

Locally Maximal Regular Subsemibands of \mathcal{SOP}_n

PING ZHAO

School of Mathematics and Computer Science, GuiZhou Normal University,
Guiyang, GuiZhou Province 550001, P. R. China
Mathematics Teaching & Research Section, Guiyang Medical College,
GuiZhou Province 550004, P. R. China
zhaoping731108@hotmail.com

Abstract. In this paper we describe locally maximal regular subsemibands of finite singular orientation-preserving transformation semigroups and completely obtain their classification.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 20M20

Keywords and phrases: Transformation, order-preserving, orientation-preserving.

1. Introduction

Let $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ordered in the standard way. We denote by \mathcal{T}_n the semigroup of all full transformations of $[n]$, and by \mathcal{Sing}_n its subsemigroup of all singular transformations of $[n]$. We say that a transformation α in \mathcal{Sing}_n is order-preserving if, for all $x, y \in [n]$, $x \leq y$ implies $x\alpha \leq y\alpha$. We denote by \mathcal{O}_n the subsemigroup of \mathcal{Sing}_n of all order-preserving singular transformations. Let

$$\mathcal{O}_n^k = \{a^{-k} f a^k : f \in \mathcal{O}_n\}, \quad k \in [n],$$

where $a = (123 \cdots n)$ is the fixed generator of the cyclic group \mathcal{Z}_n . Catarino and Higgins [2] proved that \mathcal{O}_n^k and \mathcal{O}_n are isomorphic subsemigroups of \mathcal{T}_n .

Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{T}_n$, we say that α is *orientation-preserving* if the sequence $(1\alpha, 2\alpha, \dots, n\alpha)$ is cyclic, that is, there exists no more than one subscript i such that $i\alpha > (i+1)\alpha$. The notion of an orientation-preserving transformation was introduced by McAlister in [16] and, independently, by Catarino and Higgins in [2]. We denote by \mathcal{OP}_n the subsemigroup of \mathcal{T}_n of all orientation-preserving full transformations of $[n]$, and by \mathcal{SOP}_n the subsemigroup of \mathcal{Sing}_n of all orientation-preserving singular transformations of $[n]$.

A semigroup S is called *idempotent-generated* or *semiband* if it is generated by its idempotents. The latter term was introduced by F. Pastijn [17].

Let S be a semigroup. The set of all subsemigroups or subsemigroups with particular properties of S is partially ordered with respect to inclusions, and the maximal elements of

this set are called *maximal subsemigroups* or *maximal subsemigroups with particular properties* of S . The history of the problem to classify (or describe) all maximal subsemigroups or maximal subsemigroups with particular properties of a given semigroup goes back at least to [11]. Various special subsemigroups of \mathcal{T}_n have been studied by many authors (see for example [1–4, 6–10, 13, 18–33]). In recently years the problem was studied for several classes of transformation semigroups in [1, 3–6, 9, 12, 14, 15, 18–33]. In particular, Zhao, Bo and Mei [28] classified completely locally maximal subsemibands of \mathcal{SOP}_n . Recently, Zhao [29] characterized completely maximal subsemibands of \mathcal{SOP}_n . Further, Zhao [30] completely described maximal regular subsemibands of \mathcal{SOP}_n . In this paper, we aim to give more insight into the subsemigroup structure of the semigroup \mathcal{SOP}_n by characterizing the locally maximal regular subsemibands of \mathcal{SOP}_n .

Remark 1.1. In the paper it will always be clear from context when additions are modular.

For convenience, we introduce the following notation. From Catarino and Higgins [2], Green's equivalences in \mathcal{SOP}_n can be characterized as:

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha \mathcal{L} \beta &\Leftrightarrow \text{Im}(\alpha) = \text{Im}(\beta), \\ \alpha \mathcal{R} \beta &\Leftrightarrow \text{Ker}(\alpha) = \text{Ker}(\beta), \\ \alpha \mathcal{J} \beta &\Leftrightarrow |\text{Im}(\alpha)| = |\text{Im}(\beta)|.\end{aligned}$$

Thus \mathcal{SOP}_n has $n-1$ \mathcal{J} -classes: J_1, J_2, \dots, J_{n-1} , where

$$J_r = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{SOP}_n : |\text{Im}(\alpha)| = r\}.$$

Obviously, we have $\mathcal{SOP}_n = \bigcup_{r=1}^{n-1} J_r$. Let

$$K(n, r) = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{SOP}_n : |\text{Im}(\alpha)| \leq r\} = J_1 \cup J_2 \cdots \cup J_r,$$

where $1 \leq r \leq n-1$. The sets $K(n, r)$ are the two-sided ideals of \mathcal{SOP}_n . We want to focus on the class J_{n-1} at the top of the semigroup \mathcal{SOP}_n . As in [29], we use the notation

$$L_k = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{SOP}_n : \text{Im}(\alpha) = [n] \setminus \{k\}\},$$

$$R_{(i, i+1)} = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{SOP}_n : \text{the unique non-singleton class of } \text{Ker}(\alpha) \text{ is } \{i, i+1\}\}$$

for \mathcal{L} -classes and \mathcal{R} -classes in J_{n-1} . Hence J_{n-1} has n \mathcal{L} -classes L_1, L_2, \dots, L_n and n \mathcal{R} -classes $R(1, 2), R(2, 3), \dots, R(n-1, n), R(n, 1)$.

Gomes and Howie [10] used the notation $[i \rightarrow i-1]$ for the decreasing idempotent e defined by $ie = i-1, xe = x (x \neq i)$. They also used the notation $[i \rightarrow i+1]$ for the increasing idempotent f defined by $if = i+1, xf = x (x \neq i)$.

