BULLETIN of the MALAYSIAN MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES SOCIETY http://math.usm.my/bulletin

Ideals in the Ternary Semiring of Non-Positive Integers

¹JAYPRAKASH NINU CHAUDHARI AND ²KUNAL JULAL INGALE

^{1,2}Department of Mathematics, M. J. College, Jalgaon-425002, India ¹jnchaudhari@rediffmail.com, ²ikjingale@rediffmail.com

Abstract. Characterizations of prime ideals, semiprime ideals, irreducible *k*-ideals and irreducible principal *T*-ideals in the ternary semiring of non-positive integers are investigated.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 16Y60, 16Y99

Keywords and phrases: Ternary semiring, *k*-ideal, prime ideal, semiprime ideal, irreducible ideal, finitely generated ideal, *T*-ideal.

1. Introduction

Theory of semirings is given by Golan [10] and theory of ideals in the semiring of nonnegative integers is studied by Allen and Dale [1]. Fuzzy *k*-ideals in semirings is studied by Hedayati, Zhan and Shum [12, 15]. Generalizing the notion of ternary ring introduced by Lister [14], Dutta and Kar [4] introduced the notion of ternary semiring. A non-empty set *S* together with a binary operation called addition (+) and a ternary operation called ternary multiplication (·) is called ternary semiring if it satisfies the following conditions for all $a, b, c, d, e \in S$:

- 1. (a+b)+c = a+(b+c);
- 2. a + b = b + a;
- 3. $(a \cdot b \cdot c) \cdot d \cdot e = a \cdot (b \cdot c \cdot d) \cdot e = a \cdot b \cdot (c \cdot d \cdot e);$
- 4. there exists $0 \in S$ such that a + 0 = a = 0 + a, $a \cdot b \cdot 0 = a \cdot 0 \cdot b = 0 \cdot a \cdot b = 0$;
- 5. $(a+b) \cdot c \cdot d = a \cdot c \cdot d + b \cdot c \cdot d;$
- 6. $a \cdot (b+c) \cdot d = a \cdot b \cdot d + a \cdot c \cdot d;$
- 7. $a \cdot b \cdot (c+d) = a \cdot b \cdot c + a \cdot b \cdot d$.

Clearly, every semiring is a ternary semiring. Denote the sets of all non-positive, negative, and positive integers respectively by \mathbb{Z}_0^- , \mathbb{Z}^- , and \mathbb{N} . The set \mathbb{Z}_0^- is a ternary semiring under usual addition and ternary multiplication of non-positive integers but it is not a semiring.

If there exists an element *e* in a ternary semiring *S* such that eex = exe = xee = x for all $x \in S$, then *e* is called the identity element of *S*. A ternary semiring *S* is said to be commutative if abc = acb = cab for all $a, b, c \in S$. The ternary semiring $(\mathbb{Z}_0^-, +, \cdot)$ is commutative with

Communicated by V. Ravichandran.

Received: March 29, 2012; Revised: September 6, 2012.

identity element -1. A non-empty subset *I* of a commutative ternary semiring *S* is called an ideal of *S* if the following conditions are satisfied:

- 1. $a, b \in I$ implies $a + b \in I$;
- 2. $a \in I, r, s \in S$ implies $rsa \in I$.

An ideal *I* of a ternary semiring *S* is called a *k*-ideal (= subtractive ideal) if $a, a+b \in I, b \in S$, then $b \in I$. If *S* is a commutative ternary semiring with identity element, then a proper ideal *I* of *S* is called (i) prime if $abc \in I, a, b, c \in S$ implies $a \in I$ or $b \in I$ or $c \in I$; (ii) semiprime if $a^3 \in I, a \in S$ implies $a \in I$. Clearly, every prime ideal is a semiprime ideal. The concept of irreducible ideals in a ternary semirings can be defined on the similar lines as in semirings and rings. If $n \in (\mathbb{Z}_0^-, +, \cdot)$ and $n \leq -2$, then *n* can be written as

$$n = (-p_1)^{r_1} (-p_2)^{r_2} \cdots (-p_k)^{r_k} (-1)^{r_1} (-1)^{r_2} \cdots (-1)^{r_k} (-1)$$
$$= (-p_1)^{r_1} (-p_2)^{r_2} \cdots (-p_k)^{r_k} (-1)^{(\sum_{i=1}^k r_i)+1}$$

