

Relative Projective Dimensions

¹BAIYU OUYANG, ²LULING DUAN AND ³WEIQING LI

^{1,3}College of Mathematics and Computer Science, Hunan Normal University,
Changsha 410081, Hunan, P. R. China

²Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Guangxi College of Education,
Nanning 530023, Guangxi, P. R. China

¹oy@hunnu.edu.cn, ²duanluling2006@163.com, ³sdwg001@163.com

Abstract. In (n, d) -ring and n -coherent ring theory, n -presented modules plays an important role. In this paper, we firstly give some new characterizations of n -presented modules and n -coherent rings. Then, we introduce the concept of $(n, 0)$ -projective dimension, which measures how far away a finitely generated module is from being n -presented and how far away a ring is from being Noetherian, for modules and rings. This dimension has nice properties when the ring in question is n -coherent. Some known results are extended or obtained as corollaries.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 16D10, 16E40

Keywords and phrases: Noetherian rings, n -coherent rings, $(n, 0)$ -projective module (dimension), $(n, 0)$ -injective module, n -presented module.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper all rings are associative with identity and modules are unitary. $rD(R)$ stands for the right global dimension of a ring R . $pd(M)$, $id(M)$ and $fd(M)$ denote the projective, injective and flat dimension of an R -module M , respectively.

Let $n \geq 0$ be an integer. Following [2, 3, 15], we call a right R -module P n -presented if there exists an exact sequence of right R -modules

$$F_n \rightarrow F_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow P \rightarrow 0$$

where each F_i is finitely generated free (equivalently projective), $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$. An R -module is 0-presented (resp. 1-presented) if and only if it is finitely generated (resp. finitely presented). Every m -presented R -module is n -presented for $m \geq n$. A ring R is called *right n -coherent* [3] in case every n -presented right R -module is $(n+1)$ -presented. It is easy to see that R is right 0-coherent (resp. 1-coherent) if and only if R is right Noetherian (resp. coherent), and every n -coherent ring is m -coherent for $m \geq n$.

Communicated by Ang Miin Huey.

Received: March 15, 2012; Revised: June 26, 2012.

Let n and d be non-negative integers and M a right R -module. M is called (n, d) -injective [16] if $\text{Ext}_R^{d+1}(N, M) = 0$ for any n -presented right R -module N . M is said to be (n, d) -projective [11] if $\text{Ext}_R^{d+1}(M, N) = 0$ for any (n, d) -injective R -module N . It is easy to see that both (n, d) -injective modules and (n, d) -projective modules are closed under direct summands and finite direct sums. $(1, 0)$ -injective (resp. $(1, 0)$ -projective) modules are also called FP -injective (resp. FP -projective) modules. It is clear that every (n, d) -injective (resp. (m, d) -projective) module is (m, d) -injective (resp. (n, d) -projective) for $m \geq n$.

In (n, d) -ring and n -coherent ring theory (see [2, 3, 11, 16]), n -presented modules plays an important role. For modules and rings, Mao and Ding [10] defined a dimension, called an FP -projective dimension; Ng [12] introduced the concept of finitely presented dimension. In this paper, we introduce a kind of n -presented dimension of modules and rings.

Let $n \geq 1$ be a fixed integer. In Section 2, we introduce the concept of $(n, 0)$ -projective dimension $npd(M)$ for a right R -module M , and the concept of right $(n, 0)$ -projective dimension for a ring R , which measures how far away a finitely generated right R -module M is from being n -presented, and how far away a ring is from being right Noetherian, respectively. It is shown that a finitely generated right R -module M is n -presented if and only if it is $(n, 0)$ -projective if and only if $npd(M) = 0$ (Theorem 2.1); R is an n -coherent ring if and only if every $(n, 0)$ -injective right R -module is $(n, 1)$ -injective if and only if every $(n, 1)$ -projective right R -module is $(n, 0)$ -projective (Theorem 2.2); R is a right Noetherian ring if and only if $rnpd(R) = 0$ if and only if every right R -module is $(n, 0)$ -projective if and only if for a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ of right R -modules, if both B and C are finitely generated, then A is also finitely generated (Corollary 2.3).

Let $n \geq 1$ be a fixed integer and R a right n -coherent ring. In Section 3, we prove that $rnpd(R) = \sup\{npd(M) : M \text{ is a cyclic right } R\text{-module}\} = \sup\{id(M) : M \text{ is an } (n, 0)\text{-injective right } R\text{-module}\}$ (Theorem 3.1). As corollaries we obtain that R is right Noetherian if and only if $rnpd(R) < \infty$ and every injective right R -module is $(n, 0)$ -projective if and only if every $(n, 0)$ -injective right R -module has an $(n, 0)$ -projective cover with the unique mapping property if and only if every $(n, 0)$ -injective right R -module has an injective envelope with the unique mapping property (Corollary 3.3). If $rnpd(R) \leq m$, then we have that R is a right m -coherent ring (Proposition 3.5). Let S and T be rings. If $S \oplus T$ is a right n -coherent ring, then we get that $rnpd(S \oplus T) = \sup\{rnpd(S), rnpd(T)\}$ (Theorem 3.2). Let R be a commutative n -coherent ring and P any prime ideal of R , then $npd(R_P) \leq npd(R)$, where R_P is the localization of R at P (Theorem 3.3).

2. Definition and general results

Let R be a ring and $m \geq 0$ an integer. Mao and Ding [10] defined the FP -projective dimension $fpd(M)$ of a right R -module M as $\inf\{m : \text{Ext}_R^{m+1}(M, N) = 0 \text{ for any } FP\text{-injective right } R\text{-module } N\}$, if no such m exists, set $fpd(M) = \infty$; and the right FP -projective dimension $rfpd(R)$ of R as $\sup\{fpd(M) : M \text{ is a finitely generated right } R\text{-module}\}$. We generalize it as follows.

Definition 2.1. Let $m \geq 0$, $n \geq 1$ be integers, and R a ring. For a right R -module M , set $npd(M) = \inf\{m : \text{Ext}_R^{m+1}(M, N) = 0 \text{ for any } (n, 0)\text{-injective right } R\text{-module } N\}$, called the $(n, 0)$ -projective dimension of M . If no such m exists, set $npd(M) = \infty$.

Put $rnpd(R) = \sup\{npd(M) : M \text{ is a finitely generated right } R\text{-module}\}$, and call $rnpd(R)$ the right $(n, 0)$ -projective dimension of R . The left $(n, 0)$ -projective dimension $lnpd(R)$ of

R may be defined similarly. If R is a commutative ring, we drop the unneeded letters r and l .

We list the following lemma proved in [11, Lemma 3.3] for convenient using.