As usual, we denote by $E(S)$ the set of all idempotents of a subset S of \mathcal{SOP}_n . Employing above notation the set $E(J_{n-1})$ consists of n decreasing idempotents $[i \rightarrow i-1]$ ($i \in [n]$) and n increasing idempotents $[i \rightarrow i+1]$ ($i \in [n]$). Let $E_{n-1}^+ = \{[i \rightarrow i+1] : i \in [n]\}$ and $E_{n-1}^- = \{[i \rightarrow i-1] : i \in [n]\}$ be the increasing and decreasing idempotent sets, respectively. Then $E(J_{n-1}) = E_{n-1}^+ \cup E_{n-1}^-$. Note that $[0 \rightarrow 1] = [n \rightarrow 1]$, $[1 \rightarrow 0] = [1 \rightarrow n]$, $[n \rightarrow n+1] = [n \rightarrow 1]$, $[n+1 \rightarrow n] = [1 \rightarrow n]$, etc., by Remark 1.1.

With above notation, we have the following simple observations:

Lemma 1.1. *Let $n \geq 3$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}E(R_{(k, k+1)}) &= \{[k \rightarrow k+1], [k+1 \rightarrow k]\}, \quad k \in [n], \\ E(L_k) &= \{[k \rightarrow k-1], [k \rightarrow k+1]\}, \quad k \in [n].\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 1.2. *Let $n \geq 3$. Then*

$$E(\mathcal{O}_n^k \cap J_{n-1}) = E(J_{n-1}) \setminus \{[k \rightarrow k+1], [k+1 \rightarrow k]\}, \quad k \in [n].$$

2. Locally maximal regular subsemibands of \mathcal{SOP}_n

Let I be a subset of $E(J_{n-1})$. A subsemiband $\langle I \rangle$ of \mathcal{SOP}_n is called *locally maximal regular subsemiband* of \mathcal{SOP}_n if $\langle I \rangle$ is a regular, and any regular subsemiband $\langle J \rangle$ ($J \subseteq E(J_{n-1})$) of \mathcal{SOP}_n properly containing $\langle I \rangle$ must be \mathcal{SOP}_n . In this section, we obtain a classification of locally maximal regular subsemibands of \mathcal{SOP}_n .

Our main result is

Theorem 2.1. *Let $n \geq 3$. Then each one of the following types (A), (B) is a locally maximal regular subsemiband of \mathcal{SOP}_n :*

- (A) $S_i = \mathcal{O}_n^i, i \in [n]$.
- (B) $T_i = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{SOP}_n : i\alpha = i\}, i \in [n]$.

Conversely, every locally maximal regular subsemiband of \mathcal{SOP}_n is one of types (A), (B). \mathcal{SOP}_n has $2n$ locally maximal regular subsemibands.

To prove Theorem 2.1 we need the following series of lemmas. First, we need the following notation.

As in [2], let $k \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$, define a total order \leq_k on $[n]$ by

$$k+1 \leq_k k+2 \leq_k \dots \leq_k n \leq_k 1 \leq_k \dots \leq_k k.$$

We write $i <_k j$ if $i \leq_k j$ and $i \neq j$. Note that $i \leq_k j$ if and only if $i - k \leq j - k$. We say that $A = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_t)$ is cyclic with respect to \leq_k if there exist no more than one subscript i such that $a_{i+1} <_k a_i$. Clearly $A = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_t)$ is cyclic with respect to \leq_k if and only if there exists $j \in \{0, \dots, t-1\}$ such that

$$a_{j+1} \leq_k \dots \leq_k a_t \leq_k a_1 \leq_k \dots \leq_k a_j.$$

The following lemma was proved by Catarino and Higgins [2, Lemma 1.4].

Lemma 2.1. *Let $A = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_t)$ be any sequence of elements from $[n]$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (a) A is cyclic with respect to $\leq_0 = \leq$.
- (b) A is cyclic with respect to \leq_k for some k .
- (c) A is cyclic with respect to \leq_k for all k .

Let

$$(2.1) \quad M_{i,j} = \langle E(J_{n-1}) \setminus \{[i \rightarrow i+1], [j \rightarrow j-1]\} \rangle, \quad i, j \in [n],$$

$$(2.2) \quad S_j^k = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_n^k : (\forall x \in [n]) j \leq_k x \implies j \leq_k x\alpha\}, \quad j \neq k+1 \pmod{n},$$

$$(2.3) \quad T_j^k = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_n^k : (\forall x \in [n]) x \leq_k j \implies x\alpha \leq_k j\}, \quad j \neq k \pmod{n}.$$

The following lemma establishes the relationships among $M_{i,j}, S_j^i$ and T_i^{j-1} .

Lemma 2.2. *Let $M_{i,j}, S_j^i$ and T_i^{j-1} be defined as (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3), respectively. Then*

$$M_{i,j} \cap J_{n-1} = (S_j^i \cup T_i^{j-1}) \cap J_{n-1}, \quad j \neq i+1 \pmod{n}.$$

Proof. See [29, Lemma 3.5]. ■

The following lemma is the main result of [28, Theorem 3.5].

Lemma 2.3. *Let S_j^k and T_j^k be defined as (2.2) and (2.3), respectively. Then*

- (1) $S_j^k = \langle E(\mathcal{O}_n^k \cap J_{n-1}) \setminus \{[j \rightarrow j-1]\} \rangle, j \neq k+1 \pmod{(n)}$.
- (2) $T_j^k = \langle E(\mathcal{O}_n^k \cap J_{n-1}) \setminus \{[j \rightarrow j+1]\} \rangle, j \neq k \pmod{(n)}$.

Proof. See [28, Theorem 3.5]. ■

We can use Lemmas 1.2, 2.1 and 2.3 to obtain the following.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $M_{i,i}$ be defined as (2.1). Then*

$$M_{i,i} = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{SOP}_n : i\alpha = i\}.$$

Proof. Let $D_i = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{SOP}_n : i\alpha = i\}$ and $F = E(J_{n-1}) \setminus \{[i \rightarrow i+1], [i \rightarrow i-1]\}$. Then $M_{i,i} = \langle F \rangle$. It is easy to verify that D_i is a subsemigroup of \mathcal{SOP}_n . Note that $F \subseteq D_i$. Thus $M_{i,i} = \langle F \rangle \subseteq D_i$.

