

## Coefficients of Bi-Univalent Functions with Positive Real Part Derivatives

<sup>1</sup>JAY M. JAHANGIRI, <sup>2</sup>SAMANEH G. HAMIDI AND <sup>3</sup>SUZEINI ABD. HALIM

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematical Sciences, Kent State University, Burton, Ohio 44021-9500, U. S. A.

<sup>2,3</sup>Institute of Mathematical Sciences, Faculty of Science, University of Malaya, 50603 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

<sup>1</sup>jjahangi@kent.edu, <sup>2</sup>s.hamidi\_61@yahoo.com, <sup>3</sup>suzeini@um.edu.my

**Abstract.** We consider analytic bi-univalent functions whose derivatives have positive real part on the unit disk. Using the Faber polynomial expansions, we obtain upper bounds for the coefficients of such functions. In certain cases, our estimates improve some of those existing coefficient bounds.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45, 30C50

Keywords and phrases: Faber polynomials, positive real part, bi-univalent functions.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  denote the class of functions  $f$  which are analytic on the open unit disk  $\mathbb{D} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$  and normalized by

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n.$$

Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the class of functions  $\phi(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi_n z^n$  that are analytic on  $\mathbb{D}$  and satisfy the condition  $\operatorname{Re}(\phi(z)) > 0$  on  $\mathbb{D}$ . By the Caratheodory Lemma (e.g. see [8, p. 41]) we have  $|\phi_n| \leq 2$ .

It is well known that every univalent function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  has an inverse  $f^{-1}$  satisfying

$$f^{-1}(f(z)) = z, \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}),$$

and

$$f(f^{-1}(w)) = w, \quad (|w| < 1/4),$$

according to Koebe One Quarter Theorem, [8, p. 31].

A function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  is said to be bi-univalent on  $\mathbb{D}$  if  $f$  and its inverse  $g = f^{-1}$  are both univalent on  $\mathbb{D}$ .

For  $0 \leq \alpha < 1$  and  $p \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  we let  $R(p; \alpha)$  be the class of functions  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  so that  $f$  and its inverse map  $g = f^{-1}$  satisfy the following

$$(1.2) \quad \operatorname{Re}(f'(z))^p > \alpha; \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

---

*Communicated by V. Ravichandran.*

*Received: April 16, 2013; Revised: August 1, 2013.*

and

$$(1.3) \quad \operatorname{Re}(g'(w))^p > \alpha; \quad w \in \mathbb{D}.$$

The functions  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  whose derivative  $f' \in \mathcal{P}$  are known to be univalent and close-to-convex on  $\mathbb{D}$ , [8, p. 47].

Finding bounds for the coefficients of classes of bi-univalent functions dates back to 1967 (see Lewin [13]). But the interest on the bounds for the coefficients of classes of bi-univalent functions picked up by the publications [5, 6, 11, 15]. Srivastava *et al.* [15] investigated the bounds for the coefficients  $|a_2|$  and  $|a_3|$  of the bi-univalent function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  if their derivatives are subordinate to some function in  $\mathcal{P}$ . Ali *et al.* [5] remarked that for the bi-univalent functions, finding the bounds for  $|a_n|$  when  $n \geq 4$  is an open problem. Here in this paper we use Faber polynomial coefficient techniques to provide bounds for the general coefficients  $|a_n|$  under certain conditions and also obtain estimates for the first two coefficients  $|a_2|$  and  $|a_3|$  of the bi-univalent functions  $f \in R(p; \alpha)$ . The bounds provided in this article prove to be better than those estimates determined by Srivastava *et al.* [15].

## 2. Main results

Using the Faber polynomial expansion of functions  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  of the form (1.1), the coefficients of its inverse map  $g = f^{-1}$  may be expressed as, [3, Theorem 6.1, p. 209],

$$(2.1) \quad g(w) = f^{-1}(w) = w + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} K_{n-1}^{-n}(a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n) w^n,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} K_{n-1}^{-n} &= \frac{(-n)!}{(-2n+1)!(n-1)!} a_2^{n-1} + \frac{(-n)!}{(2(-n+1)!(n-3)!} a_2^{n-3} a_3 \\ &+ \frac{(-n)!}{(-2n+3)!(n-4)!} a_2^{n-4} a_4 \\ &+ \frac{(-n)!}{(2(-n+2)!(n-5)!} a_2^{n-5} [a_5 + (-n+2)a_3^2] \\ &+ \frac{(-n)!}{(-2n+5)!(n-6)!} a_2^{n-6} [a_6 + (-2n+5)a_3 a_4] + \sum_{j \geq 7} a_2^{n-j} V_j, \end{aligned}$$

such that  $V_j$  with  $7 \leq j \leq n$  is a homogeneous polynomial in the variables  $a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n$  [4]. In particular, the first three terms of  $K_{n-1}^{-n}$  are