Lemma 2.1. [11, Lemma 3.3] *Let R be a ring, $n \geq 0$ an integer and $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ a short exact sequence of right R -modules. If C is $(n+1, 0)$ -projective and B is $(n, 0)$ -projective, then A is $(n, 0)$ -projective.*

It is clear that an n -presented right R -module is $(n, 0)$ -projective. In general, the converse is not true. Glaz (see [8, Theorem 2.1.10]) proved that a finitely generated right R -module is finitely presented if and only if it is FP -projective. We generalize it as the following.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $n \geq 0$ be a fixed integer and R a ring. Then the following are equivalent for a finitely generated right R -module P .*

- (1) P is n -presented.
- (2) P is $(n, 0)$ -projective.
- (3) $n\text{pd}(P) = 0$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) is obvious, and (2) \Leftrightarrow (3) holds by definition.

(2) \Rightarrow (1). We use induction on n . The case $n = 0$ is clear, and the case $n = 1$ has been proven in [8, Theorem 2.1.10]. Assume $n > 1$, and P is $(n, 0)$ -projective. Then P is $(n-1, 0)$ -projective. So P is $(n-1, 0)$ -presented by the induction hypothesis. Therefore there exists an exact sequence of right R -modules

$$F_{n-1} \rightarrow F_{n-2} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow P \rightarrow 0$$

where each F_i is finitely generated projective (hence $(m, 0)$ -projective, for any non-negative integer m), $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$. Write $K_1 = \ker(F_0 \rightarrow P)$, $K_m = \ker(F_{m-1} \rightarrow F_{m-2})$, $m = 2, 3, \dots, n-1$. Then we have the following short exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\longrightarrow K_1 \longrightarrow F_0 \longrightarrow P \longrightarrow 0, \\ 0 &\longrightarrow K_2 \longrightarrow F_1 \longrightarrow K_1 \longrightarrow 0, \\ &\vdots \\ 0 &\longrightarrow K_{n-1} \longrightarrow F_{n-2} \longrightarrow K_{n-2} \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Note that P is $(n, 0)$ -projective and F_0 is $(n-1, 0)$ -projective, we obtain K_1 is $(n-1, 0)$ -projective by Lemma 2.1. It follows that K_2 is $(n-2, 0)$ -projective again by Lemma 2.1. Continuing this way, we see that K_{n-1} is $(1, 0)$ -projective. Clearly, K_{n-1} is finitely generated. Thus K_{n-1} is finitely presented by [8, Theorem 2.1.10], and hence there exists an exact sequence $F'_n \rightarrow F'_{n-1} \rightarrow K_{n-1} \rightarrow 0$ with F'_n and F'_{n-1} finitely generated projective. So we get an exact sequence

$$F'_n \rightarrow F'_{n-1} \rightarrow F_{n-2} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow P \rightarrow 0.$$

It follows that P is n -presented, as required. ■

The following corollary is well-known.

Corollary 2.1. *Let $n \geq 0$ be a fixed integer and R a ring. Then the following statements hold:*

- (1) *Every finitely generated projective right R -module is n -presented.*

- (2) For a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ of right R -modules, if both A and C are n -presented, then B is also n -presented.
- (3) If $B \cong A \oplus C$, then B is n -presented if and only if both A and C are n -presented.

Proof.

- (1) Note that every projective right R -module is $(n, 0)$ -projective. Thus (1) follows from Theorem 2.1.
- (2) Since A and C are n -presented, we have both A and C are finitely generated and $(n, 0)$ -projective. Hence B is also finitely generated and $(n, 0)$ -projective. Therefore B is n -presented by Theorem 2.1.
- (3) If $B \cong A \oplus C$, then it is easy to see that B is finitely generated and $(n, 0)$ -projective if and only if both A and C are finitely generated and $(n, 0)$ -projective. Thus (3) holds by Theorem 2.1, and we complete the proof. \blacksquare

Corollary 2.2. *Let R be a ring, $n \geq 0$ an integer and $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow P \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ a short exact sequence of right R -modules, where P is finitely generated projective. Then K is n -presented if and only if M is $(n + 1, 0)$ -presented.*

Proof. If K is n -presented, then clearly M is $(n + 1)$ -presented. Conversely, if M is $(n + 1)$ -presented (hence $(n + 1, 0)$ -projective), then it is easy to see that K is finitely generated. On the other hand, K is $(n, 0)$ -projective by Lemma 2.1. It follows that K is n -presented from Theorem 2.1. \blacksquare

Theorem 2.2. *Let R be a ring, and $n \geq 0$ a fixed integer. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) R is a right n -coherent ring.
- (2) Every $(n + 1, 0)$ -injective right R -module is $(n, 0)$ -injective.
- (3) Every $(n, 0)$ -projective right R -module is $(n + 1, 0)$ -projective.
- (4) For a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ of right R -modules with B finitely generated projective, if C is n -presented, then A is also n -presented.
- (5) For a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ of right R -modules, if both B and C are n -presented, then A is also n -presented.

If $n \geq 1$, then the above conditions are also equivalent to:

- (6) Every $(n, 0)$ -injective right R -module is $(n, 1)$ -injective
- (7) Every $(n, 1)$ -projective right R -module is $(n, 0)$ -projective.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3). are obvious.

(3) \Rightarrow (1). Let M be an n -presented right R -modules. Then M is finitely generated and $(n, 0)$ -projective by Theorem 2.1. Note that M is $(n + 1, 0)$ -projective by (3). Thus M is $(n + 1)$ -presented again by Theorem 2.1.

(4) \Rightarrow (1). Let M be any n -presented right R -module. Then there exists a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow P \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ of right R -modules with P finitely generated projective and K n -presented by (4). Hence M is $(n + 1)$ -presented by Corollary 2.2, and (1) follows.

(1) \Rightarrow (5). If C is n -presented, then C is $(n + 1)$ -presented by (1). The rest proof is similar to that of Corollary 2.2.

(5) \Rightarrow (4). By (5), it suffices to show that B is n -presented. But this follows from Corollary 2.1.

Now suppose $n \geq 1$.

(4) \Rightarrow (6). Let M be an $(n, 0)$ -injective right R -module and C any n -presented right R -module. Then we get a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ of right R -modules with B finitely generated projective. By (4), A is n -presented. Thus,

$$\text{Ext}_R^2(C, M) \cong \text{Ext}_R^1(A, M) = 0.$$

Therefore, M is $(n, 1)$ -injective.

(6) \Rightarrow (7) is easy.

(7) \Rightarrow (1). Let P be an n -presented right R -module. We get a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow F \rightarrow P \rightarrow 0$ of right R -modules with F finitely generated projective and K finitely generated. For any $(n, 1)$ -injective right R -module M , we have

$$\text{Ext}_R^1(K, M) \cong \text{Ext}_R^2(P, M) = 0.$$

So K is $(n, 1)$ -projective and hence $(n, 0)$ -projective by (7). Thus, K is n -presented by Theorem 2.1. Therefore, P is $(n + 1)$ -presented and (1) holds. ■

It is well known that a ring R is right Noetherian if and only if every right R -module is FP -projective if and only if $\text{rfpd}(R) = 0$ (see [10, Proposition 2.6]). Now, we have the following.