where $p_1, p_2, ..., p_k \in \mathbb{N}$ are pairwise distinct primes and $r_i, k \in \mathbb{N}$. An ideal I of $(\mathbb{Z}_0^-, +, \cdot)$ is said to be generated by a subset $A = \{a_1, a_2, ..., a_n\}$ of \mathbb{Z}_0^- if for every $x \in I$, there exist $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in \mathbb{Z}_0^-$ such that $x = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \beta_i a_i$. If $A = \{a\}$, then $\mathbb{Z}_0^- \mathbb{Z}_0^- a$ is called a principal ideal generated by a. For $a_1, a_2, ..., a_k \in \mathbb{Z}_0^-$, we denote (i) $\langle a_1, a_2, ..., a_k \rangle$ = the ideal generated by $a_1, a_2, ..., a_k$ in the ternary semiring \mathbb{Z}_0^- ; (ii) $(a_1, a_2, ..., a_k) = \text{g.c.d.}$ of $a_1, a_2, ..., a_k$. Two elements $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_0^-$ are said to be relatively prime if $(a_1, a_2) = 1$. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}^-$, we denote $I_n = \{r \in \mathbb{Z}^- : r \le n\} \cup \{0\}$. Clearly I_n is an ideal in the ternary semiring \mathbb{Z}_0^- . An ideal I of \mathbb{Z}_0^- is called (i) a T-ideal if $I_n \subseteq I$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}^-$; (ii) a principal T-ideal if $I = \langle a \rangle$ $\cup I_n$ for some $a \in \mathbb{Z}_0^-$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}^-$. Further (i) for $n \in \mathbb{Z}^-$, we denote n + 1 as the immediate successor of n in \mathbb{Z}_0^- ; (ii) for $n \in \mathbb{Z}^- - \{-1\}$, we denote n + 2 as the immediate successor of n + 1 in \mathbb{Z}_0^- . For example, -5 = (-6) + 1 is the immediate successor of -6 and -4 =(-6) + 2 is the immediate successor of (-6) + 1 (= -5).

Dutta and Kar [6, 7] have characterized respectively the prime k-ideals and semiprime k-ideals of the ternary semiring of non-positive integers. Some works on ternary semirings may be found in [2, 5, 8, 9]. Theory of ideals in the semiring of non-negative integers is recently studied by Gupta and Chaudhari [11] and by Chaudhari and Ingale [3]. Theory of ideals in the ternary semiring of non-positive integers is studied by Kar [13].

In this paper, we obtain characterizations of prime ideals, semiprime ideals, irreducible k-ideals and irreducible principal T-ideals in the ternary semiring of non-positive integers. In Section 2, we obtain characterizations of prime ideals, semiprime ideals and irreducible k-ideals in the ternary semiring of non-positive integers. In Section 3, we obtain characterization of irreducible principal T-ideals in the ternary semiring of non-positive integers.

The following results will be used to prove our results.

Lemma 1.1. [13, Lemma 3.12] Let $I = \langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_0^-$. If $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = d$, then there exists a largest $t \in \mathbb{Z}_0^-$ such that $(-1)(-d)r \in I$ for all $r \leq t$.

Lemma 1.2. [13, Lemma 3.3] If $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_0^-$ are relatively prime, then there exist $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}_0^-$ such that (-1)qa = (-1)pb + (-1) or (-1)pb = (-1)qa + (-1).

Theorem 1.1. [13] *Every ideal of* \mathbb{Z}_0^- *is finitely generated.*

Theorem 1.2. [6, Theorem 5.5] An ideal I of \mathbb{Z}_0^- is a k-ideal if and only if I is a principal ideal.

Theorem 1.3. [2, Theorem 3.8] An ideal I of \mathbb{Z}_0^- is semiprime if and only if $a^n \in I$ where n is an odd natural number implies $a \in I$.

2. Prime ideals, semiprime ideals and irreducible k-ideals in \mathbb{Z}_0^-

In this section, we characterize prime ideals, semiprime ideals and irreducible *k*-ideals in the ternary semiring \mathbb{Z}_0^- . We give a short and elementary proof of [13, Lemma 3.4]. This lemma will be used in the proof of subsequent theorem.

Lemma 2.1. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_0^-$, b < a < -1 and let a, b be relatively prime. Then there exists $m \in \mathbb{Z}_0^-$ such that $t \in \langle a, b \rangle$ for all $t \le m$.

Proof. By Lemma 1.2, there exist $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}_0^-$ such that (-1)qa = (-1)pb + (-1) or (-1)pb = (-1)qa + (-1). Without loss of generality assume that (-1)qa = (-1)pb + (-1). Clearly $p, q \neq 0$. Let us write $m = (-1)paqa \in \langle a, b \rangle$. Let t = m + r where $r \leq 0$. If r = 0, then $t = m \in \langle a, b \rangle$. If a < r < 0, then

$$\begin{split} t &= m + r = (-1)paqa + r = pa(-1)qa + r = pa((-1)pb + (-1)) + r \\ &= -(pa + r)pb + (-1)pa + rpb + r = -(pa + r)pb + (-1)pa + rqa \in \langle a, b \rangle. \end{split}$$

If $r \le a$, then by the division algorithm r = (-1)au + v where $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}_0^-$ and $a < v \le 0$. Then $t = m + v + (-1)au \in \langle a, b \rangle$.