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} K_1^{-2} &= -a_2, \\ \frac{1}{3} K_2^{-3} &= 2a_2^2 - a_3, \\ \frac{1}{4} K_3^{-4} &= -(5a_2^3 - 5a_2 a_3 + a_4). \end{aligned}$$

In general, an expansion of  $K_n^p$  is as [3, p. 183],

$$(2.2) \quad K_n^p = p a_n + \frac{p(p-1)}{2} D_n^2 + \frac{p!}{(p-3)!3!} D_n^3 + \dots + \frac{p!}{(p-n)!n!} D_n^n,$$

where  $D_n^p = D_n^p(a_2, a_3, \dots)$  and by [16] or [2],

$$D_n^m(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{m!(a_1)^{\mu_1} \dots (a_n)^{\mu_n}}{\mu_1! \dots \mu_n!},$$

where  $a_1 = 1$  and the sum is taken over all nonnegative integers  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n$  satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \dots + \mu_n = m, \\ \mu_1 + 2\mu_2 + \dots + n\mu_n = n. \end{cases}$$

Evidently:  $D_n^n(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = a_1^n$ , [1].

Gong [9] and Schiffer [14] demonstrated the significance of the Faber polynomials [7] in mathematical sciences, especially in geometric function theory. The recent publications of [1–4, 15] dealing with the Taylor expansions of inverse function  $g = f^{-1}$ , beautifully fits our case for the bi-univalent functions. As a result, we are able to state and prove the following:

**Theorem 2.1.** For  $0 \leq \alpha < 1$  and  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  let  $f \in R(p; \alpha)$  be given by (1.1). If  $a_k = 0$  for  $2 \leq k \leq n - 1$ , then

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{2(1 - \alpha)}{np}; \quad n \geq 3.$$

*Proof.* The main crux of the proof relies on the observation that if  $\phi(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi_n z^n$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{D}$  and  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  then

$$(\phi(z))^p = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n^p(\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_n) z^n$$

(see [1, Equation (4), p. 449]). If  $f$  is of the form (1.1), then

$$f'(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n+1)a_{n+1}z^n.$$

Therefore, for  $(f'(z))^p$ , we have (see [1, Equation (4)])

$$(2.3) \quad (f'(z))^p = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n^p(2a_2, 3a_3, \dots, (n+1)a_{n+1})z^n.$$

Similarly, for  $g = f^{-1}$  given by (2.1) we have

$$(2.4) \quad g'(w) = 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} K_{n-1}^{-n}(a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n)w^{n-1} = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n w^n.$$

Consequently, for  $(g'(w))^p$  we have

$$(2.5) \quad (g'(w))^p = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n^p(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)w^n.$$

On the other hand, the inequalities (1.2) and (1.3) imply the existence of two positive real part functions  $p(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n z^n \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $q(w) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n w^n \in \mathcal{P}$  so that

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} (f'(z))^p &= \alpha + (1 - \alpha)p(z) \\ &= 1 + (1 - \alpha)c_1 z + (1 - \alpha)c_2 z^2 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(g'(w))^p = \alpha + (1 - \alpha)q(w)$$

$$(2.7) \quad = 1 + (1 - \alpha)d_1w + (1 - \alpha)d_2w^2 + \dots$$

Now, comparing the corresponding coefficients of the Equations (2.3) and (2.6) yield

$$(2.8) \quad K_{n-1}^p(2a_2, 3a_3, \dots, na_n) = (1 - \alpha)c_{n-1}.$$

Similarly, from (2.5) and (2.7) we obtain

$$(2.9) \quad K_{n-1}^p(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{n-1}) = (1 - \alpha)d_{n-1}.$$

If  $a_k = 0$  for  $2 \leq k \leq n - 1$ , then the Equations (2.8) and (2.9) in conjunction with the relation (2.2) yield

$$npa_n = (1 - \alpha)c_{n-1},$$

and

$$pb_{n-1} = -npa_n = (1 - \alpha)d_{n-1}.$$

Taking the absolute values of either of the above two equations and using the Caratheodory Lemma we obtain

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{(1 - \alpha)|c_{n-1}|}{np} = \frac{(1 - \alpha)|d_{n-1}|}{np} \leq \frac{2(1 - \alpha)}{np}, \quad n \geq 3. \quad \blacksquare$$

Relaxing the coefficient restrictions imposed in Theorem 2.1, we see the unpredictable behavior of the early coefficients of functions  $f$  in  $R(p; \alpha)$  illustrated in the following two theorems.