Corollary 2.3. *Let $n \geq 1$ be a fixed integer. Then the following are equivalent for a ring R :*

- (1) R is right Noetherian.
- (2) $\text{rnpD}(R) = 0$.
- (3) Every finitely generated right R -module is n -presented.
- (4) Every $(n, 0)$ -injective right R -module is injective.
- (5) Every right R -module is $(n, 0)$ -projective.
- (6) Every finitely generated right R -module is $(n, 0)$ -projective.
- (7) Every cyclic right R -module is $(n, 0)$ -projective.
- (8) For a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ of right R -modules, if both B and C are finitely generated, then A is also finitely generated.

If R is right n -coherent, then the above conditions are also equivalent to:

- (9) Every $(n, 0)$ -injective right R -module is $(n, 0)$ -projective.

Proof. (1) \Leftrightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (4) and (5) \Rightarrow (6) \Rightarrow (7) are trivial.

(4) \Rightarrow (5) Let M be any right R -module and N any $(n, 0)$ -injective right R -module. Then $\text{Ext}_R^1(M, N) = 0$ since N is injective by (4). Hence M is $(n, 0)$ -projective.

(7) \Rightarrow (4). Let N be any $(n, 0)$ -injective right R -module, and I any right ideal of R . By (7), R/I is $(n, 0)$ -projective. So $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/I, N) = 0$. That is, N is injective.

(2) \Leftrightarrow (6) holds by definition, (3) \Leftrightarrow (6) holds by Theorem 2.1, (1) \Leftrightarrow (8) holds by Theorem 2.2, and (4) \Leftrightarrow (9) has been proven in [11, Proposition 4.10]. ■

Corollary 2.4. *Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer and R a ring. If $\text{rnpD}(R) \leq 1$, then $\text{rnpD}(R) = \text{rfpd}(R)$.*

Proof. This follows from the fact that $\text{rnpD}(R) = 0$ if and only if $\text{rfpd}(R) = 0$ by Corollary 2.3 and [10, Proposition 2.6]. ■

Remark 2.1.

- (1) From Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.3, we see that $\text{npd}(M)$ measures how far away a finitely generated right R -module M is from being n -presented, and $\text{rnpD}(R)$ measures how far away a ring is from being right Noetherian.

- (2) It is clear that $fpd(M) \leq npd(M) \leq pd(M)$, and $rfpD(R) \leq rnpD(R) \leq rD(R)$. Since $rfpD(R) = rD(R)$ if and only if R is von Neumann regular [10, Remarks 2.2], we have $rfpD(R) = rnpD(R) = rD(R)$ if and only if R is von Neumann regular. It is also easy to see that $rnpD(R) = rD(R)$ if and only if R is a right $(n, 0)$ -ring (see [16, Definition 2.5]).
- (3) It is known that a right Noetherian ring need not be left Noetherian, so $rnpD(R) \neq lnpD(R)$ in general.
- (4) The equivalence of (1) through (3) in Theorem 2.2 has been proven in [11, Theorem 4.1]. Here we prove the equivalence in a different way.
- (5) If $n = 1$, then Theorem 2.2 is just some characterizations of coherent rings.

Recall that a ring R is called right self- $(n, 0)$ -injective in case R_R is $(n, 0)$ -injective. Stenström proved that if R is right coherent and right self- FP -injective, then every flat right R -module is FP -injective (see [14, Lemma 4.1]). We generalize it as the following

Proposition 2.1. *Let $n \geq 1$ be a fixed integer. If R is a right n -coherent and right self- $(n, 0)$ -injective ring, then every flat right R -module is $(n, 0)$ -injective.*

Proof. Let M be a flat right R -module. Then, by [9, Theorem 4.85], we get a pure short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow F \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ where $F \cong \bigoplus_I R$ for a set I . Since R is right n -coherent and right self- $(n, 0)$ -injective, we have F is $(n, 0)$ -injective by [16, Lemma 2.9]. Hence we obtain the following exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow Hom_R(N, K) \rightarrow Hom_R(N, F) \rightarrow Hom_R(N, M) \rightarrow Ext_R^1(N, K) \rightarrow Ext_R^1(N, F) = 0$$

for any n -presented (hence finitely presented) right R -module N . It follows that $Ext_R^1(N, K) = 0$, and so K is $(n, 0)$ -injective. Note that R is right n -coherent, we have M is $(n, 0)$ -injective by [11, Theorem 4.1], as desired. ■

3. $(n, 0)$ -projective dimensions over n -coherent rings

Proposition 3.1. *Let $n \geq 1, m \geq 0$ be integers. If R is a right n -coherent ring, then the following are equivalent for a right R -module M :*

- (1) $npd(M) \leq m$.
- (2) $Ext_R^{m+1}(M, N) = 0$ for any $(n, 0)$ -injective right R -module N .
- (3) $Ext_R^{m+j}(M, N) = 0$ for any $(n, 0)$ -injective right R -module N and $j \geq 1$.
- (4) There exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow P_m \rightarrow P_{m-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$, where each P_i is $(n, 0)$ -projective.
- (5) If $\dots \rightarrow P_{m-1} \rightarrow P_{m-2} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ is a projective resolution of M , then $ker(P_{m-1} \rightarrow P_{m-2})$ is $(n, 0)$ -projective.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). We use induction on m . The case $m = 0$ is clear. Let $m \geq 1$. If $npd(M) = m$, then (2) holds by definition. Suppose $npd(M) \leq m - 1$. For any $(n, 0)$ -injective right R -module N , the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow E \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$ with E injective induces an exact sequence

$$Ext_R^m(M, L) \rightarrow Ext_R^{m+1}(M, N) \rightarrow Ext_R^{m+1}(M, E) = 0.$$

Since R is n -coherent, we get L is $(n, 0)$ -injective by [11, Theorem 4.1]. So $Ext_R^m(M, L) = 0$ by the induction hypothesis. It follows that $Ext_R^{m+1}(M, N) = 0$, as desired.

(2) \Rightarrow (3). Using induction on j , the proof is similar to that of (1) \Rightarrow (2).

(3) \Rightarrow (1), and (2) \Rightarrow (5) \Rightarrow (4) are obvious.