Now the following theorem gives a characterization of non-zero prime ideals in the ternary semiring \mathbb{Z}_0^- :

Theorem 2.1. A non-zero ideal I of the ternary semiring \mathbb{Z}_0^- is prime if and only if $I = \langle -p \rangle$ for some prime number $p \in \mathbb{N}$ or $I = \langle -2, -3 \rangle$.

Proof. Let *I* be a prime ideal. By Theorem 1.1, *I* is a finitely generated ideal. If *I* is a principal ideal say $I = \langle m \rangle$, m < -1, then let

$$m = (-1)^{(\sum_{i=1}^{k} r_i)+1} (-p_1)^{r_1} (-p_2)^{r_2} \cdots (-p_k)^{r_k}$$

where $p_1, p_2, ..., p_k \in \mathbb{N}$ are pairwise distinct primes and $r_i, k \in \mathbb{N}$. If $k \ge 2$, then $(-1)ab = m \in I$ where $a = (-1)^{r_1+1}(-p_1)^{r_1}$, $b = (-1)^{(\sum_{i=2}^{k}r_i)+1}(-p_2)^{r_2}\cdots(-p_k)^{r_k}$. Since *I* is a prime ideal, we have $-1 \in I$ or $a \in I$ or $b \in I$, a contradiction. So k = 1 and hence $m = (-1)^{r_1+1}(-p_1)^{r_1}$. Again if $r_1 \ge 2$, then $(-1)^{r_1+1}(-p_1)^{r_1} \in I$. Since *I* is a prime ideal, we have $-1 \in I$ or $-p_1 \in I$, a contradiction. So $r_1 = 1$ and hence $I = \langle -p \rangle$.

Now assume that *I* is not a principal ideal. Take $I = \langle a_1, a_2, ..., a_n \rangle$ where $a_n < a_{n-1} < \cdots < a_1 < -1$, a_i does not divide a_j for all i < j, j = 2, 3, ..., n, $n \ge 2$. By using the procedure as in the above part, we have $a_1 = -p$ for some prime number $p \in \mathbb{N}$. Then a_1, a_2 are relatively prime. By Lemma 2.1, there exists $m \in \mathbb{Z}_0^-$ such that

(2.1)
$$t \in \langle a_1, a_2 \rangle \subseteq I \text{ for all } t \leq m.$$

If $a_1 < -2$, then by (2.1), choose a smallest *j* such that $(-1)^{j+1}(-2)^j \in I$, j > 1. Since *I* is a prime ideal, $-1 \in I$ or $-2 \in I$, a contradiction. Hence $a_1 = -2$. If $a_2 < -3$, then by (2.1), choose a smallest *s* such that $(-1)^{s+1}(-3)^s \in I$, s > 1. Since *I* is a prime ideal, $-1 \in I$ or $-3 \in I$, a contradiction. Hence $a_2 = -3$. So $I = \langle -2, -3 \rangle$. The converse is trivial.

From Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 2.1, we have the following corollary in which characterization of non-zero prime k-ideals in the ternary semiring \mathbb{Z}_0^- is obtained. This corollary shows that the [6, Lemma 5.9] is not true for the ideal {0} where {0} is a prime k-ideal.

Corollary 2.1. A non-zero k-ideal I of the ternary semiring \mathbb{Z}_0^- is prime if and only if $I = \langle -p \rangle$ for some prime number $p \in \mathbb{N}$.

Now the following theorem gives a characterization of non-zero semiprime ideals in the ternary semiring \mathbb{Z}_0^- :

Theorem 2.2. A non-zero ideal I of the ternary semiring \mathbb{Z}_0^- is semiprime if and only if $I = \langle m \rangle$ where $m = (-1)^{k+1}(-p_1)(-p_2)\cdots(-p_k)$, $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_k \in \mathbb{N}$ are pairwise distinct primes or $I = \langle -2, -3 \rangle$.