For the reverse containment, let T_i^{i-1} be defined as (2.3). By Lemmas 1.2 and 2.3, we have

$$T_i^{i-1} = \langle E(J_{n-1}) \setminus \{[i-1 \rightarrow i], [i \rightarrow i-1], [i \rightarrow i+1]\} \rangle.$$

It follows easily that

$$(2.4) \quad M_{i,i} = \langle F \rangle = \langle T_i^{i-1} \cup \{[i-1 \rightarrow i]\} \rangle.$$

By the definition of T_i^{i-1} , we easily deduce that

$$(2.5) \quad T_i^{i-1} = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_n^{i-1} : i\alpha = i\}.$$

We now prove that $D_i \subseteq M_{i,i}$. Let $\alpha \in D_i$. We partition into two cases.

Case 1. $|\text{Im}(\alpha)| = 1$. Clearly, $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} [n] \\ i \end{pmatrix}$ (since $\alpha \in D_i$, we have $i\alpha = i$). Then, by (2.4) and (2.5),

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} [n] \\ i \end{pmatrix} \in \{\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_n^{i-1} : i\alpha = i\} = T_i^{i-1} \subseteq M_{i,i}.$$

Case 2. $|\text{Im}(\alpha)| = r \geq 2$. From [2, Theorem 3.3], we know that α can be expressed as

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & A_2 & \cdots & A_r \\ b_1 & b_2 & \cdots & b_r \end{pmatrix},$$

where $A_s = \{a_s, a_s + 1, \dots, a_{s+1} - 1\}, s = 1, 2, \dots, r$, the subset $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r\}$ is an initial points set of kernel classes $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_r, a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_r$ and (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_r) is cyclic. Since $\alpha \in D_i$, we have $i\alpha = i$. Then there exist $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ such that $b_k = i$ and $i \in A_k$. Note that $A_k = \{a_k, a_k + 1, \dots, a_{k+1} - 1\}$. We may now partition into two cases according to $a_k = i$ or $a_k \neq i$.

Case 2.1. $a_k = i$. Note that $b_k = i$ and (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_r) is a cyclic. From Lemma 2.1, we easily deduce that

$$(2.6) \quad i = b_k \leq_{i-1} \cdots \leq_{i-1} b_r \leq_{i-1} b_1 \leq_{i-1} \cdots \leq_{i-1} b_{k-1}.$$

Note that $A_k = \{i, i+1, \dots, a_{k+1} - 1\}$ and $A_{k-1} = \{a_{k-1}, a_{k-1} + 1, \dots, i - 1\}$ (since $a_k - 1 = i - 1$). Then, by (2.5), (2.6) and the definition of \mathcal{O}_n^{i-1} ,

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} A_k & A_{k+1} & \cdots & A_r & A_1 & \cdots & A_{k-1} \\ b_k & b_{k+1} & \cdots & b_r & b_1 & \cdots & b_{k-1} \end{pmatrix} \in T_i^{i-1}.$$

Thus, by (2.4), $\alpha \in T_i^{i-1} \subseteq M_{i,i}$.

Case 2.2. $a_k \neq i$. Since $i \in A_k$ and $A_k = \{a_k, a_k + 1, \dots, a_{k+1} - 1\}$, we have $i - 1 \in A_k$. Let $A_k^* = \{a_k, a_k + 1, \dots, i - 1\}$ and $A_k^{**} = \{i, \dots, a_{k+1} - 1\}$. Then $A_k = A_k^* \cup A_k^{**}$. Note that $b_k = i$ and $A_s = \{a_s, a_s + 1, \dots, a_{s+1} - 1\}$, $s = 1, 2, \dots, r$. Let

$$\beta = \begin{pmatrix} A_k^{**} & A_{k+1} & \cdots & A_r & A_1 & \cdots & A_{k-1} & A_k^* \\ i & a_{k+1} & \cdots & a_r & a_1 & \cdots & a_{k-1} & i-1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} A_k^{**} & A_{k+1} & \cdots & A_r & A_1 & \cdots & A_{k-2} & A_{k-1} \cup A_k^* \\ b_k & b_{k+1} & \cdots & b_r & b_1 & \cdots & b_{k-2} & b_{k-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then clearly $\alpha = \beta[i - 1 \rightarrow i]\gamma$. Note that $[i - 1 \rightarrow i] \in M_{i,i}$ (by (2.4)). To prove that $\alpha \in M_{i,i}$, it suffices to prove that $\gamma \in M_{i,i}$ and $\beta \in M_{i,i} \cup \{1_{[n]}\}$, where $1_{[n]}$ be the identity mapping on $[n]$. By (2.5), (2.6) and the definition of \mathcal{O}_n^{i-1} , we have $\gamma \in T_i^{i-1}$. Thus, by (2.4), $\gamma \in T_i^{i-1} \subseteq M_{i,i}$. Since $i - 1, i \in A_k$, we have $a_k \leq i - 1 < i \leq a_{k+1} - 1 < a_{k+1}$. Note that $a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_r$. It easily follows from Lemma 2.1 that

$$i \leq_{i-1} a_{k+1} \leq_{i-1} \cdots \leq_{i-1} a_r \leq_{i-1} a_1 \leq_{i-1} \cdots \leq_{i-1} a_{k-1} \leq_{i-1} a_k \leq_{i-1} i - 1.$$

If $r = n - 1$, then clearly $\beta = 1_{[n]}$; if $r \leq n - 2$, then, by (2.5) and the definition of \mathcal{O}_n^{i-1} , $\beta \in T_i^{i-1}$. Thus, by (2.4), $\beta \in T_i^{i-1} \subseteq M_{i,i}$. ■

Recall that Catarino and Higgins [2] had already proved that \mathcal{SOP}_n is a subsemiband of \mathcal{T}_n . We have proved in [28, Theorem 2.1] the following result.