(4) \Rightarrow (2). Write $K_1 = \ker(P_0 \rightarrow M)$, $K_i = \ker(P_{i-1} \rightarrow P_{i-2})$, $i = 2, 3, \dots, m - 1$. Then we have the following short exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\longrightarrow K_1 \longrightarrow P_0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0, \\ 0 &\longrightarrow K_2 \longrightarrow P_1 \longrightarrow K_1 \longrightarrow 0, \\ &\vdots \\ 0 &\longrightarrow P_m \longrightarrow P_{m-1} \longrightarrow K_{m-1} \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

From the bottom exact sequence, we get the exactness of the sequence

$$0 = \text{Ext}_R^1(P_m, N) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^2(K_{m-1}, N) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^2(P_{m-1}, N)$$

for any $(n, 0)$ -injective right R -module N . Since P_{m-1} is $(n, 0)$ -projective, using an argument similar to that of (1) \Rightarrow (2), we get $\text{Ext}_R^2(P_{m-1}, N) = 0$. Hence $\text{Ext}_R^2(K_{m-1}, N) = 0$. Continuing this way, we obtain $\text{Ext}_R^{m+1}(M, N) = 0$. Thus (2) holds. \blacksquare

Proposition 3.2. *Let R be a right n -coherent ring ($n \geq 1$) and $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ a short exact sequence of right R -modules. Then the following are true:*

- (1) *If two of $\text{npd}(A)$, $\text{npd}(B)$ and $\text{npd}(C)$ are finite, so is the third.*
- (2) *$\text{npd}(A) \leq \sup\{\text{npd}(B), \text{npd}(C) - 1\}$.*
- (3) *$\text{npd}(B) \leq \sup\{\text{npd}(A), \text{npd}(C)\}$.*
- (4) *$\text{npd}(C) \leq \sup\{\text{npd}(B), \text{npd}(A) + 1\}$.*
- (5) *If B is $(n, 0)$ -projective and $0 < \text{npd}(A) < \infty$, then $\text{npd}(C) = \text{npd}(A) + 1$.*

Proof. Easy to verify by Proposition 3.1. \blacksquare

Corollary 3.1. *Let R be a right n -coherent ring ($n \geq 1$), A, B and C right R -modules. If $B \cong A \oplus C$, then $\text{npd}(B) = \sup\{\text{npd}(A), \text{npd}(C)\}$.*

Proof. Since $B \cong A \oplus C$, we get two short exact sequences $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ and $0 \rightarrow C \rightarrow B \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$. By Proposition 3.2 (3), it is enough to show that $\text{npd}(B) \geq \sup\{\text{npd}(A), \text{npd}(C)\}$. Suppose $\text{npd}(B) < \sup\{\text{npd}(A), \text{npd}(C)\}$, then $\text{npd}(B) < \text{npd}(A)$ or $\text{npd}(B) < \text{npd}(C)$. We may assume $\text{npd}(B) < \text{npd}(A)$. By Proposition 3.2 (2), $\text{npd}(C) \leq \sup\{\text{npd}(B), \text{npd}(A) - 1\}$. So $\text{npd}(C) \leq \text{npd}(A) - 1$, that is, $\text{npd}(C) < \text{npd}(A)$. In addition, also by Proposition 3.2 (2), we have $\text{npd}(A) \leq \sup\{\text{npd}(B), \text{npd}(C) - 1\}$. Hence $\text{npd}(A) \leq \text{npd}(C) - 1$, since $\text{npd}(B) < \text{npd}(A)$, and so $\text{npd}(A) < \text{npd}(C)$, a contradiction. \blacksquare

Let M be a right R -module. Recall that a homomorphism $\phi : M \rightarrow F$ where F is a right $(n, 0)$ -injective R -module, is called an $(n, 0)$ -injective preenvelope [5] of M if for any homomorphism $f : M \rightarrow F'$ with F' is $(n, 0)$ -injective, there is a homomorphism $g : F \rightarrow F'$ such that $g\phi = f$. Moreover, if the only such g are automorphism of F when $F' = F$ and $f = \phi$, then the $(n, 0)$ -injective preenvelope ϕ is called an $(n, 0)$ -injective envelope. A monomorphic $(n, 0)$ -injective preenvelope ϕ is said to be special [6, Definition 7.1.6] if $\text{coker } \phi$ is $(n, 0)$ -projective. $(n, 0)$ -projective (pre)covers and special $(n, 0)$ -projective precovers can be defined dually. It is proved that every right R -module has a special $(n, 0)$ -projective precover and a special $(n, 0)$ -injective preenvelope (see [11, Theorem 3.9]).

Theorem 3.1. *Let R be a right n -coherent ring ($n \geq 1$), then the following are identical:*

- (1) $\text{rnpd}(R)$

- (2) $\sup\{n\text{pd}(M): M \text{ is a cyclic right } R\text{-module}\}$
- (3) $\sup\{n\text{pd}(M): M \text{ is any right } R\text{-module}\}$
- (4) $\sup\{n\text{pd}(M): M \text{ is an } (n,0)\text{-injective right } R\text{-module}\}$
- (5) $\sup\{id(M): M \text{ is an } (n,0)\text{-injective right } R\text{-module}\}$

Proof. (1) \leq (2). We may assume $\sup\{n\text{pd}(M): M \text{ is a cyclic right } R\text{-module}\} = m < \infty$. Let A be any finitely generated right R -module. We use induction on the number of generators of A . If A has l generators, let A' be a submodule generated by one of these generators. Then both A/A' and A' are finitely generated on less than l generators. Let N be any $(n, 0)$ -injective right R -module. Consider the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A' \rightarrow A \rightarrow A/A' \rightarrow 0$ which induces an exact sequence

$$\text{Ext}_R^{m+1}(A/A', N) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{m+1}(A, N) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{m+1}(A', N)$$

where

$$\text{Ext}_R^{m+1}(A/A', N) = \text{Ext}_R^{m+1}(A', N) = 0$$

by induction hypothesis. Thus $\text{Ext}_R^{m+1}(A, N) = 0$. So $n\text{pd}(A) \leq m$.

(2) \leq (3) is clear.

(3) \leq (4). We may assume $\sup\{n\text{pd}(M): M \text{ is an } (n,0)\text{-injective right } R\text{-module}\} = m < \infty$. Let A be any right R -module, then A has a special $(n,0)$ -injective preenvelope by [11, Theorem 3.9], that is, there exists a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow E \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$ with E $(n,0)$ -injective and L $(n,0)$ -projective. Therefore, $n\text{pd}(A) \leq n\text{pd}(E) \leq m$ by Proposition 3.2.

(4) \leq (5). We may assume $\sup\{id(M): M \text{ is an } (n,0)\text{-injective right } R\text{-module}\} = m < \infty$. Let A and B be any $(n,0)$ -injective right R -modules. Then $\text{Ext}_R^{m+1}(A, B) = 0$ since $id(B) \leq m$. So $n\text{pd}(A) \leq m$ by Proposition 3.1.