Proof. Let *I* be a semiprime ideal. By Theorem 1.1, *I* is a finitely generated ideal. If *I* is a principal ideal say $I = \langle m \rangle$, m < -1, then let

$$m = (-1)^{(\sum_{i=1}^{k} r_i)+1} (-p_1)^{r_1} (-p_2)^{r_2} \cdots (-p_k)^{r_k}$$

where $p_1, p_2, ..., p_k \in \mathbb{N}$ are pairwise distinct primes and $r_i, k \in \mathbb{N}$. If $r_i \ge 2$ for some *i*, then $(m/((-1)(-p_i)))^3 \in I$ but $m/((-1)(-p_i)) \notin I$, a contradiction. Hence each $r_i = 1$. Now assume that *I* is not a principal ideal. Take $I = \langle a_1, a_2, ..., a_n \rangle$ where $a_n < a_{n-1} < \cdots < a_1 < -1$, a_i does not divide a_j for all i < j, j = 2, 3, ..., n and $n \ge 2$. Let $d = (a_1, a_2, ..., a_n)$. If -d < -1, then let

$$-d = (-1)^{(\sum_{i=1}^{k} r_i)+1} (-p_1)^{r_1} (-p_2)^{r_2} \cdots (-p_k)^{r_k}$$

where $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_k \in \mathbb{N}$ are pairwise distinct primes and $r_i \ge 1$ for all *i*. If $a_1 < -d$, then by Lemma 1.1, choose a smallest odd $t \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(-d)^t \in I$. By Theorem 1.3, $-d \in I$, a contradiction as $a_1 < -d$. If $-d = a_1$, then $a_1 \mid a_2$, a contradiction. Hence -d = -1. If $a_1 < -2$, then by Lemma 1.1, choose a smallest odd *j* such that $(-2)^j \in I$, j > 1. By Theorem 1.3, $-2 \in I$, a contradiction as $a_1 < -2$. Hence $a_1 = -2$. If $a_2 < -3$, then by Lemma 1.1, choose a smallest *s* such that $(-3)^s \in I$, s > 1. By Theorem 1.3, $-3 \in I$, a contradiction as $a_2 < -3$. Hence $a_2 = -3$. Now $\langle -2, -3 \rangle \subseteq I$ implies $I = \langle -2, -3 \rangle$.

Conversely, If $I = \langle m \rangle$ where $m = (-1)^{k+1}(-p_1)(-p_2)\cdots(-p_k)$, $p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_k \in \mathbb{N}$ are pairwise distinct primes and $a^3 \in I$, then clearly $m | a^3$ implies m | a. Hence $a \in \langle m \rangle = I$. If $I = \langle -2, -3 \rangle$, then by Theorem 2.1, I is a prime ideal and hence I is a semiprime ideal.

From Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 2.2, we have the following corollary in which characterization of non-zero semiprime *k*-ideals in the ternary semiring \mathbb{Z}_0^- is obtained. This corollary shows that [7, Theorem 5.5] is not true for the ideal $\{0\}$ where $\{0\}$ is a semiprime *k*-ideal.

Corollary 2.2. A non-zero k-ideal I of the ternary semiring \mathbb{Z}_0^- is semiprime if and only if $I = \langle (-1)^{k+1}(-p_1)(-p_2)\cdots(-p_k) \rangle$ where $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_k \in \mathbb{N}$ are pairwise distinct primes.

Now the following theorem gives a characterization of non-zero irreducible k-ideals in the ternary semiring \mathbb{Z}_0^- :

Theorem 2.3. A non-zero proper ideal I in the semiring \mathbb{Z}_0^- is an irreducible k-ideal if and only if $I = \langle (-1)^{n+1} (-p)^n \rangle$ for some prime number $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Let *I* be an irreducible *k*-ideal of \mathbb{Z}_0^- . By Theorem 1.2, $I = \langle m \rangle$ for some m < -1. Since *I* is an irreducible ideal, $I = \langle (-1)^{n+1} (-p)^n \rangle$ for some prime number $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Conversely suppose that $I = \langle (-1)^{n+1} (-p)^n \rangle$ for some prime number $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By Theorem 1.2, *I* is a *k*-ideal. If *I* is not an irreducible ideal, then there exist ideals *A*, *B* of \mathbb{Z}_0^- such that $I = A \cap B$ and $I \neq A$, $I \neq B$. Let $a \in A$, $b \in B$ be such that $a, b \notin I$. If a = -1 or b = -1, then $A = \mathbb{Z}_0^-$ or $B = \mathbb{Z}_0^-$ and hence I = B or I = A, a contradiction. Suppose that a < -1, b < -1. Let

$$a = (-1)^{(\sum_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_i) + \alpha + 1} (-p_1)^{\alpha_1} (-p_2)^{\alpha_2} \cdots (-p_k)^{\alpha_k} (-p)^{\alpha_k},$$

