

DIFFERENTIAL SANDWICH THEOREMS FOR CERTAIN ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS

ROSIHAN M. ALI, V. RAVICHANDRAN, M. HUSSAIN KHAN

and

K. G. SUBRAMANIAN

(Received June 30, 2004)

Submitted by Ravi P. Agarwal

Abstract

Let q_1, q_2 be univalent in $\Delta := \{z : |z| < 1\}$. We give some applications of first order differential subordinations to obtain sufficient conditions for normalized analytic functions $f(z)$ to satisfy

$$q_1(z) \prec zf'(z)/f(z) \prec q_2(z).$$

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{H} be the class of analytic functions in $\Delta := \{z : |z| < 1\}$ and $\mathcal{H}(a, n)$ be the subclass of \mathcal{H} consisting of functions of the form $f(z) = a + a_n z^n + a_{n+1} z^{n+1} + \dots$. Let \mathcal{A} be the class of all analytic functions $f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + \dots$ ($z \in \Delta$). Let $p, h \in \mathcal{H}$ and let $\phi(r, s, t; z) : \mathbb{C}^3 \times \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. If p and $\phi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2 p''(z); z)$ are univalent and if p satisfies the second order superordination

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 30C80; Secondary 30C45.

Key words and phrases: differential subordinations, differential superordinations, subordinated.

© 2004 Pushpa Publishing House

$$h(z) \prec \phi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2 p''(z); z), \quad (1.1)$$

then p is a solution of the differential superordination (1.1). (If f is subordinate to F , then F is superordinate to f .) An analytic function q is called a *subordinant* if $q \prec p$ for all p satisfying (1.1). A univalent subordinant \tilde{q} that satisfies $q \prec \tilde{q}$ for all subordinants q of (1.1) is said to be *best subordinant*. Recently Miller and Mocanu [3] obtained conditions on h , q and ϕ for which the following implication holds:

$$h(z) \prec \phi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2 p''(z); z) \Rightarrow q(z) \prec p(z).$$

Using the results of Miller and Mocanu [3], Bulboacă [2] have considered certain classes of first order differential subordinations as well as superordination-preserving integral operators [1]. In the present paper, we give some applications of first order differential subordinations for functions in \mathcal{A} .

In our present investigation, we shall need the following:

Definition 1.1 [3, Definition 2, p. 817]. Denote by \mathcal{Q} , the set of all functions $f(z)$ that are analytic and injective on $\bar{\Delta} - E(f)$, where

$$E(f) = \{\zeta \in \partial\Delta : \lim_{z \rightarrow \zeta} f(z) = \infty\},$$

and are such that $f'(\zeta) \neq 0$ for $\zeta \in \partial\Delta - E(f)$.

Lemma 1.2 [2]. Let $q(z)$ be univalent in the unit disk Δ and \mathfrak{g} and ϕ be analytic in a domain D containing $q(\Delta)$. Suppose that

$$(1) \Re[\mathfrak{g}'(q(z))/\phi(q(z))] \geq 0 \text{ for } z \in \Delta,$$

$$(2) zq'(z)\phi(q(z)) \text{ is starlike univalent in } \Delta.$$

If $p(z) \in \mathcal{H}(q(0), 1) \cap \mathcal{Q}$, with $p(\Delta) \subseteq D$, and $\mathfrak{g}(p(z)) + zp'(z)\phi(p(z))$ is univalent in Δ , then

$$\mathfrak{g}(q(z)) + zq'(z)\phi(q(z)) \prec \mathfrak{g}(p(z)) + zp'(z)\phi(p(z)) \quad (1.2)$$

implies $q(z) \prec p(z)$ and $q(z)$ is the best subordinant.