(5) \leq (1). We may assume $\text{rnpD}(R) = m < \infty$. Let M be an $(n,0)$ -injective right R -module. Then $\text{Ext}_R^{m+1}(R/I, M) = 0$ for any right ideal I of R since $n\text{pd}(R/I) \leq m$ by hypothesis. Hence $id(M) \leq m$, this completes the proof. ■

Corollary 3.2. *Let $n \geq 1$ be a fixed integer. Then the following are equivalent for a right n -coherent ring R :*

- (1) $\text{rnpD}(R) \leq m$.
- (2) $n\text{pd}(M) \leq m$ for any $(n,0)$ -injective right R -module M .
- (3) $n\text{pd}(M) \leq m$ for any injective right R -module M , and $\text{rnpD}(R) < \infty$.
- (4) $id(M) \leq m$ for any $(n,0)$ -injective right R -module M .
- (5) $id(M) \leq m$ for all right R -module M that are both $(n,0)$ -injective and $(n,0)$ -projective, and $\text{rnpD}(R) < \infty$.

Proof. (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) \Leftrightarrow (4) holds by Theorem 3.1. (2) \Rightarrow (3) and (4) \Rightarrow (5) are clear.

(5) \Rightarrow (4). Let M be any $(n,0)$ -injective right R -module. By (5) and Theorem 3.1 (4), $n\text{pd}(M) = m$ for a non-negative integer m . Note that every right R -module has a special $(n,0)$ -projective precover by [11, Theorem 3.9], we obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow P_m \rightarrow P_{m-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

where each P_t is both $(n,0)$ -projective and $(n,0)$ -injective, $t = 0, 1, \dots, m$. Hence $id(P_t) \leq m$ by (5), $t = 0, 1, \dots, m$. So $id(M) \leq m$.

(3) \Rightarrow (2). Let M be any $(n, 0)$ -injective right R -module. By (3) and Theorem 3.1 (5), $id(M) = t$ for a non-negative integer t . Hence we get an injective resolution of M :

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow E^0 \rightarrow E^1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow E^{t-1} \rightarrow E^t \rightarrow 0.$$

By (3), $n pd(E^i) \leq m, i = 0, 1, \dots, t$. Hence we have $n pd(M) \leq m$ by Proposition 3.2, as desired. \blacksquare

Recall that an injective envelope $\phi : M \rightarrow E(M)$ of M has the *unique mapping property* [4] if for any homomorphism $f : M \rightarrow A$ with A injective, there is a unique homomorphism $g : E(M) \rightarrow A$ such that $g\phi = f$. The concept of an $(n, 0)$ -projective cover with the unique mapping property can be defined similarly.

Corollary 3.3. *Let $n \geq 1$ be a fixed integer. Then the following are equivalent for a right n -coherent ring R :*

- (1) R is right Noetherian.
- (2) $n pd(R) < \infty$ and every injective right R -module is $(n, 0)$ -projective.
- (3) Every $(n, 0)$ -injective right R -module is $(n, 0)$ -projective.
- (4) Every $(n, 0)$ -injective right R -module has an $(n, 0)$ -projective cover with the unique mapping property.
- (5) Every $(n, 0)$ -injective right R -module has an injective envelope with the unique mapping property.

Proof. (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) \Leftrightarrow (3) holds by Corollary 3.2 and Corollary 2.3.

(1) \Rightarrow (4) and (1) \Rightarrow (5). Let M be any $(n, 0)$ -injective right R -module. Then M is $(n, 0)$ -projective and injective, since R is right Noetherian by (1). Thus (4) and (5) follows.

(4) \Rightarrow (3). For any $(n, 0)$ -injective right R -module M , let $g : P \rightarrow M$ be the $(n, 0)$ -projective cover of M with the unique mapping property, where P is $(n, 0)$ -projective. Write $K = \ker g$. Then K is $(n, 0)$ -injective by [6, Corollary 7.2.3] and [11, Theorem 3.9]. Hence there exists an $(n, 0)$ -projective cover $f : P' \rightarrow K$ of K by (4). So, we obtain the following exact commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & P' & & & \\
 & & & \swarrow f & \downarrow if & \searrow & 0 \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & K & \xrightarrow{i} & P & \xrightarrow{g} & M \longrightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

Since $g(if) = 0$, we have $if = 0$ by (4). Whence $K = \text{Im } f \subseteq \ker(i) = 0$, that is, M is $(n, 0)$ -projective.

(5) \Rightarrow (1). Let M be any $(n, 0)$ -injective right R -module. By Corollary 2.3, we need only to show that M is injective. Let $f : M \rightarrow E$ be the injective envelope of M with the unique mapping property. Write $L = \text{coker } f$. Since R is n -coherent, L is $(n, 0)$ -injective by [11, Theorem 4.1]. So there exists an injective envelope $g : L \rightarrow E'$ of L by (5). Therefore we get the following exact commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \xrightarrow{f} & E & \xrightarrow{\pi} & L \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & & \searrow 0 & \downarrow g\pi & \swarrow g & \\
 & & & & E' & &
 \end{array}$$

Since $(g\pi)f = 0$, we have $g\pi = 0$ by (5). Hence $L = \text{Im } \pi \subseteq \ker(g) = 0$. So M is injective. This completes the proof. \blacksquare

Recall that a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ is said to be n -pure [11] if $Hom(M, B) \rightarrow Hom(M, C) \rightarrow 0$ is exact for any n -presented module M . A submodule N of M is called an n -pure submodule if the sequence $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow M \rightarrow M/N \rightarrow 0$ is n -pure.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $n \geq 1$ be a fixed integer and R a right n -coherent ring. Observe the following statements:*

- (1) $rnpD(R) \leq 1$.
- (2) *For any n -pure submodule N of an injective right R -module E , the quotient E/N is injective (i.e., $id(N) \leq 1$).*
- (3) *Every submodule of an $(n, 0)$ -projective right R -module is $(n, 0)$ -projective.*
- (4) *Every right ideal of R is $(n, 0)$ -projective.*
- (5) *For any pure submodule N of an injective right R -module E , the quotient E/N is injective.*
- (6) *Every submodule of an FP-projective right R -module is FP-projective.*
- (7) *Every right ideal of R is FP-projective.*

Then: (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) \Leftrightarrow (3) \Leftrightarrow (4) and (2) \Rightarrow (5) \Rightarrow (6) \Rightarrow (7).

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Let N be an n -pure submodule of an injective right R -module E . Then it is easy to see that N is $(n, 0)$ -injective. Hence $id(N) \leq 1$ by Theorem 3.1 (5). So the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow E \rightarrow E/N \rightarrow 0$ implies that E/N is injective.

(2) \Rightarrow (3). Let L be any $(n, 0)$ -injective right R -module. Then it is clear that L is an n -pure submodule of its injective envelope $E(L)$, and hence $id(L) \leq 1$ by (2). If N is a submodule of an $(n, 0)$ -projective right R -module M , then the exactness of the sequence

$$0 = Ext_R^1(M, L) \rightarrow Ext_R^1(N, L) \rightarrow Ext_R^2(M/N, L) = 0$$

implies that $Ext_R^1(N, L) = 0$, and so N is $(n, 0)$ -projective.