$$b = (-1)^{(\sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_i) + \beta + 1} (-p_1)^{\beta_1} (-p_2)^{\beta_2} \cdots (-p_k)^{\beta_k} (-p)^{\beta_k},$$

where p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_k, p are pairwise distinct primes and $\alpha_i, \alpha, \beta_i, \beta \ge 0$. Now $a, b \notin I$ implies $\alpha, \beta < n$. Denote $l = (-1)^{(\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i) + \lambda + 1} (-p_1)^{\lambda_1} (-p_2)^{\lambda_2} \cdots (-p_k)^{\lambda_k} (-p)^{\lambda}$ where $\lambda_i = \max\{\alpha_i, \beta_i\}, \lambda = \max\{\alpha, \beta\}$. Then $a \in A, b \in B$ implies $l \in A \cap B = I = \langle (-1)^{n+1} (-p)^n \rangle$. So $(-1)^{n+1} (-p)^n | l$. Hence $n \le \lambda$, a contradiction. So *I* is an irreducible ideal.

Corollary 2.3. Let I be a non-zero proper ideal in the ternary semiring \mathbb{Z}_0^- . Then the following statements are equivalent:

- 1) I is a prime k-ideal;
- 2) $I = \langle -p \rangle$ for some prime $p \in \mathbb{N}$;
- 3) *I* is an irreducible and semiprime k-ideal.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Follows from Corollary 2.1.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) By Corollary 2.1, *I* is a prime *k*-ideal and hence *I* is a semiprime ideal. Clearly every prime ideal is an irreducible ideal and hence *I* is an irreducible ideal.

 $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$ Follows from Theorem 2.3, Corollary 2.2 and Corollary 2.1.

3. Irreducible principal *T*-ideals in \mathbb{Z}_0^-

In this section, we characterize irreducible principal *T*-ideals in the ternary semiring \mathbb{Z}_0^- . In general, the union of two ideals in a commutative ternary semiring *S* may not be an ideal of *S*. But for any ideal I_n in the ternary semiring \mathbb{Z}_0^- , we have the following lemma:

Lemma 3.1. If A is an ideal of the ternary semiring \mathbb{Z}_0^- , then $A \cup I_n$ is an ideal of \mathbb{Z}_0^- .

Theorem 3.1. *I_n is an irreducible ideal if and only if* $n \ge -3$ *.*

Proof. Let I_n be an irreducible ideal. Suppose that $n \le -4$. Denote $A = \langle n+1 \rangle \cup I_n$ and $B = \langle n+2 \rangle \cup I_n$. By Lemma 3.1, A, B are ideals of \mathbb{Z}_0^- such that $I_n \ne A$ and $I_n \ne B$. Clearly, $I_n = A \cap B$. Hence I_n is a reducible ideal, a contradiction. So $n \ge -3$. Conversely suppose that $n \ge -3$. Clearly $I_{-1} = \mathbb{Z}_0^-$ and $I_{-2} = \langle -2, -3 \rangle$ are irreducible ideals. Now if $I_{-3} = A \cap B$ and $I_{-3} \subset A$, then $A = \mathbb{Z}_0^-$ or $A = I_{-2}$ and hence $B = I_{-3}$.

Corollary 3.1. A principal T-ideal $I = \langle m \rangle \cup I_n$ is irreducible in \mathbb{Z}_0^- for $n \ge -3$ and for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}_0^-$.

Proof. Clearly $\langle m \rangle \subseteq I_n$ for all $m \leq n$. So $I = I_{-1}$ or I_{-2} or I_{-3} for $n \geq -3$ and every $m \in \mathbb{Z}_0^-$. By Theorem 3.1, I is an irreducible ideal.

Theorem 3.2. Every ideal $I \supseteq \langle -2 \rangle$ of \mathbb{Z}_0^- is irreducible.

Proof. Let $A \neq I \neq B$ be ideals of \mathbb{Z}_0^- such that $I = A \cap B$. Then there are $a \in A$ and $b \in B$ such that $a, b \notin I \supseteq \langle -2 \rangle$. Hence a and b are odd negative integers. We may assume that $a \ge b$ and therefore b = a + (-1)(-2)r for some $r \in \mathbb{Z}_0^-$. Since $(-1)(-2)r \in \langle -2 \rangle \subseteq I \subseteq A$, we get $b \in A$ and therefore $b \in A \cap B = I$, a contradiction. Hence either I = A or I = B.

Now we prove the following lemmas which will be used in the subsequent theorems.

Lemma 3.2. If $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_0^-$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}^-$ are such that $a + b \leq n$, then $A = \langle a \rangle \cup \langle b \rangle \cup I_n$ is an ideal of \mathbb{Z}_0^- .