Lemma 2.5. For $n \geq 3$, let $A \subseteq E(\mathcal{SOP}_n)$. Then

$$\langle A \rangle = \mathcal{SOP}_n \text{ if and only if } E_{n-1}^+ \subseteq A \text{ or } E_{n-1}^- \subseteq A.$$

The following lemma was proved by the author in [28, Lemma 2.3].

Lemma 2.6. Let $i \in [n]$, $e = [i + 1 \rightarrow i]$, $f \in E(J_{n-1})$ and $ef \notin \{e, f\}$. Then

$$ef \in J_{n-1} \text{ if and only if } f = [i + 2 \rightarrow i + 1].$$

Let I be a subset of $E(J_{n-1})$. It is obvious that $I \subseteq E(\langle I \rangle \cap J_{n-1})$. In general, $E(\langle I \rangle \cap J_{n-1}) \subseteq I$ is false. For example, let $I = E_{n-1}^+$, then, by Lemma 2.5, $\langle I \rangle = \mathcal{SOP}_n$ and so $E(\langle I \rangle \cap J_{n-1}) = E(J_{n-1}) = E_{n-1}^+ \cup E_{n-1}^-$. Then clearly $E(\langle I \rangle \cap J_{n-1}) \not\subseteq I$. However, we have the following.

Lemma 2.7. Let I be a subset of $E(J_{n-1})$. If $\langle I \rangle \subset \mathcal{SOP}_n$. Then

$$E(\langle I \rangle \cap J_{n-1}) = I.$$

Proof. Clearly, $I \subseteq E(\langle I \rangle \cap J_{n-1})$. Now, we need to prove that $E(\langle I \rangle \cap J_{n-1}) \subseteq I$. Note that $I \subseteq E(J_{n-1})$ and $E(J_{n-1}) = E_{n-1}^+ \cup E_{n-1}^-$. Let $I_1^* = \langle I \rangle \cap E_{n-1}^+$ and $I_2^* = \langle I \rangle \cap E_{n-1}^-$, then $E(\langle I \rangle \cap J_{n-1}) = I_1^* \cup I_2^*$. Let $G_i = I_i^* \setminus I$, $i = 1, 2$. To prove that $E(\langle I \rangle \cap J_{n-1}) \subseteq I$, we only need to prove that $G_i = \emptyset$, $i = 1, 2$. Note that $G_1 \subseteq I_1^* \subseteq E_{n-1}^+$ and $G_2 \subseteq I_2^* \subseteq E_{n-1}^-$. Now, we assume that $G_1 \neq \emptyset$, and so there is some idempotent element $e = [k \rightarrow k + 1] \in I_1^* \setminus I$. Note that $I_1^* \subseteq \langle I \rangle$ and $I \subseteq E(J_{n-1})$. Obviously, we may suppose that

$$e = e_1 e_2 \cdots e_r, \text{ where } e_i \in I, i = 1, 2, \dots, r, r > 1,$$

and

$$e_i e_{i+1} \cdots e_j \neq e_i, e_j, \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq r.$$

Since $e \in J_{n-1}$ and $e \notin I$ it follows that eRe_1, eLe_r . By Lemma 1.1, we have $e_1 = [k+1 \rightarrow k]$ and $e_r = [k \rightarrow k-1]$. By repeated use of Lemma 2.6, we have $e_i = [k+i \rightarrow k+i-1]$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, r$). Then $e_r = [k+r \rightarrow k+r-1] = [k \rightarrow k-1]$ and so $r \equiv 0 \pmod n$. It follows immediately that $E_{n-1}^- = \{[k+i \rightarrow k+i-1] : i \in [n]\} = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_r\} \subseteq I$. Thus, by Lemma 2.5, $\langle I \rangle = \mathcal{SOP}_n$, contradicting the assumption that $\langle I \rangle \subset \mathcal{SOP}_n$. Similarly, we can prove that $G_2 = \emptyset$. ■

Let I and J be nonempty subsets of $E(J_{n-1})$. It is obvious that $I \subseteq J \Rightarrow \langle I \rangle \subseteq \langle J \rangle \Rightarrow K(n, n-2) \cup \langle I \rangle \subseteq K(n, n-2) \cup \langle J \rangle$. In general, $I \subseteq J \Leftarrow \langle I \rangle \subseteq \langle J \rangle$ and $I \subseteq J \Leftarrow K(n, n-2) \cup \langle I \rangle \subseteq K(n, n-2) \cup \langle J \rangle$ are false. For example, let $I = E_{n-1}^+$ and $J = E_{n-1}^-$, then, by Lemma 2.5, $\langle I \rangle = \langle J \rangle = \mathcal{SOP}_n$ and so $K(n, n-2) \cup \langle I \rangle = K(n, n-2) \cup \langle J \rangle = \mathcal{SOP}_n$. Clearly $I \cap J = \emptyset$. However, we can use Lemma 2.7 to obtain the following.

Lemma 2.8. *Let I and J be nonempty subsets of $E(J_{n-1})$. If $\langle J \rangle \subset \mathcal{SOP}_n$. Then*

- (i) $I \subseteq J \Leftrightarrow \langle I \rangle \subseteq \langle J \rangle \Leftrightarrow K(n, n-2) \cup \langle I \rangle \subseteq K(n, n-2) \cup \langle J \rangle$.
- (ii) $I \subset J \Leftrightarrow \langle I \rangle \subset \langle J \rangle \Leftrightarrow K(n, n-2) \cup \langle I \rangle \subset K(n, n-2) \cup \langle J \rangle$.