(4) \Rightarrow (1). Let I be an ideal of R . The exact sequence $0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow R \rightarrow R/I \rightarrow 0$ implies that $npd(R/I) \leq 1$ by Proposition 3.1. So (1) holds by Theorem 3.1 (2).

(2) \Rightarrow (5). It is easy to verify that every pure right R -module is n -pure. So (5) follows.

(5) \Rightarrow (6) is similar to that of (2) \Rightarrow (3), (3) \Rightarrow (4) and (6) \Rightarrow (7) are trivial. ■

It is known that if R is a right coherent ring, then $fd(M) = pd(M)$ for any finitely present right R -module M (see [7, Lemma 5]). Mao and Ding (see [10, Proposition 4.1]) proved that if R is also self-FP-injective, then $fd(M) = pd(M)$ for any FP-projective right R -module M . Here we have the following

Proposition 3.4. *Let n be a fixed positive integer. If R is a right n -coherent and right self- $(n, 0)$ -injective ring, then $fd(M) = pd(M)$ for any $(n, 0)$ -projective right R -module M .*

Proof. It is enough to show that $fd(M) \geq pd(M)$. We may assume that $fd(M) = m < \infty$. Then there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow F_m \rightarrow P_{m-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

with P_0, P_1, \dots, P_{m-1} projective and F_m flat. Consider the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow P \rightarrow F_m \rightarrow 0$ where P is projective. By [9, Theorem 4.85], the short exact sequence above is pure, and hence n -pure. By Proposition 2.1, P is $(n, 0)$ -injective. So K is $(n, 0)$ -injective by [11, Proposition 3.6]. Since M is $(n, 0)$ -projective, so is F_m . Thus the exactness of the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow Hom_R(F_m, K) \rightarrow Hom_R(P, K) \rightarrow Hom_R(K, K) \rightarrow Ext_R^1(F_m, K) = 0$$

implies that the sequence $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow P \rightarrow F_m \rightarrow 0$ is split exact, and so F_m is projective, that is, $pd(M) \leq m$. This completes the proof. \blacksquare

Proposition 3.5. *Let $n \geq 1$ be a fixed integer and R a right n -coherent ring. If $rnpD(R) \leq m$, then R is a right m -coherent ring.*

Proof. The case $m = 0$ holds by Corollary 2.3. Suppose $m \geq 1$. Let M be an m -presented right R -module, then M has a free resolution

$$F_m \rightarrow F_{m-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

with each F_i finitely generated free. Write $K_m = \ker(F_{m-1} \rightarrow F_{m-2})$, then

$$\text{Ext}_R^1(K_m, N) \cong \text{Ext}_R^{m+1}(M, N) = 0$$

for any FP -injective right R -module N , since $rnpD(R) \leq m$ and every FP -injective right R -module is $(n, 0)$ -injective. Note that K_m is finitely generated. We obtain K_m is finitely presented by Theorem 2.1. This implies that M is $(m+1)$ -presented, and so R is a right m -coherent ring. \blacksquare

To prove the next main result, we need four lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $f: R \rightarrow S$ be a surjective ring homomorphism. If M_S is a right S -module (hence a right R -module) and A_R is a right R -module, then the following statements hold:*

- (1) $M \otimes_R S_S \cong M_S$.
- (2) If A_R is a finitely generated right R -module, then $A \otimes_R S_S$ is a finitely generated right S -module.
- (3) M_S is a finitely generated right S -module if and only if M_R is a finitely generated right R -module.

Proof. (1). Easy.

(2). Clearly, S is a cyclic R -module. Suppose x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n are generators of A . Then it is easy to verify that $x_1 \otimes 1_S, x_2 \otimes 1_S, \dots, x_n \otimes 1_S$ are generators of $A \otimes_R S_S$, where 1_S denotes the identity of S . Thus $A \otimes_R S_S$ is a finitely generated right S -module.

(3). If M_S is a finitely generated right S -module, and suppose x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n are generators of M , then $M = x_1S + x_2S + \cdots + x_nS$. So $M = x_1R + x_2R + \cdots + x_nR$ since $f: R \rightarrow S$ is surjective. Hence M_R is a finitely generated right R -module. The converse holds by (1) and (2). \blacksquare

Lemma 3.2. *Let $f: R \rightarrow S$ be a surjective ring homomorphism, n a non-negative integer, and M a right S -module. If both S_R and ${}_R S$ are projective, then M_S is an n -presented right S -module if and only if M_R is an n -presented right R -module. (Note that the case $n = 1$ has been proven in [10, Lemma 3.13].)*

Proof. The case $n = 0$ follows by Lemma 3.1. So next we assume $n > 0$.

“ \Rightarrow ”. Suppose M is an n -presented right S -module. Then there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow P_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

of right S -modules with K finitely generated, and P_i finitely generated projective, $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$. By Lemma 3.1, each P_i and K are finitely generated right R -modules. Since S_R is projective, we have each P_i is a projective right R -module. So, M is an n -presented right R -module.

“ \Leftarrow ”. Assume M is an n -presented right R -module. Then there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow P_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

of right R -modules with K finitely generated, and P_i finitely generated projective, $i = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$. Since ${}_R S$ is projective, the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K \otimes_R S_S \rightarrow P_{n-1} \otimes_R S_S \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \otimes_R S_S \rightarrow P_0 \otimes_R S_S \rightarrow M \otimes_R S_S \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. By Lemma 3.1, $M \otimes_R S_S \cong M_S$, and both $K \otimes_R S_S$ and each $P_i \otimes_R S_S$ are finitely generated S -modules. Since each P_i is a projective right R -module, we have each $P_i \otimes_R S_S$ is a projective right S -module. So M is an n -presented right S -module. \blacksquare

Let n and d be non-negative integers. Recall that a left R -module A is called (n, d) -flat [16], in case $Tor_{d+1}^R(B, A) = 0$ for any n -presented right R -module B .

Lemma 3.3. *Let $f: R \rightarrow S$ be a surjective ring homomorphism, M_S a right S -module and ${}_S A$ a left S -module. If both S_R and ${}_R S$ are projective, then the following statements hold for any non-negative integers n and d :*

- (1) M_S is an (n, d) -injective right S -module if and only if M_R is an (n, d) -injective right R -module.
- (2) ${}_S A$ is an (n, d) -flat left S -module if and only if ${}_R A$ is an (n, d) -flat left R -module.
- (3) If R is a right n -coherent ring, then S is a right n -coherent ring.