Proof. Let $x, y \in A$. If x and y satisfy at least one of the following: (i) x = 0 or y = 0; (ii) $x \le n$ or $y \le n$; (iii) $x, y \in \langle a \rangle$ or $x, y \in \langle b \rangle$, then clearly $x + y \in A$. Now without loss of generality assume that n < x < 0, n < y < 0 and $x \in \langle a \rangle$, $y \in \langle b \rangle$. Then $x + y = (-1)ra + (-1)tb \le a + b \le n$ for some $r, t \in \mathbb{Z}^-$. Hence $x + y \in A$. If $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_0^-$ and $x \in A$, then clearly $\alpha\beta x \in A$. Hence A is an ideal of \mathbb{Z}_0^- .

Lemma 3.3. Let I be an ideal in the ternary semiring \mathbb{Z}_0^- such that $I \supseteq I_n$ where $n \in \mathbb{Z}^-$. If $b, c \in \mathbb{Z}_0^-$ are such that $(-1)(-2)b \le n$, $b \le c$ and c does not divide b, then $I = (\langle b \rangle \cup I) \cap (\langle c \rangle \cup I)$.

Proof. Clearly $(-1)(-2)b \le n$ implies

 $(3.1) (-1)rb \in I_n \subseteq I for all r \leq -2.$

Let $x \in (\langle b \rangle \cup I) \cap (\langle c \rangle \cup I)$. If $x \notin I$, then clearly x = (-1)rb = (-1)tc for some $r, t \in \mathbb{Z}^-$. By (3.1), r = -1 and hence b = (-1)tc i.e. $c \mid b$, a contradiction. Hence $x \in I$. So $(\langle b \rangle \cup I) \cap (\langle c \rangle \cup I) \subseteq I$. Clearly $I \subseteq (\langle b \rangle \cup I) \cap (\langle c \rangle \cup I)$. Hence $I = (\langle b \rangle \cup I) \cap (\langle c \rangle \cup I)$.

The following two theorems are essential to obtain the characterization of the irreducible principal *T*-ideals in the ternary semiring \mathbb{Z}_0^- .

Theorem 3.3. Let $I = \langle -3 \rangle \cup I_n$ be a principal *T*-ideal in \mathbb{Z}_0^- . Then *I* is an irreducible ideal if and only if n > -6.

Proof. Let *I* be an irreducible ideal of \mathbb{Z}_0^- . Let if possible $n \le -6$. Choose smallest $k \in \mathbb{Z}^-$ such that n < (-1)(-3)k. Then $-3 \le n - (-1)(-3)k \le -1$.

If n - (-1)(-3)k = -1, then denote $A = \langle (-1)(-3)k + 1 \rangle \cup I$ and $B = \langle (-1)(-3)k + 2 \rangle \cup I$. Now $(-3) + ((-1)(-3)k + 1) \le (-3) + ((-1)(-3)k + 2) = (-1) + (-1)(-3)k = n$. Hence by Lemma 3.2, A and B are ideals of \mathbb{Z}_0^- . Since n < (-1)(-3)k, $A \ne I$ and $B \ne I$. Now (-1)(-3)k + 1 = n + 2, $n \le -6$ implies $(-1)(-2)((-1)(-3)k + 1) = (-1)(-2)(n + 2) = n + (n + 4) \le n$. If $((-1)(-3)k + 2) \mid ((-1)(-3)k + 1)$, then (-1)(-3)k + 2 = -1. Hence k = -1 and so n = -4, a contradiction. Now ((-1)(-3)k + 2) does not divide ((-1)(-3)k + 1). Hence by Lemma 3.3, $I = (\langle (-1)(-3)k + 1 \rangle \cup I) \cap (\langle (-1)(-3)k + 2 \rangle \cup I) = A \cap B$.

If n - (-1)(-3)k = -2, then denote $A = \langle (-1)(-3)k - 1 \rangle \cup I$ and $B = \langle (-1)(-3)k + 1 \rangle \cup I$. Now $(-3) + ((-1)(-3)k - 1) \le (-3) + ((-1)(-3)k + 1) = (-2) + (-1)(-3)k = n$. Hence by Lemma 3.2, *A* and *B* are ideals of \mathbb{Z}_0^- . Now $A \ne I$, $B \ne I$ as n < n + 1 = (-1)(-3)k - 1 < (-1)(-3)k + 1. Now n - (-1)(-3)k = -2 and $n \le -6$ implies $k \le -2$. Hence ((-1)(-3)k + 1) does not divide ((-1)(-3)k - 1). Clearly $(-1)(-2)((-1)(-3)k - 1) \le n$. Hence by Lemma 3.3, $I = (\langle (-1)(-3)k - 1 \rangle \cup I) \cap (\langle (-1)(-3)k + 1 \rangle \cup I) = A \cap B$.