Proof. (i) Clearly,

$$I \subseteq J \Rightarrow \langle I \rangle \subseteq \langle J \rangle \Rightarrow K(n, n-2) \cup \langle I \rangle \subseteq K(n, n-2) \cup \langle J \rangle.$$

To prove that

$$I \subseteq J \Leftarrow \langle I \rangle \subseteq \langle J \rangle \Leftarrow K(n, n-2) \cup \langle I \rangle \subseteq K(n, n-2) \cup \langle J \rangle.$$

It suffices to prove that

$$K(n, n-2) \cup \langle I \rangle \subseteq K(n, n-2) \cup \langle J \rangle \Rightarrow I \subseteq J.$$

Suppose that $K(n, n-2) \cup \langle I \rangle \subseteq K(n, n-2) \cup \langle J \rangle$. Then $\langle I \rangle \cap J_{n-1} = (K(n, n-2) \cup \langle I \rangle) \cap J_{n-1} \subseteq (K(n, n-2) \cup \langle J \rangle) \cap J_{n-1} = \langle J \rangle \cap J_{n-1}$. Thus, by Lemma 2.7,

$$I \subseteq E(\langle I \rangle \cap J_{n-1}) \subseteq E(\langle J \rangle \cap J_{n-1}) = J.$$

(ii) By (i), we easily deduce that

$$I = J \Leftrightarrow \langle I \rangle = \langle J \rangle \Leftrightarrow K(n, n-2) \cup \langle I \rangle = K(n, n-2) \cup \langle J \rangle.$$

It follows immediately that

$$I \subset J \Leftrightarrow \langle I \rangle \subset \langle J \rangle \Leftrightarrow K(n, n-2) \cup \langle I \rangle \subset K(n, n-2) \cup \langle J \rangle. \quad \blacksquare$$

We can use Lemmas 2.4 and 2.8 to obtain the following.

Lemma 2.9. *Let $i \in [n]$, $T_i = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{SOP}_n : i\alpha = i\}$. Then T_i is a locally maximal regular subsemiband of \mathcal{SOP}_n .*

Proof. Let $M_{i,i}$ be as defined in (2.1). By Lemma 2.4, we have

$$(2.7) \quad T_i = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{SOP}_n : i\alpha = i\} = M_{i,i} = \langle E(J_{n-1}) \setminus \{[i \rightarrow i+1], [i \rightarrow i-1]\} \rangle.$$

Then T_i is a subsemiband of \mathcal{SOP}_n . Let $\alpha \in T_i$. If $|\text{Im}(\alpha)| = 1$, then clearly α is an idempotent, and so α is regular. If $|\text{Im}(\alpha)| \geq 2$, from [2, Theorem 3.3], we know that α can be expressed as

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & A_2 & \cdots & A_r \\ b_1 & b_2 & \cdots & b_r \end{pmatrix},$$

where $A_s = \{a_s, a_s + 1, \dots, a_{s+1} - 1\}$, $s = 1, 2, \dots, r$, the subset $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r\}$ is an initial points set of kernel classes A_1, A_2, \dots, A_r , $a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_r$ and (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_r) is cyclic.

Since $\alpha \in T_i$, we have $i\alpha = i$. Then there exist $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ such that $b_k = i$ and $i \in A_k$. Let $C_j = \{b_j, b_j + 1, \dots, b_{j+1} - 1\}$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, r$, and let

$$\beta = \begin{pmatrix} C_k & C_{k+1} & \cdots & C_r & C_1 & \cdots & C_{k-1} \\ i & a_{k+1} & \cdots & a_r & a_1 & \cdots & a_{k-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

then $\alpha = \alpha\beta\alpha$ (since $i \in A_k$) and $i\beta = i$ (since $i = b_k \in C_k$). Since $i \in A_k$, we have $a_k \leq i \leq a_{k+1} - 1 < a_{k+1}$. Note that $a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_r$. It easily follows from Lemma 2.1 that

$$i \leq_{i-1} a_{k+1} \leq_{i-1} \cdots \leq_{i-1} a_r \leq_{i-1} a_1 \leq_{i-1} \cdots \leq_{i-1} a_{k-1} \leq_{i-1} i - 1.$$

Then, by (2.5) and the definition of \mathcal{O}_n^{i-1} , $\beta \in T_i^{i-1}$. Thus, by (2.4) and (2.7), $\beta \in T_i^{i-1} \subseteq M_{i,i} = T_i$ and so α is regular (note that $\alpha = \alpha\beta\alpha$). Hence T_i is a locally regular subsemiband of \mathcal{SOP}_n .

Let $\langle J \rangle$ ($J \subseteq E(J_{n-1})$) be a locally regular subsemiband of \mathcal{SOP}_n properly containing T_i . We shall prove that $\langle J \rangle = \mathcal{SOP}_n$. If $\langle J \rangle \subset \mathcal{SOP}_n$, then, by Lemma 2.8 (ii) and (2.7),

$$E(J_{n-1}) \setminus \{[i \rightarrow i + 1], [i \rightarrow i - 1]\} \subset J,$$

and so

$$E(J_{n-1}) \setminus \{[i \rightarrow i + 1]\} \subseteq J \text{ or } E(J_{n-1}) \setminus \{[i \rightarrow i - 1]\} \subseteq J.$$

Note $E(J_{n-1}) = E_{n-1}^+ \cup E_{n-1}^-$. It follows that

$$E_{n-1}^- \subseteq J \text{ or } E_{n-1}^+ \subseteq J.$$

Thus, by Lemma 2.5, $\langle J \rangle = \mathcal{SOP}_n$, contradicting the assumption that $\langle J \rangle \subset \mathcal{SOP}_n$. ■

A proper subsemigroup S of \mathcal{SOP}_n is called *maximal regular subsemiband* of \mathcal{SOP}_n if S is a regular subsemiband, and any regular subsemiband of \mathcal{SOP}_n properly containing S must be \mathcal{SOP}_n . The following lemma is the main result of [30].