Proof. (1). “ \Rightarrow ”. Suppose M_S is an (n, d) -injective right S -module. Let N_R be any n -presented right R -module. Then, using an argument similar to that in Lemma 3.2, we get that $N \otimes_R S_S$ is an n -presented right S -module. By [13, Theorem 11.65], we have

$$Ext_R^{d+1}(N_R, M_R) \cong Ext_S^{d+1}(N \otimes_R S_S, M_S) = 0.$$

Therefore M_R is an (n, d) -injective right R -module.

“ \Leftarrow ”. Assume M_R is an (n, d) -injective right R -module. Let N_S be any n -presented right S -module. Then $N \otimes_R S_S \cong N_S$ by Lemma 3.1 and N_R is an n -presented right R -module by Lemma 3.2. Again by [13, Theorem 11.65], we have

$$Ext_S^{d+1}(N_S, M_S) \cong Ext_S^{d+1}(N \otimes_R S_S, M_S) \cong Ext_R^{d+1}(N_R, M_R) = 0.$$

Therefore M_S is an (n, d) -injective right S -module.

(2). “ \Rightarrow ”. If ${}_S A$ is an (n, d) -flat left S -module. Let B_R be any n -presented right R -module. Then $B \otimes_R S_S$ is an n -presented right S -module. By [13, Corollary 11.63], we have

$$Tor_{d+1}^R(B_R, {}_R A) \cong Tor_{d+1}^S(B \otimes_R S_S, {}_S A) = 0.$$

Therefore ${}_R A$ is an (n, d) -flat left R -module.

“ \Leftarrow ”. If ${}_R A$ is an (n, d) -flat left R -module. Let B_S be any n -presented right S -module. Then $B \otimes_R S_S \cong B_S$ by Lemma 3.1 and B_R is an n -presented right R -module by Lemma 3.2. By [13, Corollary 11.63], we have

$$Tor_{d+1}^S(B_S, {}_S A) \cong Tor_{d+1}^S(B \otimes_R S_S, {}_S A) \cong Tor_{d+1}^R(B_R, {}_R A) = 0.$$

Therefore ${}_S A$ is an (n, d) -flat left S -module.

(3). Let M_S be an n -presented right R -module, then M_R is an n -presented right R -module by Lemma 3.2. Thus M_R is an $(n + 1)$ -presented right R -module since R is a right n -coherent ring. Therefore M_S is an $(n + 1)$ -presented right S -module again by Lemma 3.2, and so S is a right n -coherent ring. \blacksquare

We list the following lemma proved in [10, Lemma 3.14] for convenient using.

Lemma 3.4. [10, Lemma 3.14]. *Let R and S be rings. Every right $(R \oplus S)$ -module has a unique decomposition that $M = A \oplus B$, where $A = M(R, 0)$ is a right R -module and $B = M(0, S)$ is a right S -module via $xr = x(r, 0)$ for $x \in A$, $r \in R$, and $ys = y(0, s)$ for $y \in B$, $s \in S$.*

We are now in a position to prove the following main result.

Theorem 3.2. *Let S and T be rings, and $n \geq 1$ a fixed integer. If $S \oplus T$ is a right n -coherent ring, then*

$$rnpD(S \oplus T) = \sup\{rnpD(S), rnpD(T)\}.$$

Proof. For convenience, we write $R = S \oplus T$. Since R is a right n -coherent ring, we have both S and T are right n -coherent rings by Lemma 3.3.

We first show that $rnpD(R) \leq \sup\{rnpD(S), rnpD(T)\}$. We may assume $\sup\{rnpD(S), rnpD(T)\} = m < \infty$. Let M be a right (R) -module and N any $(n, 0)$ -injective right (R) -module. Then $N = A \oplus B$, where A is a right S -module and B is a right T -module by Lemma 3.4. Note that both A and B are $(n, 0)$ -injective right (R) -modules. Hence A is an $(n, 0)$ -injective right S -module and B is an $(n, 0)$ -injective right T -module by Lemma 3.3. By [13, Theorem 11.65], we have

$$\begin{aligned} Ext_R^{m+1}(M, N) &\cong Ext_R^{m+1}(M, A) \oplus Ext_R^{m+1}(M, B) \\ &\cong Ext_S^{m+1}(M \otimes_R S_S, A) \oplus Ext_T^{m+1}(M \otimes_R T_T, B) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

and hence $rnpD(R) \leq \sup\{rnpD(S), rnpD(T)\}$.

Next we prove that $rnpD(R) \geq \sup\{rnpD(S), rnpD(T)\}$. We may assume $rnpD(R) = m < \infty$. Let M be a right S -module and N any $(n, 0)$ -injective right S -module. Then N is an $(n, 0)$ -injective right (R) -module by Lemma 3.3. By Lemma 3.1, $M \otimes_R S_S \cong M_S$. Again by [13, Theorem 11.65], we have

$$Ext_S^{m+1}(M, N) \cong Ext_S^{m+1}(M \otimes_R S_S, N) \cong Ext_R^{m+1}(M, N) = 0.$$

Therefore $rnpD(R) \geq rnpD(S)$. Similarly for $rnpD(R) \geq rnpD(T)$, and hence $rnpD(R) \geq \sup\{rnpD(S), rnpD(T)\}$. This completes the proof. ■

Remark 3.1. Let R_1, R_2, \dots, R_m be rings and n a positive integer. The theorem above shows that $rnpD(\bigoplus_{i=1}^m R_i) = \sup\{rnpD(R_1), rnpD(R_2), \dots, rnpD(R_m)\}$ if $\bigoplus_{i=1}^m R_i$ is an n -coherent ring. In particular, we obtain the known result that $\bigoplus_{i=1}^m R_i$ is right Noetherian if and only if each R_i is right Noetherian. But in general $rnpD(\bigoplus_{i=1}^\infty R_i) \neq \sup_{i \geq 1} \{rnpD(R_i)\}$. For example, Z_2 is a field of two elements, but $\bigoplus_{i=1}^\infty Z_2$ is not Noetherian.

Lemma 3.5. *Assume n and d are non-negative integers, R is a commutative ring, and P is any prime ideal of R . Let R_P denote the localization of R at P , M is an R_P -module (M may be viewed as an R -module), and A is an R -module. Then the following statements hold:*

- (1) *If A is an n -presented R -module, then A_P is an n -presented R_P -module.*
- (2) *If M is an (n, d) -injective R_P -module, then M is an (n, d) -injective R -module.*
- (3) *If M is an (n, d) -flat R_P -module, then M is an (n, d) -flat R -module.*
- (4) *If A is an (n, d) -projective R -module, then A_P is an (n, d) -projective R_P -module.*

Proof. (1). Suppose A is an n -presented R -module. Then there exists an exact sequence of R -modules

$$F_n \rightarrow F_{n-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$$

where each F_i is finitely generated projective, $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$. It gives rise to the exactness of the sequence

$$(F_n)_P \rightarrow (F_{n-1})_P \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow (F_1)_P \rightarrow (F_0)_P \rightarrow A_P \rightarrow 0$$

of R_P -modules. By [6, Remark 2.2.5], each $(F_i)_P$ is a finitely generated projective R_P -module, $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$. Hence A_P is an n -presented R_P -module.