**Theorem 2.2.** For  $0 \leq \alpha < 1$  and  $p \geq 2$  let  $f \in R(p; \alpha)$  be given by (1.1). Then

- (i)  $|a_2| \leq \frac{1 - \alpha}{p}$ ,
- (ii)  $|a_3 - a_2^2| \leq \frac{2(1 - \alpha)}{3p}$ .

*Proof.* Substituting  $n = 2$  in Equations (2.8) and (2.9), we obtain  $2pa_2 = (1 - \alpha)c_1$  and  $-2pa_2 = (1 - \alpha)d_1$ . From either one of the two equations, it follows that

$$|a_2| = \frac{(1 - \alpha)|c_1|}{2p} = \frac{(1 - \alpha)|d_1|}{2p} \leq \frac{1 - \alpha}{p}.$$

Next, from Equations (2.8), (2.9) and (2.2) for  $n = 3$ , we obtain

$$(2.10) \quad 2p(p - 1)a_2^2 + 3pa_3 = (1 - \alpha)c_2,$$

and

$$(2.11) \quad \frac{p(p - 1)}{2}b_1^2 + pb_2 = 2p(p + 2)a_2^2 - 3pa_3 = (1 - \alpha)d_2.$$

Subtracting (2.11) from (2.10), we deduce

$$6p(a_3 - a_2^2) = (1 - \alpha)(c_2 - d_2).$$

By taking absolute values of both sides and applying the Caratheodory Lemma, we obtain

$$|a_3 - a_2^2| \leq \frac{2(1 - \alpha)}{3p}. \quad \blacksquare$$

**Theorem 2.3.** For  $0 \leq \alpha < 1$  let  $f \in R(1; \alpha)$  be given by (1.1). Then

(i)

$$|a_2| \leq \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{2(1 - \alpha)}{3}}, & 0 \leq \alpha < \frac{1}{3}; \\ (1 - \alpha), & \frac{1}{3} \leq \alpha < 1, \end{cases}$$

(ii)

$$|a_3| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{4}{3}(1-\alpha), & \frac{1}{3}(1-\alpha)(5-3\alpha), & 0 \leq \alpha < \frac{1}{3}, \\ \frac{1}{3}(1-\alpha-3|a_2|^2), & & \frac{1}{3} \leq \alpha < \frac{2}{3}, \\ \frac{1}{3} & & \frac{2}{3} \leq \alpha < 1. \end{cases}$$

(iii)

$$|a_3 - a_2^2| \leq \frac{2}{3}(1-\alpha) - |a_2|^2 \text{ if } \frac{1}{3} \leq \alpha < 1.$$

*Proof.* To verify the estimate for  $|a_2|$ , it is sufficient to substitute  $n = 2$  and  $n = 3$  in Equations (2.8) and (2.9) with  $p = 1$ , which respectively yield

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{cases} 2a_2 = (1-\alpha)c_1, \\ -2a_2 = (1-\alpha)d_1, \end{cases}$$

and

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{cases} 3a_3 = (1-\alpha)c_2, \\ 3(2a_2^2 - a_3) = (1-\alpha)d_2. \end{cases}$$

From either one of the relations in (2.12) we obtain

$$(2.14) \quad |a_2| = \frac{(1-\alpha)|c_1|}{2} = \frac{(1-\alpha)|d_1|}{2} \leq (1-\alpha).$$

On the other hand, adding the two relations in (2.13) gives

$$6a_2^2 = (1-\alpha)(c_2 + d_2)$$

or

$$(2.15) \quad |a_2| = \sqrt{\frac{(1-\alpha)(|c_2 + d_2|)}{6}} \leq \sqrt{\frac{2(1-\alpha)}{3}}.$$