Lemma 2.10. *Let $n \geq 3$. Then each maximal regular subsemiband of \mathcal{SOP}_n must be one of the following forms:*

- (C) $K(n, n - 2) \cup \mathcal{O}_n^i$, $i \in [n]$.
- (D) $K(n, n - 2) \cup \{\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_n^{i-1} \cup \mathcal{O}_n^i : i\alpha = i\}$, $i \in [n]$.

Proof. See [30, Theorem 4]. ■

The following lemma gives a necessary condition for a locally regular subsemiband of \mathcal{SOP}_n to be maximal.

Lemma 2.11. *Let I be a nonempty subset of $E(J_{n-1})$. If $\langle I \rangle$ is a locally maximal regular subsemiband of \mathcal{SOP}_n then $T = K(n, n - 2) \cup \langle I \rangle$ is a maximal regular subsemiband of \mathcal{SOP}_n .*

Proof. From [30, Lemma 13] we know that if S is a regular semigroup and I is an ideal of S , then I is also a regular semigroup. Note that \mathcal{SOP}_n is a regular semigroup (see [2, Theorem 3.1]) and $K(n, n - 2)$ is an ideal of \mathcal{SOP}_n . Then $K(n, n - 2)$ is regular and so $T = K(n, n - 2) \cup \langle I \rangle$ is regular (since $\langle I \rangle$ is regular). From [29, Theorem 2.1] we know that $K(n, n - 2) = \langle E(J_{n-2}) \rangle$. Then

$$T = K(n, n - 2) \cup \langle I \rangle = \langle E(J_{n-2}) \cup I \rangle.$$

Thus $T = K(n, n - 2) \cup \langle I \rangle$ is a regular subsemiband of \mathcal{SOP}_n .

Let S be a regular subsemiband of \mathcal{SOP}_n properly containing T . Clearly $S = \langle E(S) \rangle$ and $K(n, n-2) \subseteq T \subset S$. We easily deduce that $S = K(n, n-2) \cup S = K(n, n-2) \cup \langle E(S \cap J_{n-1}) \rangle$ and so

$$K(n, n-2) \cup \langle I \rangle = T \subset S = K(n, n-2) \cup \langle E(S \cap J_{n-1}) \rangle.$$

Note that $E(S \cap J_{n-1}) \subseteq E(J_{n-1})$ and $S \subset \mathcal{SOP}_n$. Then, by Lemma 2.8 (ii), $\langle I \rangle \subset \langle E(S \cap J_{n-1}) \rangle$ and so, by the locally maximality of $\langle I \rangle$, $\langle E(S \cap J_{n-1}) \rangle = \mathcal{SOP}_n$. Thus $S = \mathcal{SOP}_n$ and so $T = K(n, n-2) \cup \langle I \rangle$ is a maximal regular subsemiband of \mathcal{SOP}_n . ■

Our final lemma is

Lemma 2.12. *Let $n \geq 3$. Then*

$$\mathcal{O}_n^k = \langle E(\mathcal{O}_n^k \cap J_{n-1}) \rangle.$$

Proof. See [28, Lemma 2.2]. ■

Now, we can prove Theorem 2.1.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. From Lemma 2.9 we know that T_i is a locally maximal regular subsemiband of \mathcal{SOP}_n . By Lemmas 1.2 and 2.12, we have

$$(2.8) \quad \mathcal{O}_n^i = \langle E(J_{n-1}) \setminus \{[i \rightarrow i+1], [i+1 \rightarrow i]\} \rangle.$$

It is well known that \mathcal{O}_n is regular. From Lemma 4.1 in [2], we know that the mapping $\varphi_i : f \rightarrow a^{-i} f a^i$ is an isomorphism between \mathcal{O}_n and \mathcal{O}_n^i , where $a = (123 \cdots n)$ is the fixed generator of the cyclic group \mathcal{Z}_n . Then \mathcal{O}_n^i is regular and so, by (2.8), $S_i = \mathcal{O}_n^i$ is a locally regular subsemiband of \mathcal{SOP}_n . Let $\langle J \rangle$ ($J \subseteq E(J_{n-1})$) be a locally regular subsemiband of \mathcal{SOP}_n properly containing $S_i = \mathcal{O}_n^i$. We shall prove that $\langle J \rangle = \mathcal{SOP}_n$. If $\langle J \rangle \subset \mathcal{SOP}_n$, then, by Lemma 2.8 (ii) and (2.8),

$$E(J_{n-1}) \setminus \{[i \rightarrow i+1], [i+1 \rightarrow i]\} \subset J,$$

and so

$$E(J_{n-1}) \setminus \{[i \rightarrow i+1]\} \subseteq J \text{ or } E(J_{n-1}) \setminus \{[i+1 \rightarrow i]\} \subseteq J.$$

Note that $E(J_{n-1}) = E_{n-1}^+ \cup E_{n-1}^-$. It follows that

$$E_{n-1}^- \subseteq J \text{ or } E_{n-1}^+ \subseteq J.$$

Thus, by Lemma 2.5, $\langle J \rangle = \mathcal{SOP}_n$, contradicting the assumption that $\langle J \rangle \subset \mathcal{SOP}_n$. Hence S_i is a locally maximal regular subsemiband of \mathcal{SOP}_n .