2. Sandwich Theorems

By making use of Lemma 1.2, we obtain the following results.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $q(z)$ be convex univalent in Δ and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$. Further assume that*

$$\Re \left[\frac{\alpha}{\gamma} + \frac{2\beta}{\gamma} q(z) \right] \geq 0.$$

If $p(z) \in \mathcal{H}(q(0), 1) \cap \mathcal{Q}$, $\alpha p(z) + \beta p^2(z) + \gamma zp'(z)$ is univalent in Δ , then

$$\alpha q(z) + \beta q^2(z) + \gamma zq'(z) \prec \alpha p(z) + \beta p^2(z) + \gamma zp'(z)$$

implies $q(z) \prec p(z)$ and $q(z)$ is the best subordinant.

Proof. Define the functions \mathfrak{S} and φ by

$$\mathfrak{S}(w) := \alpha w + \beta w^2 \text{ and } \varphi(w) := \gamma.$$

Clearly, $\mathfrak{S}(w)$ and $\varphi(w)$ are analytic in \mathbb{C} . Also

$$\Re \frac{\mathfrak{S}'(q(z))}{\varphi(q(z))} = \Re \left[\frac{\alpha}{\gamma} + \frac{2\beta}{\gamma} q(z) \right] \geq 0$$

and the function $\gamma zq'(z)$ is starlike univalent in Δ . Lemma 2.1 now follows by an application of Lemma 1.2.

Remark 1. When $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 0$, Lemma 2.1 reduces to [3, Theorem 8, p. 822]. When $\alpha = \beta = 0$ and $\gamma = 1$ Lemma 2.1 reduces to [3, Theorem 9, p. 823].

By making use of Lemma 2.1, we now prove the following:

Theorem 2.2. *Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $q(z)$ be convex univalent in Δ and $\Re q(z) \geq \Re \frac{\alpha - 1}{2\alpha}$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$, $zf'(z)/f(z) \in \mathcal{H}(1, 1) \cap \mathcal{Q}$, $\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \alpha \frac{z^2 f''(z)}{f(z)}$ is univalent in Δ , then*

$$(1 - \alpha)q(z) + \alpha q^2(z) + \alpha zq'(z) \prec \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \alpha \frac{z^2 f''(z)}{f(z)}$$

implies

$$q(z) \prec \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}$$

and $q(z)$ is the best subdominant.

Proof. Define the function $p(z)$ by

$$p(z) := \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}.$$

Then a computation shows that

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \alpha \frac{z^2 f''(z)}{f(z)} = (1 - \alpha)p(z) + \alpha p^2(z) + \alpha zp'(z).$$

By using Lemma 2.1, we have the result.

Together with the corresponding result for differential subordination (see Ravichandran [4]), we obtain the following “sandwich result”:

Corollary 2.3. Let $q_1(z)$ and $q_2(z)$ be convex univalent in Δ . Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Assume that $\Re q_i(z) \geq \Re \frac{\alpha - 1}{2\alpha}$ for $i = 1, 2$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$, $zf'(z)/f(z) \in$

$\mathcal{H}(1, 1) \cap \mathcal{Q}$, $\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \alpha \frac{z^2 f''(z)}{f(z)}$ is univalent in Δ , then

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \alpha)q_1(z) + \alpha q_1^2(z) + \alpha zq_1'(z) &\prec \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \alpha \frac{z^2 f''(z)}{f(z)} \\ &\prec (1 - \alpha)q_2(z) + \alpha q_2^2(z) + \alpha zq_2'(z) \end{aligned}$$

implies

$$q_1(z) \prec \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec q_2(z)$$

and $q_1(z)$ and $q_2(z)$ are respectively the best subdominant and best dominant.

Lemma 2.4. Let $q(z) \neq 0$ be univalent in Δ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$. Further assume that $\Re[\alpha \bar{\beta} q(z)] \geq 0$ and $zq'(z)/q(z)$ is starlike univalent in Δ . If

$p(z) \in \mathcal{H}(q(0), 1) \cap \mathcal{Q}$, $p(z) \neq 0$, $\alpha p(z) + \beta \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)}$ is univalent in Δ , then

$$\alpha q(z) + \beta \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} \prec \alpha p(z) + \beta \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)}$$

implies $q(z) \prec p(z)$ and $q(z)$ is the best subdominant.