We note that for  $0 \leq \alpha < 1/3$ ,

$$\sqrt{\frac{2(1-\alpha)}{3}} < (1-\alpha).$$

Next, subtracting the two relations in (2.13) yields

$$6a_3 = (1-\alpha)(c_2 - d_2) + 3(2a_2^2)$$

or

$$(2.16) \quad 6|a_3| \leq (1-\alpha)(|c_2| + |d_2|) + 6|a_2|^2.$$

Using the Caratheodory Lemma and the estimate (2.15) for  $0 \leq \alpha < 1/3$ , from (2.16) we obtain

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{1}{6}(1-\alpha)(2+2) + \left(\sqrt{\frac{2(1-\alpha)}{3}}\right)^2 = \frac{4(1-\alpha)}{3}.$$

Using the Caratheodory Lemma and the estimate (2.14) for  $\alpha \geq 1/3$ , from (2.16) we obtain

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{1}{6}(1-\alpha)(2+2) + (1-\alpha)^2 = \frac{1}{3}(1-\alpha)(5-3\alpha).$$

Now, the second equation in (2.13) can be rewritten as

$$3a_3 = 6a_2^2 - (1-\alpha)d_2,$$

which upon substitution of  $a_2 = -\frac{1-\alpha}{2}d_1$  we obtain

$$3a_3 = \frac{3}{2}(1-\alpha)^2d_1^2 - (1-\alpha)d_2 = -(1-\alpha) \left[ d_2 - \frac{3}{2}(1-\alpha)d_1^2 \right].$$

Taking the absolute values, we obtain

$$3|a_3| \leq (1-\alpha) \left| d_2 - \frac{3}{2}(1-\alpha)d_1^2 \right|.$$

Applying the fact that  $|d_2 + \mu d_1^2| \leq 2 + \mu|d_1|^2$  if  $\mu \geq -\frac{1}{2}$ , which is due to the first author [12], and upon noticing that  $-\frac{3}{2}(1-\alpha) \geq -\frac{1}{2}$  for  $\alpha \geq \frac{2}{3}$  we obtain

$$3|a_3| \leq (1-\alpha) \left[ 2 - \frac{3}{2}(1-\alpha)|d_1|^2 \right].$$

Now upon re-substitution of  $a_2 = -\frac{1-\alpha}{2}d_1$  we obtain

$$3|a_3| \leq (1-\alpha) \left[ 2 - 6\frac{|a_2|^2}{1-\alpha} \right] = 2(1-\alpha - 3|a_2|^2)$$

or

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{2(1-\alpha - 3|a_2|^2)}{3}; \quad \frac{2}{3} \leq \alpha < 1.$$

Once again, the second equation in (2.13) can be rewritten as

$$3a_3 - 3a_2^2 = 3a_2^2 - (1-\alpha)d_2,$$

which upon substitution of  $a_2 = -\frac{1-\alpha}{2}d_1$  in its right hand side and taking the absolute values, we obtain

$$3|a_3 - a_2^2| \leq (1-\alpha) \left| d_2 - \frac{3}{4}(1-\alpha)d_1^2 \right|.$$

Since  $-\frac{3}{4}(1-\alpha) \geq -\frac{1}{2}$  if  $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{3}$ , we get

$$3|a_3 - a_2^2| \leq (1-\alpha) \left( 2 - \frac{3}{4}(1-\alpha)|d_1|^2 \right).$$

Now, upon re-substitution of  $a_2 = -\frac{1-\alpha}{2}d_1$  in the right hand side of the above inequality, it turns to

$$3|a_3 - a_2^2| \leq (1-\alpha) \left( 2 - \frac{3}{1-\alpha}|a_2|^2 \right)$$

or

$$|a_3 - a_2^2| \leq \frac{2}{3}(1-\alpha) - |a_2|^2 \quad \text{if} \quad \frac{1}{3} \leq \alpha < 1. \quad \blacksquare$$

**Remark 2.1.** The bounds  $|a_2| \leq 1-\alpha$  for  $\frac{1}{3} \leq \alpha < 1$  and  $|a_3| \leq \frac{4}{3}(1-\alpha)$  for  $0 \leq \alpha < \frac{1}{3}$  given in Theorem 2.3 above are much better than those corresponding bounds given by Srivastava *et al.* in [15, p. 1191, Theorem 2].

Finally, we give an example of a function satisfying the conditions (1.2) and (1.3).

