\left(\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n x_{i,j}E_{i,j}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n x_{i,j}T(E_{i,j}) = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n x_{i,j}b_{i,j}(PE_{i,j}Q) \\ = P(X \circ B)Q$$

for every $X \in \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{S})$; or

(2) $m = n$ and $T(E_{i,j}) = b_{i,j}(PE_{i,j}^tQ)$, and

$$T(X) = T\left(\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n x_{i,j}E_{i,j}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n x_{i,j}T(E_{i,j}) = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n x_{i,j}b_{i,j}(PE_{i,j}^tQ) \\ = P(X^t \circ B)Q$$

for every $X \in \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{S})$.

Thus, T is a (P, Q, B) -operator.

In order to show that 3 implies 4, if we apply Lemma 4.1 and Theorem 3.2, the proof is parallel to the above. ■

In conclusion, we have obtained new characterizations of the linear operators that preserve term rank, which generalized the previous results in [2] and [5]. Further research should include application of these results to characterize linear transformations between the set of $m \times n$ matrices and $p \times q$ matrices.

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by the research grant from the Chuongbong Academic Research Fund of Jeju National University in 2013. Seok-Zun Song is the corresponding author of the article.

References

[1] L. B. Beasley, D. E. Brown and A. E. Guterman, Preserving regular tournaments and term rank-1, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **431** (2009), no. 5-7, 926–936.
 [2] L. B. Beasley and N. J. Pullman, Term-rank, permanent, and rook-polynomial preservers, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **90** (1987), 33–46.
 [3] L. B. Beasley and N. J. Pullman, Linear operators that preserve term rank 1, *Proc. Roy. Irish Acad. Sect. A* **91** (1991), no. 1, 71–78.
 [4] R. A. Brualdi and H. J. Ryser, Combinatorial matrix theory, *Encyclopedia Math. Appl.*, 39, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1991.
 [5] K.-T. Kang and S.-Z. Song, Characterizations of term-rank preservers over Boolean matrices, *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. (2)* **35** (2012), no. 2, 277–285.
 [6] S.-Z. Song, K.-T. Kang, L. B. Beasley and N.-S. Sze, Regular matrices and their strong preservers over semirings, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **429** (2008), no. 1, 209–223.