Conversely, we shall prove that each locally maximal regular subsemiband of \mathcal{SOP}_n must be of the form S_i or T_i . Let $C_i = K(n, n-2) \cup \mathcal{O}_n^i$ and $D_i = K(n, n-2) \cup \{\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_n^{i-1} \cup \mathcal{O}_n^i : i\alpha = i\}$. By (2.8), we have

$$(2.9) \quad C_i = K(n, n-2) \cup \mathcal{O}_n^i = K(n, n-2) \cup \langle E(J_{n-1}) \setminus \{[i \rightarrow i+1], [i+1 \rightarrow i]\} \rangle.$$

Let $M_{i,i}$, S_i^j and T_i^{j-1} be defined as (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3), respectively. By the definition of S_i^j , T_i^{j-1} , we easily deduce that $S_i^i = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_n^i : i\alpha = i\}$ and $T_i^{i-1} = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_n^{i-1} : i\alpha = i\}$. Then, by Lemma 2.2,

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} D_i &= K(n, n-2) \cup \{\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_n^{i-1} \cup \mathcal{O}_n^i : i\alpha = i\} = K(n, n-2) \cup S_i^i \cup T_i^{i-1} \\ &= K(n, n-2) \cup \{(S_i^i \cup T_i^{i-1}) \cap J_{n-1}\} = K(n, n-2) \cup (M_{i,i} \cap J_{n-1}) \\ &= K(n, n-2) \cup M_{i,i} = K(n, n-2) \cup \langle E(J_{n-1}) \setminus \{[i \rightarrow i+1], [i \rightarrow i-1]\} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $\langle I \rangle$ ($I \subseteq E(J_{n-1})$) is a locally maximal regular subsemiband of \mathcal{SOP}_n . Then, by Lemma 2.11, $T = K(n, n-2) \cup \langle I \rangle$ is a maximal regular subsemiband of \mathcal{SOP}_n . Thus, by Lemma 2.10, (2.9) and (2.10), there exist $s \in [n]$ such that $T = C_s = K(n, n-2) \cup \langle E(J_{n-1} \setminus \{[s \rightarrow s+1], [s+1 \rightarrow s]\}) \rangle$ or there exist $t \in [n]$ such that $T = D_t = K(n, n-2) \cup \langle E(J_{n-1} \setminus \{[t \rightarrow t+1], [t \rightarrow t-1]\}) \rangle$. It follows easily from Lemma 2.8 (i) that

$$\langle I \rangle = \langle E(J_{n-1}) \setminus \{[s \rightarrow s+1], [s+1 \rightarrow s]\} \rangle \text{ or } \langle I \rangle = \langle E(J_{n-1}) \setminus \{[t \rightarrow t+1], [t \rightarrow t-1]\} \rangle.$$

Thus, by (2.8) and Lemma 2.4,

$$\langle I \rangle = \langle E(J_{n-1}) \setminus \{[s \rightarrow s+1], [s+1 \rightarrow s]\} \rangle = \mathcal{O}_n^s = S_s$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} \langle I \rangle &= \langle E(J_{n-1}) \setminus \{[t \rightarrow t+1], [t \rightarrow t-1]\} \rangle = M_{t,t} \\ &= \{ \alpha \in \mathcal{SOP}_n : t\alpha = t \} = T_t. \end{aligned}$$

It now is obvious that \mathcal{SOP}_n has n locally maximal regular subsemibands of type (A), and n locally maximal regular subsemibands of type (B). Hence \mathcal{SOP}_n has $2n$ locally maximal regular subsemibands. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1. ■

Remark 2.1. By Lemma 2.4 and (2.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} K(n, n-2) \cup \{ \alpha \in \mathcal{O}_n^{i-1} \cup \mathcal{O}_n^i : i\alpha = i \} &= K(n, n-2) \cup M_{i,i} \\ &= K(n, n-2) \cup \{ \alpha \in \mathcal{SOP}_n : i\alpha = i \}. \end{aligned}$$

From this fact and Lemma 2.10 (the main result of [30]), we immediately obtain the following result, which is a clearer than the main result of [30] (see [30, Theorem 4]).

Theorem 2.2. *Let $n \geq 3$. Then each maximal regular subsemiband of \mathcal{SOP}_n must be one of the following forms:*

- (C) $K(n, n-2) \cup \mathcal{O}_n^i, i \in [n]$.
- (D) $K(n, n-2) \cup \{ \alpha \in \mathcal{SOP}_n : i\alpha = i \}, i \in [n]$.

3. Some related problems

In [26], You described the maximal regular subsemigroup of the ideals of \mathcal{T}_n . In turn, the maximal subsemigroup of the ideals of \mathcal{T}_n was given by Yang and Yang [20]. In [27], You and Yang classified the maximal subsemibands of \mathcal{Sing}_n . Yang and Yang [25] obtained the classification of maximal regular subsemibands of \mathcal{Sing}_n . For the semigroup \mathcal{T}_n , it is then natural to ask for the problem concerning the description of (locally) maximal subsemibands or (locally) maximal regular subsemibands of the ideals of \mathcal{T}_n which are open questions.

On the other hand, as the notions of order-preserving transformation and orientation-preserving transformation have been widely considered for several classes of transformation semigroups, it is also natural to consider the semigroups \mathcal{O}_n and \mathcal{OP}_n . We also may ask for the problem concerning the description of (locally) maximal subsemibands or (locally) maximal regular subsemibands of the ideals of the two semigroup. Dimitrova and Koppitz [3] determined all the maximal subsemigroups of the ideals of \mathcal{O}_n . The same authors [4] classified completely maximal regular subsemigroups of the ideals of \mathcal{O}_n . Recently, Zhao [31] classified completely maximal regular subsemibands of the ideals of \mathcal{O}_n . Further, Dimitrova, Fernandes and Koppitz [6] described all the maximal subsemigroups of the ideals of \mathcal{OP}_n . All the other cases remain as open problems.

Acknowledgment. The author would like to express his appreciation to the referees for the valuable comments and suggestions which improved the presentation of this paper. Especially, the author is also grateful to the anonymous referee who puts forward an advising suggestion to seek in the future research a general approach to the study of maximal subsemigroups of finite semigroups. This work is supported by Natural Science Fund of Guizhou (No. [2013]2225).