Proof. The Lemma 2.4 follows from Lemma 1.2 when the functions ϑ and ϕ are given by $\vartheta(w) := \alpha w$ and $\phi(w) := \beta/w$.

By making use of Lemma 2.4, we now prove the following:

Theorem 2.5. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $q(z) \neq 0$ be univalent in Δ . Further assume that $\Re[\bar{\alpha}q(z)] \geq 0$ and $zq'(z)/q(z)$ is starlike univalent in Δ . If $f \in \mathcal{A}$, $0 \neq zf'(z)/f(z) \in \mathcal{H}(1, 1) \cap \mathcal{Q}$, $(1 - \alpha) \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \alpha \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right)$ is univalent in Δ , then

$$q(z) + \alpha \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} \prec (1 - \alpha) \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \alpha \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right)$$

implies

$$q(z) \prec \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}$$

and $q(z)$ is the best subdominant.

Proof. Theorem 2.5 follows from Lemma 2.4 by taking $p(z)$ to be the function given by $p(z) := zf'(z)/f(z)$.

Together with the corresponding result for differential subordination (see Ravichandran and Darus [6]), we obtain the following:

Corollary 2.6. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $q_i(z) \neq 0$ ($i = 1, 2$) be univalent in Δ . Further assume that $\Re[\bar{\alpha}q_i(z)] \geq 0$ for $i = 1, 2$ and $zq'_i(z)/q_i(z)$ ($i = 1, 2$) is starlike univalent in Δ . If $f \in \mathcal{A}$, $0 \neq zf'(z)/f(z) \in \mathcal{H}(1, 1) \cap \mathcal{Q}$, $(1 - \alpha) \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \alpha \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right)$ is univalent in Δ , then

$$q_1(z) + \alpha \frac{zq'_1(z)}{q_1(z)} \prec (1 - \alpha) \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \alpha \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right) \prec q_2(z) + \alpha \frac{zq'_2(z)}{q_2(z)}$$

implies

$$q_1(z) \prec \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec q_2(z)$$

and $q_1(z)$ and $q_2(z)$ are respectively the best subdominant and the best dominant.

By making use of Lemma 2.4, we obtain the following:

Theorem 2.7. Let $q(z) \neq 0$ be univalent in Δ and $zq'(z)/q(z)$ be starlike univalent in Δ . If $f \in \mathcal{A}$, $0 \neq z^2f'(z)/f^2(z) \in \mathcal{H}(1, 1) \cap \mathcal{Q}$, $\frac{(zf)''(z)}{f'(z)} - 2\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}$ is univalent in Δ , then

$$\frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} \prec \frac{(zf)''(z)}{f'(z)} - 2\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}$$

implies

$$q(z) \prec \frac{z^2f'(z)}{f^2(z)}$$

and $q(z)$ is the best subdominant.

Together with the corresponding result for differential subordination (see Ravichandran [4]), we obtain the following:

Corollary 2.8. Let $q_i(z) \neq 0$ be univalent in Δ and $zq'_i(z)/q_i(z)$ be starlike univalent in Δ for $i = 1, 2$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$, $0 \neq z^2f'(z)/f^2(z) \in \mathcal{H}(1, 1) \cap \mathcal{Q}$,

$\frac{(zf)''(z)}{f'(z)} - 2\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}$ is univalent in Δ , then

$$\frac{zq'_1(z)}{q_1(z)} \prec \frac{(zf)''(z)}{f'(z)} - 2\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec \frac{zq'_2(z)}{q_2(z)},$$

implies

$$q_1(z) \prec \frac{z^2f'(z)}{f^2(z)} \prec q_2(z)$$

and $q_1(z)$ and $q_2(z)$ are respectively the best subdominant and best dominant.