(2). Assume M is an (n, d) -injective R_P -module. Let N be any n -presented R -module, then N_P is an n -presented R_P -module by (1). Note that R_P is a flat R -module and $R_P \otimes_R N \cong N_P$. By [13, Theorem 11.65], we have

$$\text{Ext}_{R_P}^{d+1}(N, M) \cong \text{Ext}_{R_P}^{d+1}(R_P \otimes_R N, M) \cong \text{Ext}_R^{d+1}(N_P, M) = 0.$$

Therefore M is an (n, d) -injective R -module.

(3). Similar to that of (2).

(4). Suppose A is an (n, d) -projective R -module. Let B be any (n, d) -injective R_P -module, then B is an (n, d) -injective R -module by (2). Note that $A_P \cong R_P \otimes_R A$. By [13, Theorem 11.65], we have

$$\text{Ext}_{R_P}^1(A_P, B) \cong \text{Ext}_{R_P}^1(R_P \otimes_R A, B) \cong \text{Ext}_R^1(A, B) = 0.$$

Therefore A_P is an (n, d) -projective R_P -module. ■

Corollary 3.4. *Let R be a commutative ring and P any prime ideal of R . If M is an R_P -module, then the following statements hold:*

- (1) M is an injective R_P -module if and only if M is an injective R -module.
- (2) M is a flat R_P -module if and only if M is a flat R -module.

Proof. (1). If M is an injective R_P -module, then M is an injective R -module by Lemma 3.5. If M is an injective R -module, then M_P is an injective R_P -module by [13, Theorem 3.76]. Note that $M \cong M_P$ as R_P -modules. Thus (1) follows.

(2). Similar to that of (1). ■

Theorem 3.3. *Let $n \geq 1$ be a fixed integer and R a commutative n -coherent ring. If P is any prime ideal of R , then $npD(R_P) \leq npD(R)$.*

Proof. We may assume $npD(R) = t < \infty$. Let M be any R_P -module. Note that M may be viewed as an R -module. Thus $npd(M_R) \leq t$. If $t = 0$, then M is an $(n, 0)$ -projective R -module. Since $M \cong M_P$ as R_P -modules, we have M is an $(n, 0)$ -projective R_P -module by Lemma 3.5, and so the theorem follows. Next we assume $t \geq 1$. By Proposition 3.1 (5), There exists an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow F_{t-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

of R -modules, where each F_i is a projective R -module, $i = 1, 2, \dots, t - 1$, and K is an $(n, 0)$ -projective R -module. The above sequence induces an R_P -module exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K_P \rightarrow (F_{t-1})_P \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow (F_1)_P \rightarrow (F_0)_P \rightarrow M_P \rightarrow 0.$$

By [6, Remark 2.2.5], each $(F_i)_P$ is a projective R_P -module, $i = 1, 2, \dots, t - 1$. Note that K_P is an $(n, 0)$ -projective R_P -module by Lemma 3.5. Thus, for any $(n, 0)$ -injective R_P -module N , we have

$$\text{Ext}_{R_P}^{t+1}(M_P, N) \cong \text{Ext}_{R_P}^1(K_P, N) = 0$$

and so $npd(M_P)_{R_P} \leq t$ by definition. Since $M \cong M_P$ as R_P -modules, $npd(M) \leq t$. Therefore $npD(R_P) \leq npD(R)$, and we complete the proof. ■

Remark 3.2.

- (1) The theorem above shows the well-known result that any localization of a Noetherian ring is again Noetherian. But in general $npD(R) \neq \sup\{npD(R_P) : P \text{ is a prime ideal of } R\}$. For example, take R to be the direct product of countably many copies of \mathbf{Z}_2 , then R is not Noetherian. Thus $npD(R) > 0$. However, $npD(R_P) = 0$ for any prime ideal of R .
- (2) Let R be a commutative ring and P any prime ideal of R . Corollary 3.4 shows that if M is an R_P -module, then M is a flat (resp. injective) R_P -module if and only if M is a flat (resp. injective) R -module. But, in general, a projective R_P -module need not be a projective R -module. For example, R_P is a projective R_P -module, but R_P need not be a projective R -module.

Acknowledgement. This research was supported by the Scientific Research Fund of Education Department of Guangxi Province (No. 201203YB224).

References

- [1] F. W. Anderson and K. R. Fuller, *Rings and Categories of Modules*, second edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 13, Springer, New York, 1992.
- [2] J. Chen and N. Ding, On n -coherent rings, *Comm. Algebra* **24** (1996), no. 10, 3211–3216.
- [3] D. L. Costa, Parameterizing families of non-Noetherian rings, *Comm. Algebra* **22** (1994), no. 10, 3997–4011.
- [4] N. Ding, On envelopes with the unique mapping property, *Comm. Algebra* **24** (1996), no. 4, 1459–1470.
- [5] E. E. Enochs, Injective and flat covers, envelopes and resolvents, *Israel J. Math.* **39** (1981), no. 3, 189–209.
- [6] E. E. Enochs and O. M. G. Jenda, *Relative Homological Algebra*, de Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics, 30, de Gruyter, Berlin, 2000.
- [7] M. Finkel Jones and M. L. Teply, Coherent rings of finite weak global dimension, *Comm. Algebra* **10** (1982), no. 5, 493–503.
- [8] S. Glaz, *Commutative Coherent Rings*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1371, Springer, Berlin, 1989.
- [9] T. Y. Lam, *Lectures on Modules and Rings*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 189, Springer, New York, 1999.
- [10] L. Mao and N. Ding, FP-projective dimensions, *Comm. Algebra* **33** (2005), no. 4, 1153–1170.
- [11] L. Mao and N. Ding, Relative projective modules and relative injective modules, *Comm. Algebra* **34** (2006), no. 7, 2403–2418.
- [12] H. K. Ng, Finitely presented dimension of commutative rings and modules, *Pacific J. Math.* **113** (1984), no. 2, 417–431.
- [13] J. J. Rotman, *An Introduction to Homological Algebra*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, 85, Academic Press, New York, 1979.
- [14] B. Stenström, Coherent rings and FP-injective modules, *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* **2** (1970), 323–329.
- [15] W. Xue, On n -presented modules and almost excellent extensions, *Comm. Algebra* **27** (1999), no. 3, 1091–1102.
- [16] D. Zhou, On n -coherent rings and (n, d) -rings, *Comm. Algebra* **32** (2004), no. 6, 2425–2441.