If n - (-1)(-3)k = -3, then denote $A = \langle (-1)(-3)k - 2 \rangle \cup I$ and $B = \langle (-1)(-3)k - 1 \rangle \cup I$. Now $(-3) + ((-1)(-3)k - 2) \le (-3) + ((-1)(-3)k - 1) = ((-3) + (-1)(-3)k) - 1 = n - 1 \le n$. Hence by Lemma 3.2, *A* and *B* are ideals of \mathbb{Z}_0^- . Now $A \ne I$ and $B \ne I$ as (-1)(-3)k - 1 > (-1)(-3)k - 2 = n + 1 > n. Clearly $(-1)(-2)((-1)(-3)k - 2) \le n$ and ((-1)(-3)k - 1) does not divide ((-1)(-3)k - 2). Hence by Lemma 3.3, $I = (\langle (-1)(-3)k - 2 \rangle \cup I) \cap (\langle (-1)(-3)k - 1 \rangle \cup I) = A \cap B$. Thus in any case, *I* is not an irreducible ideal of \mathbb{Z}_0^- , a contradiction. Hence n > -6. Conversely, suppose that n > -6. If $n \ge -4$, then $I = I_{-1}$ or I_{-2} or I_{-3} which are irreducible ideals. Suppose that n = -5. If I is not an irreducible ideal, then there exist ideals A, B of \mathbb{Z}_0^- such that $I = A \cap B$ and $I \ne A, I \ne B$. Choose $a \in A, b \in B$ such that $a, b \notin I$. Without loss of generality assume that $a \ge b$. Clearly a = -1 or -2 or -4 and b = -1 or -2 or -4 and hence b = (-1)at for some $t \in \mathbb{Z}_0^-$. Now $b \in A \cap B = I$, a contradiction. So I is an irreducible ideal.

Theorem 3.4. If a < -3, n < -3 and $I = \langle a \rangle \cup I_n$ a principal *T*-ideal in \mathbb{Z}_0^- , then *I* is not an *irreducible ideal*.

Proof. If $a \le n$, then $I = I_n$ and so by Theorem 3.1, I is not an irreducible ideal. Suppose that a > n. Choose smallest $k \in \mathbb{Z}^-$ such that

$$(3.2) \qquad \qquad (-1)ak > n.$$

Then $n - (-1)ak \le -1$. If n - (-1)ak = -1, then denote $A = \langle (-1)ak + 1 \rangle \cup I$ and $B = \langle (-1)ak+2 \rangle \cup I$. If a + ((-1)ak+2) > n, then a+2 > n - (-1)ak = -1 which is impossible as a < -3. Hence $a + ((-1)ak+2) \le n$ and so $a + ((-1)ak+1) \le n$. By Lemma 3.5, A and B are ideals of \mathbb{Z}_0^- . Since n < (-1)ak, $(-1)ak + 1 \notin I_n$ and $(-1)ak + 2 \notin I_n$. Hence $(-1)ak + 1 \notin I$ and $(-1)ak + 2 \notin I$. So $A \neq I$ and $B \neq I$. If (-1)(-2)((-1)ak+1) > n, then (-2)ak + 2 > n. Hence (-1)ak + 2 > n - (-1)ak = -1. So (-1)ak = -2 which is impossible as a < -3 and $k \in \mathbb{Z}^-$. Hence $(-1)(-2)((-1)ak+1) \le n$. Now ((-1)ak+2) does not divide ((-1)ak + 1) as a < -3, $k \in \mathbb{Z}^-$. Hence by Lemma 3.6, $I = (\langle (-1)ak + 1 \rangle \cup I) \cap (\langle (-1)ak + 2 \rangle \cup I) = A \cap B$.

If n - (-1)ak = -2, then denote $A = \langle (-1)ak - 1 \rangle \cup I$ and $B = \langle (-1)ak + 1 \rangle \cup I$. If a + ((-1)ak + 1) > n, then a + 1 > n - (-1)ak = -2, a contradiction as a < -3. Hence $a + ((-1)ak + 1) \le n$ and so $a + ((-1)ak - 1) \le n$. By Lemma 3.2, A and B are ideals of \mathbb{Z}_0^- . Since n - (-1)ak = -2, $(-1)ak - 1 \notin I_n$ and $(-1)ak + 1 \notin I_n$. Hence $(-1)ak - 1 \notin I$ and $(-1)ak + 1 \notin I$. So $A \neq I$ and $B \neq I$. By using (3.2), $(-1)(-2)((-1)ak + 1) \le n$. Now ((-1)ak + 1) does not divide ((-1)ak - 1) as a < -3. Hence by Lemma 3.3, $I = (\langle (-1)ak - 1 \rangle \cup I) \cap (\langle (-1)ak + 1 \rangle \cup I) = A \cap B$.