Lemma 2.9. Let $q(z) \neq 0$ be univalent in Δ and $zq'(z)/q^2(z)$ be starlike univalent in Δ . If $p(z) \in \mathcal{H}(q(0), 1) \cap \mathcal{Q}$, $p(z) \neq 0$, $zp'(z)/p^2(z)$ is univalent in Δ , then

$$\frac{zq'(z)}{q^2(z)} \prec \frac{zp'(z)}{p^2(z)}$$

implies $q(z) \prec p(z)$ and $q(z)$ is the best subdominant.

Proof. Lemma 2.9 follows from Lemma 1.2 when $\vartheta(w) := 0$ and $\varphi(w) := 1/w^2$.

Theorem 2.10. Let $q(z) \neq 0$ be univalent in Δ and $zq'(z)/q^2(z)$ be starlike univalent in $^*\Delta$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$, $0 \neq zf'(z)/f(z) \in \mathcal{H}(1, 1) \cap \mathcal{Q}$, $\frac{1 + zf''(z)/f'(z)}{zf'(z)/f(z)}$ is univalent in Δ , then

$$1 + \frac{zq'(z)}{q^2(z)} \prec \frac{1 + z''f(z)/f'(z)}{zf'(z)/f(z)}$$

implies $q(z) \prec zf'(z)/f(z)$ and $q(z)$ is the best subdominant.

Proof. The result follows from Lemma 2.9 by taking $p(z) = zf'(z)/f(z)$.

Together with the corresponding result for differential subordination (see Ravichandran and Darus [5]), we obtain the following:

Theorem 2.11. Let $q_i(z) \neq 0$ be univalent in Δ and $zq_i'(z)/q_i^2(z)$ be starlike univalent in Δ for $i = 1, 2$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$, $0 \neq zf'(z)/f(z) \in \mathcal{H}(1, 1) \cap \mathcal{Q}$, $\frac{1 + zf''(z)/f'(z)}{zf'(z)/f(z)}$ is univalent in Δ , then

$$1 + \frac{zq_1'(z)}{q_1^2(z)} \prec \frac{1 + zf''(z)/f'(z)}{zf'(z)/f(z)} \prec 1 + \frac{zq_2'(z)}{q_2^2(z)}$$

implies $q_1(z) \prec zf'(z)/f(z) \prec q_2(z)$ and $q_1(z)$ and $q_2(z)$ are respectively the best subdominant and the best dominant.

Acknowledgement

Research of R. M. Ali and V. Ravichandran are respectively supported by a Universiti Sains Malaysia Fundamental Research Grant and a post-doctoral fellowship.

References

- [1] T. Bulboaca, A class of superordination-preserving integral operators, *Indag. Math., New Ser.* 13(3) (2002), 301-311.
- [2] T. Bulboaca, Classes of first-order differential subordinations, *Demonstr. Math.* 35(2) (2002), 287-292.
- [3] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, Subordinants of differential subordinations, *Complex Variables* 48(10) (2003), 815-826.
- [4] V. Ravichandran, Certain applications of first order differential subordination, *Far East J. Math. Sci. (FJMS)* 12(1) (2004), 41-51.
- [5] V. Ravichandran and Maslina Darus, On a criteria for starlikeness, *Internat. Math. J.* 4(2) (2003), 119-125.
- [6] V. Ravichandran and Maslina Darus, On a class of α -convex functions, *J. Anal. Appl.* 2(1) (2004), 17-25.

Rosihan M. Ali and V. Ravichandran
School of Mathematical Sciences
Universiti Sains Malaysia
11800 USM Penang, Malaysia
e-mail: rosihan@cs.usm.my; vravi@cs.usm.my

M. Hussain Khan
Department of Mathematics
Islamiah College, Vaniambadi 635 751, India
e-mail: kxanhussaff@yahoo.co.in

K. G. Subramanian
Department of Mathematics
Madras Christian College
Tambaram, Chennai 600 059, India
e-mail: kgsmani@vsnl.net