**Example 2.1.** Let  $f(z) = z + \frac{1-\alpha}{np} z^n$ . Then  $f'(z) = 1 + \frac{1-\alpha}{p} z^{n-1}$  and

$$(f'(z))^p = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^p \binom{p}{k} \frac{(1-\alpha)^k}{p^k} z^{k(n-1)}.$$

Set

$$(f'(z))^p - \alpha = (1-\alpha) \left( 1 + \sum_{k=1}^p \binom{p}{k} \frac{(1-\alpha)^{k-1}}{p^k} z^{k(n-1)} \right) = (1-\alpha) \left( 1 + \sum_{k=1}^p A_k z^{k(n-1)} \right).$$

We note that  $A_k$  is a convex null sequence because  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} A_k = 0$ ,  $1 - A_1 \geq 0$  and  $A_k - A_{k+1} \geq 0$ . Therefore  $\operatorname{Re} [(f'(z))^p - \alpha] > 0$  or  $\operatorname{Re} (f'(z))^p > \alpha$ .

On the other hand, according to the equations (2.4) and (2.5), for the inverse map  $g = f^{-1}$  we obtain  $g(w) = w - \frac{1-\alpha}{np} w^n$  and

$$(g'(w))^p - \alpha = (1-\alpha) \left( 1 + \sum_{k=1}^p (-1)^k \binom{p}{k} \frac{(1-\alpha)^{k-1}}{p^k} w^{k(n-1)} \right).$$

Similarly,  $\operatorname{Re} [(g'(w))^p - \alpha] > 0$  since  $\frac{(g'(w))^p - \alpha}{1-\alpha}$  is dominated by  $1 + \sum_{k=1}^p A_k w^{k(n-1)}$  and  $A_k$  is a convex null sequence (e.g. see Goodman [10, Chapter 7]).

**Acknowledgement.** The research work of the third author is supported by a grant from the Fundamental Research Grant Scheme funded by the Ministry of Education (Project Number FP037-2013B).

## References

- [1] H. Airault, Remarks on Faber polynomials, *Int. Math. Forum* **3** (2008), no. 9–12, 449–456.
- [2] H. Airault, Symmetric sums associated to the factorization of Grunsky coefficients, *Conference: Groups and Symmetries*, April 27–29 (2007), Montreal.
- [3] H. Airault and A. Bouali, Differential calculus on the Faber polynomials, *Bull. Sci. Math.* **130** (2006), no. 3, 179–222.
- [4] H. Airault and J. Ren, An algebra of differential operators and generating functions on the set of univalent functions, *Bull. Sci. Math.* **126** (2002), no. 5, 343–367.
- [5] R. M. Ali, S. K. Lee, V. Ravichandran and S. Supramaniam, Coefficient estimates for bi-univalent Ma-Minda starlike and convex functions, *Appl. Math. Lett.* **25** (2012), no. 3, 344–351.
- [6] D. A. Brannan and T. S. Taha, On some classes of bi-univalent functions, *Studia Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math.* **31** (1986), no. 2, 70–77.
- [7] G. Faber, Über polynomische Entwicklungen, *Math. Ann.* **57** (1903), no. 3, 389–408.
- [8] P. L. Duren, *Univalent Functions*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 259, Springer, New York, 1983.
- [9] S. Gong, *The Bieberbach Conjecture*, translated from the 1989 Chinese original and revised by the author, AMS/IP Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 12, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.
- [10] A. W. Goodman, *Univalent Functions. Vol. I*, Mariner, Tampa, FL, 1983.
- [11] S. G. Hamidi, S. A. Halim and J. M. Jahangiri, Faber polynomial coefficient estimates for meromorphic bi-starlike functions, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **2013**, Art. ID 498159, 4 pp.
- [12] M. Jahangiri, On the coefficients of powers of a class of Bazilevič functions, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **17** (1986), no. 9, 1140–1144.
- [13] M. Lewin, On a coefficient problem for bi-univalent functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **18** (1967), 63–68.
- [14] M. Schiffer, Sur un problème d'extrémum de la représentation conforme, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **66** (1938), 48–55.

- [15] H. M. Srivastava, A. K. Mishra and P. Gochhayat, Certain subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions, *Appl. Math. Lett.* **23** (2010), no. 10, 1188–1192.
- [16] P. G. Todorov, On the Faber polynomials of the univalent functions of class  $\Sigma$ , *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **162** (1991), no. 1, 268–276.