If $n - (-1)ak \leq -3$, then denote $A = \langle (-1)ak - 2 \rangle \cup I$ and $B = \langle (-1)ak - 1 \rangle \cup I$. By using (3.2), $a + ((-1)ak - 2) \leq a + ((-1)ak - 1) \leq n$. By Lemma 3.2, A and B are ideals of \mathbb{Z}_0^- . Since $n - (-1)ak \leq -3$, $(-1)ak - 2 \notin I_n$ and $(-1)ak - 1 \notin I_n$. Hence $(-1)ak - 2 \notin I$ and $(-1)ak - 1 \notin I_n$. Hence $(-1)ak - 2 \notin I$ and $(-1)ak - 1 \notin I$. So $A \neq I$ and $B \neq I$. By using (3.2), $(-1)(-2)((-1)ak - 1) \leq n$. Also ((-1)ak - 1) does not divide ((-1)ak - 2). Hence by Lemma 3.3, $I = (\langle (-1)ak - 2 \rangle \cup I) \cap (\langle (-1)ak - 1 \rangle \cup I) = A \cap B$. Thus, I is not an irreducible ideal of \mathbb{Z}_0^- .

The following theorem gives the characterization of irreducible principal *T*-ideals in the ternary semiring \mathbb{Z}_0^- .

Theorem 3.5. A principal *T*-ideal $I = \langle a \rangle \cup I_n$ is irreducible in \mathbb{Z}_0^- if and only if any one of the following conditions holds:

- 1) $a = 0, n \ge -3;$
- 2) a = -1, for any n;
- 3) a = -2, for any n; 4) a = -3, n > -6;
- 5) $a \le -4, n \ge -3.$

Proof. Follows from Theorem 3.1, Theorem 3.2, Theorem 3.3, Theorem 3.4 and Corollary 3.1.

Acknowledgement. The authors are thankful to Prof. V. Ravichandran for his valuable guidance about the setting of the paper. The authors also express their sincere thanks to the referees for the helpful suggestions.

References

- [1] P. J. Allen and L. Dale, Ideal theory in the semiring Z⁺, Publ. Math. Debrecen 22 (1975), no. 3–4, 219–224.
- [2] J. N. Chaudhari, H. P. Bendale and K. J. Ingale, Regular ternary semirings, J. Adv. Res. Pure Math. 4 (2012), no. 3, 68–76.
- [3] J. N. Chaudhari and K. J. Ingale, A note on ideals in the semiring \mathbb{Z}_0^+ , J. Indian Math. Soc. **79** (2012), no. 1–4, 33–39.
- [4] T. K. Dutta and S. Kar, On regular ternary semirings, in Advances in Algebra, 343–355, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 2003.
- [5] T. K. Dutta and S. Kar, On the Jacobson radical of a ternary semiring, Southeast Asian Bull. Math. 28 (2004), no. 1, 1–13.
- [6] T. K. Dutta and S. Kar, On prime ideals and prime radical of ternary semirings, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. 97 (2005), no. 5, 445–454.
- [7] T. K. Dutta and S. Kar, On semiprime ideals and irreducible ideals of ternary semirings, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. 97 (2005), no. 5, 467–476.
- [8] T. K. Dutta and S. Kar, A note on the Jacobson radicals of ternary semirings, Southeast Asian Bull. Math. 29 (2005), no. 2, 321–331.
- [9] T. K. Dutta and S. Kar, Two types of Jacobson radicals of ternary semirings, *Southeast Asian Bull. Math.* 29 (2005), no. 4, 677–687.
- [10] J. S. Golan, Semirings and Their Applications, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1999.
- [11] V. Gupta and J. N. Chaudhari, Prime ideals in semirings, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. (2) 34 (2011), no. 2, 417–421.
- [12] H. Hedayati, Generalized fuzzy k-ideals of semirings with interval-valued membership functions, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. (2) 32 (2009), no. 3, 409–424.
- [13] S. Kar, Ideal theory in the ternary semiring \mathbb{Z}_0^- , Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. (2) 34 (2011), no. 1, 69–77.
- [14] W. G. Lister, Ternary rings, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 154 (1971), 37-55.
- [15] X. Ma, J. Zhan and K. P. Shum, Generalized fuzzy *h*-ideals of hemirings, *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.* (2) 34 (2011), no. 3, 561–574.