References

- [1] X. Bo, Z. Ping and L. Junyang, Locally maximal idempotent-generated subsemigroups of singular order-preserving transformation semigroups, *Semigroup Forum* **72** (2006), no. 3, 488–492.
- [2] P. M. Catarino and P. M. Higgins, The monoid of orientation-preserving mappings on a chain, *Semigroup Forum* **58** (1999), no. 2, 190–206.
- [3] I. Dimitrova and J. Koppitz, On the maximal subsemigroups of some transformation semigroups, *Asian-Eur. J. Math.* **1** (2008), no. 2, 189–202.
- [4] I. Dimitrova and J. Koppitz, On the maximal regular subsemigroups of ideals of order-preserving or order-reversing transformations, *Semigroup Forum* **82** (2011), no. 1, 172–180.
- [5] I. Dimitrova and J. Koppitz, On the monoid of all partial order-preserving extensive transformations, *Comm. Algebra* **40** (2012), no. 5, 1821–1826.
- [6] I. Dimitrova, V. H. Fernandes and J. Koppitz, The maximal subsemigroups of semigroups of transformations preserving or reversing the orientation on a finite chain, *Publicaciones Mathematicae Debrecen* **81** (2012), no. 1–2, 11–29.
- [7] V. H. Fernandes, G. M. S. Gomes and M. M. Jesus, The cardinal and the idempotent number of various monoids of transformations on a finite chain, *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. (2)* **34** (2011), no. 1, 79–85.
- [8] V. H. Fernandes and T. M. Quinteiro, The cardinal of various monoids of transformations that preserve a uniform partition, *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. (2)* **35** (2012), no. 4, 885–896.
- [9] O. Ganyushkin and V. Mazorchuk, On the structure of IO_n , *Semigroup Forum* **66** (2003), no. 3, 455–483.
- [10] G. M. S. Gomes and J. M. Howie, On the ranks of certain semigroups of order-preserving transformations, *Semigroup Forum* **45** (1992), no. 3, 272–282.
- [11] N. Graham, R. Graham and J. Rhodes, Maximal subsemigroups of finite semigroups, *J. Combinatorial Theory* **4** (1968), 203–209.
- [12] E. Hotzel, Maximality properties of some subsemigroups of Baer-Levi semigroups, *Semigroup Forum* **51** (1995), no. 2, 153–190.
- [13] L. Sun, Combinatorial results for certain semigroups of transformations preserving orientation and a uniform partition, *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. (2)* **36** (2013), no. 1, 179–192.
- [14] I. Levi and G. R. Wood, On maximal subsemigroups of Baer-Levi semigroups, *Semigroup Forum* **30** (1984), no. 1, 99–102.
- [15] M. W. Liebeck, C. E. Praeger and J. Saxl, A classification of the maximal subgroups of the finite alternating and symmetric groups, *J. Algebra* **111** (1987), no. 2, 365–383.
- [16] D. B. McAlister, Semigroups generated by a group and an idempotent, *Comm. Algebra* **26** (1998), no. 2, 515–547.
- [17] F. Pastijn, Embedding semigroups in semibands, *Semigroup Forum* **14** (1977), no. 3, 247–263.
- [18] N. R. Reilly, Maximal inverse subsemigroups of $T_X^{(1)}$, *Semigroup Forum* **15** (1978), no. 1, 319–326.
- [19] K. Todorov and L. Kračolova, On the maximal subsemigroups of the ideals of finite symmetric semigroup, *Simon Stevin* **59** (1985), no. 2, 129–140.
- [20] H. B. Yang and X. L. Yang, Maximal subsemigroups of finite transformation semigroups $K(n, r)$, *Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.)* **20** (2004), no. 3, 475–482.
- [21] X. Yang, A classification of maximal inverse subsemigroups of the finite symmetric inverse semigroups, *Comm. Algebra* **27** (1999), no. 8, 4089–4096.
- [22] X. Yang, A classification of maximal subsemigroups of finite order-preserving transformation semigroups, *Comm. Algebra* **28** (2000), no. 3, 1503–1513.
- [23] X. Yang, Maximal subsemigroups of the finite singular transformation semigroup, *Comm. Algebra* **29** (2001), no. 3, 1175–1182.

- [24] X. Yang and C. Lu, Maximal properties of some subsemigroups in finite order-preserving transformation semigroups, *Comm. Algebra* **28** (2000), no. 7, 3125–3135.
- [25] X. Yang and H. Yang, Maximal regular subsemibands of Sing_n , *Semigroup Forum* **72** (2006), no. 1, 75–93.
- [26] T. You, Maximal regular subsemigroups of certain semigroups of transformations, *Semigroup Forum* **64** (2002), no. 3, 391–396.
- [27] T. You and X. Yang, A classification of the maximal idempotent-generated subsemigroups of finite singular semigroups, *Semigroup Forum* **64** (2002), no. 2, 236–242.
- [28] P. Zhao, X. Bo and Y. Mei, Locally maximal idempotent-generated subsemigroups of singular orientation-preserving transformation semigroups, *Semigroup Forum* **77** (2008), no. 2, 187–195.
- [29] P. Zhao, A classification of maximal idempotent-generated subsemigroups of singular orientation-preserving transformation semigroups, *Semigroup Forum* **79** (2009), no. 2, 377–384.
- [30] P. Zhao, Maximal regular subsemibands of SOP_n , *Semigroup Forum* **80** (2010), no. 3, 477–483.
- [31] P. Zhao, A classification of maximal idempotent-generated subsemigroups of finite orientation-preserving singular partial transformation semigroups, *Semigroup Forum* **84** (2012), no. 1, 69–80.
- [32] P. Zhao, Maximal regular subsemibands of finite order-preserving transformation semigroups $K(n, r)$, *Semigroup Forum* **84** (2012), no. 1, 97–115.
- [33] P. Zhao, B. Xu and M. Yang, A note on maximal properties of some subsemigroups of finite order-preserving transformation semigroups, *Comm. Algebra* **40** (2012), no. 3, 1116–1121.

